UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CENTRAL ISLIP

MARTIN SISCA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

2:24-cv-00813

- against -

KRAFT HEINZ FOODS COMPANY, Defendant **Class Action Complaint**

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff Martin Sisca ("Plaintiff") alleges upon information and belief, except

for allegations about Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

I. INCREASING DEMAND FOR REAL CHEESE

1. Consumers are increasingly seeking healthier choices across the foods

they buy, including meats, dairy, breads and snacks.

2. The result is increased attention to a food's ingredients.

3. According to a food industry executive, "Consumers are reading product

labels more closely, and we are seeing the effects of a simple food movement when it comes to ingredients," especially in snack foods.

4. Consumer research company Mintel attributed this demand for "real ingredients" in part due to media attention focused on lack of transparency in the

food industry.¹

5. This is because shoppers want to consume foods with the types of ingredients they have in their refrigerators and pantries, instead of highly processed and synthetic substitutes.

6. Shoppers are seeking "healthy indulgences," or "treat[s] with all the flavor and taste desired, without the guilt of eating something 'bad' for you," due to the presence of ingredients associated with positive health benefits.

7. One of these ingredients is cheese.

8. Industry data confirms the increased popularity of cheese, with price and per capita consumption rising over the past decade relative to other foods, reaching an all-time high of 50 lbs.

9. Multiple reasons explain the surge in popularity for cheese.

10. First, consumer demand for cheese is consistent with growing preferences for natural foods, made without chemicals or harsh processing.

11. Cheese's definition, as "the coagulated, compressed, and usually ripened curd of milk separated from the whey," fits this bill.²

12. Second, more than half the public consider cheese "healthy" and "nutritious," because it is high in protein and good fats, with key vitamins and

¹ Lynn Dornblaser, Director, Innovation & Insight, Mintel, "Clean Label: Why this Trend is Important Now," 2017.

² Merriam-Webster definition.

minerals like calcium.

13. That this factor was important to consumers was observed by a marketing executive, noting how "Dairy, in its many forms, is increasingly seen as an inherently healthy simple food."

14. Third, cheese is highly versatile, leading to its usage in a growing number of prepared and packaged applications, instead of only consumed in cubes, slices, or sticks.

15. Fourth, since research shows "consumers initially [] rely on extrinsic cues such as visual information on labels and packaging" to "develop[] sensory [and other] expectations" about its ingredients, food marketers know claims like "made with real cheese" add value to shelf stable foods consumers may have written off years ago as full of artificial and highly processed ingredients.³

16. This is because cheese "has indulgent properties for consumers who want to 'treat themselves," in addition to its reputation as nutritious.⁴

II. LEGAL BACKGROUND

17. The preference for wholesome ingredients like cheese instead of lower

³ Lancelot Miltgen et al., "Communicating Sensory Attributes and Innovation through Food Product Labeling," Journal of Food Product Marketing, 22.2 (2016): 219-239; Helena Blackmore et al., "A Taste of Things to Come: The Effect of Extrinsic and Intrinsic Cues on Perceived Properties of Beer Mediated by Expectations," Food Quality and Preference, 94 (2021): 104326.

⁴ https://www.freedoniagroup.com/blog/3-top-trends-impacting-cheese-sales

quality cheese substitutes is not new.

18. In response to an unregulated environment where companies substituted cheese by-products and yellow-colored vegetable oils for the real cheese prominently advertised, the Pure Food and Drug Act of 1906 sought to protect consumers from such deception.

19. These requirements were strengthened when Congress adopted the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act ("FFDCA") in 1938. 21 U.S.C. § 301 *et seq*.

20. As a leading dairy producer, New York was one of the first states to adopt the FFDCA through its Agriculture and Markets Law ("AGM"), to "encourage the agricultural industry...[and] to prevent frauds in the traffic therein." AGM § $3.^{5}$

21. The Legislature considered the AGM "an exercise of the police power of the state and a discharge of its obligations for the promotion of the general welfare."

