

1 Levi M. Plesset (SBN 296039)  
2 LAW OFFICE OF LEVI M. PLESSET  
3 P.O. Box 1248  
4 Haiku, Hawaii 96708  
5 Telephone: (510) 499-7100  
6 Email: levi@plessetlaw.com

7 David A. Baldwin (SBN 301970)  
8 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID BALDWIN  
9 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3310, 33rd Floor  
10 Los Angeles, California 90071  
11 Telephone: (323) 595-3989  
12 Facsimile: (323) 417-5176  
13 Email: david@davidbaldwinlaw.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff YALINDA ROBINSON,  
15 and all others similarly situated

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 YALINDA ROBINSON, individually and on  
19 behalf of all others similarly situated,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 RB HEALTH (US) LLC., a Delaware  
23 limited liability company; and DOES 1  
24 through 50, inclusive,

25 Defendants.

Case No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:**

- (1) Violation of the Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.);
- (2) Violation of the False Advertising Law (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.); and,
- (3) Violation of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act (Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.)

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

26 Plaintiff Yalinda Robinson (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated,  
27 and the general public, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendant RB  
28 HEALTH (US) LLC (“Defendant”) and, upon information and belief and investigation of counsel,  
alleges as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1  
2 1. Defendant makes, distributes, sells, and markets a wide variety of dietary  
3 supplements under the brand name Airborne. The products at issue in this action include Airborne  
4 Vitamin C 1,000 mg Very Berry Chewable Tablets, but are not limited to, based on information  
5 and belief, the following, in any size, count, or variation: Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Zesty  
6 Orange Chewable Tablets; Airborne Immune Support Gummies (750 mg Vitamin C); Airborne  
7 Vitamin C + Zinc Gummies; Airborne Elderberry + Zinc + Vitamin C Gummies; and Airborne  
8 Triple Action Immune Support Effervescent Tablets. (collectively, the “Products”). Products shall  
9 also include similarly mislabeled supplements as revealed upon future discovery and continuing  
10 investigation.  
11

12 2. Defendant deceptively labels certain of its Airborne Products by misrepresenting the  
13 dosage amount of each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet. Specifically, the front  
14 labels of the Airborne Products prominently advertise a certain dosage amount of Vitamin C, for  
15 example, “VITAMIN C 1,000 MG.” Reasonable consumers are led to believe that each chewable  
16 tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet contains the advertised dosage amount, for example, 1,000  
17 milligrams of Vitamin C in each chewable tablet.  
18

19 3. The truth, however, is that each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet does  
20 not contain the advertised dosage amount. Instead, each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent  
21 tablet contains only a fraction of the advertised dosage and consumers must ingest two or more  
22 chewable tablets, gummies, or effervescent tablets to achieve the advertised dosage. As a result,  
23 consumers grossly overpay for the Products, receiving only a fraction of the advertised value  
24 while paying the full purchase price.  
25

26 4. Plaintiff read and relied upon Defendant’s advertising when purchasing one or more  
27 of the Airborne Products and was damaged as a result.  
28



1 distributes, advertises, and sells the Products throughout California and the United States,  
2 including through brick-and-mortar retailers and online marketplaces. Defendant is responsible for  
3 the making, labeling, distribution, selling, and marketing of the Products throughout the applicable  
4 statute of limitations period.

5  
6 11. Plaintiff Yalinda Robinson (“Plaintiff”) is, and at all relevant times was, a citizen  
7 and resident of Los Angeles County, California. During the Class Period, Plaintiff purchased the  
8 Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Very Berry Chewable Tablets Product at a retail store in Los  
9 Angeles County in December of 2025 for approximately \$18.49 for personal, household, and  
10 family use. Plaintiff saw the representations made on the Product’s label prior to and at the time of  
11 purchase and understood them as representations and warranties that each chewable tablet of the  
12 Product contained the advertised 1,000 mg of Vitamin C. Plaintiff relied on the representations  
13 made on the Product’s label in deciding to purchase the Product. However, each tablet actually  
14 contains only 250mg of Vitamin C—only one quarter of the amount set forth on the front label.  
15 These representations and warranties were part of her basis of the bargain, in that she would not  
16 have purchased the Product, or would only have been willing to purchase it at a lower price, had  
17 she known the representations were false. Plaintiff would consider purchasing the Products again  
18 if the advertising statements on the Products’ labels were, in fact, truthful and represented in a  
19 manner as not to deceive consumers.  
20  
21

22 **IV. NATURE OF THE ACTION**

23 12. Based on information and belief, Defendant continues to sell the following Airborne  
24 branded products (the “Products”) with misleading dosage representations on the Products’  
25 packaging and labels: Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Zesty Orange Chewable Tablets – [more  
26 than one (1) chewable tablet is required to achieve the advertised dosage of 1,000 mg of Vitamin  
27 C]; Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Very Berry Chewable Tablets – [more than one (1) chewable  
28

1 tablet is required to achieve the advertised dosage of 1,000 mg of Vitamin C]; Airborne Immune  
 2 Support Gummies – [two (2) gummies are required to achieve the advertised dosage]; Airborne  
 3 Vitamin C + Zinc Gummies – [two (2) gummies are required to achieve the advertised dosage];  
 4 Airborne Elderberry + Zinc + Vitamin C Gummies – [two (2) gummies are required to achieve the  
 5 advertised dosage]; Airborne Triple Action Immune Support Effervescent Tablets – [two (2)  
 6 effervescent tablets are required to achieve the advertised dosage].

