5	JAMES T. HANNINK (131747) jhannink@sdlaw.com ZACH P. DOSTART (255071) zdostart@sdlaw.com DOSTART HANNINK LLP 4225 Executive Square, Suite 600 La Jolla, California 92037-1484 Tel: 858-623-4200 Fax: 858-623-4299 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 12/6/2024 11:34:04 AM Clerk of the Superior Court By A. Villasenor ,Deputy Clerk
6 7	Autorneys for Frammins	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
10		
11	LARRY NELSON and JOHN DAUB,	CASE NO. 37-2023-00045878-CU-BT-CTL
12	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
13	Plaintiffs,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:
14		(1) FALSE ADVERTISING (BASED ON VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA
15 16	VS.	AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW)
17	SYSTEM1, INC., a Delaware corporation; TOTAL SECURITY LIMITED, a UK private	[Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17535 et seq. & 17600]; and
18	company; PROTECTED.NET LLC, a Delaware limited	(2) UNFAIR COMPETITION
19	liability company; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	[Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.]
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27		
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	No. 37-2023-00045878-CU-BT-CTL

1	INTRODUCTION	
2	1. Defendants System1, Inc., Total Security Limited, and Protected.net LLC advertise	
3	and sell software that (supposedly) protects computers or smartphones against unwanted ads,	
4	viruses, or other online annoyances. In most instances, the software is offered as a low-cost "trial"	
5	for a limited period (e.g., \$1.99 or \$2.99 for one month). However, when a consumer requests such	
6	a trial, Defendants unlawfully enroll the consumer into an automatic renewal subscription and	
7	thereafter charge recurring fees without the consumer's consent. This conduct constitutes false	
8	advertising, based on violation of the California Automatic Renewal Law (Bus. & Prof. Code,	
9	§ 17600 et seq.), and it also violates the Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et	
10	seq.). This action seeks restitution for Plaintiffs and other affected California consumers, and a	
11	public injunction for the benefit of the People of the State of California.	
12	THE PARTIES	
13	2. Plaintiff Larry Nelson ("Nelson") is an individual residing in San Diego County,	
14	California.	
15	3. Plaintiff John Daub ("Daub") is an individual residing in San Diego County,	
16	California.	
17	4. Nelson and Daub are collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs."	
18	5. System1, Inc. ("System1") is a Delaware corporation with an office in Los Angeles	
19	County, California. However, System1 has not registered to do business in the State of California	
20	and has not filed documents with the California Secretary of State that designate a principal office	
21	in California.	
22	6. Total Security Limited ("Total Security") is a private company limited by shares	
23	incorporated under the laws of England and Wales, Company Number 10161957, with offices in	
24	England and in Los Angeles County, California. However, Total Security has not registered to do	
25	business in the State of California and has not filed documents with the California Secretary of State	
26	that designate a principal office in California. Total Security is a wholly-owned subsidiary of	
27	System1.	
28		
	2	

7. Protected.net LLC ("Protected.net") is a Delaware limited liability company. 1 2 Protected.net has not registered to do business in the State of California and has not filed documents 3 with the California Secretary of State that designate a principal office in California. Protected.net is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Total Security. 4

5

7

8. System1, Total Security, and Protected.net do business throughout California, including San Diego County, including but not limited to the advertising and sale of ad-blocking 6 software, antivirus software, and other computer-related products.

9. 8 Plaintiffs do not know the names of the defendants sued as DOES 1 through 50 but 9 will amend this complaint when that information becomes known. Plaintiffs allege on information 10 and belief that each of the DOE defendants is affiliated with one or more of the named defendants in some respect and is in some manner responsible for the wrongdoing alleged herein, either as a 11 12 direct participant, or as the principal, agent, successor, alter ego, or co-conspirator of or with one or 13 more of the other defendants. For ease of reference, Plaintiffs will refer to the named defendants 14 and the DOE defendants collectively as "Defendants."

