

ELLIOT O. JACKSON  
(*Pro Hac Vice* Application Forthcoming)  
**HEDIN LLP**  
1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1140  
Miami, Florida 33131-3302  
Telephone: (305) 357-2107  
E-Mail: ejackson@hedinllp.com

ADRIAN GUCOVSKI  
(*Pro Hac Vice* Application Forthcoming)  
**GUCOVSKI LAW FIRM, PLLC**  
140 BROADWAY, FL 46  
NEW YORK, NY 10005  
Telephone: (212) 884-4230  
E-Mail: adrian@gr-firm.com

DAVID W. SCOFIELD – 4140  
**PETERS | SCOFIELD**  
*A Professional Corporation*  
7430 Creek Road, Suite 303  
Sandy, Utah 84093-6160  
Telephone: (801) 322-2002  
E-Mail: dws@psplawyers.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF UTAH**

NICHOLAS LUDLOW, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,  
v.

HOVE MEDIA LTD.; HARP MEDIA  
B.V.; BAMBOO MEDIA LTD.; and  
CARAVAN MEDIA, B.V.,

Defendants.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Case No. 2:25-cv-01016  
Honorable \_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge

Plaintiff Nicholas Ludlow, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to himself and his counsel, which are based on personal knowledge.

### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Defendants Hove Media Ltd., Harp Media, B.V., Bamboo Media, Ltd., and Caravan Media B.V. collectively own and operate the popular “Bovada” brand of online casinos, available at [www.bovada.com](http://www.bovada.com) and [www.bovada.lv](http://www.bovada.lv), where they offer “hundreds of . . . web-based games,”<sup>1</sup> including, *inter alia*, slot machines, table games, poker games, and sportsbooks, to anyone interested in wagering money to play them (the “Bovada Gambling Platform”).

2. During the applicable three-year period preceding this action, Defendants have systematically accepted wagers from Utah residents – many of whom, including Plaintiff, have lost significant sums of their hard-earned money playing the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform – and have reaped enormous profits from the losses sustained by these people.

3. Utah law clearly prohibits what Defendants have done. Utah’s

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.bovada.com/more/about-us>.

Gambling Act prohibits persons from operating or receiving revenue from “fringe gaming devices,” “video gaming devices,” or “gambling devices or records.” Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1412(1). The games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform constitute all three of these things, and Defendants have amassed significant revenue from Plaintiff and numerous others in Utah who have played them.

4. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this Class Action Complaint, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, to redress Defendants’ widespread violations of Utah’s Gambling Act.

### **PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff Nicholas Ludlow is a natural person and a citizen and resident of and domiciled in West Jordan, Utah.

6. Defendant Hove Media Ltd. is a company incorporated in Union of Comoros, with a place of business in Union of Comoros. Defendant Hove Media Ltd. has operated and continues to operate the Bovada Gambling Platform, including but not limited to at [www.bovada.lv](http://www.bovada.lv) and [www.bovada.com](http://www.bovada.com), has received and continues to receive substantial revenue from the losses sustained by players who have wagered and continue to wager on the Bovada Gambling Platform, including Utah residents.

7. Defendant Harp Media B.V. is a company incorporated in Curaçao, with a place of business in Curaçao. Defendant Harp Media B.V. has operated and

continues to operate the Bovada Gambling Platform, including but not limited to at www.bovada.lv and www.bovada.com, has received and continues to receive substantial revenue from the losses sustained by players who have wagered and continue to wager on the Bovada Gambling Platform, including Utah residents.

8. Defendant Bamboo Media, Ltd. is a company incorporated in the United Kingdom, with a place of business in the United Kingdom. Defendant Bamboo Media, Ltd. has operated and continues to operate the Bovada Gambling Platform, including but not limited to at www.bovada.lv and www.bovada.com, has received and continues to receive substantial revenue from the losses sustained by players who have wagered and continue to wager on the Bovada Gambling Platform, including Utah residents.

9. Defendant Caravan Media B.V. is a company incorporated in Curaçao, with a place of business in Curaçao. Defendant Caravan Media B.V. has operated and continues to operate the Bovada Gambling Platform, including but not limited to at www.bovada.lv and www.bovada.com, has received and continues to receive substantial revenue from the losses sustained by players who have wagered and continue to wager on the Bovada Gambling Platform, including Utah residents.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because there are more than 100 class members and

the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, and at least one Class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendants.

11. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants and venue is proper in this judicial District because each of the Defendants, acting in concert with one another, purposefully directed the Bovada Gambling Platform to residents of Utah (including by advertising and running promotional materials directed to persons in Utah), knowingly accepted registrations and wagers on the Bovada Gambling Platform from Plaintiff and numerous other persons who identified themselves as being residents of Utah, and collected enormous revenues from the losses suffered by Plaintiff and numerous other persons in Utah who placed wagers on the Bovada Gambling Platform, such that a substantial portion of the events that gave rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Utah and within this judicial District.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **I. Utah's Gambling Act**

12. Utah's Gambling Act entitles “[a]n individual who suffers an economic loss as a result of a fringe gaming device, video gaming device, or gambling device or record” to “bring a cause of action against a person who operates or receives revenue from the fringe gaming device, video gaming device, or gambling device or

record to recover damages, costs, and attorney fees.” Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1412(1).

13. As defined in Utah’s Gambling Act, a “fringe gaming device” is “a mechanically, electrically, or electronically operated machine or device” that:

- (i) is not an amusement device<sup>2</sup> or a vending machine<sup>3</sup>;
- (ii) is capable of displaying or otherwise presenting information on a screen or through any other mechanism; and
- (iii) provides the user with a card, token, credit, gift certificate, product, or opportunity to participate in a contest, game, gaming scheme, or sweepstakes with a potential return of money or other prize.

*Id.* § 76-9-1401(7)(a).

14. A “video gaming device” is defined as “a device that includes all of the following”:

- (a) a video display and computer mechanism for playing a game;
- (b) the length of play of any single game is not substantially affected by the skill, knowledge, or dexterity of the player;

---

<sup>2</sup> An “amusement device” is “a game that . . . is activated by a coin, token, or other object of consideration or value” and “does not provide the opportunity to,” *inter alia*, “enter into a . . . gambling event[.]” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(1)(a)(i)-(ii).

<sup>3</sup> A “vending machine” is “a device . . . that dispenses merchandise in exchange for money or any other item of value[,] provides full and adequate return of the value deposited,” and, *inter alia*, “through which the return of value is not conditioned on an element of chance or skill[.]” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(19)(a)-(c).

- (c) a meter, tracking, or recording mechanism that records or tracks any money, tokens, games, or credits accumulated or remaining;
- (d) a play option that permits a player to spend or risk varying amounts of money, tokens, or credits during a single game, in which the spending or risking of a greater amount of money, tokens, or credits;
  - (i) does not significantly extend the length of play time of any single game; and
  - (ii) provides for a chance of greater return of credits, games, or money; and
- (e) an operating mechanism that, in order to function, requires inserting money, tokens, or other valuable consideration other than entering the user's name, birthdate, or contact information.

*Id.* § 76-9-1401(20).

15. Finally, a “gambling device or record” is “anything specifically designed for use in gambling<sup>4</sup> or fringe gambling<sup>5</sup> or used primarily for gambling or fringe gambling.” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(10).

---

<sup>4</sup> “Gambling” is defined as “risking anything of value for a return or risking anything of value upon the outcome of a contest, game, gaming scheme, or gaming device when the return or outcome . . . is based on an element of chance . . . and . . . is in accord with an agreement or understanding that someone will receive anything of value in the event of a certain outcome.” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(8)(a).

<sup>5</sup> “Fringe gambling” is defined as “any de facto form of gambling, lottery, fringe gaming device, or video gaming device that is given, conducted, or offered for use or sale by a business in exchange for anything of value or incident to the purchase of another good or service.” *Id.* 76-9-1401(6)(a).

16. Utah's Gambling Act provides that an individual who suffers an economic loss as a result of any of the above-defined devices may recover "twice the amount of the economic loss" they suffered. *Id.* § 76-9-1412(1)-(2).

17. As alleged below, during the relevant statutory period, Defendants violated Utah's Gambling Act by accepting wagers and collecting losses from Utah residents through the illicit operation of the Bovada Gambling Platform – which is comprised of "fringe gambling devices," "video gaming devices," and "gambling devices or records" within the meaning of Utah's Gambling Act.

