1	WOLF POPPER LLP								
2	Philip M. Black (SBN 308619) pblack@wolfpopper.com								
3	Carl L. Stine ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)								
4	cstine@wolfpopper.com								
5	845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022								
6	Telephone: 212.759.4600								
	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed	Class							
7	Additional Counsel on Signature Page								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	SOUTHERN DISTR	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10		- IOF OVO205 WOUGDO							
11	JOSE RODRIGUEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	Case No. <u>'25CV2305 WQHSBC</u>							
12	situated,								
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT							
14	Tranitin,	CLASS ACTION							
15	VS.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
16	SONY ELECTRONICS INC. and	DEMIAND FOR JUNI TRIAL							
17	CAPTURE ONE A/S,								
18	Defendants.								
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									

1 2 similarly situated, by and through his counsel, brings this Complaint against 3 Defendants Sony Electronics, Inc. ("Sony Electronics") and Capture One A/S 4 ("Capture One"), and based upon personal knowledge with respect to himself, and 5 on information and belief and the investigation of counsel as to all other matters, in

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

support thereof alleges as follows:

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

Plaintiff Jose Rodriguez ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and a class (or classes) of all other similarly situated persons, as defined below.
- 2. This action concerns Defendants' deceptive and unfair tactic of marketing and bundling a perpetual or lifetime license for post-production editing software called Capture One Express with certain cameras—only to later improperly terminate this license, depriving users of functionality that had been included with their cameras, forcing users to pay for continued access to software they believed was part of their original camera purchase, and/or forcing users to pay for different replacement software.
- 3. Since at least September 16, 2014, Sony Electronics or its affiliates has advertised a perpetual bundled license of Capture One Express for Sony software with Sony camera sales. However, starting February 12, 2024, Defendants reneged on their promises by terminating the perpetual license.
- 4. Customers like Plaintiff use post-production software to edit, organize and manage their photo collections. Defendants knew or should have known that the Capture One Express software was a valuable portion of the camera package sold to consumers. Defendants knew or should have known that terminating and/or charging for this valuable software would harm consumers that opted to pay to continue using

28

<sup>1</sup> https://www.sony.com/en/SonyInfo/News/Press/201409/14-087/

<sup>26</sup> 27

the Capture One software (avoiding substantial switching costs), consumers that paid for substitute software, and even consumers that did not use post-production software, as the resale value of their cameras would necessarily be less without bundled, effective, post-production software.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Jose Rodriguez is a natural person who is a citizen of the United States and who has been domiciled in the State of Texas since December 2022. Prior to December 2022, he was domiciled in the State of California, residing in Moreno Valley, Riverside County. He continues to spend 4-5 months per year living in Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California. He has been a Sony camera owner since February 2, 2021, and used the bundled Capture One Express for Sony software from the time of camera purchase until the software was discontinued.
- 6. Defendant Sony Electronics, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in San Diego, California. Defendant's mailing address is 16535 Via Esprillo, San Diego, CA 92127. Defendant is a subsidiary of Sony Corporation (Japan).
- 7. Defendant Capture One A/S is a Danish corporation headquartered in Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark. Formerly known as Phase One, it is owned by Danish private equity firm Axcel, which acquired it in 2019.
- 8. Sony Electronics markets and sells Sony brand electronic consumer goods, including headphones and cameras, to consumers throughout the United States.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because this action is a class action in which there are 100 or more Class members; the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs; and at least one member of the class(es) is a citizen of a state different from Defendants.

1

- 45
- 6
- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 1920
- 2122
- 2324
- 25
- 26
- 27

- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendant Sony Electronics is headquartered in this District and Defendant Capture One conducts and/or conducted significant business in this District during the time period relevant hereto.
- 11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant Sony Electronics is headquartered in this District.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Sony has sold cameras in California and nationwide.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Capture One has provided software licenses for its camera-bundled processing software to consumers in California and nationwide.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 14. Sony Corporation (Japan), Sony Electronics, and related affiliates and subsidiaries began production of Sony-branded cameras in 2006.
- 15. Upon information and belief, at the time Sony Electronics bundled Capture One Express for Sony with its cameras, established competitors like Canon and Nikon held dominant positions in the camera market, and consumers were often tied to these systems with significant investments in lenses and accessories.
- 16. Upon information and belief, early Sony lenses were also perceived by some users as having shortcomings compared to their long-dominant competitors.
- 17. Upon information and belief, consumers, particularly those identified as "prosumers" (i.e., amateurs who purchase equipment with quality or features suitable for professional use), as well as professionals and serious hobbyists, who had made significant investments in camera equipment from established manufacturers like Canon and Nikon, were reluctant to switch camera systems to Sony due to substantial switching costs, which included not only the considerable expense of purchasing new camera bodies and lenses compatible with the Sony

10

9

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

system but also the effort and time required to learn an entirely new post-production software workflow.