15

# VENUE

16 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district because Defendants conduct business in this 17 judicial district and a material part of the conduct at issue occurred and the liability arose in this 18 judicial district. Venue is also proper in this judicial district because System1, Total Security, and 19 Protected.net have not designated a principal office in California and therefore venue is proper in any county designated by Plaintiffs. 20

21

# SUMMARY OF APPLICABLE LAW

#### 22 Automatic Renewal Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17600 et seq.)

In 2009, the California Legislature passed Senate Bill 340, which took effect on 23 11. 24 December 1, 2010 as Article 9 of Chapter 1 of the False Advertising Law. (Bus. & Prof. Code, 25 § 17600 et seq. ("ARL").) (Unless otherwise specified, all statutory references in this Complaint are to the California Business and Professions Code.) SB 340 was introduced because: 26

27 It has become increasingly common for consumers to complain about unwanted charges on their credit cards for products or services that the consumer did not 28 explicitly request or know they were agreeing to. Consumers report they believed

they were making a one-time purchase of a product, only to receive continued 1 shipments of the product and charges on their credit card. These unforeseen charges 2 are often the result of agreements enumerated in the "fine print" on an order or advertisement that the consumer responded to. 3 (See Exhibit 1 at p. 4.) 4 12. The Assembly Committee on Judiciary provided the following background for the 5 legislation: 6 This non-controversial bill, which received a unanimous vote on the Senate floor, 7 seeks to protect consumers from unwittingly consenting to "automatic renewals" of subscription orders or other "continuous service" offers. According to the author and 8 supporters, consumers are often charged for renewal purchases without their consent 9 or knowledge. For example, consumers sometimes find that a magazine subscription renewal appears on a credit card statement even though they never agreed to a renewal. 10 (See Exhibit 2 at p. 8.) 11 13. The ARL seeks to ensure that, before there can be a legally-binding automatic 12 renewal or continuous service arrangement, there must first be adequate disclosure of certain terms 13 and conditions and affirmative consent by the consumer. To that end, § 17602(a) makes it unlawful 14 for any business making an automatic renewal offer or a continuous service offer to a consumer in 15 16 California to do any of the following: Fail to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer 17 a. terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled 18 19 and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17602(a)(1).) For this purpose, "clear and conspicuous" means "in larger type than the surrounding text, or in 2021 contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention 22 to the language." (Bus. & Prof. Code,  $\S$  17601(c).) The statute defines "automatic renewal offer 23 terms" to mean the "clear and conspicuous" disclosure of the following: (a) that the subscription or 24 purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels; (b) the description of the 25 cancellation policy that applies to the offer; (c) the recurring charges that will be charged to the 26 consumer's credit or debit card or payment account with a third party as part of the automatic 27 renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and 28

the amount to which the charge will change, if known; (d) the length of the automatic renewal term
or that the service is continuous, unless the length of the term is chosen by the consumer; and (e) the
minimum purchase obligation, if any. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17601(b).)

b. Charge the consumer's credit or debit card, or the consumer's account with a
third party, for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's
affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous
service offer terms, including the terms of an automatic renewal offer or continuous service offer
that is made at a promotional or discounted price for a limited period of time. (Bus. & Prof. Code,
§ 17602(a)(2).)

10 c. Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a 11 12 manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17602(a)(3).) If the 13 offer includes a free trial, the business must also disclose in the acknowledgment how to cancel and 14 allow the consumer to cancel before the consumer pays for the goods or services. (*Ibid.*) Section 15 17602(c) requires that the acknowledgment specified in § 17602(a)(3) include a toll-free telephone 16 number, electronic mail address, a postal address if the seller directly bills the consumer, or it shall 17 provide another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation.

18 14. Violation of the ARL gives rise to restitution and injunctive relief under the general
19 remedies provision of the False Advertising Law, § 17535. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17604(a).)

15. If a business sends any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to a consumer under
an automatic renewal or continuous service agreement without first obtaining the consumer's
affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of all automatic
renewal offer terms, such material is an "unconditional gift" to the consumer. (Bus. & Prof. Code,
§ 17603.)