8 13. True and correct images of the Airborne product purchased by Plaintiff is pictured  
 9 below. These images and their content are incorporated herein by reference.



**Supplement Facts**

Serving Size: 1 Tablet (10 pieces of age and older) (10 pieces of age and older)  
 Servings Per Container: 64 (16 tablets) (16 of tablets)

	4 years of age and older (10 tablets)		14 years of age and older (16 tablets)	
	AirborneBerry	% DV	AirborneBerry	% DV
Calories	0		15	
Total Carbohydrate	2 g	1%*	3 g	1%*
Total Sugars	2 g	1*	3 g	1*
Includes Added Sugars	2 g	4%*	3 g	6%*
Vitamin D (as cholecalciferol)	30 mcg	15%	100 mcg	20%
Vitamin E (as tocopherol acid and acetate)	500 mcg	100%	1000 mcg	111%
Vitamin B-6 (as pyridoxine HCl)	10 mcg	95%	38 mcg	100%
Vitamin C (as ascorbic acid triester)	1.0 mg	1%	3 mg	20%
Zinc (as zinc sulfate)	5.0 mg	50%	11 mg	100%
Selenium (as selenium amino acid chelate)	5.0 mcg	10%	11 mcg	20%
Manganese (as manganese glycinate)	0.25 mg	10%	0.40 mg	20%
Sodium	20 mg	1%	40 mg	2%
Proprietary Herbal Blend	17.75 mg	1	35.5 mg	1
<small>Contains: Japanese Sophora, Forsythia suspensa fruit, Schisandra berry (fruit), Ginger (Zingiber officinale fruit), Blackberry fruit, Lonicera caerulea fruit, Echinacea purpurea (root).</small>				

\*Percent Daily Values are based on a diet of other people's secrets and  
 their lies and wishes.

14. Defendant’s dosing representations are prominently and conspicuously displayed on  
 19 each of the Products’ principal display panel to grab the consumer’s attention.

20 15. Contrary to the prominently advertised dosage amount on each of the Products’  
 21 labels, each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet contains only a fraction of the  
 22 advertised dosage amount. For example, consumers must ingest four chewable tablets of the  
 23 Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Very Berry Chewable Tablets Product to achieve the advertised  
 24 1,000 mg dosage of Vitamin C. This leads consumers to overpay for the Products by a significant  
 25 margin.

26 16. Defendant’s advertising misleads reasonable consumers into believing that each  
 27 chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet contains the advertised dosage of Vitamin C.  
 28

1 However, contrary to the labeling, each unit only contains a fraction of the advertised Vitamin C.  
2 Consequently, reasonable consumers believe that they are receiving more Vitamin C per unit than  
3 what they are actually receiving. As a result, Defendant charges consumers a premium for the  
4 Products, while cutting costs and reaping the financial benefits of selling dietary supplements with  
5 less than the advertised per-unit dosage.  
6

7 17. The label misrepresentations are material to reasonable consumers, including  
8 Plaintiff. The dosage representations (number of milligrams) convey the amount of Vitamin C  
9 provided by the Products, and the primary purpose of the Products is to provide the amount of  
10 Vitamin C advertised by the Product labels. Accordingly, reasonable consumers are likely to be  
11 deceived by the Products' labels.  
12

13 18. Over the past 20 years, there has been a significant increase in the prevalence of  
14 supplement use. The dietary supplement market has been growing in terms of sales and products  
15 available on the market. Consumers are being presented a large number of products, brands, and  
16 formulations, distributed through a wide variety of marketing channels. The value of the global  
17 dietary supplements market was estimated to be worth nearly USD 152 billion in 2021, and is  
18 expected to be worth USD 300 billion by 2028.  
19

20 19. In response to consumers' desire for dietary supplements, many companies, like  
21 Defendant, have scrambled to manufacture, market, and sell purportedly high dosages of Vitamin  
22 C, at the same or lower costs, in an effort to gain market share and outsell competitors.  
23 Unfortunately, rather than creating the actual high-dosage dietary supplements that consumers  
24 desire, Defendant makes Products that deliver a lower dosage of Vitamin C per unit, and then  
25 markets the Products to consumers through deceptive labeling and packaging claims. In doing so,  
26 Defendant misleads consumers into believing that each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent  
27 tablet contains a higher dosage of Vitamin C than is actually contained therein.  
28

1           20. Defendant’s competitors correctly label and sell their Vitamin C supplements to  
2 accurately reflect the correct amount of Vitamin C contained in each chewable tablet, gummy, or  
3 effervescent tablet unit. They do so by specifying on the front label the amount of Vitamin C per  
4 chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet unit.