## **II. The Evils of Online Gambling**

18. Gambling is one of the oldest and heavily regulated human behaviors. Even before the advent of science, religions across the world have recognized the inherent addictive nature of playing games of chance and banned them through biblical injunctions. As religious authority gave way to democratic governments, the vast majority of states in the country enacted legislation prohibiting or strictly regulating gambling activities. Unlike historical relics, these states have recognized that gambling poses a public health risk. Scientific research has confirmed and shed further light on the perils of gambling—ranging from mental health issues to physical, financial, and interpersonal problems.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> Harvard Magazine, *Governing Games of Chance* (Feb. 14, 2025), <https://www.harvardmagazine.com/2025/03/harvard-research-gambling-public-health-crisis>.

19. Against this backdrop, many states, including Utah, have been steadfast in maintaining and enforcing their gambling laws, even in the event federal law takes a more permissive approach. As stated by Utah's legislature in enacting the Gambling Act:

If federal law authorizes online gambling in the states of the United States and provides that individual states may opt out of online gambling, this state shall opt out of online gambling in the manner provided by federal law and within the time frame provided by that law.

Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1402(4).

20. With technological advances, however, many casinos and other gambling operators proliferated into people's pockets through online websites and apps, including the Bovada Gambling Platform. These online gambling platforms have been particularly challenging to regulate because many states' anti-gambling statutes were originally enacted to prohibit in-person gambling activities.

21. Worse still, because these online gambling platforms operate outside of the confines of gambling laws, they knowingly rig the odds against users to further exploit them. For example, while slot machines in a physical casino are required to randomize their results, online gambling platforms tailor "wins" and "losses" to manipulate consumer engagement through powerful algorithms. As the CEO of a popular online gambling platform explained:

The secret sauce of Playtika is our ability to work with AI. We know exactly when a player is going to stop playing.

We know exactly when they're going to pay. We know how many times they come in each day. I can't say we can predict with 100 percent accuracy, but we can predict, for most of our players, their activities in our games. That's the real power behind the operations side. When you can predict this, you can find solutions to problems. If someone wants to move on from your game, to delete your app, you know how to handle that player. We sound the alarm. We know how to operate and make sure a player retains in the game.<sup>7</sup>

22. Defendants have employed similar tactics to maximize the profits they reap through the Bovada Gambling Platform.

### **III. The Bovada Gambling Platform**

23. Defendants own and operate the Bovada Gambling Platform available at the websites [www.bovada.com](http://www.bovada.com) and [www.bovada.lv](http://www.bovada.lv), where visitors, including those in Utah, can gamble for real money.

24. The process for getting set up with an account to wager on the Bovada Gambling Platform simply requires a person to input basic personal information, including name, phone number, e-mail address, and ZIP code, and to select an account password.

25. After creating an account, the person can begin depositing money and wagering the deposited money on games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform.

---

<sup>7</sup> Dean Takahashi, *Playtika CEO Robert Antokol interview— Why player retention matters now*, VENTUREBEAT (Jan. 6, 2022), <https://venturebeat.com/games/playtika-ceo-robert-antokol-interview-why-player-retention-mattersnow/>.

26. Deposits can be made with a wide variety of cryptocurrencies or by credit or debit card, as shown in the screenshot below:



27. Regardless of deposit method, funds deposited on the Bovada Gambling Platform are instantly available for gambling.

28. Users can then gamble their deposited money on any of Defendants' hundreds of games, including table games, slot machines, poker, and sports markets, examples of which are shown in the screenshots below:

**HOT DROP JACKPOTS**

**EXCLUSIVE ON BOVADA**

**NEW ONLINE CASINO GAMES**

**CASINO GAMES WITH JACKPOTS**

**ARCADE GAMES**

**TOP TABLE GAMES**

**Trending Events**

Event	Line	Team 1	Score	Team 2	Line	Spread	Win	Total
Parlay Booster								
MLB								
FIFA Club World Cup								
International Club Friendlies								
Wimbledon Men	531 ***	32	ORI Magic (LANES)	+3.5 (-100)	+100	O 104.5 (-100)		
Wimbledon Women	500 ***	57	DEN Nuggets (HUNCHO)	+3 Belts >	-200	U 104.5 (-100)		
WNBA	440 ***	34	CLE Cavaliers (SPARKZ)	+1.5 (-105)	-125	O 136.5 (-120)		
PGA Rocket Classic	200 ***	55	MEM Grizzlies (OREZ)	+1.5 (-135)	-110	U 136.5 (-120)		
CFL	187 ***	6	TOR Raptors (CRYOTZ)	+1.5 Belts >	-	-		
AmeriCup Women	100 ***	11	MIA Heat (UNDERRATED)	+1.5 Belts >	-	-		