16. In this Complaint, references to an "automatic renewal" arrangement encompass a
"continuous service" arrangement, unless otherwise specified.

- 27
- 28

### Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.)

2 17. The Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") defines unfair competition as including any
3 unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice; any unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading
4 advertising; and any act of false advertising. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200.)

5 18. Violation of the UCL gives rise to restitution and injunctive relief. (Bus. & Prof.
6 Code, § 17203.) The remedies of the UCL are cumulative to each other and to the remedies available
7 under all other laws of California. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17205.)

8

## **OVERVIEW OF DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES**

9 19. Defendants advertise and sell software products under tradenames including (without
10 limitation) Total Adblock (which operates through the website <u>https://totaladblock.com</u>), TotalAV
11 (which operates through the website <u>https://totalav.com</u>), and Total Password (which operates
12 through the website <u>https://www.totalpassword.com</u>). Defendants' software products are offered for
13 sale through the respective websites.

14 20. On each website, the order flow consists of several screens. For example, for Total 15 Adblock, the first step is for the consumer to enter an email address on the screen depicted in Exhibit 16 3. Next, the consumer is shown a screen with a price (in this instance, represented to be "70% OFF" 17 with a "100% Risk-Free Guarantee"), as depicted in Exhibit 4. After the consumer clicks the 18 "CONTINUE SECURELY" button, the consumer is then presented with a payment screen for entry 19 of credit card, debit card, or other payment account details, as depicted in Exhibit 5. Exhibits 3-5 20 are incorporated herein by reference. The order flow for Defendants' other products is in all material 21 respects similar to that shown in Exhibits 3-5.

22 21. It is evident that the screens shown in Exhibits 3-5 do not meet the requirements of 23 California law for creation of an automatic renewal subscription and do not constitute affirmative 24 consent for subsequent charges. In particular, and without limitation, there is no "clear and 25 conspicuous" disclosure that a subscription will continue until the consumer cancels, or of the 26 cancellation policy, or of recurring charges to be charged to the consumer's credit or debit card or 27 third-party payment account. Rather, in violation of California law, Defendants' screens mention 28 renewal only in type that is smaller than surrounding text, or in text that is the same size as surrounding text but without contrasting type, font, or color, or in text that is not set off from
 surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, such as would clearly call attention to
 the language.

22. Nevertheless, when a consumer completes the order flow, Defendants unilaterally
enroll the consumer in an automatic renewal program. Thereafter, without the consumer's
affirmative consent, Defendants post subsequent charges to the consumer's credit card, debit card,
or third-party payment account, in violation of California law.

8

## **CONSUMER COMPLAINTS ABOUT DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES**

9 23. Many consumers report that they have been wrongfully charged by Defendants. 10 Some consumers report that they were charged without ever ordering Defendants' software. Other consumers report that they ordered only a free or low-cost trial for a limited period, but were 11 12 thereafter charged without authorization. Still other consumers report that, after contacting 13 Defendants to cancel a subscription, Defendants thereafter continued to post charges to the 14 consumer's credit card, debit card, or third-party payment account. Regardless of the particular circumstances, the common theme is that Defendants charge consumers for automatic renewal 15 16 subscriptions, without consent. This theme runs throughout the multitude of consumer complaints 17 posted on the Better Business Bureau ("BBB") website.