5  
6           21. Alternatively, Defendant’s competitors disclose on their products’ front labels that  
7 the advertised dosage amount does not apply per individual chewable tablet, gummy, or  
8 effervescent tablet, and instead applies “per serving.” Defendant chose not to adopt either  
9 disclosure practice for the Airborne Products.

10           22. By falsely, misleadingly, and deceptively labeling and advertising the Products,  
11 Defendant sought an unfair advantage over its lawfully acting competitors.

12           23. Plaintiff Yalinda Robinson purchased the Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Very Berry  
13 Chewable Tablets Product at a retail store in Los Angeles County in or around December of 2025  
14 for approximately \$18.49 in reliance on the Products’ front-label advertising.

15  
16           24. In deciding to purchase the Products, Plaintiff read and relied on the dosage  
17 information displayed on the front labels, which led Plaintiff to believe that each chewable tablet  
18 of the Airborne Vitamin C 1,000 mg Product contained the advertised dosage – 1,000 mg of  
19 Vitamin C per chewable tablet. At the time of purchase, Plaintiff did not know that the advertised  
20 dosage was false and misleading, and that more than one chewable tablet would need to be  
21 consumed to receive the advertised 1,000 mg of Vitamin C. In fact, it requires consuming four of  
22 the chewable tablets to receive the advertised 1,000 mg of Vitamin C.

23  
24           25. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Products, or would not have paid as much as  
25 she did, had she known that each chewable tablet contained only a fraction of the advertised  
26 dosage. Plaintiff paid a premium for the Products due to the misleading labeling on the Products’  
27 packaging.

28

1           26. The representations on the Products' label were and are false and misleading, and  
2 had the capacity, tendency, and likelihood to confuse or confound Plaintiff and other consumers  
3 acting reasonably (including the putative Class) because, as described in detail herein, the  
4 Products' labels misrepresent the dosage of each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet.  
5

6           27. Plaintiff acted reasonably in relying on the challenged claims that Defendant  
7 intentionally, prominently, and uniformly placed on the Products' labels and packaging with the  
8 intent to induce average consumers into purchasing them.

9           28. Plaintiff, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, could not have discovered earlier  
10 Defendant's unlawful acts described herein because the violations were known to Defendant, and  
11 not to Plaintiff, throughout the Class Period herein.

12           29. Plaintiff paid more for the Products, and would only have been willing to pay less or  
13 unwilling to purchase them at all, absent the false and misleading labeling statements complained  
14 of herein.  
15

16           30. For these reasons, the Products were worth less than what Plaintiff paid for them.

17           31. Plaintiff would like to, and would consider, purchasing the Products again when she  
18 can do so with the assurance that the Products' labels are truthful and consistent with the Products'  
19 ingredients.  
20

21           32. Plaintiff will be unable to rely on the Products' advertising or labeling in the future,  
22 and so will not purchase the Products again although she would like to.

23           33. Plaintiff lost money as a result of Defendant's deceptive claims and practices in that  
24 she did not receive what she paid for when purchasing the Products.

25           34. Plaintiff detrimentally altered her position and suffered damages in an amount equal  
26 to the premium she paid for the Products.  
27

28           35. The senior officers and directors of Defendant allowed the Products to be sold with

1 full knowledge or reckless disregard that the challenged claims are fraudulent, unlawful, and  
2 misleading.

3 SUBSTANTIAL SIMILARITY

4 36. Defendant's Airborne Products described herein are substantially similar, as they  
5 each contain a dosage representation conspicuously and prominently placed on the primary  
6 display panel of the Products' front labels, and require consumption of more than one (1)  
7 chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet to obtain the advertised dosage of Vitamin C or  
8 active supplement.  
9

10 37. The misleading advertising on the Products' front labels are all the same: consumers  
11 are led to believe that each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet contains the advertised  
12 dosage amount; however, the Products only contain a fraction of the amount of Vitamin C or  
13 supplement advertised. Consumers therefore only receive a fraction of the amount of Vitamin C or  
14 supplement promised and pay more than what the Products would be worth had Defendant's  
15 advertising been true.  
16

17 NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW

18 38. Plaintiff seeks damages and, in the alternative, equitable restitution. Plaintiff and  
19 members of the class are entitled to equitable relief as no adequate remedy at law exists.  
20

21 39. The statutes of limitations for the causes of action pled herein vary. Class members  
22 who purchased the Products more than three years prior to the filing of the complaint will be  
23 barred from recovery if equitable relief were not permitted under the UCL.