**LIVE BETTING ODDS**

**Basketball**

E-Basketball - Ebasketball H2H GG League - 4x5mins

Line	Team 1	Score	Team 2	Line	Spread	Win	Total
46-04 2H	0	Lazio (No) PF	+180	0 115 (-110)			
46-04 2H	0	Juventus (Jack) PF	+180	U 115 (-130)			
Draw						+150	

Scoreboard clock will show 0:00-4:00/5:00/6:00 (1st Half) and 45:00-49:00/50:00/51:00 (2nd Half) depending on Game format.

**Soccer**

GT Sports League - GT Nations League 2 x 6 mins

Line	Team 1	Score	Team 2	Line	Spread	Win	Total
46-13 2H	1	Napoli (Vendetta) PI	-0.5 (-220) ↓	-230	O 2.5 (-100)		
46-13 2H	0	Inter Milan (Spartacus) PI	+0.5 (-150) ↑	+750	U 2.5 (-100)		
Draw						+245	

Scoreboard clock will show 0:00-4:00/5:00/6:00 (1st Half) and 45:00-49:00/50:00/51:00 (2nd Half) depending on Game format.

**Esoccer - H2H GG League - 8 mins play**

Line	Team 1	Score	Team 2	Line	Spread	Win	Total
47-19 2H	0	Spain (HAYMAKER) PI	+0.0 (EVEN)	+2200	O 2.5 (-200)		
47-19 2H	2	England (SALAMANDER) PI	+3 Belts >	-2800	U 2.5 (+170)		
Draw						+1200	

Scoreboard clock will show 0:00-4:00/5:00/6:00 (1st Half) and 45:00-49:00/50:00/51:00 (2nd Half) depending on Game format.

**Esoccer - Esoccer Battle - 8 mins play**

Line	Team 1	Score	Team 2	Line	Spread	Win	Total
FINAL	1	Boca Juniors (zoyi) PI	-0.5. +1 (-105)	-150	O 5.5 (-140)		
FINAL	5	River Plate (Itoh4) PI	+0.5. +1 (-115)	+250	U 5.5 (+115)		
Draw						+375	

**Tennis**

Exhibition - UTR Pro Tennis Series Women

Line	Player 1	Score	Player 2	Line	Spread	Win	Total
Set 2	0	Yu-Chin Tsai	+3 Belts >	+700			
Set 2	0	Alisa Kirmel	-	-4600			
Set 1	3	Amy Zhu	-	-310			
Set 1	3	Chisato Kanemaki	+3 Belts >	+225			
Set 1	0	Nana Kawagishi	+3 Belts >	-145			
Set 1	1	I Wien Vian	-	+110			

Show More

**HOTTEST TOURNAMENTS**

**SPECIAL FEATURES**

**Mobile Poker**

12

29. Notably, the outcome of every wager placed on each of the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform is based on an element of chance.

30. Defendants maintain win and loss records and account balances for each person who creates an account and places wagers on the Bovada Gambling Platform. Indeed, once Defendants' algorithms determine the outcome of a wager and Defendants display the outcome, Defendants adjust the person's account balance. Defendants keep detailed records of each wager and its outcome for every player of every game offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform.

31. Using the information provided by users at the time they register for accounts and make deposits, as well as by analyzing users' IP addresses, Defendants have intimate knowledge of, and maintain records reflecting, the geographic locations (including city and state for U.S.-based players) from which each of their users enrolled in, deposited funds onto, and lost wagers on the Bovada Gambling Platform.

32. Thus, at the time Plaintiff and the other members of the Class enrolled in, deposited funds on, and lost wagers playing the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform, Defendants had actual knowledge that these persons were located in Utah based on the information they had provided while registering for accounts and making deposits and the IP addresses associated with the devices from which they accessed the Bovada Gambling Platform. Defendants nonetheless

happily accepted their wagers and pocketed the losses they sustained playing the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform.

33. Through its widespread marketing and promotional campaigns, Defendants have advertised and presented the Bovada Gambling Platform to consumers in Utah as a legitimate online business, giving it an aura of legitimacy and legality to Plaintiff and Class members.