18

24. Illustrative customer complaints or reviews posted on the BBB website for TotalAV

19 (<<u>https://www.bbb.org/us/ca/venice/profile/computer-software/total-av-antivirus-1216-716805</u>>

20 [[as of October 20, 2023]) include the following (copied verbatim):

**Problems with Product/Service. (Sept. 5, 2023).** Signed up for an introductory offer, that included "Free" offers. There were no \* to indicate that there were fine 21 prints, nor was there any mention that these free offers would auto-renew the NEXT 22 day at full prices. But that's not even the main part of my complaint. I tried calling 23 them to cancel, and was told by an automated assistant to visit their website at their help address/URL. I can't even access it because it tells me that option isn't available 24 because my account is a free account. Yet I have invoices sent to my email that specifically shows that I have been charged, and it also shows on my credit card 25 statement. So I paid for no access to any service, and their website just continuously loops to other offers, when all I want to do is to cancel the service and reject any and all auto-renewals. 26

27 A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 6.

28

1	Problems with Product/Service. (August 25, 2023). There was an unauthoriz			
2	charge to my debit card by totalav.com. I requested a refund online and they said they "have no record" I then made a phone call and it was VERY difficult to speak			
3	to the person in the call center due to all the background noise and people talking. It truly sounded like a scam. They requested my card # and I refused to give it to them.			
4	I said you only need the last four digits; you took the money, you need to give it back. They offered 80%, I refused and demanded a 100% refund. I was put on hold			
5	and the person came back and said the refund had been issued. I still do not have it. I have been trying to get it for almost a week.			
6	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 7.			
7	Trostenis with Troduction (Tugast 17, 2020).			
8	subscription without my knowledge. I wish to get it cancelled and charges refunde			
9	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 8.			
10				
11	September 2022, my credit card was charged \$1.99 and then \$9.95 per month thereafter for a TotalAV smartphone protection. I never requested or approved this			
12	charge. I believe it was a scam through a pop up ad. The phone number provided to the company on my credit card charge was false. I contacted the company for a			
13				
14	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 9.			
15	Problems with Product/Service. (March 27, 2023). Totalav has been billing my			
16	Discover card for \$9.95 monthly for approximately 6 months. I did not buy this service. I do not have a computer and have no use for this service. I have called			
17	Discover to dispute the charge. I have also called Totalav and spoke to a representative that said I could not cancel by phone. He gave me a link, which I have			
18	tried repeatedly to cancel but to no avail. Discover issued me a new card. Totalav found a way to bill me. I WANT this Totalav acct. Canceled. I want a refund. I want			
19	an apology from them for their service I don't even have.			
20	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 10.			
21	<b>Problems with Product/Service. (Dec. 14, 2022).</b> I have been getting charged by TotalAV for a LONC time new deprite opening multiple tickets and empiling.			
22	TotalAV for a LONG time now, despite opening multiple tickets and emailing. I have never used the product and I have never installed it on any of my devices,			
23	therefore the continued charges are quite literally ridiculous. I want my account canceled, and all of my charges refunded. I have received the following charges:			
24	12/12/22: \$10.25 11/14/22: \$9.95 10/06/22: \$10.25 09/06/22: \$10.25 08/08/22: \$10.25 07/05/22: \$10.25 06/03/22: \$9.95 04/18/22: \$9.26 03/23/22: \$9.26 02/18/22:			
25	\$3.08			
26	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 11.			
27				
28				
	8			

**Problems with Product/Service. (Nov. 10, 2022).** I discovered a charge on my credit card and found it came from totalav and found charges every month from last December. I have not used the service don't want it didn't sign up for it they can't tell me how I joined and have the town I live in wrong. I have never received an email or a notification that I am signed up.

4 A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 12.

5 Problems with Product/Service. (Oct. 9, 2022). TotalAV has been charging me for antivirus services that I never requested, nor authorized. They took monthly 6 payments for almost 2 years. This is not my main checking account that I use regularly. It was an account that I used for dividend deposits. I only caught the fraud 7 when the account was overdrawn. I couldn't believe that it was overdrawn when I looked at the account. This started 1/21 through 9/22. TotalAV only refunded me 8 \$14.99 for the last month. I uploaded one of the emails that I sent them requesting that they refund the entire amount that they stole from me. They took a total of 9 \$209.79 from my account in monthly payments. What's interesting is that if you look at their pricing plans, the price for 1 yr is only \$19. for the year 2021. Yet they 10 withdrew much more than that with their monthly payments for the year. I never even downloaded their virus protection on my phone. I didn't know that it existed. I 11 never received any correspondence from this company either. This company is completely fraudulent and I wasn't surprised to see other people who were defrauded 12 also. Isn't there some way to expose this company for the thieves that they are? Especially in this economy, we are all trying to save any way we can. We don't need 13 people stealing from us too. I would appreciate any help that you can give me. 14