22. These laws prohibited numerous types of "misbranding," an

⁵ Article 4, Dairy Products, AGM § 46 *et seq.*; Article 17, Adulteration, Packing, and Branding of Food and Food Products, AGM § 198 *et seq.*; Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York ("N.Y.C.R.R."), Title 1, Department of Agriculture and Markets, Chapter I, Milk Control, Subchapter A Dairy Products (Article 4, AGM), Part 17, Requirements for the Labeling of, and Definitions and Standards of Identity for, Milk, Milk Products and Frozen Desserts, 1 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 17.18 ("Additional standards of identity for milk and milk products.") and 17.20 ("Requirements applicable to the labeling of milk, milk products and frozen desserts.") (adopting Parts 130, 131 and 133 of Title 21), Chapter VI, Food Control, Subchapter C, Food and Food Products (Article 17, AGM), 1 N.Y.C.R.R. § 250.1 *et seq.*; 1 N.Y.C.R.R. § 259.1(a) (adopting Parts 100, 101 and 102 of Title 21).

anachronistic term used to denote labeling practices which were misleading to consumers. 21 U.S.C. § 343; AGM § 201.

23. However, because it was expected that companies would push the limits of what the laws allowed, a "catchall" provision covered all other labeling that was "false or misleading in any particular." 21 U.S.C. § 343(a); AGM § 201(1).

III. PRODUCT LABELING

24. While the last several years have seen the growth of "meal kits," which consist of fresh, natural ingredients consumers can quickly add together for a healthy meal, "Makers of certain shelf-stable products, however, want shoppers to know that there are other ways to whip up a meal with a minimum of fuss."⁶

25. These "center aisle" stalwarts are increasingly reminding the public of their high-quality ingredients, whether real vegetables or real cheese, that can conveniently deliver tasteful nutrition.

26. Recognizing this trend, Kraft Heinz Foods Company ("Defendant") markets Velveeta Shells & Cheese, described as "Made With Real Cheese," above macaroni shells covered in what appears to be cheese ("Product").

⁶ Bridget Goldschmidt, Progressive Grocer, Center Store Offers Solutions to Rival Meal Kits, Oct. 4, 2017.



27. The lower part of the package states "Original," above "Shell Pasta & Cheese Sauce."

28. Despite the promotion as "Made With Real Cheese," the fine print of the ingredient list on the back of the package reveal the predominant non-macaroni ingredients are lesser quality, non-cheese ingredients, confirmed by listing "WHEY [and] [CANOLA OIL]" first and second as part of the cheese sauce before "CHEDDAR CHEESE." 21 C.F.R. §§ 101.4(a)(1), 101.4(b).

utritio		her	IACARONI PRODUCT (WHEAT Lour, Niach, Ferrous Ulfate (Iron), Fhiamin			1.00	nno	
erving size	40	z (112g/	IONONITRÀTE (VÍTAMIN 81), Iboflavin (Vítamin 82) Olic Acid), cheese sauce			11/2	ela	
bout 1/3 box) (N	lakes abo	ut i cup) (WHEY, CANOLA OIL, CHEDDAR CHEESE [MILK,		FOLIC A	(ก) กันธ	ESE SAUCÉ LA OIL, SE [MILK, RE, SALT, Y PROTEIN IILK, MILK ENTRATE,	DYIN
	Per Serving	Per Box	HEESE CULTURE, SALT, ENZYMES], WHEY PROTEIN		WULLY	OID, OIL	LOE SAULE	0
Calories	3/0		CONCENTRATE, MILK, MILK PROTEIN CONCENTRATE,	No. A Conse	(WNCI	LANU	LA UIL,	utes
	% DV*	% DV*	SODIUM PHOSPHATE, CONTAINS LESS THAN 2% OF		CHEDD	AR CHEE	SE IMILK.	-
Total Fat	12g 16%	38g 48%	SALT, LACTIC ACID, SODIUM Alginate, sorbic acid as a	and the second	CHEESE	CILLTUR	E SALT	
Saturated Fat	30 14%	9g 43%	PRESERVATIVE, OLEORESIN PAPRIKA (COLOR), ENZYMES,	And a	CN 7VM	OULIUI	L, OALI,	1
Trans Fat	Og	0.5g	CHEESE CULTURE, ANNATTO Extract (color), Milkfat,		ENZYME	:5], WHEY	PROTEIN	1
Cholesterol	15mg 5%	50mg 17%	NATURAL FLAVOR).		CONCEN	TRATE M		1
Sodium	860mg 38%	2630mg 114%	CONTAINS: WHEAT, MILK.		DRATE	CONO	ENTDATE	
Total Carbohydrate	49g 18%	150g 54%	DISTRIBUTED BY KRAFT HEINZ FOODS COMPANY,		FRUIEI	N CONC	ENIKAIE,	1
Dietary Fiber	1g 5%	5g 16%	CHICAGO, IL 60601 SCAN HERE FOR MORE	2	-	-	27	
Total Sugars	40	12g	FOOD INFORMATION			(Com)		
Incl. Added Sugars	s 0g 0%	0g 0%	05,80			SCREES		1
Protein	13g	40g						
Vitamin D	Omcg 0%	Omcg 0%		1 BOIL 1½ qt. ((cupe)	2 DRAIN PASTA	3 ADD CHEESE	
Calcium	150mg 10%		Smortlabel®	water i	n saucepan.	DONOT	SAUCE Mix well.	A Constant
Iron	3mg 15%	% 9.1mg 50%	CALL 1-800-847-1997 FOR MORE FOOD INFORMATION		hell pasta; to 10 min. or	RINSE. Return	Makes	
Potassium	210mg 4	% 640mg 15%	Kraftheinzcompany.com		ider, stirring	to pan.	about 3	Real Provide State