- 18. A crucial feature of many modern digital cameras, including Sony cameras, is the ability to capture images in a RAW file format.
- RAW files contain significantly more image data than compressed 19. formats like JPEG, allowing for greater flexibility and quality in post-production editing, including the potential for lossless editing.
- 20. Sony's RAW file format is, at least in part, proprietary. Sony uses the ARW (Alpha RAW) format, which is a specific, proprietary version of RAW tailored to Sony cameras and their internal standards. ARW files include custom metadata and features unique to Sony, making them distinct from generic RAW files used by other brands.<sup>2</sup>
- 21. Upon information and belief, for a significant period relevant to this action, very few, if any, third-party post-production software programs could natively process or fully utilize Sony's proprietary RAW files without requiring a specific plugin or license, which often came at an additional cost.
- 22. Capture One is a software application developed and licensed by Capture One A/S.
- 23. Capture One is post-production software designed for installation and use on a computer. It helps organize and edit images captured on digital cameras, and is widely recognized for its professional-grade photo editing and RAW image processing capabilities
- 24. Capture One A/S has offered its software in various versions or tiers, including a full-featured professional version known as Capture One Pro, and a more limited version known as Capture One Express.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://docs.fileformat.com/image/arw/

- 25. Upon information and belief, Sony Electronics, facing significant market dominance from established competitors like Canon and Nikon, implemented a marketing plan that strategically bundled Capture One software with its cameras as a key marketing tactic and value proposition designed to lower the switching costs (both perceived, financial, and/or technical) for potential customers invested in those competing ecosystems or to encourage new camera purchasers to choose Sony cameras over its rivals.
- 26. Under this arrangement, Sony bundled or offered a specific version of Capture One's software, known as Capture One Express for Sony, with the purchase of eligible Sony cameras.
- 27. Announced in 2014,<sup>3</sup> the bundling and offering of Capture One Express for Sony with cameras occurred starting in 2015.<sup>4</sup>
- 28. Capture One Express for Sony was designed to work optimally with Sony cameras and their proprietary RAW image files. This version offered significant photo editing and organizing functionalities, including capabilities specifically beneficial for Sony camera owners, such as native support for Sony RAW formats, corrections for bundled Sony lenses, specific support for scores of Sony camera models and superior, accurate, realistic color processing of Sony camera images.
- 29. The bundling of Capture One Express for Sony provided consumers with a seamless and effective way to process the high-quality RAW files produced by their Sony cameras, thus enabling them to utilize the full features and capabilities for which they purchased the cameras.

 $<sup>^3\</sup> https://www.sony.com/en/SonyInfo/News/Press/201409/14-087/$ 

https://alphauniverse.com/stories/get-started-with-capture-one-pro-and-express-for-sony/ (June 22, 2018).

12

17

19

20

21 22

23

<sup>5</sup> *Id*.

24

25

26 27

- 30. Capture One Express for Sony was the result of a joint venture between Sony Electronics and Capture One A/S. On alphauniverse.com (a website owned and operated by Sony Electronics), Sony Electronics stated that "Sony and [Capture] One do something different from the usual in-box bundle. Sony and [Capture] One have a unique partnership that began in 2015. A special free version of Capture One (Capture One 'Express') is available to any Sony camera owner."5
- 31. Beginning in 2014, numerous public representations were made by or on behalf of Sony Electronics, including in a press release and on alphauniverse.com (a website owned and operated by Sony Electronics), and by Sony staff writers, confirming that Sony cameras would include a perpetual license for Capture One Express, including:
  - a. "Capture One Express (for Sony) will be provided at no extra charge to users who purchase an α interchangeable-lens camera or Cybershot RX digital still camera."6
  - b. "Every Sony camera comes with a free version of Capture One Express for Sony."<sup>7</sup>
  - c. "Capture One Express for Sony is completely free for a lifetime."8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://www.sony.com/en/SonyInfo/News/Press/201409/14-087/ (September 16, 2014)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://www.facebook.com/SonyAlphaUniverse/posts/every-sony-camera-comeswith-a-free-version-of-capture-one-express-for-sony-foll/1593915177349026/ (July 6, 2017)

<sup>8</sup> https://alphauniverse.com/stories/capture-one-11-is-here-/ (November 30, 2017)

7 8

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

22 23

- 24
- 25
- 27

26

- d. Capture One 'Express' (for Sony) is free for Sony camera owners and you can use it for as long as you like."9
- 32. The Capture One Express Software License, as of February 1, 2022, also described licenses for Capture One Express (for Sony) as "Single user perpetual licenses." Upon information and belief, this language was likely included in prior versions of the license as well.
- As regards the "Single user perpetual licenses for Capture One Express (for Sony)," the Capture One Express Software Agreement stated, "If the license acquired is for Capture One Express (for Sony) and provided that you are the legitimate owner of eligible Sony hardware as designated by Capture One in its sole discretion you may install and use an unlimited number of Capture One Express (for Sony) for your own internal use." The Agreement provides for termination only of access to "Software (incl. any add-ons) [that] are licensed on a non-perpetual basis." It further provides that Capture One may amend the Agreement, but that "[s]uch amendments shall not have any effect on already purchased perpetual licenses."
- 34. The inclusion of the perpetual or lifetime license to Capture One Express for Sony software was a material feature of Sony cameras, as it mitigated switching costs and provided a necessary tool to utilize the full capabilities of their Sony cameras, particularly the processing of RAW files.
- 35. Sony cameras are now second in worldwide market share in the digital camera category<sup>10</sup> and lead the global and U.S. market for mirrorless digital cameras.11

https://alphauniverse.com/stories/get-started-with-capture-one-pro-and-expressfor-sony/ (June 22, 2018)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>https://www.statista.com/statistics/1004962/global-leading-manufacturers-digitalcameras-market-share-sales-volume/