24 40. The scope of actionable misconduct under the unfair prong of the UCL is also  
25 broader than the other causes of action asserted herein. It includes Defendant's overall unfair  
26 marketing scheme to promote and brand the Products over a long period of time in order to gain  
27 an unfair advantage over competitor products. The UCL also creates a cause of action for  
28

1 violations of law (such as statutory or regulatory requirements and court orders related to similar  
2 representations and omissions made on the type of products at issue). This is especially important  
3 here because Plaintiff alleges Defendant has committed “unlawful” acts and brings a claim for  
4 violation of the UCL’s “unlawful prong.” Plaintiff’s UCL unlawful prong claim does not rest on  
5 the same conduct as her other causes of action, and there is no adequate remedy at law for this  
6 specific unlawful claim. Plaintiff and class members may also be entitled to restitution under the  
7 UCL, while not entitled to damages under other causes of action asserted herein (e.g., the FAL  
8 requires actual or constructive knowledge of the falsity; the CLRA is limited to certain types of  
9 plaintiffs (an individual who seeks or acquires, by purchase or lease, any goods or services for  
10 personal, family, or household purposes) and other statutorily enumerated conduct).

11  
12 41. Injunctive relief is appropriate on behalf of Plaintiff and members of the class  
13 because Defendant continues to omit material facts about the Products. Injunctive relief is  
14 necessary to prevent Defendant from continuing to engage in the unfair, fraudulent, and/or  
15 unlawful conduct described herein and to prevent future harm—none of which can be achieved  
16 through available legal remedies (such as monetary damages to compensate past harm). Injunctive  
17 relief, in the form of affirmative disclosures is necessary to dispel the public misperception about  
18 the Products that has resulted from years of Defendant’s unfair, fraudulent, and unlawful  
19 marketing efforts. Such disclosures would include, but are not limited to, publicly disseminated  
20 statements that the Products’ labeling misrepresentations are untrue and providing accurate  
21 information about the Products’ true nature; and/or requiring prominent disclaimers on the  
22 Products’ front labels concerning the Products’ true nature. An injunction requiring affirmative  
23 disclosures to dispel the public’s misperception, and prevent the ongoing deception, is also not  
24 available through a legal remedy (such as monetary damages). In addition, Plaintiff is currently  
25 unable to accurately quantify the damages caused by Defendant’s future harm, because discovery  
26  
27  
28

1 and Plaintiff's investigation have not yet completed, rendering injunctive relief necessary. Further,  
2 because a public injunction is available under the UCL, damages will not adequately benefit the  
3 general public in a manner equivalent to an injunction.

4 42. Moreover, a legal remedy is not adequate if it is not as certain as an equitable  
5 remedy. Here, Plaintiff may lack an adequate remedy at law if, for instance, damages resulting  
6 from her purchases of the Products are determined to be an amount less than the premium price of  
7 the Products. Without compensation for the full premium price of the Products, Plaintiff and class  
8 members would be left without the parity in purchasing power to which they are entitled.

9 43. It is premature to determine whether an adequate remedy at law exists. This is an  
10 initial pleading and discovery has not yet commenced and/or is at its initial stages. No class has  
11 been certified yet. No expert discovery has commenced and/or completed. The completion of  
12 fact/non-expert and expert discovery, as well as the certification of this case as a class action, are  
13 necessary to finalize and determine the adequacy and availability of all remedies, including legal  
14 and equitable, for Plaintiff's individual claims and any certified class. Plaintiff therefore reserves  
15 her right to amend this complaint and/or assert additional facts that demonstrate this Court's  
16 jurisdiction to order equitable remedies where no adequate legal remedies are available for either  
17 Plaintiff and/or any certified class. Such proof, to the extent necessary, will be presented prior to  
18 the trial of any equitable claims for relief and/or the entry of an order granting equitable relief.  
19  
20  
21

22 **V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

23 44. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23,  
24 Plaintiff seeks certification of the following Class: *All persons in California who purchased the*  
25 *Products in California for personal and household use and not for resale within the applicable*  
26 *statute of limitations and until the date class notice is disseminated.*

27 45. Plaintiff and the Class reserve their right to amend or modify the Class definitions  
28

1 with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular issues as  
2 discovery and the orders of this Court warrant.