34. In reality, the Bovada Gambling Platform is an illegal, unregulated gambling enterprise. By making the Bovada Gambling Platform available in Utah, and receiving millions of dollars in revenue from the losses sustained on the Bovada Gambling Platform by Utah residents, Defendants directly violated Utah's Gambling Act on an enormous scale.

#### **IV. Plaintiff Nicholas Ludlow's Experience**

35. Plaintiff created an account on the Bovada Gambling Platform prior to January 3, 2024.

36. Since creating an account on the Bovada Gambling Platform, Plaintiff has lost a significant sum of money playing the gambling games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform, including within the three-year period prior to the filing of this action.

37. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff resided in, was a citizen of, and was physically present in Utah.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

38. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all residents of Utah who created an account on the Bovada.com website or Bovada.lv website prior to January 3, 2024 and who lost money as a result of wagers placed on the Bovada.com website or Bovada.lv website at any time during the three-year period prior to the filing of this action (continuing through the date of any order granting class certification).

39. Members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. The members of the Class number in at least the tens of thousands. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendants.

40. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to: (a) whether the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform are “fringe gaming devices”; (b) whether the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform are “video gaming devices”; (c) whether the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform are “gambling devices or records”; (d) whether Defendants “operate[] or receive[] revenue from” the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform; (e) whether Defendants’ acts of

accepting wagers and collecting gambling losses from persons in Utah violated Utah's Gambling Act; and (f) the amount of monetary relief the Class is entitled to recover from Defendants.

41. The claim of the named Plaintiff is typical of the claims of the Class in that the named Plaintiff and the Class members all suffered monetary loss as a result of wagers placed on Defendants' Bovada Gambling Platform.

42. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members he seeks to represent, he has retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and he intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and his counsel.

43. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Class members. Each individual Class member may lack the resources to undergo the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessary to establish Defendants' liability. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system presented by the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized litigation also presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single

adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Defendants' liability. Class treatment of the liability issues will ensure that all claims and claimants are before this Court for consistent adjudication of the liability issues.

**CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Violation of Utah's Gambling Act, Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1401, *et seq.*  
(By Plaintiff, Individually and on Behalf of the Class, Against all Defendants)**

44. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

45. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class against Defendants.

46. Utah's Gambling Act provides that "an individual who suffers an economic loss as a result of a fringe gaming device, video gaming device, or gambling device or record may bring a cause of action against a person who operates or receives revenue from the fringe gaming device, video gaming device, or gambling device or record to recover damages, costs, and attorney fees." Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1412(1).

47. During the time period applicable to this action, Plaintiff deposited and wagered real money on games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform while residing in Utah, which resulted in Plaintiff suffering "economic loss."

48. Likewise, during the time period applicable to this action, at least tens

of thousands of other persons (members of the proposed Class) deposited and wagered real money on games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform while residing in Utah, which resulted in each of these persons suffering “economic loss.”

49. All of the games Plaintiff and Class members lost money playing on the Bovada Gambling Platform provided them the “opportunity to . . . enter into a gambling event,” and therefore were not “amusement devices” within the meaning of the Act. *Id.* § 76-9-1401(1)(a)(i)-(ii).

50. All of the games Plaintiff and Class members lost money playing on the Bovada Gambling Platform were “conditioned on an element of chance or skill,” and therefore were not “vending machines” within the meaning of the Act. *Id.* § 76-9-1401(19)(a)-(c).

51. All of the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform and played by Plaintiff and members of the Class were “capable of displaying or otherwise presenting information on a screen or through any other mechanism,” and “provide[d] [Plaintiff and Class members] with a . . . token, credit, . . . or opportunity to participate in a contest, game, [or] gaming scheme . . . with a potential return of money.” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(7)(a). Accordingly, all of the games Plaintiff and Class members lost money playing on the Bovada Gambling Platform constituted “fringe gaming devices” as defined under the Act. *Id.* § 76-9-1401(7)(a).