- 15 A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 13.
- Problems with Product/Service. (Sept. 18, 2022). I signed up for a free trial of Totalav anti virus protective software. The program was garbage so I cancelled the subscription. Two weeks ago, they tried to renew my subscription after i told them last year to cancel it. They are trying desperately to get me to renew but they cannot understand that I don't want their program. I want them to be told to stop the renewal attempts and to never contact me again. I want it to be publicly known that it is my OPINION that Totalav is a scam and they are ripoff artists. Because of their attempts to renew the subscription, my debit card has been blocked and I'm going to have a problem buying groceries this week. Please leash and muzzle them.
- 21 A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 14.
- Billing/Collection Issues. (Feb. 18, 2022). I noticed monthly charges of \*\*\*\*\* from this company on \*\*\* \*\*\*\* The charges go way back to \*\*\* \*\*\*\*\* I never knowingly signed up for this service. Apple iPhones do not need antivirus protection. I would like a refund back to \*\*\* \*\*\*\*\* Customer ID XXXXXXXX
- 25 A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 15.
- Billing/Collection Issues. (Dec. 15, 2021). Total AV charged my account \*\*\*\*\*
  on \*\*\*\*\*\* without my consent for any type of renewal or continued service with
  them. I want my money back. This automatic withdrawal was not approved. I do not and did not want to continue any type of service from them. I want a full refund of
- 28

1

2

1 2	my money from them. I am not happy with a "business" that operates in this manner. I feel it's very deceitful. I want a complete refund and do not wish to have any open account with Total AV	
3	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 16.	
4	Problems with Product/Service. (Sept. 5, 2021). I signed up for a trial order with	
5	TotalAV not realizing they started to charge me after the trial period ends without my consent and authorization. I was quite upset upon seeing half a year worth of	
6	monthly charges on my credit card. Called to cancel today but was not offered a refund. Their practice is very dishonest. Please assist me with a full refund from	
7	them. Other people must know and be aware and not get ripped off.	
8	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 17.	
9	0AV for \$2.99 on 4/25/2021. Next thing I know I'm paying \$8.99/month in May. On top of that I start receiving messages on my cell phone the repeatedly stating my protection has expired, when in fact I've been paying \$8.99/mo. Finally, I had enough and have been trying to cancel ever since. However, it seems to be impossible to get	
10		
11		
12	these moron crooks off my back! As of today, on blocked the autopayment on PayPal, obviously should have done that first thing lesson learned!!! This company	
13	just won't stop even if you try to do it exactly as explained! I will never use this service again providing it can ever be stopped! Their practices are deceptive and	
14	unwarranted!	
15	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 18.	
16	<b>Problems with Product/Service. (July 28, 2021).</b> This company keep billing my visa it took 8.99 today from my cash app. I have no subscription with them and	
17	several months ago cancelled any subscription they thought I made with them. They continue to bill my visa card. I want my refund for each month they took my money	
18	and for this company to stop their predatory practice!	
19	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 19.	
20	<b><u>Billing</u>/Collection Issues. (Nov. 7, 2020).</b> Total Av keeps billing my Visa without my authorization. I never ordered it. B of A denies it thankfully. The keep on trying	
21	to bill my visa. Stop it. My Visa was billed by Total AV without my authorization and was declined by B of A. I changed to a new Visa card # and some how Total AV	
22	hacked that new number and tried billing again and was denied. I never bought or used Total AV but some how they find my Visa card # and keep trying. How are they	
23	getting my Visa # when I never gave it to Total AV. This is illegal activity and must be stopped immediately. B of A declines it because my 3 digit security pin on my	
24	card is not supplied by Total AV so my bank declines it! They are illegally getting	
25	my **** # by hacking or other illegal source.	
26	A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 20.	
27	25. The persistent pattern of unauthorized charges reflected in the foregoing BBB	
28	complaints aptly characterize what happened to Plaintiffs.	
	10	