INGREDIENTS: ENRICHED MACARONI PRODUCT (WHEAT FLOUR. NIACIN, FERROUS **SULFATE** [IRON], THIAMIN MONONITRATE [VITAMIN B1], RIBOFLAVIN [VITAMIN B2], FOLIC ACID), CHEESE SAUCE (WHEY, CANOLA OIL, CHEDDAR CHEESE [MILK, CHEESE CULTURE, SALT, ENZYMES], WHEY PROTEIN CONCENTRATE, MILK, MILK PROTEIN CONCENTRATE, SODIUM PHOSPHATE, CONTAINS LESS THAN 2% OF SALT, LACTIC ACID, SODIUM ALGINATE, SORBIC ACID AS A PRESERVATIVE, OLEORESIN PAPRIKA [COLOR], ENZYMES, CHEESE CULTURE, ANNATTO EXTRACT [COLOR], MILKFAT, NATURAL FLAVOR).

IV. LABELING IS MISLEADING

29. Sections 349 and 350 of the New York General Business Law ("GBL")

were modeled on the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"). 15 U.S.C. 45 et

seq.

30. In considering whether advertising is misleading in a material respect,

the FTC Act recognizes that the effect of advertising includes not just representations

Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1 Filed 02/03/24 Page 8 of 22 PageID #: 8

made or suggested by words and images, "but also the extent to which [it] fails to reveal facts material in the light of such representations." 15 U.S.C. § 55(a)(1).

31. This includes the extent to which labeling may fail to prominently and conspicuously reveal facts relative to the proportions or absence of certain ingredients or other facts concerning its ingredients, which are of material interest to consumers.

32. The Product is "misbranded" and misleads consumers because its labeling is false or misleading in any particular. 21 U.S.C. § 343(a)(1); AGM § 201(1).

33. In place of the "real cheese" promised on the label, the cheese sauce is predominantly made from whey and canola oil.

34. Whey is not a required ingredient in any "real cheese," as consumers understand this term.

35. Whey is the watery liquid which remains when curds are strained from milk to produce "real cheese."

36. This consists predominantly of lactose, a sugar, and a small amount of protein.

37. However, whey lacks milkfat, the most important ingredient in real cheese, and key nutrients in cheese.

38. Since one pound of cheese results in nine pounds of whey, the increased

domestic production of cheese has created a surplus of whey.

39. Whey is mainly used as an ingredient for dietary supplements, or as fertilizer.⁷

40. Canola oil is a highly processed vegetable oil.

41. Canola oil is not a natural ingredient like real cheese, because it is subjected to hydrogenation and/or interesterification with the use of chemical catalysts.

42. Canola oil contains harmful trans fats and no protein, unlike real cheese, which contains one-quarter protein, healthy fats and essential vitamins and minerals.

43. Whey and canola oil lack the nutritional value of real cheese in terms of proteins, healthy fats and other vitamins and minerals.