<sup>11</sup> https://www.news.market.us/mirrorless-camera-market-news/

7

8

9

1011

1213

1415

16

17 18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

- 36. Upon information and belief, the bundling of Capture One Express for Sony and related representations were successful in helping Sony Electronics gain a dominant position in the U.S. camera market, and helping Capture One develop its user base.
- 37. In or around December 2023, Capture One announced in an email to users that Capture One Express for Sony would no longer be free or available.
- 38. Capture One Express for Sony was officially terminated on January 30, 2024, and ceased to function beginning February 12, 2024.
- 39. Capture One stated that Capture One Express users would no longer have access to the Capture One system software they previously used unless they paid for a different version of Capture One.<sup>12</sup>
- 40. Upon termination, users who had previously acquired the Capture One Express for Sony software with their camera purchase found their existing licenses disabled and the software unable to function.<sup>13</sup>
- 41. Users who had relied on Capture One Express for Sony to organize, edit, and manage their photographic images were no longer able to open, view, or modify their images or catalogs within the software after the cutoff date.
- 42. All non-destructive edits, adjustments, and image manipulations performed within Capture One Express for Sony became inaccessible, as these edits are stored in proprietary catalog or sidecar files readable only by Capture One software.

https://support.captureone.com/hc/en-us/articles/15416245558301-Capture-One-Express-Deprecation-FAQ

https://support.captureone.com/hc/en-us/community/posts/15419149985309-Capture-One-Express-is-coming-to-an-end

1

43.

- 45
- 6 7
- 8
- 10
- 1112
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 2122
- 23
- 2425
- 2627

28

color tags created within Capture One Express for Sony, was rendered inaccessible due to the software's discontinuation.

44. Although users retained access to their original image files (such as DAW files) stored on their hard drives, they lost the shility to access on sweat any

Users' organizational work, including catalogs, albums, ratings, and

- 44. Although users retained access to their original image files (such as RAW files) stored on their hard drives, they lost the ability to access or export any edited or organized versions of those images unless they had previously exported them to standard formats (e.g., JPEG, TIFF) before termination.<sup>14</sup>
- 45. The termination of Capture One Express for Sony was not accompanied by any means for users to migrate or recover their edits, organizational structures, or catalog data without upgrading to a paid version of Capture One.
- 46. Users who obtained Capture One Express for Sony as a bundled product with their camera purchases were not provided with a replacement or continued access, despite the software being marketed as an included benefit with eligible hardware.
- 47. The termination of the software and disabling of license keys applied irrespective of user compliance with the license agreement and without any reported breach or misconduct by the users.
- 48. This termination prevented Plaintiff and Class members from using the software they obtained as part of their camera purchase.
- 49. Defendants' actions in terminating access to the software Plaintiffs and Class Members believed they had purchased or received a perpetual license to use, constitutes an improper revocation of the perpetual license, which was a benefit that was bundled with and induced the purchase of Sony cameras.
- 50. Defendants' actions effectively rendered Sony cameras that had been bundled with Capture One Express less valuable by removing the promised software

https://support.captureone.com/hc/en-us/articles/11019291187997-How-to-access-your-Catalog-images-outside-of-Capture-One

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

27

- 51. To continue processing and organizing their images as they had done as part of the Capture One ecosystem, Plaintiff and Class Members must purchase a Capture One Pro license.
- 52. Until mid-2025, a Capture One Pro license subscription cost \$24/month or \$189/year, and a perpetual license was a one-time cost of \$299. As of August 29, 2025, these costs increased to \$26/month or \$204/year for the subscription, or \$329 for the perpetual license. 15 A perpetual license has a one-time payment with lifetime access to one version of the software. As of February 14, 2023, a perpetual license does not include ongoing new feature updates. 16 The subscription version entails ongoing payment but continuous access to the latest features and updates 17
- 53. Because of their reliance on Defendants' assurances, related contractual obligations, and the fact of the availability of Capture One Express as a product feature until it was terminated by Defendants, Plaintiff and Class Members have been forced to either abandon their preferred workflow and potentially their prior editing work, pay for a new license for Capture One Pro, or acquire alternative software to process their Sony camera's RAW files.
- 54. The requirement to pay for continued access to software previously represented as perpetually included imposes new unforeseen, unwarranted and unexpected financial burdens and switching costs on Plaintiff and Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Members are unable to avoid these switching costs, which have

<sup>15</sup> https://www.captureone.com/en/pricing/capture-one-pro

<sup>26</sup> https://support.captureone.com/hc/en-us/articles/7998068628637-New-License-Model-Changes-to-the-way-licensing-updates-and-upgrades-work

<sup>17</sup> https://www.captureone.com/en/pricing/capture-one-pro

been imposed upon them by Defendants' improper termination of Capture One Express.