#### FACTS GIVING RISE TO THIS ACTION

#### 2 || Plaintiff Larry Nelson's Transaction

26. Nelson does not recall ordering any software from Defendants. If Nelson did submit
an order, it would have been done in San Diego County on or about October 2, 2022, which is the
date Defendants first charged him for Total Adblock. On information and belief, the order flow of
the Total Adblock website in October 2022 was in all material respects the same as the order flow
described above in paragraph 20 and depicted in Exhibits 3-5.

8 27. On October 2, 2022, Defendants posted a \$2.99 charge to Nelson's PayPal account, 9 which was described as a charge for Total Adblock. On information and belief, that \$2.99 charge 10 reflects Defendants' charge associated with a one-month "trial" of the Total Adblock software. If 11 Nelson submitted a request for a trial of Total Adblock, he nevertheless was not aware that 12 Defendants would enroll him in a subscription that would automatically renew and for which 13 Defendants would post subsequent charges to Nelson's PayPal account.

28. 14 During the ensuing months, Defendants posted additional charges to Nelson's PayPal 15 account. To begin, Defendants posted charges for Total Adblock on November 2, 2022 and 16 December 2, 2022, both for \$9.95. Then, in January 2023, Defendants posted two charges (a \$1.99 17 charge on January 1, 2023, and a \$9.95 charge on January 2, 2023), both for Total Adblock. During 18 the ensuing months, from February 2023 through July 2023, Defendants posted two monthly charges 19 of \$9.95 each, both for Total Adblock. Then, on July 23, 2023, Defendants posted a \$1.99 charge 20 for Total AV. In August 2023, Defendants posted three charges of \$9.95 each (two for Total 21 Adblock on August 1 and August 2, respectively, and one for TotalAV on August 23). The charges 22 continued into September 2023, with \$9.95 charges posted on September 1 and September 2, both 23 for Total Adblock. Nelson did not authorize any of those charges, and he received no value in return 24 for any of those charges.

25 29. Nelson did not discover that he was being charged for Total Adblock and/or Total
26 AV until in or about September 2023. Upon discovering unauthorized charges, Nelson called
27 Defendants' customer service department to stop further charges and seek a refund. Initially, Nelson
28 encountered difficulty getting through to a live agent. Eventually, on or about September 20, 2023,

1 Nelson was able to get through to an agent to cancel the purported subscriptions.

30. Nelson did not consent to be enrolled in any automatic renewal subscription, and
Nelson did not authorize Defendants to post the foregoing charges to his PayPal account. Nelson
therefore seeks restitution all amounts paid to Defendants between October 2022 and September
2023.

## 6 || <u>Plaintiff John Daub's Transaction</u>

31. Daub does not recall ordering any software from Defendants. If Daub did submit an
order, it would have been done in San Diego County on or about August 28, 2022, which is the date
Defendants first charged him for TotalAV. On information and belief, the order flow of the TotalAV
website in August 2022 was in all material respects the same as the order flow described above in
paragraph 20 and depicted in Exhibits 3-5.

32. On August 28, 2022, Defendants posted a \$2.99 charge to Daub's credit card, which was described as a charge for TotalAV. On information and belief, that \$2.99 charge reflects Defendants' charge associated with a one-month "trial" of the TotalAV software. If Daub submitted a request for a trial of TotalAV, he nevertheless was not aware that Defendants would enroll him in a subscription that would automatically renew and for which Defendants would post subsequent charges to Daub's credit card.

18 33. During the ensuing months, Defendants posted unauthorized charges to Daub's
19 credit card in the amount of \$9.95 for each month from September 2022 through August 2023.