44. Whey and canola oil lack the savory taste of real cheese because they lack the components of real cheese.

45. The representation the Product is "Made With Real Cheese" results in it being misleading to consumers because they will expect the predominant component of the cheese sauce to be "real cheese," even though its most predominant ingredients are whey and canola oil. 21 U.S.C. § 343(a)(1); AGM § 201(1).

46. The front label statements of "Made With Real Cheese" and "Cheese Sauce" fail to prominently and conspicuously reveal facts relative to the proportions

⁷ https://thecounter.org/whey-disposal-reuse-cheese-dairy-byproduct/

or absence of ingredients, specifically, the relatively small amount of real cheese, and its substitution with whey and canola oil.

47. The replacement of real cheese with whey and canola oil is of material interest to consumers because real cheese is significantly more expensive than whey and canola oil.

48. The replacement of real cheese with whey and canola oil is of material interest to consumers because real cheese is significantly more nutritious and healthier than whey and canola oil.

49. "Made With Real Cheese" is misleading and a "half-truth," because even though the cheese sauce contains some real cheese, its predominant ingredients are lower quality cheese substitutes, whey and canola oil.

50. By replacing real cheese with whey and canola oil, the cheese sauce lacks the quality, nutritional, and savory attributes that consumers expect from Product promoted as "Made with Real Cheese."

V. CONCLUSION

51. The Product could have included more real cheese ingredients like cheddar cheese but used synthetic and/or highly processed by-products such as palm oil and dairy product solids, and even the unknown ingredient of bakers' cheese, because they cost less than real cheese.

52. Consumers purchasing products touted as "Made With Real Cheese" are

Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1 Filed 02/03/24 Page 11 of 22 PageID #: 11

misled because the cheese sauce is predominantly from ingredients other than real cheese, the types of ingredients such purchasers are seeking to avoid.

53. Reasonable consumers viewing the label which states, "Made With Real Cheese," "cheese sauce," and a picture of macaroni covered in what appears to be cheese will expect the non-macaroni component to be predominantly real cheese.

54. By adding whey and canola oil, purchasers get a smaller amount of real cheese ingredients than what is promised or implied by the front label.

55. As a result of the false and misleading representations and omissions, the Product is sold at a premium price, \$2.79 for 12 oz, excluding tax and sales, higher than similar products, represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than it would be sold for absent the misleading representations and omissions.

JURISDICTION

56. Plaintiff is a citizen of New York.

57. Jurisdiction is based on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

58. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory or punitive damages, exclusive of interest and costs.

59. Plaintiff is a citizen of New York.

60. Defendant is a citizen of Delaware and Pennsylvania.

61. The class of persons Plaintiff seeks to represent includes persons who

are citizens of a different state from which Defendant is a citizen.

62. The members of the proposed class Plaintiff seeks to represent are more than one hundred, because the Product has been sold at hundreds of retail stores in this State, such as grocery stores, big box stores, warehouse club stores, drug stores, convenience stores, and online to citizens of this State.

63. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it transacts business within New York and sells the Product to consumers within New York from hundreds of retail stores in this State and online to citizens of this State.

64. Defendant transacts business in New York, through the sale of the Product to citizens of New York from hundreds of retail stores in this State and online to citizens of this State.

65. Defendant has committed tortious acts within this State through the distribution and sale of the Product, which is misleading to consumers in this State.

66. Defendant has committed tortious acts outside this State by labeling, representing and selling the Product in a manner which causes injury to consumers within this State by misleading them as to its contents, amount and/or quality, by regularly doing or soliciting business, or engaging in other persistent courses of conduct to sell the Product to consumers in this State, and/or derives substantial revenue from the sale of the Product in this State.

67. Defendant has committed tortious acts outside this State by labeling the

Product in a manner which causes injury to consumers within this State by misleading them as to its contents, amount and/or quality, through causing the Product to be distributed throughout this State, such that it expects or should reasonably expect such acts to have consequences in this State and derives substantial revenue from interstate or international commerce.

68. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it transacts business within New York and sells the Product to consumers within New York from hundreds of retail stores in this State and online to citizens of this State.

69. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it has committed tortious acts within this State through the labeling, distribution and/or sale of the Product, which is misleading to consumers in this State.

70. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it has committed tortious acts outside this State by labeling, representing and selling the Product in a manner which causes injury to consumers within this State by misleading them as to its contents, attributes, amount and/or quality, by regularly doing or soliciting business, or engaging in other persistent courses of conduct to sell the Product to consumers in this State, and/or derives substantial revenue from the sale of the Product in this State, such that it expects or should reasonably expect such acts to have consequences in this State and derives substantial revenue from interstate or international commerce.

VENUE

71. Plaintiff resides in Nassau County.

72. Venue in the Central Islip Division of this District is based on Plaintiff's residence in Nassau County.

73. Venue is based on Plaintiff's residence in Nassau County because a substantial or the entire part of the events or omissions giving rise to his claims occurred in Nassau County, including his purchase of the Product based on the representations and omissions identified here.

74. Venue is based on Plaintiff's residence in Nassau County because this is where his causes of action accrued, including his purchase, payment of money for or towards, use and/or consumption of the Product.

75. Plaintiff purchased, paid money for or towards, used and/or consumed the Product in reliance on the representations and omissions identified here in Nassau County.

76. Plaintiff first became aware the representations and omissions were false and misleading in Nassau County.

PARTIES

77. Plaintiff Martin Sisca is a citizen of Nassau County, New York.

78. Defendant Kraft Heinz Foods Company is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Pennsylvania.

79. Plaintiff is like most consumers and seeks foods promoted as containing ingredients known to have health benefits.

80. Plaintiff is like most consumers and values the nutrients provided by real cheese.

81. Plaintiff is like most consumers and seeks foods promoted as containing the types of ingredients they are likely to have in their homes, like real cheese.

82. Plaintiff is like most consumers and values the taste provided by real cheese.

83. Plaintiff is like most consumers and looks to the front label of foods to see what he is buying and to learn basic information about them.

84. Plaintiff is like most consumers and is accustomed to the front label of packaging telling them if what they are buying contains an appreciable amount of the highlighted ingredients.

85. Plaintiff is like most consumers and when he sees that a front label tells him a product is "made with" specific ingredients, he will expect it contains more than a de minimis or negligible amount of such ingredients instead of mainly lower quality substitutes for such highlighted ingredients.

86. Plaintiff is like most consumers and when he saw the Product's front label telling him the non-macaroni component was "Made With Real Cheese," he believed this, and expected this part was predominantly real cheese.

Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1 Filed 02/03/24 Page 16 of 22 PageID #: 16

87. Plaintiff did not expect this component to be mainly non-cheese ingredients, like whey and canola oil.

88. Plaintiff read, saw and relied on the label's statements of "Made with Real Cheese" with a picture of macaroni shells covered in what appeared to be cheese, and expected the cheese sauce was predominantly real cheese.

89. Plaintiff did not expect the non-macaroni component to contain a greater amount of non-cheese ingredients than real cheese.

90. Plaintiff did not expect that the Product would use whey and canola oil in place of adding more real cheese, including the cheddar cheese highlighted on the label.

91. Plaintiff purchased the Velveeta Shells & Cheese, described as "made with real cheese," with pictures of macaroni shells covered in what appeared to be real cheese, the labeling identified here, at grocery stores, drug stores, gas stations, pharmacies, big box stores, warehouse club stores, and/or convenience stores, in Nassau and/or Suffolk County, between December 2020and December 2023.

92. Plaintiff bought the Product at, around or exceeding the abovereferenced price.

93. Plaintiff paid more for the Product than he would have had he known it contained a de minimis or negligible amount of real cheese, in absolute and relative terms, compared to lesser quality ingredients, as he would have paid less or not

purchased it.

94. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid, and he would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

95. Plaintiff seeks to represent the following class:

All persons in New York who purchased Velveeta Shells & Cheese, described as "Made With Real Cheese," in New York during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged.

96. Excluded from the Class are (a) Defendant, Defendant's board members,

executive-level officers, and attorneys, and immediate family members of any of the foregoing persons, (b) governmental entities, (c) the Court, the Court's immediate family, and Court staff and (d) any person that timely and properly excludes himself or herself from the Class.