- 55. Class members were upset by Defendants' conduct. On a forum on Capture One's website, 18 customers expressed sentiments including:
  - a. "I think they have just made lots of hobbyist photographers very unhappy especially those who cannot justify the cost of a yet another subscription software model."
  - b. "I find it strange that I buy a camera with software and then the software company just stops my license. . . . [I]t [is] absolutely bullocks to disallow the use of software that I bought. Capture One stops my license for commercial reasons, why can't they be honest about that? Legal issues?"
  - c. "Why [does] C1's 2023 model seem to be 'screw consumers, cheers to greed & money?"
  - d. "I think it is okay to discontinue a software, But it is pissing me off that you also kill the activation of software that I got with my Sony Alpha."
  - e. "The worst part is them needlessly disabling the lisences [sic] that are already active. The only reason for them to do that is FORCE an upgrade out of users."
- 56. Defendants' conduct was intentional. Capture One's private equity owner, Axcel, notes on its website that "Upon investing in Phase One in 2019, Axcel identified the opportunity to split the company into two standalone businesses: Phase One, specialising in high-quality image capture systems and Capture One, focusing on providing image editing software. Capture One continues to grow *by converting*

https://support.captureone.com/hc/en-us/community/posts/15419149985309-Capture-One-Express-is-coming-to-an-end

*existing customers to subscription* and acquiring new customers through a software application portfolio that continues to improve and expand." (Emphasis added).<sup>19</sup>

57. Plaintiff bought his camera, a Sony Alpha 7R III (Model Number/Product code: ILCE7RM3B), online from Abt Electronics in February, 2021, with instructions for it to be delivered to his California address in Moreno Valley. The camera he purchased included Capture One Express for Sony, which ultimately was the deciding factor causing Plaintiff to purchase a Sony camera. Plaintiff considered the inclusion of Capture One Express with the camera to be a selling point, because it would help him with post production and save him money that he would otherwise need to spend on post production software. After purchasing his camera, Plaintiff regularly used Capture One Express for Sony to edit photographs and manage his photo catalogue. Since his Capture One Express for Sony license was terminated, Plaintiff has purchased an Adobe Creative Cloud Subscription at a cost of \$19.99 per month, as well as Luminar AI (now continued as Luminar Neo from Skylum), to replace the functionality previously provided by Capture One Express.

Sony, but also for Nikon and Fuji cameras, certain models of which also came with "single use perpetual licenses" pursuant to the Capture One Software License Agreement. As with the termination of Capture One Express (for Sony), the termination of the perpetual licenses for Capture One Express (for Nikon) and Capture One Express Fujifilm were unauthorized and unjustified. As regards the "Single user perpetual licenses for Capture One Express (for Nikon)," the Agreement stated: "If the license acquired is for Capture One Express (for Nikon) and provided that you are the legitimate owner of eligible Nikon hardware as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> https://axcel.com/company/capture-one/

1 d d n 3 th 4 A 5 p d d 7 n 8 p 9 li 10 th

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

designated by Capture One in its sole discretion you may install and use an unlimited number of Capture One Express (for Nikon) for your own internal use." As regards the "Single user perpetual licenses for Capture One Express Fujifilm," the Agreement stated: "If the license acquired is for Capture One Express Fujifilm and provided that you are the legitimate owner of eligible Fujifilm hardware as designated by Capture One in its sole discretion you may install and use an unlimited number of Capture One Express Fujifilm for your own internal use." The Agreement provides for termination only of access to "Software (incl. any add-ons) [that] are licensed on a non-perpetual basis." It further provides that Capture One may amend the Agreement, but that "[s]uch amendments shall not have any effect on already purchased perpetual licenses."

59. A substantial portion of the conduct giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims, including the marketing and sale of the cameras bundled with the software and the defendants' corporate presence, took place in and emanated from California.

## CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 60. This action is brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3).
- 61. Plaintiff seeks to represent the following classes: (1) all persons in the United States who owned a Sony camera that was bundled with Capture One Express (for Sony) on February 12, 2024 (the "Sony Camera Class"); and (2) all persons in the United States who owned any camera that was bundled with Capture One Express on February 12, 2024 (the "Capture One Express Class") (each a "Class" and collectively the "Classes").<sup>20</sup> Plaintiff also seeks to represent the following subclasses: (1) all persons in California who owned a Sony camera that was bundled

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>https://support.captureone.com/hc/en-us/articles/360002718118-Camera-Models-and-RAW-Files-Supported-by-Capture-One#Sony

with Capture One Express (for Sony) on February 12, 2024 (the "California Sony Camera Subclass"); (2) all persons in Texas who owned a Sony camera that was bundled with Capture One Express (for Sony) on February 12, 2024 (the "Texas Sony Camera Subclass") (collectively the "Sony Camera Subclasses"); (3) all persons in California who owned any camera that was bundled with Capture One Express on February 12, 2024 (the "California Capture One Express Subclass"); and (4) all persons in Texas who owned any camera that was bundled with Capture One Express on February 12, 2024 (the "Texas Capture One Express Subclass") (collectively the "Capture One Express Subclasses"). Unless otherwise specified, all references to the Class(es) herein also encompass the Subclasses.