3 46. Excluded from the Class are governmental entities, Defendant, any entity in which  
4 Defendant has a controlling interest, Defendant's employees, officers, directors, legal  
5 representatives, heirs, successors and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies,  
6 including all parent companies, and their employees; and the judicial officers, their immediate  
7 family members and court staff assigned to this case.  
8

9 47. The members in the proposed Class are so numerous that individual joinder of all  
10 members is impracticable. Due to the nature of the trade and commerce involved, however,  
11 Plaintiff believes the total number of Class members is at least in the hundreds. The exact number  
12 and identities of the Class members are unknown at this time, such information can be ascertained  
13 through appropriate investigation and discovery. The disposition of the claims of the Class  
14 members in a single class action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and to the Court.  
15

16 48. Pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2), Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds  
17 generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final injunctive relief or corresponding  
18 declaratory relief and damages as to the Products appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole.  
19 In particular, Defendant has failed to disclose the true nature of the Products being marketed as  
20 described herein.  
21

22 49. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact  
23 involved, affecting the Plaintiff and the Class and these common questions of fact and law  
24 include, but are not limited to, the following: Whether Defendant violated consumer protection  
25 statutes, false advertising statutes, or state deceptive business practices statutes; Whether  
26 Defendant engaged, and continues to engage, in unfair or deceptive acts and practices in  
27 connection with the marketing, advertising, and sales of the Products; Whether reasonable  
28

1 consumers are likely to be misled by Defendant’s advertising and labeling of the Products;  
2 Whether the Products’ challenged representations are material representations made to reasonable  
3 consumers; Whether the proposed class is suitable for class certification; The proper amount of  
4 restitution, damages, and punitive damages; The proper injunctive relief, including a corrective  
5 advertising campaign; The proper amount of attorneys’ fees.

6  
7 50. These common questions of law and fact predominate over questions that affect only  
8 individual Class Members.

9 51. Plaintiff’s claims are typical of Class Members’ claims because they are based on  
10 the same underlying facts, events, and circumstances relating to Defendant’s conduct.  
11 Specifically, all Class Members, including Plaintiff, were subjected to the same misleading and  
12 deceptive conduct when they purchased the Products, and suffered economic injury because the  
13 Products were and still are misrepresented. Absent Defendant’s business practice of deceptively  
14 and unlawfully labeling the Products, Plaintiff and Class Members would not have purchased the  
15 Products, or would have paid less for them.

16  
17 52. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class,  
18 has no interests incompatible with the interests of the Class, and has retained counsel with  
19 substantial experience in handling complex consumer class action litigation. Plaintiff and her  
20 counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the Class and have the  
21 financial resources to do so.

22  
23 53. Plaintiff and the members of the Class suffered, and will continue to suffer harm as a  
24 result of Defendant’s unlawful and wrongful conduct. A class action is superior to other available  
25 methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the present controversy. Individual joinder of all  
26 members of the Class is impracticable. Even if individual Class members had the resources to  
27 pursue individual litigation, it would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which the individual  
28

1 litigation would proceed. Individual litigation magnifies the delay and expense to all parties in the  
2 court system of resolving the controversies engendered by Defendant’s common course of  
3 conduct. The class action device allows a single court to provide the benefits of unitary  
4 adjudication, judicial economy, and the fair and efficient handling of all Class members’ claims in  
5 a single forum. The conduct of this action as a class action conserves the resources of the parties  
6 and of the judicial system and protects the rights of the Class members. Furthermore, for many, if  
7 not most, a class action is the only feasible mechanism that allows an opportunity for legal redress  
8 and justice.  
9

10 54. Adjudication of individual Class members’ claims with respect to Defendant would,  
11 as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to the  
12 adjudication, and could substantially impair or impede the ability of other class members to  
13 protect their interests.  
14

15 55. Defendant has acted on grounds applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate  
16 final public injunctive and declaratory relief concerning the Class as a whole.

17 56. As a result of the foregoing, class treatment is appropriate.  
18

## 19 **VI. CAUSES OF ACTION**

### 20 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 21 **Violations of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.**

22 57. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if  
23 set forth in full herein.

24 58. California’s Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code §17200 (the  
25 UCL”) prohibits any “unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising.” For the reasons  
26 discussed above, Defendant has engaged in unfair, deceptive, untrue and misleading advertising,  
27 and continues to engage in such business conduct, in violation of the UCL.  
28

1 59. California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et  
2 seq., proscribes acts of unfair competition, including “any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business  
3 act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising.”

4 60. A statement or practice is “fraudulent” under the UCL if it is likely to mislead or  
5 deceive the public, applying an objective reasonable consumer test.

6 61. As set forth herein, Defendant’s claims relating to the Products are likely to mislead  
7 reasonable consumers to believe that each chewable tablet, gummy, or effervescent tablet unit in  
8 the Products contained the dosage amount advertised on the Products’ front labels.

9 62. Defendant’s conduct caused and continues to cause substantial injury to Plaintiff and  
10 the Class. Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendant’s unfair conduct. Defendant  
11 has thus engaged in unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business acts and practices and false  
12 advertising, entitling Plaintiff and the Class to public injunctive relief against Defendant, as set  
13 forth in the Prayer for Relief.

