IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS - EASTERN DIVISION

Georgina Gomes, individually and on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons, known and unknown,								
Plaintiffs.)							
v.)							
Mielle Organics LLC and The Procter & Gamble Company,								
Defendants.)							

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Now comes Plaintiff Georgina Gomes ("Plaintiff") individually and on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons, known and unknown, by her attorneys, and in complaining of the Defendants Mielle Organics LLC ("Mielle") and The Procter & Gamble Company ("P&G") (collectively, "Defendants"), states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a class action lawsuit regarding Defendants' manufacturing, distribution, and sale of Mielle Organics Rosemary Mint Scalp & Strengthening Hair Oil (the "Product").
- 2. The Product contains ingredients that cause and have caused hair loss in its users, including Plaintiff and those in the Proposed Class Members.
- 3. Defendants misrepresent that the Product are safe; Defendants have failed to disclose that the Product may cause hair loss in its users.
- 3. Defendants did not adequately disclose the risks in its Product of hair loss on the Product's labeling, advertising, marketing, or sale of the Products.
- 4. Plaintiff and proposed Class Members would not have purchased the Product, or would have paid substantially less for the Product, had Defendants disclosed that the Product contained ingredients that would cause hair loss if used.

- 5. As a manufacturer, distributor, and seller of cosmetics products, Defendants have a duty to ensure its Products did not contain ingredients that would cause hair loss in their consumers. Defendants made no reasonable effort to test its Product to ensure that the Product would not cause hair loss.
- 6. Defendant did not disclose to Plaintiff or proposed Class Members in any product advertising, labeling, packaging, or marketing that its Product carried risks of causing hair loss.
- 7. To the contrary, Defendants represented and warranted, expressly and impliedly, that the Product was safe and effective for their intended use, were of merchantable quality, and complied with federal and state law.
- 8. If Defendants had fulfilled their quality assurance obligations, Defendants would have identified the presence of ingredients in the Product that caused hair loss in its users.
- 9. If Defendants adequately tested its Products, it would have discovered the Product contained ingredients that cause hair loss, making the Product ineligible for distribution, marketing, and sale.
- 10. Accordingly, Defendants knowingly, or at least negligently, introduced a harmful and/or misbranded Product containing into the U.S. market.
- 11. When Plaintiff purchased Defendants' Product, Plaintiff did not know, and had no reason to know, that Defendants' Products were harmful and misbranded and thus unlawful to sell as set forth herein.
- 12. Plaintiff would not have purchased Defendants' Product at all had she known the Product contained ingredients causing hair loss or risked causing hair loss.
- 13. No reasonable consumer would have paid any amount for a cosmetic products that contained ingredients causing hair loss or containing a high risk of causing hair loss.

- 14. Thus, if Plaintiff and Class members had been informed that Defendants' Product contained ingredients causing hair loss or risked causing hair loss, they would not have purchased or used the Product at all, or would have paid significantly less for the Product, making such omitted facts material to them.
- 15. Plaintiff and Class members were injured by the full purchase price of the Product because the Product is worthless, as they contained ingredients causing hair loss or risked causing hair loss.
- 16. Further, Plaintiff and Class Members bargained for a Product free of free of ingredients causing hair loss or risked causing hair loss, and were deprived the basis of their bargain when Defendants manufactured and sold them the Product containing or at risk of containing ingredients causing hair loss or risked causing hair loss.
- 17. Had Defendants not misrepresented that the Product was safe and/or had Defendants not failed to disclose that the Product contained or were at risk of causing hair loss or risked causing hair loss, Plaintiff and Class Members would not have purchased the Product or would not have paid as much for the Product based on these misrepresentations or omissions.
- 18. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to damages for the monies paid to purchase the Product, both economic and non-economic, statutory and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and injunctive relief.
- 19. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and the Class for equitable relief and to recover damages and restitution for: (i) violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("ICFA") 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.; (ii) fraud; (iii) unjust enrichment, and (iv) violations of the state consumer fraud acts.