- 62. Upon completion of discovery with respect to the scope of the Classes, Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definition(s).
- 63. Excluded from each Class are Defendants and any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity related to or affiliated with any of Defendants' partners, subsidiaries, affiliates or joint ventures.
- 64. The members of each Class are so numerous and dispersed that it would be impracticable to join them individually. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but can be determined through discovery.
- 65. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of each Class and predominate over any questions affecting solely individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common one or more of the Classes are:
  - i. Whether Defendants offered and then terminated access to the Capture One Express postproduction software dishonestly, unfairly, and/or in bad faith;
  - ii. Whether Capture One breached its contract with users or the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing therein;

1	111. Whether Sony Electronics breached a warranty that its cameras					
2	included a perpetual license to use Capture One Express for Sony;					
3	iv. Whether Defendants' conduct violates the California Unfair					
4	Competition Law;					
5	v. Whether Defendants' conduct violates the California Consumer					
6	Legal Remedies Act;					
7	vi. Whether Defendants' conduct violates the Texas Deceptive					
8	Trade Practices Act;					
9	vii. Whether Defendants' conduct violates principles of quasi-					
10	contract and/or promissory estoppel;					
11	viii. Whether Defendants' wrongful conduct caused Plaintiff and the					
12	Class members damages;					
13	ix. The measure and amount of damages suffered by Plaintiff and					
14	Class members;					
15	x. Whether Defendants' conduct caused Defendants, or either of					
16	them, to be unjustly enriched;					
17	xi. The amount of restitution to which Plaintiff and Class members					
18	are entitled;					
19	xii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to punitive					
20	damages;					
21	xiii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to equitable					
22	relief, injunctive relief, and/or specific performance;					
23	xiv. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to a reasonable					
24	award of attorney fees, interest, and costs of suit.					
25	66. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of each Class					
26	he seeks to represent because they were all owners and users of cameras bundled					
27	with Capture One Express.					

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

27

26

28

- 67. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of members of each Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class actions and consumer litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of any Class.
- 68. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy since joinder of all members of each Class is impracticable. Furthermore, because the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for the Class members individually to redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.
- 69. Defendants have acted on grounds that apply generally to each Class, such that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting each Class as a whole.
- Class certification is also appropriate because there is a readily 70. identifiable class on whose behalf this action can be prosecuted. Class members are readily ascertainable. A notice of pendency or resolution of this class action can be provided to Class members by direct mail, email, publication notice, or other similar means.

# **CAUSES OF ACTION COUNT I**

Violations of the California Unfair Competition Law Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.

On Behalf of the Sony Camera Class and/or the California Sony Camera Subclass against Sony Electronics

On Behalf of the Capture One Express Class and/or the California Capture One Express Subclass against Capture One

71. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made in the previous paragraphs.

- 72. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Sony Camera Class and/or the California Sony Camera Subclass against Sony Electronics, and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or the California Capture One Express Subclass against Capture One.
- 73. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action against Defendants for unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices; and unfair, deceptive, untrue and misleading advertising, as defined by California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. (the "UCL").
- 74. Defendants' conduct violates the UCL, as the acts and practices of Defendants constitute a common and continuing course of conduct by means of "unlawful," "unfair," and "fraudulent" business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.
- 75. Defendants' conduct is fraudulent, and thus amounts to unfair competition as set forth in the UCL, in that Defendants offered a perpetual license for postproduction software only to unilaterally revoke it, and misrepresented the features and value of cameras bundled therewith. Such misrepresentations and omissions are likely to deceive, and in fact have deceived, thousands of consumers.
- 76. Defendants' conduct is unlawful and thus amounts to unfair competition as set forth in the UCL, in that it violates, among other things, California Civil Code §§ 1572, 1709 and 1710, as well as California Business & Professions Code § 17500. As described above, Defendants willfully deceived Plaintiff and Class members by misrepresenting the goods and services they provided and misrepresenting the price to use their good and services with the intent to induce them to alter their positions to their injury. Defendants' representations were untrue and misleading, and Defendant knew, or by exercising reasonable care should have known, such representations were untrue and misleading. Defendant knowingly received and retained wrongful benefits and funds from Plaintiff and members of the

Class. Therefore, the Defendant acted with conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff and members of the Class.

- 77. Defendants' conduct is unfair, and thus amounts to unfair competition as set forth in the UCL, because its utility to Defendant, if any, is greatly outweighed by the harm it causes to Plaintiff and members of the Class; because it violates established public policy as alleged herein; and because it is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and substantially injurious to Plaintiff and Class members who end up with a camera and postproduction system that require additional, undisclosed, ongoing fees to achieve expected functionality.
- 78. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' violations of the UCL, Plaintiff and members of the Class suffered an injury in fact and have suffered monetary harm. Defendants, on the other hand, have been unjustly enriched. The Court should impose a constructive trust and should require Defendants to make restitution to Plaintiffs and the California Subclass and/or disgorge its ill-gotten profits pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17203.
- 79. Defendants' unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices, as described herein, present a continuing threat to Plaintiff and the Class in that the value of the cameras purchased by Plaintiff and members of the class has been diminished by Defendants' actions. Plaintiff further seeks an order enjoining Defendants from engaging in any unlawful or inequitable acts and practices as alleged herein.
- 80. Plaintiff and the Class seek equitable relief because they have no other adequate remedy at law.