14 63. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 17203, Plaintiff and the Class seek an  
15 order requiring Defendant to immediately cease such acts of unlawful, unfair and fraudulent  
16 business practices and requiring Defendant to engage in a corrective advertising campaign.

17 64. Plaintiff also seeks an order for the disgorgement and restitution of the premium  
18 received from the sale of the Products the Class Members purchased, which was unjustly acquired  
19 through acts of unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent competition, and attorneys’ fees and costs.

20 65. The acts alleged herein are “unlawful” under the UCL in that they violate at least  
21 the following laws: By knowingly and intentionally concealing from Plaintiff and the other Class  
22 members that each unit of the Products did not contain the advertised dosage; By misrepresenting  
23 the dosage of the Products on the front label; By engaging in the conduct giving rise to the claims  
24 asserted in this complaint; By violating California Civil Code §§ 1709-1711 by making  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 affirmative misrepresentations about the Products; By violating California Civil Code §§ 1709-  
2 1711 by suppressing material information about the Products; By violating the California  
3 Commercial Code for breaches of express and implied warranties; By violating California’s  
4 Sherman Act, Cal. Health & Safety Code § 110390, which prohibits drug and cosmetics labelling  
5 that is “false or misleading in any particular”; by violating the False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus.  
6 & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.; by violating the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code  
7 §§ 1750 et seq.  
8

9 66. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

10 67. Plaintiff and the Class reserve the right to allege other violations of law, which  
11 constitute other unlawful business acts or practices.

12 68. Defendant’s acts, omissions, misrepresentations, practices and nondisclosures as  
13 alleged herein also constitute “unfair” business acts and practices within the meaning of the UCL  
14 in that its conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends public policy, and is immoral,  
15 unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous as the gravity of the conduct outweighs any alleged  
16 benefits attributable to such conduct. In the alternative, Defendant’s business conduct as described  
17 herein violates relevant laws designed to protect consumers and businesses from unfair  
18 competition in the marketplace. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to date.

19 69. Defendant’s conduct with respect to the labeling, advertising, and sale of the  
20 Products was and is also unfair because it violates public policy as declared by specific  
21 constitutional, statutory or regulatory provisions, including but not limited to the Consumers Legal  
22 Remedies Act, the False Advertising Law, and portions of the California Sherman Food, Drug,  
23 and Cosmetic Law.  
24

25 70. Defendant’s conduct with respect to the labeling, advertising, and sale of the  
26 Products was and is also unfair because the consumer injury was substantial, not outweighed by  
27  
28

1 benefits to consumers or competition, and not one consumers themselves could reasonably have  
2 avoided.

3 71. Defendant profited from its sale of the falsely, deceptively, and unlawfully  
4 advertised and packaged Products to unwary consumers.

5 72. Plaintiff and the Class are likely to continue to be damaged by Defendant's  
6 deceptive trade practices, because Defendant continues to disseminate misleading information on  
7 the Products' packaging. Thus, public injunctive relief enjoining Defendant's deceptive practices  
8 is proper.

9 73. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's legitimate  
10 business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

11 74. Class wide reliance can be inferred because Defendant's misrepresentations were  
12 material, i.e., a reasonable consumer would consider them important in deciding whether to buy  
13 the Products.

14 75. Defendant's misrepresentations were a substantial factor and proximate cause in  
15 causing damages and losses to Plaintiff and Class members.

16 76. Plaintiff and the Classes were injured as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's  
17 conduct because (a) they would not have purchased the Products if they had known the truth and  
18 (b) they overpaid for the Products because the Products are sold at a price premium due to the  
19 misrepresentations.

20  
21  
22  
23 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **Violations of the False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.**

25 77. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if  
26 set forth herein.

27 78. The FAL provides that "[i]t is unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or  
28

1 association, or any employee thereof with intent directly or indirectly to dispose of real or personal  
2 property or to perform services” to disseminate any statement “which is untrue or misleading, and  
3 which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or  
4 misleading” Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500.

5  
6 79. It is also unlawful under the FAL to disseminate statements concerning property or  
7 services that are “untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of  
8 reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.” Id.

9 80. As alleged herein, Defendant falsely advertised the Products by falsely representing  
10 that each unit of the Products contained the advertised dosage, when in fact, a consumer would  
11 need to take two or more units to achieve the advertised dosage.

12 81. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a  
13 result of Defendant’s actions as set forth herein. Specifically, prior to the filing of this action,  
14 Plaintiff purchased the Products in reliance on Defendant’s false and misleading labeling claims  
15 that each unit of the Products contained the advertised dosage.