PARTIES

- 20. Plaintiff Georgina Gomes is a resident of Stoughton, Massachusetts, and is a citizen of Massachusetts. Plaintiff purchased and used the Product for personal use.
- 21. When purchasing the Product, Plaintiff reviewed the accompanying labels and disclosures, and understood them as representations and warranties by Defendants that the Product were properly manufactured, free from defects, and safe for their intended use.
- 22. Plaintiff relied on these representations and warranties in deciding to purchase the Product manufactured and sold by Defendants, and these representations and warranties were part of the basis of the bargain, in that she would not have purchased the Product from Defendants if she had known that they were not, in fact, properly manufactured, free from defects, and safe for their intended use.
- 23. The Product Plaintiff purchased was in fact improperly manufactured, defective, and not safe for its intended use.
- 24. Plaintiff was injured in that she purchased the unsafe Product, causing her hair loss, and therefore the Product was worthless.
- 25. Plaintiff was deceived by Defendants' representations and omissions regarding the safety and utility of the Product.
- 26. Defendant Mielle is a Delaware limited liability company. Defendant Mielle manufactures, markets, and sells the Product throughout the State of Illinois and the United States, including in both retail establishments and online.
- 27. Defendant P&G is an Ohio corporation with its principal place of business located in Ohio. In 2023, Defendant P&G purchased Defendant Mielle and began to manufacture, market, and sell the Product throughout the State of Illinois and the United States, including in both retail establishments and online.

JURISIDICTION AND VENUE

- 28. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendants, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 29. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants sell and market the Product in this District.
- 30. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Class Action claims occurred within this District, Defendants have marketed, advertised, and sold the Product in this District, and Defendants have caused harm to the Class members in this District.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 31. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all persons in the United States who purchased the Product for personal or household use within any applicable limitations period (the "Class").
- 32. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass of all Class Members who purchased the Products for personal or household use in California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, or Washington within any applicable limitations period (the "Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass").¹

¹ While discovery may alter the following, the states in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class are limited to those states with similar consumer fraud laws under the facts of this case: California (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.); Florida (Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.); Illinois (815 Ill. Comp. Stat. 505/1, et seq.); Massachusetts (Mass. Gen. Laws Ch. 93A, et seq.); Michigan (Mich. Comp.

- 33. The Class and Subclasses are collectively referred to as the "Classes."
- 34. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definitions of the Classes may be expanded or narrowed by amendment to the complaint or narrowed at class certification.
- 35. Specifically excluded from the Classes are Defendants, Defendants' officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendants, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendants and/or Defendants' officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.
- 36. **Numerosity.** The members of the proposed Classes are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are thousands of individuals that are members of the proposed Classes. Although the precise number of proposed members are unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of members of the Classes are known by Defendants. Members of the Classes may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution and sales records of Defendants and third-party retailers and vendors.
- 37. **Typicality.** The claims of the representative Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Classes in that the representative Plaintiff, like all members of the Classes, purchased the Product, which

Laws § 445.901, et seq.); Minnesota (Minn. Stat. § 325F.67, et seq.); Missouri (Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.); New Jersey (N.J. Stat. § 56:8-1, et seq.); New York (N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law §§ 349 and 350); and Washington (Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et seq.).

were worthless due to their unsafe nature. The representative Plaintiff, like all members of the Classes, has been damaged by Defendants' misconduct in the very same way as the members of the Classes. Further, the factual bases of Defendants' misconduct are common to all members of the Classes and represent a common thread of misconduct resulting in injury to all members of the Classes.

- 38. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Classes and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Classes. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) whether Defendants knew or should have known the Product caused or were at risk of causing hair loss prior to selling them, thereby constituting fraud and/or fraudulent concealment;
- (b) whether Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and the Classes for unjust enrichment;
- (c) whether Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and the Classes for fraud;
- (d) whether Plaintiff and the Classes have sustained monetary loss and the proper measure of that loss;
- (e) whether Plaintiff and the Classes are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief;
- (f) whether Plaintiff and the Classes are entitled to restitution and disgorgement from Defendants; and
- (g) whether the marketing, advertising, packaging, labeling, and other promotional materials for the Product are deceptive.
- (h) whether the Product causes hair loss in its users.
- 39. **Adequacy of Representation**. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Classes. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are highly experienced in consumer class action

litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Classes. Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Classes.