**COUNT II** 

1

2 Violations of the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act 3 Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq. On Behalf of the Sony Camera Class and/or the California Sony Camera Subclass 4 against Sony Electronics 5 On Behalf of the Capture One Express Class and/or the California Capture One 6 7 Express Subclass against Capture One 8 81. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made 9 in the previous paragraphs. 10 82. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Sony Camera Class and/or the California Sony Camera Subclass against Sony 11 12 Electronics, and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or 13 the California Capture One Express Subclass against Capture One. 14 83. The conduct of Defendants alleged above constitutes an unfair method 15 of competition and unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq. ("CLRA"). 16 17 84. Defendants are each persons as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(c). 18 85. Plaintiff and Class members are consumers as defined by Cal. Civ. 19 Code § 1761(d). 20 86. Sony Electronics' cameras, described above, constitute a good as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(a). 21 22 Defendant(s)' post-production software services, described above, 87. 23 constitute a service as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(b). 24 88. Plaintiff's purchase was a transaction under Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(e). 25 The CLRA prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices undertaken 89. 26 by any person in a transaction intended to result or that results in the sale . . . of 27 goods or services to any consumer," which, among other instances enumerated in 28 the CLRA, include: "Representing that goods or services have sponsorship,

10

9

12

11

14

15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities that they do not have ...." (§ 1770(a)(5)); "Advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised" (§ 1770(a)(9)); or "Representing that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations which it does not have or involve, or which are prohibited by law" (§ 1770(a)(14)); or "Representing that the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it has not" (§ 1770(a)(16)).

- 90. Defendants violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5) by misrepresenting that affected cameras were bundled with a lifetime license of post-production software when in fact they were not.
- Defendants violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(9) by falsely advertising 91. that affected cameras would have an unlimited or lifetime license of post-production software.
- 92. Defendant violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(14) by representing that its transactions with consumers involve rights to lifetime, included, post-production software, which, in fact, they do not have or involve.
- 93. Defendant violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(16) by representing that that affected cameras had been supplied in accordance with a previous representation about bundled postproduction software, when they had not.
- 94. The representations and omissions set forth above are of material facts that a reasonable person would have considered important in deciding whether to purchase Defendants' goods and services. Plaintiff and Class members justifiably acted or relied upon Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions to their detriment.
- 95. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have been, and continue to be, injured as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the CLRA.
- 96. Plaintiff is entitled to pursue a claim against Defendant on behalf of the Class to enjoin Defendant from continuing its unfair or deceptive acts or practices

under Cal. Civ. Code § 1780(a) and § 1781, as well as to pursue costs and attorneys' fees under § 1780(e).

97. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1782, Plaintiff is serving on Defendants a CLRA notice letter. If Defendants fail to rectify these issues within the time period specified therein, Plaintiff will amend this Complaint, as permitted thereby, to assert claims for additional relief, including damages and punitive damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3294.

#### **COUNT III**

#### Breach of Warranty

On Behalf of the Sony Camera Class and/or the Sony Camera Subclasses against
Sony Electronics

On Behalf of the Capture One Express Class and/or the Capture One Express
Subclasses against Capture One

- 98. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made in the previous paragraphs.
- 99. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Sony Camera Class and/or the Sony Camera Subclasses against Sony Electronics, and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or the Capture One Express Subclasses against Capture One.
- 100. Defendants expressly warranted that certain cameras included a perpetual license for Capture One Express, which warranty was part of the basis of the bargain between Class members and Defendants.
- 101. As of February 12, 2024, Defendants terminated the promised perpetual license for Capture One Express.
- 102. Plaintiffs and the other members of the Classes were injured and suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of express warranty.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **COUNT IV Promissory Estoppel** 2 3 On Behalf of the Sony Camera Class and/or the Sony Camera Subclasses against Sony Electronics 4 5 On Behalf of the Capture One Express Class and/or the Capture One Express Subclasses against Capture One 6 7 103. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made 8 in the previous paragraphs. 9 104. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of 10 the Sony Camera Class and/or the Sony Camera Subclasses against Sony 11 Electronics, and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or 12 the Capture One Express Subclasses against Capture One. 13 105. Defendants promised that certain cameras included a perpetual license for Capture One Express, which promises were designed to induce customers to 14 purchase certain cameras and/or continue to use those cameras and/or Capture One 15 16 software. 17 106. As of February 12, 2024, Defendants reneged on their promise and 18 terminated the perpetual license for Capture One Express. 19 107. In reliance on Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Class members purchased and/or used cameras and the Capture One software bundled therewith and 20 21 refrained from purchasing and/or using other cameras and software. 22 108. Plaintiff and Class members were injured by their reliance on 23 Defendants' promise. 24 25 26 27 28

2

Breach of Implied Contract or Quasi-Contract

On Behalf of the Sony Camera Class and/or the Sony Camera Subclasses against

4

Sony Electronics

5

On Behalf of the Capture One Express Class and/or the Capture One Express
Subclasses against Capture One

COUNT V

7

8

109. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made in the previous paragraphs.