34. Daub did not discover the unauthorized charges until September 2023, at which time
Daub called TotalAV to request cancellation and a refund of prior charges. TotalAV agreed to
refund only the most recent charge of \$9.95 (charged in August 2023). Daub received no value in
return for the charges by Defendants.

35. Daub did not consent to be enrolled in any automatic renewal subscription, and did
not authorize Defendants to post the foregoing charges to his credit card. Daub therefore seeks
restitution all amounts paid to Defendants between August 2022 and July 2023.

- 27
- 28

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

2 Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit as a class action under Code of Civil Procedure § 382 on 36. 3 behalf of the following Class: "All California residents who, between October 20, 2019 and June 30, 2024, were enrolled in and charged for an automatic renewal or continuous service subscription 4 5 for Protected Software offered by any of the Defendants, limited to individuals who did not receive a full refund of any amounts paid towards such automatic renewal or continuous service 6 subscription. Excluded from the Class are all employees of Defendants, all employees of Plaintiffs' 7 8 counsel, and the judicial officers to whom this case is assigned." The term "Protected Software" 9 means one or more of the following software as a service products made available to consumers via 10 the internet for download or via application marketplaces: PC Protect, ScanGuard, Total Adblock, TotalAV, Total Password, Total VPN, and Total WebShield. 11

12 37. <u>Ascertainability</u>. The members of the Class may be ascertained by reviewing records
13 in the possession of Defendants and/or third parties, including without limitation Defendants'
14 marketing and promotion records, customer records, and billing records.

15 38. Common Questions of Fact or Law. There are questions of fact or law that are 16 common to the members of the Class, which predominate over individual issues. Common questions 17 regarding the Class include, without limitation: (1) whether Defendants present all statutorily-18 mandated automatic renewal offer terms, within the meaning of § 17601(b); (2) whether Defendants 19 present automatic renewal offer terms in a manner that is "clear and conspicuous," within the meaning of § 17601(c), and in "visual proximity" to a request for consent to the offer, as required 2021 by § 17602; (3) whether Defendants obtain consumers' affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic renewal offer terms before charging a 22 23 credit card, debit card, or third-party payment account; (4) whether Defendants provide consumers 24 with an acknowledgment that includes clear and conspicuous disclosure of all statutorily-mandated 25 automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, the cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel; (5) whether Defendants provide an easy-to-use mechanism for 26 27 cancellation; (6) Defendants' record-keeping practices; (7) the appropriate remedies for Defendants' 28 conduct; and (8) the appropriate terms of an injunction.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

39. <u>Numerosity</u>. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all class members would be
 impracticable. Plaintiffs are informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Class consists of at
 least 100 members.

40. Typicality and Adequacy. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants enrolled class members 4 5 in an automatic renewal program without disclosing all terms required by law, and without presenting such terms in the requisite "clear and conspicuous" manner; charged class members' 6 7 credit cards, debit cards, or third-party accounts without first obtaining the class members' 8 affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic 9 renewal offer terms; and failed to provide the requisite acknowledgment. Plaintiffs have no interests 10 that are adverse to those of the other class members. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members. 11

41. <u>Superiority</u>. A class action is superior to other methods for resolving this controversy.
Because the amount of restitution to which each class member may be entitled is low in comparison
to the expense and burden of individual litigation, it would be impracticable for class members to
redress the wrongs done to them without a class action. Furthermore, on information and belief,
class members do not know that their legal rights have been violated. Class certification would also
conserve judicial resources and avoid the possibility of inconsistent judgments.

18

19

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION** 

False Advertising (Based on Violation of the Automatic Renewal Law)

20

(Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17535 & § 17600 et seq.)