16 82. Defendant’s business practices as alleged herein constitute deceptive, untrue, and  
17 misleading advertising pursuant to the FAL because Defendant has advertised the Products in a  
18 manner that is untrue and misleading, which Defendant knew or reasonably should have known,  
19 and omitted material information from its advertising.

20 83. Defendant profited from its sale of the falsely and deceptively advertised Products to  
21 unwary consumers.

22 84. As a result, Plaintiff, the Class, and the general public are entitled to public  
23 injunctive and equitable relief, restitution, and an order for the disgorgement of the funds by  
24 which Defendant was unjustly enriched.

25 85. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17535, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the  
26  
27  
28

1 Class, seeks an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to engage in deceptive business  
2 practices, false advertising, and any other act prohibited by law, including those set forth herein.

3  
4 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.**

6 86. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if  
7 set forth in full herein.

8 87. The CLRA prohibits deceptive practices in connection with the conduct of a  
9 business that provides goods, property, or services primarily for personal, family, or household  
10 purposes. The Products are goods pursuant to the CLRA.

11 88. Defendant's false and misleading labeling and other policies, acts, and practices  
12 were designed to, and did, induce the purchase and use of the Products for personal, family, or  
13 household purposes by Plaintiff and Class Members, and violated and continue to violate the  
14 following sections of the CLRA: § 1770(a)(5), representing that goods have characteristics,  
15 ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have; § 1770(a)(7), representing that  
16 goods are of a particular standard, quality, or grade if they are of another; § 1770(a)(9),  
17 advertising goods with intent not to sell them as advertised; and § 1770(a)(16), representing that  
18 the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it  
19 has not.  
20

21 89. Defendant profited from the sale of the falsely, deceptively, and unlawfully  
22 advertised Products to unwary consumers.  
23

24 90. Defendant's wrongful business practices constituted, and constitute, a continuing  
25 course of conduct in violation of the CLRA.

26 91. Pursuant to California Civil Code section 1782(d), Plaintiff and the members of the  
27 Class seek an order enjoining Defendant from engaging in the methods, acts, and practices alleged  
28

1 herein.

2 92. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Complaint, Plaintiff, individually and on  
3 behalf of the proposed Class, is serving on Defendant at its principal place of business, via  
4 Certified Mail, return receipt requested, a notice and demand letter that complies with California  
5 Civil Code § 1782(a), demanding that Defendant (1) correct, repair, replace, or otherwise rectify  
6 the unlawful, unfair, fraudulent, and deceptive acts and practices described herein, and (2) give  
7 notice to all affected consumers of its intent to so act.  
8

9 93. At this time, and pursuant to California Civil Code § 1782(b)–(d), Plaintiff seeks  
10 only injunctive relief under the CLRA. If Defendant fails to provide an appropriate correction,  
11 repair, replacement, or other remedy within thirty (30) days after receipt of the § 1782(a) notice,  
12 Plaintiff will amend this Complaint, without leave of Court as permitted under § 1782(d), to add  
13 claims for actual damages, punitive damages, restitution, attorneys’ fees and costs, and any other  
14 relief authorized under the CLRA.  
15

16 94. Based on information and belief, Defendant's wrongful conduct described herein is  
17 ongoing and continues to this date.

18 **VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 95. Wherefore, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, and the  
20 general public, prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:  
21

- 22 a. For an order certifying this action as a class action, appointing Plaintiff as the  
23 Class Representative, and appointing Plaintiff’s Counsel as Class Counsel;
- 24 b. For an order declaring that Defendant’s conduct violates the statutes and  
25 laws referenced herein, consistent with applicable law and pursuant to only  
26 those causes of action so permitted;
- 27 c. For an order awarding monetary compensation in the form of damages,  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

restitution, and/or disgorgement to Plaintiff and the Class, consistent with permissible law and pursuant to only those causes of action so permitted;


- d. For injunctive relief;
- e. For an order awarding punitive damages, statutory penalties, and/or monetary fines, consistent with permissible law and pursuant to only those causes of action so permitted;
- f. For an order awarding attorneys’ fees and costs, consistent with permissible law and pursuant to only those causes of action so permitted;
- g. For an order awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, consistent with permissible law and pursuant to only those causes of action so permitted; and
- h. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

96. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: April 30, 2026

**LAW OFFICE OF DAVID BALDWIN**

By:   
David A. Baldwin

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
and the Proposed Class

Dated: April 30, 2026

**LAW OFFICE OF LEVI M. PLESSET**

By:   
Levi M. Plesset

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
and the Proposed Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL COVER SHEET**