9

110. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Sony Camera Class and/or the Sony Camera Subclasses against Sony Electronics, and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or

1112

the Capture One Express Subclasses against Capture One.

13

14

111. In the absence of an enforceable express contract, a contract is implied by law between the Defendants and the Plaintiff and Class members, entitling Plaintiff and Class members an accurate representation of Defendant's goods and

1516

6 bundled services.

112.

17 18

and bundled services (including a perpetual license to postproduction software) to

Plaintiff and Class members and then later unilaterally revoking that perpetual

Defendant breached the terms of the implied contract by selling goods

Under the common law doctrine of unjust enrichment, it is inequitable

19

license.

114.

21

22

20

113. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct as alleged herein, Defendant has been unjustly enriched at the expense of, and to the detriment of,

23

Plaintiff and members of the Class.

2425

for Defendants to be permitted to retain the benefits they received, without

2627

justification, from the revocation of the promised license and the related additional charges collected for the bundle from members of the Class in an unfair,

- 115. Defendant knowingly received and retained wrongful benefits and funds from Plaintiffs and members of the Class. Therefore, the Defendant acted with conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs and members of the Class.
- 116. Defendant's unjust enrichment is traceable to, and resulted directly and proximately from, the conduct alleged herein.
- 117. The financial benefits derived by Defendants rightfully belong to Plaintiffs and members of the Class. Defendants should be compelled to provide restitution, and to disgorge into a common fund or constructive trust, for the benefit of Plaintiff and the Class, all proceeds received from Plaintiff and the Class because of any unlawful or inequitable act described herein that unjustly enriched Defendants.
- 118. A constructive trust should be imposed upon all wrongful or inequitable proceeds received by Defendants traceable to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 119. Plaintiff further seeks an order enjoining Defendant from engaging in any unlawful or inequitable acts and practices as alleged herein, if any.
  - 120. Plaintiff and members of the Class have no adequate remedy at law.

### **COUNT VI**

#### **Breach of Contract**

- On Behalf of the Capture One Class and/or the Capture One Express Subclasses
  against Capture One
- 121. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made in the previous paragraphs.
- 122. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or the Capture One Express Subclasses against Capture One.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 123. As alleged above, the Capture One Software License Agreement stated
2 that the various versions of Capture One Express were "Single user perpetual
3 licenses," pursuant to which, "provided that you are the legitimate owner of eligible
4 . . . hardware as designated by Capture One in its sole discretion you may install and
5 use an unlimited number of Capture One Express . . . for your own internal use."

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 124. Plaintiff and class members were legitimate owners of eligible hardware, having purchased cameras that were bundled with Capture One Express, and otherwise performed all of their obligations under the agreement.
- 125. Capture One breached its contract with Class Members by its unauthorized and unjustified termination of the single user perpetual licenses for Capture One Express.
- 126. Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class were injured and suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of contract.

#### **COUNT VII**

Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

On Behalf of the Capture One Class and/or the Capture One Express Subclasses

against Capture One

- 127. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made in the previous paragraphs.
- 128. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Capture One Express Class and/or the Capture One Express Subclasses against Capture One.
- 129. A covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied by law in every contract. The covenant obligates the parties to a contract not to do anything which injures the right of the other to receive the benefits of the agreement.
- 130. Where a contract confers on one party a discretionary power affecting the rights of the other, a duty is imposed to exercise that discretion in good faith and in accordance with fair dealing. The exercise of discretionary powers is evaluated

8

7

10

9

11 12

1314

15 16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

under the implied covenant to assure that the promises of the contract are effective and in accordance with the parties' legitimate expectations.

- 131. As alleged above, the Capture One Software License Agreement stated that the various versions of Capture One Express were "Single user perpetual licenses," pursuant to which, "provided that you are the legitimate owner of eligible . . . hardware as designated by Capture One in its sole discretion you may install and use an unlimited number of Capture One Express . . . for your own internal use."
- 132. Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by exercising its discretion to terminate access to Capture One Express for Sony. In so doing, Defendant deprived Plaintiff and the Class of the perpetual license it had promised them, contrary to their legitimate expectations.
- 133. Plaintiff and his fellow Class members have performed all, or substantially all, of the obligations imposed on them under their contract with Defendant.
- 134. As described above, Defendant is required to fulfill its obligations under the terms of the Agreement but has failed to do so.
- 135. Defendant has unfairly interfered with the right of Plaintiff and members of the Class to receive the benefits of their contracts with Defendant.
- 136. Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered substantial damages as a result of Defendant's breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

## **COUNT VIII**

Violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act,

Tex. Bus. & Com. Code §§ 17.41 et seq.

- On Behalf of the Texas Sony Camera Subclass against Sony Electronics
- On Behalf of the Texas Capture One Express Subclass against Capture One
- 137. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all factual allegations made in the previous paragraphs.