- 40. **Superiority**. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by members of the Classes are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of her claims against Defendants. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for members of the Classes, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if members of the Classes could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.
- 41. In the alternative, the Classes may be certified because:
- (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of the Classes that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendants;
- (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Classes not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede her ability to protect her interests; and/or

(c) Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I - Fraud - (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Classes)

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Classes against Defendants.
- 44. Defendants made fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions to Plaintiff and members of the Classes regarding the Product, specifically that the Products were safe for their intended use, and not harmful. Defendants also materially omitted facts from Plaintiff and members of the Classes, including that the Product in fact would cause hair loss or carried a significant risk that hair loss would occur.
- 45. Defendants had a duty to disclose material facts to Plaintiff and the Classes given that Plaintiff and the Classes were the intended users of the Product. Defendants also had a duty to disclose material facts to Plaintiff and the Classes, namely that it was in fact manufacturing, distributing, and selling harmful products unfit for human use, because Defendants had superior knowledge such that the transactions without the disclosure were rendered inherently unfair.
- 46. Defendants knew or should have known that the Product contained ingredients that would cause hair loss or carried a risk that the Product would cause hair loss, but continued to manufacture, distribute, and sell it nonetheless. During this time, Plaintiff and members of the

Classes were using the Products without knowledge that the Products contained dangerous levels of benzene.

- 47. Defendants failed to discharge their duty to disclose these material facts.
- 48. In so failing to disclose these material facts to Plaintiff and the Classes, Defendants intended to hide from Plaintiff and the Classes that they were purchasing and using the Product with harmful defects that were unsafe, and thus acted with scienter and/or an intent to defraud.
- 49. Plaintiff and the Classes reasonably relied on Defendants' failure to disclose insofar as they would not have purchased the defective Product manufactured and sold by Defendants had they known the Product was unsafe.
- 50. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' fraudulent concealment, Plaintiff and the Classes suffered damages in the amount of monies paid for the defective Product.
- 51. As a result of Defendants' willful and malicious conduct, punitive damages are warranted.

COUNT II - Unjust Enrichment - (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Classes)

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 53. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Classes against Defendants.
- 54. Plaintiff and the Classes conferred a benefit on Defendants in the form of monies paid to purchase Defendants' defective Product.
- 55. Defendants voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit.

56. Because this benefit was obtained unlawfully, namely by selling and accepting compensation for products unfit for safe use, it would be unjust and inequitable for Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof.

COUNT III - Violation of State Consumer Fraud Acts

(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass)

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 58. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass against Defendants.
- 59. The Consumer Fraud Acts of the States in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass prohibit the use of unfair or deceptive business practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.
- 60. Plaintiff and Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass Members have standing to pursue a cause of action for violation of the Consumer Fraud Acts of the states in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass because Plaintiff and Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass Members have suffered an injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendants' actions set forth herein.
- 61. Defendants engaged in unfair conduct, including but not limited to selling the Product while knowing or while being on notice that the Product was unsafe.
- 62. Defendants engaged in deceptive conduct, including but not limited to misrepresenting that the Product did cause hair loss or did not risk causing hair loss.
- 63. Defendants intended that Plaintiff and Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass Members would rely upon its unfair and deceptive conduct and a reasonable person would in fact be misled by this deceptive conduct described above.