<b>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS</b> ( Check box if you are representing yourself <input type="checkbox"/> )  YALINDA ROBINSON, and all others similarly situated	<b>DEFENDANTS</b> ( Check box if you are representing yourself <input type="checkbox"/> )  RB HEALTH (US) LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive
<b>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff</b> <u>Los Angeles, CA</u> <i>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</i>	<b>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant</b> _____ <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i>
<b>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number)</b> If you are representing yourself, provide the same information. Levi M. Plesset (SBN 296039); LAW OFFICE OF LEVI M. PLESSET; P.O. Box 1248 Haiku, Hawaii 96708; Tel: (510) 499-7100	<b>Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number)</b> If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an X in one box only.)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. U.S. Government Defendant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES**-For Diversity Cases Only  
(Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant)

Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PTF 1	<input type="checkbox"/> DEF 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State	<input type="checkbox"/> PTF 4	<input type="checkbox"/> DEF 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. ORIGIN** (Place an X in one box only.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Transferred from Another District (Specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8. Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
--	--	---	--	---	---	--

**V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND:**  Yes  No (Check "Yes" only if demanded in complaint.)

**CLASS ACTION under F.R.Cv.P. 23:**  Yes  No **MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT:** \$ Exceeds 5,000,000

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)  
 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) — Class Action Fairness Act. Consumer class action for false and misleading labeling of Airborne products, alleging violations of California Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq., 17500 et seq., and Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.

**VII. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an X in one box only.)

OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT.	IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS
<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application	<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights
<input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent
<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment	<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<b>TORTS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General	<input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application
<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust	<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<b>TORTS PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty	<input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark
<input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking	<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus/Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 (DTSA)
<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights	<b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation	<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)
<input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org.	<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Fed. Employers' Liability	<b>BANKRUPTCY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)
<input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit	<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	<b>FORFEITURE/PENALTY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))
<input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI
<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV	<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405 (g))
<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange	<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>LABOR</b>	<b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts	<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury-Med Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609
<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters	<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury-Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Info. Act		<input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 444 Employment Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration		<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 American with Disabilities-Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation	
<input type="checkbox"/> 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision			<input type="checkbox"/> 446 American with Disabilities-Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			<input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education		

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL COVER SHEET**

**VIII. VENUE:** Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

<b>QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  If "no," skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF: <input type="checkbox"/> Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo <input type="checkbox"/> Orange <input type="checkbox"/> Riverside or San Bernardino	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS: Western Southern Eastern
--	---	--

<b>QUESTION B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  If "no," skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	<b>B.1.</b> Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Orange Co.?  check one of the boxes to the right →	<input type="checkbox"/> YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.  <input type="checkbox"/> NO. Continue to Question B.2.
<b>B.2.</b> Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)  check one of the boxes to the right →	<input type="checkbox"/> YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.  <input type="checkbox"/> NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	

<b>QUESTION C: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  If "no," skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	<b>C.1.</b> Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Orange Co.?  check one of the boxes to the right →	<input type="checkbox"/> YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.  <input type="checkbox"/> NO. Continue to Question C.2.
<b>C.2.</b> Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)  check one of the boxes to the right →	<input type="checkbox"/> YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.  <input type="checkbox"/> NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	

<b>QUESTION D: Location of plaintiffs and defendants?</b>	<b>A.</b> Orange County	<b>B.</b> Riverside or San Bernardino County	<b>C.</b> Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or more of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this district</i> reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave blank if none of these choices apply.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or more of <i>defendants who reside in this district</i> reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave blank if none of these choices apply.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>D.1. Is there at least one answer in Column A?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION.  Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.  If "no," go to question D2 to the right. →	<b>D.2. Is there at least one answer in Column B?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION.  Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.  If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION.  Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below. ↓
---	---

<b>QUESTION E: Initial Division?</b>	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD
Enter the initial division determined by Question A, B, C, or D above: →	WESTERN

<b>QUESTION F: Northern Counties?</b>
Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendants in this district reside in Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo counties? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL COVER SHEET**

**IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES:** Has this action been previously filed in this court?  NO  YES

If yes, list case number(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**IX(b). RELATED CASES:** Is this case related (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously filed in this court?  NO  YES

If yes, list case number(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**If yes, you must file a Notice of Related Cases. See Local Rule 83-1.3.**

**Civil cases** are related when they (check all that apply):

- A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;
- B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

Note: That cases may involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to deem cases related.

**A civil forfeiture case and a criminal case** are related when they (check all that apply):

- A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;
- B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- C. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common and would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

**X. STATEWIDE OR NATIONWIDE RELIEF:** Does this case seek to bar or mandate enforcement of a state or federal law and seek declaratory or injunctive relief on a statewide or nationwide basis?  NO  YES

**If yes, see Local Rule 83-11 for additional requirements.**

**XI. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT):** \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: April 30, 2026

**Notice to Counsel/Parties:** The submission of this Civil Cover Sheet is required by Local Rule 3-1. This Form CV-71 and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. For more detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet (CV-071A).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))