7 8

9 10

1112

1314

1516

1718

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

- 138. The Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("Texas DTPA"), Tex. Bus. & Com. Code §§ 17.41 *et seq.*, prohibits false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce and any unconscionable action or course of action.
- 139. The Texas DTPA "shall be liberally construed and applied to promote its underlying purposes, which are to protect consumers against false, misleading, and deceptive business practices, unconscionable actions, and breaches of warranty and to provide efficient and economical procedures to secure such protection." Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.44(a).
- 140. Plaintiff is a "consumer" under Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.45(4), and Defendants' actions constitute "trade" and "commerce" under Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.45(6).
- 141. Defendants engaged in methods, acts, and practices constitute false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce in violation of the Texas DTPA, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. "representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection which the person does not" (Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.46(b)(5));
  - b. "advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised" (id. § 17.46(b)(9));
  - c. "representing that an agreement confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations which it does not have or involve, or which are prohibited by law" (*id.* § 17.46(b)(12)); and
  - d. "failing to disclose information concerning goods or services which was known at the time of the transaction if such failure to disclose such information was intended to induce the consumer into a

- 142. Defendants' conduct also violates the Texas DTPA's prohibition on "any unconscionable action or course of action by any person" that causes damages to a consumer (Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.50(a)(3)).
- 143. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Texas Subclasses, is entitled to seek economic damages, three times economic damages, costs, attorneys' fees, injunctive relief, and any other relief which the Court deems proper.
- 144. Pursuant to Section 17.505 of the Texas DTPA, 60 days' written notice is rendered impracticable by reason of the necessity of filing this petition in order to prevent the potential expiration of the statute of limitations. Nevertheless, pursuant to Section 17.505 of the Texas DTPA, Plaintiff is providing written notice to Defendants of the allegations in this Complaint and the amount of his damages and expenses incurred. Defendants are hereby placed on notice for the reasons set forth in the DTPA Notice and this Complaint that Plaintiff demands restitution and/or damages, on behalf of himself and persons similarly situated, pursuant to the Texas DTPA.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on his own behalf and on behalf of each Class, requests that the Court award the following relief:

- 145. Certify this action as a class action, appoint Plaintiff as the Class representative, and designate the undersigned as Class counsel;
  - 146. Declare Defendants' conduct unlawful;
- 147. Enjoin Defendants from the unlawful conduct alleged herein, including by ordering Defendants to immediately provide or restore access to postproduction software equal to the bundled software that was unilaterally terminated;
- 148. Award Plaintiff and the Class damages under common law and/or by statute, including treble and/or punitive damages;

Case 3:	25-cv-02305-WQH-SBC Document 1 Filed 09/04/25 PageID.30 Page 30 of 30						
1	149. Award Plaintiff and the Class restitution and/or disgorgement;						
2	150. Award Plaintiff attorneys' fees, costs, and pre-judgment and post-						
3	judgment interest; and						
4	151. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and						
5	proper.						
6	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL						
7	Plaintiffs hereby demands a jury trial as to all issues so triable in the present						
8	action.						
9	DATED: September 4, 2025						
10	Respectfully submitted,						
11	By: /s/ Philip M. Black						
12	Philip M. Black						
13	WOLF POPPER LLP						
14	Philip M. Black (SBN 308619) pblack@wolfpopper.com						
15	Carl L. Stine (pro hac vice forthcoming)						
16	cstine@wolfpopper.com 845 Third Avenue						
17	New York, NY 10022						
18	Telephone: 212.759.4600						
19	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class						
20							
21	Eric T. Finkel Admitted in Texas, Texas Bar No. 24002087						
22	(Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming)						
23	eric@custom-consulting.com 1590 1 <sup>st</sup> Ave W						
24	Vancouver, B.C. V6J4X4						
25	Canada Telephone: 213.465.3543						
26							
27	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class						
28							

 $_{\text{JS 44}}\text{ (Rev. 03)} \text{ Case 3:25-cv-02305-WQH-SBCIV Processor } \textbf{1SHFirst 09/04/25} \quad \text{Page 1D.31} \quad \text{Page 1}$ 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitiating the civil di	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	ΓS		
JOSE RODRIGI others similarly	UEZ, individually and situated	d on behalf of all	SONY ELECTRONICS INC. and CAPTURE ONE A/S			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant San Diego			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)	NOTE: IN LAND	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O. CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T	DNLY)	
				ACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
•	Address, and Telephone Numbe		Attorneys (If Know	vn)		
Wolf Popper LLF 212-451-4600	P, 845 Third Ave., N	ew York, NY 1002	<u>'25CV2305 WQHSBC</u>			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF  (For Diversity Cases On.	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifi and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF  1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	× 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of S		
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88	28 USC 157	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	Act Act	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer	
× 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Leave Act  790 Other Labor Litigation	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	791 Employee Retirement	803 K31 (403(g))	893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitration	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General		871 IRS—Third Party	899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	462 Naturalization Applicated 465 Other Immigration	tion	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions		State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Roy Only)	Confinement				
x 1 Original 2 Res	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		nsferred from ther District		
	28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)	tute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional	007	Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca		t enrichment, breach of contract	t, and related causes of action.		
VII. REQUESTED IN   CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.	5,000,000	JURY DEMAND:	× Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF RECORD			
September 4, 2025		/s/ Philip M. Black				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	E MAG. JUI	OGE	

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related cases, if any. If there are related cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.