52. All of the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform and played

by Plaintiff and members of the Class included “a video display and computer mechanism for playing a game,” “a meter, tracking, or recording mechanism that records or tracks any money, tokens, games, or credits accumulated or remaining,” “a play option that permits a player to spend or risk varying amounts of money, tokens, or credits during a single game, in which the spending or risking of a greater amount of money, tokens, or credits . . . does not significantly extend the length of play time of any single game[] and . . . provides for a chance of greater return of credits, games, or money,” and “an operating mechanism that, in order to function, requires inserting money, tokens, or other valuable consideration other than entering the user's name, birthdate, or contact information.” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(20)(a), (c)-(e). Moreover, “the length of play of any single game [offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform and played by Plaintiff and members of the Class] [wa]s not substantially affected by the skill, knowledge, or dexterity of the player[.]” *Id.* 76-9-1401(20)(b). Accordingly, all of the games Plaintiff and Class members lost money playing on the Bovada Gambling Platform constituted “video gaming devices” as defined under the Act. *Id.* § 76-9-1401(20).

53. All of the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform and played by Plaintiff and members of the Class required Plaintiff and Class members to “risk[] [money] for a return or . . . upon the outcome of a contest, game, gaming scheme, or gaming device when the return or outcome . . . is based on an element of chance . . .

and . . . is in accord with an agreement or understanding that [they] will receive [money] in the event of a certain outcome.” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(8)(a). Accordingly, Plaintiff and Class members were required to engage in “gambling,” as defined under the Act, to play the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform.

54. Moreover, because the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform required Plaintiff and Class members to engage in “gambling” that was “incident to the purchase of another good or service,” such gambling constituted “fringe gambling” within the meaning of the Act. *Id.* § 76-9-1401(6)(a).

55. All of the games offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform and played by Plaintiff and members of the Class were “specifically designed for use in gambling or fringe gambling or used primarily for gambling or fringe gambling.” *Id.* § 76-9-1401(10). Accordingly, all of the games that Plaintiff and Class members lost money playing on the Bovada Gambling Platform constituted “gambling devices or records” as defined under the Act. *Id.* § 76-9-1401(10).

56. During the applicable three-year period preceding the filing of this action, Defendants “operate[d],” and “receive[d]” substantial “revenue” from the wagers placed by Plaintiff and members of the Class on, “the fringe gaming device[s], video gaming device[s], [and] gambling device[s]” offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform. *Id.* § 76-9-1412(1).

57. By operating and receiving revenue from the fringe gaming devices,

video gaming devices, and gambling devices or records offered on the Bovada Gambling Platform in Utah, each of the Defendants directly violated Utah's Gambling Act and is liable for damages to Plaintiff and the Class members, in the amount of twice the aggregate sum of the "economic loss[es]" suffered by Plaintiff and Class members as a result of such wagers. *See id.* § 76-9-1412(1)-(2).

**PRAAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks a judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as the representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class;
- B. For an order declaring that Defendants' conduct as described herein violated Utah's Gambling Act, § 76-9-1401, *et seq.*;
- C. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all counts asserted herein;
- D. For an award to Plaintiff and each Class member of twice the amount of money Plaintiff and each Class member lost wagering on the Bovada Gambling Platform, as provided by Utah's Gambling Act, Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1412(1)-(2);
- E. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded; and
- F. For an order awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to counsel for Plaintiff and the Class pursuant to Rule 23 and Utah Code Ann. § 76-9-1412(1).

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action and issues so triable.

Dated: November 5, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

**PETERS | SCOFIELD**  
*A Professional Corporation*

/s/ David W. Scofield  
DAVID W. SCOFIELD

-and-

**HEDIN LLP**  
ELLIOT O. JACKSON\*  
*Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming*

**GUCOVSCHI ROZENSTEYN, PLLC**  
ADRIAN GUCOVSCHI\*  
*Pro Hac Vice Admission Forthcoming*

*Counsel for Plaintiff and Putative Class*

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

**(b)** County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

**(c)** Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

**DEFENDANTS**

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant \_\_\_\_\_  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF  
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		<b>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent	<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	<input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage		<input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability				<input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise				<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act
				<input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>Habeas Corpus:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee		<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General		<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty		<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<b>Other:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application	<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act
	<input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration
		<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights		<input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
		<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		<input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		
IMMIGRATION				

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
--	---	--	---	--	--	---

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): \_\_\_\_\_

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Brief description of cause: \_\_\_\_\_

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION  
UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

**DEMAND \$**

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
**JURY DEMAND:**  Yes  No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions): \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_

APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

## Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
  - United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
  - Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

**PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
  - Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
  - Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related cases, if any. If there are related cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.