97. Common questions of issues, law, and fact predominate and include whether Defendant's representations and omissions were and are misleading and if Plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

98. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair, misleading, and deceptive representations, omissions, and actions. 99. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his interests do not conflict with other members.

100. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on Defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

101. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

102. The class is sufficiently numerous and likely includes several thousand people.

103. This is because Defendant sells the Product to consumers from hundreds of its stores in the State Plaintiff is seeking to represent.

104. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 and 350

105. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-55.

106. The purpose of the GBL is to protect consumers against unfair and deceptive practices.

107. Defendant's false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.

108. This is because consumers prefer food with ingredients which are

natural, minimally processed and nutritious instead of ingredients which are byproducts of making such ingredients and highly processed, synthetic ingredients.

109. The labeling of the Product violated the GBL because the representations and omissions it was "Made With Real Cheese," when its non-macaroni component consisted predominantly of ingredients other than real cheese, whey and canola oil, was unfair and deceptive to consumers.

110. Plaintiff believed the Product's non-macaroni component was predominantly real cheese instead of from whey and canola oil.

111. Plaintiff paid more for the Product and would not have paid as much if he knew that the non-macaroni component was mostly not real cheese, but lesser quality cheese substitutes like whey and canola oil.

112. Plaintiff seeks to recover for economic injury and/or loss he sustained based on the misleading labeling and packaging of the Product, a deceptive practice under the GBL, by paying more for it than he otherwise would have.

113. Plaintiff will produce evidence showing how he and consumers paid more than they otherwise would have paid for the Product, relying on Defendant's representations and omissions, using statistical and economic analyses, hedonic regression, hedonic pricing, conjoint analysis and other advanced methodologies.

114. As a result of Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions, Plaintiff and class members suffered damages in the price premium paid for the Product,

which is the difference between what they paid for it and how much it would have been sold for without the false and misleading representations and omissions identified here.

COUNT II

New York Agriculture & Markets Law ("AGM") § 201

115. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-55.

116. The Legislature intended for these laws against deception to be upheld in numerous ways, including "individual enterprise." AGM § 46.

117. AGM § 201 authorizes individuals to take measures to prevent consumer deception in the labeling of food.

118. Defendant made misrepresentations and omissions of material fact, that the Product was "Made With Real Cheese," even though its non-macaroni component was mainly from non-cheese substitutes like whey and canola oil, through its advertisements and marketing in various forms of media, product packaging and descriptions, and/or targeted digital advertising.

119. These representations and omissions were in violation of AGM § 201, which prohibits the "Misbranding of food."

120. The labeling violates AGM § 201(1), because "Made With Real Cheese" "is false or misleading" because it fails to disclose the presence of predominantly non-real cheese ingredients, whey and canola oil.

121. Defendant failed to truthfully disclose that the Product's non-macaroni

Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1 Filed 02/03/24 Page 21 of 22 PageID #: 21

component contained a de minimis or negligible amount of real cheese, which it was required to do because it highlighted how it was "Made With Real Cheese."

122. Defendant falsely and/or deceptively stated and/or implied the Product's non-macaroni component was predominantly real cheese, even though it was from lesser valued ingredients like whey and canola oil.

123. Defendant's false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.

124. Defendant knew these statements and omissions were false and/or misleading.

125. Defendant intended for consumers to rely on its false statements and omissions for the purpose of selling the Product.

126. Plaintiff and class members did in fact rely upon these statements and omissions.

127. Reliance was reasonable and justified because of the public trust placed in companies selling foods, who expect them to be labeled accurately and in a nonmisleading manner.

128. Plaintiff paid more for the Product, as he would not have paid as much or bought it if he knew that its non-macaroni component was predominantly from ingredients other than real cheese, such as whey and canola oil.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying Plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Awarding monetary damages and interest;
- 3. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for Plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 4. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 3, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Spencer Sheehan Sheehan & Associates P.C. 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 412 Great Neck NY 11021 (516) 268-7080 spencer@spencersheehan.com

Notice of Lead Counsel Designation:

Lead Counsel for Plaintiff

Spencer Sheehan

Sheehan & Associates P.C.