21

42. Plaintiffs incorporate the previous allegations as though set forth herein.

43. During the applicable statute of limitations period, Defendants have enrolled Plaintiffs and class members in automatic renewal and/or continuous service programs and have violated the ARL and committed false advertising by, among other things, (a) failing to present the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity to a request for consent to the offer, in violation of § 17602(a)(1); (b) charging the consumer's credit or debit card or the consumer's third-party payment account for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the

consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of all 1 2 automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms, in violation of § 17602(a)(2); and 3 (c) failing to provide an acknowledgment that includes clear and conspicuous disclosure of all required automatic renewal offer terms, the cancellation policy, and information regarding how to 4 5 cancel, in violation of  $\S$  17602(a)(3).

44. Plaintiffs have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendants' 6 7 violations alleged herein.

45. 8 Pursuant to §§ 17603 and 17535, Plaintiffs and class members are entitled to 9 restitution of all amounts paid to Defendants for any automatic renewal or continuous service 10 subscription during the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint and continuing until 11 Defendants' statutory violations cease.

12 46. Unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to commit 13 the violations alleged herein. Pursuant to § 17535, for the benefit of the general public of the State 14 of California, Plaintiffs seek a public injunction prohibiting Defendants from continuing the 15 unlawful practices as alleged herein.

Unfair Competition

16 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 17 18 (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.) 19 47. Plaintiffs incorporate the previous allegations as though fully set forth herein.

48. 20 The Unfair Competition Law defines unfair competition as including any unlawful, 21 unfair or fraudulent business act or practice; any unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising; 22 and any act of false advertising under § 17500. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200.)

23 49. During the applicable limitations period, Defendants committed unlawful and unfair 24 business practices, and engaged in unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising, by, inter alia 25 and without limitation: (a) failing to present the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before a subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in 26 27 visual proximity to a request for consent to the offer, in violation of § 17602(a)(l); (b) charging the 28 consumer in connection with an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the 1 consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of 2 automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms, in violation of § 17602(a)(2); and 3 (c) failing to provide an acknowledgment that includes clear and conspicuous disclosure of all 4 required automatic renewal offer terms, the cancellation policy, and information regarding how to 5 cancel, in violation of § 17602(a)(3). Plaintiffs reserve the right to identify other acts or omissions 6 that constitute unlawful or unfair business acts or practices, unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading 7 advertising, and/or other prohibited acts.

8 50. Defendants' acts and omissions as alleged herein violate obligations imposed by
9 statute, are substantially injurious to consumers, offend public policy, and are immoral, unethical,
10 oppressive, and unscrupulous as the gravity of the conduct outweighs any alleged benefits
11 attributable to such conduct.

12 51. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendants' legitimate13 business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

14 52. Defendants' acts, omissions, nondisclosures, and misleading statements as alleged
15 herein were and are false, misleading, and/or likely to deceive the consuming public.

16 53. Plaintiffs have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendants' acts
17 of unfair competition.

18 54. Pursuant to § 17203, Plaintiffs and the class members are entitled to restitution of all
19 amounts paid to Defendants for any automatic renewal or continuous service subscription during
20 the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint and continuing until Defendants' acts of unfair
21 competition cease.

55. Unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to commit
the violations alleged herein. Pursuant to § 17203, for the benefit of the general public of the State
of California, Plaintiffs seek a public injunction prohibiting Defendants from continuing the
unlawful and unfair practices as alleged herein.

- 27
- 28

1	PRAYER		
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:		
3	On the First Cause of Action:		
4	1. For restitution to Plaintiff and all class members;		
5	2. For a public injunction;		
6	On the Second Cause of Action:		
7	3. For restitution to Plaintiff and all class members;		
8	4. For a public injunction;		
9	On All Causes of Action:		
10	5. For an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5;		
11	6. For costs of suit;		
12	7. For pre-judgment interest; and		
13	8. For such other relief that the Court deems just and proper.		
14	Dated: December 6, 2024 DOSTART HANNINK LLP		
15	DI INANT		
16	Zachp. DOSTART		
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
18	1022104.1		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	17 No. 27 2022 00045979 CU DT CTU		
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT   No. 37-2023-00045878-CU-BT-CTL		