- 64. Given Defendants' position in the health and beauty market as an industry leader, Plaintiff and reasonable consumers trusted and relied on Defendants' representations and omissions regarding the safety of the Product.
- 65. As a result of Defendants' use or employment of unfair or deceptive acts or business practices, Plaintiff and Consumer Fraud Multi-State Subclass Members have sustained damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 66. In addition, Defendants' conduct showed malice, motive, and the reckless disregard of the truth such that an award of punitive damages is appropriate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests, individually and on behalf of the alleged Classes, that the Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendants as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Classes under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, naming Plaintiff as the representative for the Classes, and naming Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Classes;
- (b) For an order declaring that Defendants' conduct violates the causes of action referenced herein;
- (c) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Classes on all counts asserted herein;
- (d) For compensatory, statutory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (e) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (f) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (g) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (h) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Classes her reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable as of right.

s/ James M. Dore

James M. Dore/ Dore Law Offices LLC (ARDC# 6296265) Daniel I. Schlade (ARDC No. 6273008)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 6232 N. Pulaski Avenue, #300 Chicago, IL. 60646 P: 773-415-4898

E: james@dorelawoffices.com; danschlade@gmail.com

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Case: 1:24-cv-12019 Document } \#: 1-1. \text{Filed: } 11/21/24 \text{ Page 1 of 2 PageID } \#: 14 \\ \text{CIVIL COVER SHEET} \end{array}$

The ILND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (See instructions on next page of this form.)

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. plaintiff cases) (c) Attorneys (firm name, address, and telephone number)				DEFENDANTS					
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. plaintiff cases only) Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved. Attorneys (if known)					
	- C		l ~.						
 II. BASIS OF JURISDI □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff □ 2 U.S. Government Defendant 	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government not a party) □ 4 Diversity (Indicate citizenship of parties in Item III.)		(C	_	d <u>one</u> box for d		ncipal Place State	PTF 4	DEF
				tizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Check <u>one</u> box, only.)		ı						
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 530 General 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 1 443 Withdrawal 28 USC 1 465 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus - Detainee (Prisoner I 465 Other Immigratio Actions	al Injury CRTY CRTY Period Service S	PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Habeas Corpus: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee – Conditions of Confinement 600 Confinem	710 Fair La 720 Labor/ 740 Railwa 751 Family Leave 790 Other I 791 Employ Income 820 Copyri 830 Patent 835 Patent New D 840 Traden 861 HIA (1) 862 Black I 863 DIWC 865 RSI (44) FEDE 870 Taxes or Defenda 871 IRS—	and Medical Act Labor Litigation yee Retirement security Act RTY RIGHTS ghts Abbreviated rug Application nark L SECURITY 395ff) Jung (923) (DIWW (405(g)) Fitle XVI D5(g)) RAL TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff nt)	□ 480 Consumer □ 485 Telephone	ms Act (31 USC 372 poportionmen I Banking e on Influenced : rganizations Credit e Consumer Act (TCPA TV //Commodition tutory Action ral Acts ental Matter of Information attive Proced w or Appeal ecision onality of	29 (a)) and and s and s on Act dure
V. ORIGIN (Check one box, or 1 Original 2 Ren Proceeding State	noved from 3 Rema	anded from [nsferred from other District	6 Multidistri	Liti	iltidistrict igation rect File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION write a brief statement of cause.)	(Enter U.S. Civil Statute under	which you are filing and	case	L PREVIOUS BANKRU number and judge for any associa a separate attachment if necessary	ated bankruptcy				
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ Check if thi F.R.CV.P.	23, Demand \$	Demand \$ Check Yes only if demanded in complaint. Jury Demand:						
IX. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions) Judge				ase Number		-		
X. Is this a previously disn Date		e? Yes of attorney of record	No If	Yyes, Case # Nam	e of Judge				

Case: 1;24-rev-12019 DROGHORNEY#: cl-MPEHPORG-121/21/23/PROGHE-P-962/PROGHO #:15

Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- **VII. Previous Bankruptcy Matters** For nature of suit 422 and 423 enter the case number and judge for any associated bankruptcy matter previously adjudicated by a judge of this court. Use a separate attachment if necessary.
- VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- **IX. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- **X. Refiling Information.** Place an "X" in the Yes box if the case is being refiled or if it is a remanded case, and indicate the case number and name of judge. If this case is not being refiled or has not been remanded, place an "X" in the No box.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.