Counsel for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev.4-29-21) Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1-1 Filed 02/03/24 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 23

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
MARTIN SISCA, ind	dividually and on	behalf of all othe	ers KRAFT HEIN	Z FOODS COMPA	NY
similarly situated	•				
(b) County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff	Nassau	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
(EX	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	DNLY)
				NDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
		<u>,</u>			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Sheehan & Associates, P.			Attorneys (If Known)		
11021-3104 (516) 268-708					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P		(For Diversity Cases Only.)
□ 1 U.S. Government	□ 3 Federal Question		(Check one box, only for plaintiff and P		PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 ☑ 1 Incorporated or Pr Business In This S	
_	_		_		
□ 2 U.S. Governmen Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In An	
			Citizen er Subject of e	2 2 Foreign Nation	
Does this action include a monotonic to show cause? Yes \Box No \Box "	tion for temporary restrain	ung oraer or oraer	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		17		•	
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	□ 423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC)
 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment
□ 140 Regonable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury		□ 820 Copyrights	□ 430 Banks and Banking
 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal		 □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark 	☐ 450 Commerce☐ 460 Deportation
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine	Injury Product	T + DOD		□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	☑ 370 Other Fraud	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV
 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 	□ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal 	720 Labor/Management Deletions	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions
□ 196 Franchise	Injury Injury - 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act		 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters
	Medical Malpractice	_	□ 790 Other Labor Litigation		895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	□ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act B96 Arbitration
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure	□ 440 Other Civit Rights □ 441 Voting	□ 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	or Defendant)	□ 899 Administrative Procedure
□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate		□ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	443 Housing/ Accommodations	□ 530 General		26 USC 7609	□ 950 Constitutionality of
□ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities-	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	□ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration		
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions		
	□ 448 Education	 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - 			
		Conditions of			
		Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in \square 1 Original \square 2 Res		Remanded from	4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transfe	erred from □ 6 Multidist	riat
		Appellate Court	Reopened Another	r District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which you are f	(specify) iling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta t		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	28 USC § 1332	-	-		
	Brief description of c	ause:			
VII. REQUESTED IN	False advertising	S IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK VES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE		DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND	-
VIII. RELATED CAS					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEY OF RECORD	DOCIMINATIONIDEN	
2/3/2024		/s/ Spencer Sheeha	an		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

Case 2:24-cv-**66816FUGATIACNE OF ARBIT 82/05/2N EDJGED 157** EDJGED #: 24 Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000,

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration		
----------------------------------	--	--

I, Spencer Sheehan compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): plaintiff , do he

____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for



monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

, counsel for

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil action County?	being	filed in the Yes	Eaște	ern District removed fro No	m a New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	If you answered " a) Did the events County?			ring ris □	e to the claim or claims No	s, or a sul	ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did the events District?	or om	iissions giv Yes	ring ris	e to the claim or claims No	s, or a sul	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
	c) If this is a Fair I received:	Debt C	ollection Pr	actice A	Act case, specify the Cou	nty in whi	ch the offending communication was
	County, or, in an inte	rplead Yes	er action, de	oes the No	claimant (or a majority of	the claim	nts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or s the most significant contacts).
					BAR ADMI	SSION	
	I am currently admi	tted in	the Eastern	n Distric	ct of New York and curren	itly a mem	ber in good standing of the bar of this court.
		\checkmark	۲	′es			No
	Are you currently	the su	ubject of a	ny disc	ciplinary action (s) in thi	s or any o	other state or federal court?
			١	′es	(If yes, please explain	V	No
	I certify the accura	acy of	all informa	ation p	rovided above.		

Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1-2 Filed 02/03/24 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 25

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

))))

)

MARTIN SISCA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

2:24-cv-00813

KRAFT HEINZ FOODS COMPANY,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Kraft Heinz Foods Company

c/o The Corporation Trust Company 1209 N Orange St Wilmington DE 19801-1120

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Sheehan & Associates P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 412 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 2:24-cv-00813 Document 1-2 Filed 02/03/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 26

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-00813

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

ceived by me on (date)				
□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)		
		on (date)	; or	
\Box I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with (name)		
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who res	sides the	ere,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	e individual's last known address; or	_	
\Box I served the summa	ns on (name of individual)			, who
designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)		_
		on (date)	; or	
	nons unexecuted because			;
□ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information i	s true.		
		Server's signature		
		Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: