IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TERESA HERENDEEN, individually on behalf of herself, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

THE QUAKER OATS COMPANY,

Defendant.

Defendant.

Plaintiff Teresa Herendeen ("Plaintiff"), individually on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully submits the following Class Action Complaint against Defendant The Quaker Oats Company ("Quaker Oats" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, upon information and belief based on, among other things, the investigation of counsel, and review of public documents.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action because of Defendant's negligent failure to ensure the quality and safety of its granola products. Defendant's negligent failure led to the recall of over 90 different formulations of Defendant's granola products ("Products", or "Recalled Products"). These Recalled Products were recalled due to bacterial contamination concerns. Specifically, the bacteria species *Salmonella* (hereinafter "Bacteria") is believed to have contaminated Defendant's Recalled Products. Recalled Products, attached as an Exhibit to this complaint,

include granola products from various retailers in all 50 states as well as Puerto Rico, Guam, and Saipan.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Diversity subject matter jurisdiction exists over this class action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-2, 119 Stat. 4 (2005), amending 28 U.S.C. § 1332, at new subsection (d), conferring federal jurisdiction over class actions involving: (a) 100 or more members in the proposed class; (b) where at least some members of the proposed class have different citizenship from Defendant; and (c) where the claims of the proposed class members exceed the sum or value of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) in the aggregate. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2) and (6).
- 3. This Court has Personal Jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has sufficient minimal contacts with this District, and Defendant has its principal place of business in this District. Defendant has purposefully availed itself to this Jurisdiction through its marketing, sale, advertising, and promotion of the Product throughout this Jurisdiction. Defendant also has its headquarters located at 555 W Monroe St, Chicago, IL 60661.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(d) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Teresa Herendeen is a citizen of the State of South Carolina and resides in Conway, South Carolina. Conway is located within Horry County, South Carolina. Plaintiff purchased Defendant's Products as part of her normal routine and diet, and specifically enjoyed Defendant's Chewy Holiday Minis.

6. Defendant, Quaker Oats is an Illinois corporation with its headquarters located at 555 W Monroe St, Chicago, IL 60661. Defendant is a renowned manufacturer of oat and granola products in the United States.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. Defendant manufactures, markets, advertises, labels, and distributes granola products across the country and internationally.
 - 8. Defendant's organization has been operating for approximately 140 years.¹
- 9. Defendant's Recalled Products are heavily marketed as beneficial to one's health², however, its recalled products are not safe for one's health; the Bacteria present in these products can seriously harm and even kill.³
- 10. Defendant's marketing further emphasize quality ingredients that are suitable for hearth and digestive health as well as energy levels.⁴
- 11. On November 28, 2023, Plaintiff purchased one of Defendant's Recalled Products.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. At all times relevant, Defendant knew or should have known that their Recalled Products had a risk of containing harmful Bacteria or were not sufficiently tested for the presence of Bacteria. During this time, Defendant omitted any reference to the presence, or risk thereof, of harmful Bacteria.
- 13. Defendant knew or should have known the risks that *Salmonella* poses, especially to the elderly, very young, and immunocompromised.⁵ Defendant should have known that the

¹ https://www.quakeroats.com/about-quaker-oats/quaker-history

https://www.quakeroats.com/extraordinary-oats

https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/index.html

⁴ https://www.quakeroats.com/extraordinary-oats

standards for food safety have become increasingly stringent in recent years. Further, Defendant

should have known of the dangers of Salmonella due to recent and notable grocery

contaminations.⁶

14. Defendant knew or should have known that they owed consumers a duty of care

to fully prevent, or at the very least, minimize the presence of harmful Bacteria in their Recalled

Products.

15. Defendant knew or should have known that they owed a duty of care to

consumers to adequately test for harmful Bacteria in their Recalled Products.

16. Defendant knew that consumers purchased the Recalled Products based on the

reasonable expectation that Defendant manufactured the Recalled Products to the highest safety

and sanitation standards, as to be fully fit for human consumption, particularly by those seeking

Defendant's products for its health benefits. Defendant knew or should have known that

consumers would reasonably infer that Defendant would hold the Recalled Products to the

highest sanitation and safety standards, as to prevent bacterial contamination.

17. On or about December 15, 2023, Defendant recalled the aforementioned products

due to potential bacterial contamination. Particularly, the bacteria species Salmonella was

mentioned as a possible contaminant.⁷

18. The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and Center for Disease Control

("CDC") have declared Salmonella to be harmful to all persons, even noting that

⁵ https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/general/index.html

⁶ https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/outbreaks-active.html

¹ https://www.fda.gov/safety/recalls-market-withdrawals-safety-alerts/quaker-recalls-

granola-bars-and-granola-cereals-due-possible-health-risk

4

Salmonella bacteria causes about 1.35 million infections, 26,500 hospitalizations, and 420 deaths in the United States every year. ⁸

- 19. Many of Defendant's products are marketed to vulnerable persons, particularly
- children.
- 20. Despite the known risks of *Salmonella*, Defendant has recklessly and/or knowingly sold the Recalled Products without disclosing the possible contamination.
- 21. Additionally, Defendant knew or should have known that possible consumers would ingest the Recalled Products daily, often multiple times per day, thus compounding the possible exposures to *Salmonella*.
- 22. Plaintiffs and consumers did not know, and did not have a reason to know, that the Quaker granola and oat products purchased were contaminated with *Salmonella*. Consumers expect the food they purchase to be safe for consumption and not contaminated by *Salmonella* or other harmful bacteria.
- 23. Defendant's omissions are material, false, misleading, and reasonably likely to deceive the public. This is especially true, considering the long-standing campaign that markets the Recalled Products as healthy and high quality, as to induce customers to purchase the products.
- 24. Plaintiff brings this case as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on her own behalf and as the Class representatives on behalf of the following:

<u>Nationwide Class</u>: All persons within the United States who purchased the Recalled Products within the applicable statute of limitations.

5

⁸ https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/index.html

South Carolina Subclass: All persons within the South Carolina who purchased the Recalled Products within the applicable statute of limitations.

- 25. The Nationwide Class and the South Carolina Subclass shall collectively be referred to herein as the "Classes."
- 26. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definitions if further investigation and discovery indicate that the Class definitions should be narrowed, expanded, or otherwise modified.
- 27. Excluded from the Classes are governmental entities, Defendant, its officers, directors, franchise owners, and any entity Defendant retains a controlling interest in; and the affiliates, legal representatives, and employees of Defendant.
- 28. This action has been brought and may be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 29. **Numerosity** Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1). This Class numbers at least in the thousands of persons. As a result, joinder of all Class Members in a single action is impracticable. Class Members may be informed of the pendency of this class action through a variety of means, including, but not limited to, direct mail, email, published notice, and website posting.
- 30. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3). There are questions of fact and law common to the Classes that predominate over any question affecting only individual Members. Those questions, each of which may also be certified under Rule 23(c)(4), include without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant engaged in the conduct alleged herein;

- b. Whether Defendant owed a duty of care to Plaintiff and the Classes;
- c. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that the Recalled Products contained, or may contain, *Salmonella*;
- d. Whether Defendant wrongfully represented and continue to represent that the Recalled Products are safe for human consumption;
- e. Whether Defendant wrongfully represented and continue to represent that the manufacturing of the Recalled Products is subjected to rigorous standards, including testing for *Salmonella*;
- f. Whether Defendant wrongfully failed to disclose that the Recalled Products contained, or may contain, *Salmonella* and/or other contaminants;
- g. Whether Defendant's representations in advertising, warranties, packaging and/or labeling are false, deceptive, and misleading;
- h. Whether those representations are likely to deceive a reasonable consumer;
- Whether a reasonable consumer would consider the presence of, or risk of, *Salmonella*, as a material fact when purchasing the Recalled Products;
- j. Whether Defendant had knowledge that those representations were false, deceptive and misleading;
- k. Whether Defendant continues to disseminate those representations despite knowledge that the representations are false, deceptive, and misleading;
- 1. Whether representations that a product is safe for consumption, and does not contain *Salmonella*, are material to a reasonable consumer;

- m. Whether Defendant's representations and descriptions on the labeling of the Recalled Products are likely to mislead, deceive, confuse, or confound consumers acting reasonably;
- n. Whether Defendant breached their express warranties;
- o. Whether Defendant breached their implied warranties;
- p. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair trade practices;
- q. Whether Defendant engaged in false advertising;
- r. Whether Defendant made negligent and/or fraudulent misrepresentations and/or omissions;
- s. Whether certification of any or all of the classes proposed herein is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23;
- t. Whether Class members are entitled to declaratory, equitable, or injunctive relief, and/or other relief; and
- The amount and nature of relief to be awarded to Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes.
- 31. **Typicality** Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3). Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Classes because Plaintiff suffered damage through her purchase of Defendant's Products.
- 32. **Superiority** Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3). A class action is the appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The presentation of separate actions by individual Class Members could create a risk of inconsistent adjudications, establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant, and/or substantially impair or impede the ability of Class Members to protect their interests. In addition, it would be impracticable and

undesirable for each member of the Classes who suffered an economic loss to bring a separate action. The maintenance of separate actions would place a substantial and unnecessary burden on the courts and could result in inconsistent adjudications, while a single class action can determine, with judicial economy, the rights of all Class Members.

- 33. **Adequacy** Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4). Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Classes because she/he is a member of the Classes and her interests do not conflict with the interests of the Classes that she/he seeks to represent. The interests of the Members of the Classes will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and her undersigned counsel.
- 34. **Insufficiency of Separate Actions** Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1). Absent a representative class action, Members of the Classes would continue to suffer the harm described herein, for which they would have no remedy. Even if separate actions could be brought by individual consumers, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits would cause undue burden and expense for both the Court and the litigants, as well as create a risk of inconsistent rulings and adjudications that might be dispositive of the interests of similarly situated Class members, substantially impeding their ability to protect their interests, while establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. The proposed Classes thus satisfy the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1).
- 35. **Declaratory and Injunctive Relief** Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2). Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other Members of the Classes, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and declaratory relief, as described below, with respect to the Members of the Classes as a whole.

- 36. Additionally, the Classes may be certified under Rule 23(b)(1) and/or (b)(2) because:
 - The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the Classes that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
 - The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Classes not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
 - Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Classes as a whole.

CAUSES OF ACTION FOR A FIRST COLLECTIVE CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence (Plaintiff and Other Members the Classes)

- 37. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. Plaintiff brings this count on behalf of herself, and all others similarly situated.
- 39. Defendant produces and distributes granola products throughout the country.
- 40. At all times relevant, Defendant had a duty to provide Plaintiff and the general public with a safe product.
- 41. Specifically, Defendant has a duty to provide granola that is safe for human consumption to its potential consumers.

- 42. Defendant breached this duty by failing to ensure the safety of its Recalled Products. Defendant's Recalled Products were contaminated with harmful, even deadly, *Salmonella* Bacteria.
- 43. As a result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff was harmed in that she suffered economic injury and lost her benefit of the bargain relating to her purchase price of the Products.
- 44. Defendant's breach of its duty caused Plaintiff's damages both proximately and factually.
- 45. Had Defendant properly designed, manufactured, or implemented a system in which Defendant's Recalled Products had been properly examined and tested for Bacteria prior to sale, Plaintiff would not have been injured and/or damaged as Plaintiff would not have purchased contaminated granola products. As such, this Court should issue injunctive relief as to require Defendant to design and implement a new monitoring system in which Defendant will adequately monitor the bacteria levels in their products.

FOR A SECOND COLLECTIVE CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Express Warranty (Plaintiff and Other Members the Classes)

- 46. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. Plaintiff brings this count on behalf of herself and other members of the Classes.
- 48. Defendant marketed and sold the Recalled Products into the stream of commerce with the intent that the Recalled Products would be purchased by Plaintiff and the Classes.
- 49. Defendant expressly represented and warranted that the Recalled Products were safe for consumption by all persons.
- 50. Defendant made these express warranties regarding the Recalled Products' quality, ingredients, and fitness for consumption in writing on the Recalled Products' packaging

and labels through its website, advertisements, and marketing materials. These express warranties became part of the basis of the bargain that Plaintiff and the Class entered into upon purchasing the Recalled Products.

- 51. Defendant's advertisements, warranties and representations were made in connection with the sale of the Recalled Products to Plaintiff and the Classes. Plaintiff and the Classes relied on Defendant's advertisements, warranties, and representations regarding the Recalled Products in deciding whether to purchase the Recalled Products.
- 52. Defendant's Recalled Products do not conform to Defendant's advertisements, warranties, and representations in that the Recalled Products are not safe or appropriate for human consumption, and contain, or may contain, harmful Bacteria.
- 53. Defendant were on notice of this breach, as they were aware of the possibly included *Salmonella* bacteria in the Recalled Products, as reflected in their own recall.
- 54. The inclusion of unsafe levels of *Salmonella* is material because unsafe levels of this bacteria rendered Defendant's Recalled Products unsafe because these Recalled Products now presented a significant, unreasonable risk of physical harm. This risk renders the Recalled Products worthless or significantly less valuable when compared to a safe product of a similar nature or purpose.
- Products had they known of the risk of sickness due to such contamination. Plaintiff and the Classes purchased the Recalled Products due to the false or misleading representations and warranties and would not have purchased such Recalled Products if true facts had been disclosed.
 - 56. Privity exists because Defendant expressly warranted to Plaintiff and the Classes

through the warranting, packaging, marketing, and labeling that the Recalled Products were perfect for consumption and failed to make any mention of the presence of *Salmonella* or other harmful ingredients. All conditions precedent to Defendant's liability under the above-referenced contract have been performed by Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes.

- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Classes have suffered actual damages in that they purchased Recalled Products that were worth less than the price they paid, given the presence of harmful ingredients, or risk thereof. Plaintiff and the Classes also would not have purchased the Recalled Products at all, had they known of the risk and/or presence of *Salmonella*, and/or other ingredients that do not conform to the products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements.
- 58. Had Defendant properly designed, manufactured, or implemented a system in which Defendant's products had been properly examined and tested for Bacteria prior to sale, Plaintiff would not have been injured and/or damaged as Plaintiff would not have purchased contaminated granola products. As such, this Court should issue injunctive relief as to require Defendant to design and implement a new monitoring system in which Defendant will adequately monitor the bacteria levels in their products.
- 59. Plaintiff and the Classes seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available due to Defendant's failure to deliver goods conforming to their express warranties and resulting breach.

FOR A THIRD COLLECTIVE CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Plaintiff and Other Members the Classes)

- 60. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. Plaintiff brings this count on behalf of herself and other members of the Classes.

- 62. Defendant are merchants engaging in the manufacturing and sale of goods that were purchased by Plaintiff and members of the Classes.
- 63. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant manufactured or supplied the Recalled Products and prior to the time the Recalled Products were purchased by Plaintiff and the Classes, Defendant impliedly warranted to Plaintiff and Class Members that the Recalled Products were of merchantable quality, fit for their ordinary use (consumption by all ages of persons), and conformed to the promises and affirmations of fact made on the Recalled Products' containers and labels. Plaintiff and the Classes relied on Defendant's promises and affirmations of fact when they purchased the Recalled Products.
- 64. The Recalled Products were not fit for their ordinary use and did not conform to Defendant's affirmations of fact and promises as they contained, or were at risk of containing, *Salmonella* or other non-conforming ingredients.
- 65. Defendant breached their implied warranties by selling Recalled Products that failed to conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label as each product contained *Salmonella* or contaminants that do not conform to the packaging.
- 66. Defendant was on notice of their breach, as Defendant was aware of the risks of bacterial contamination in the Recalled Products. Further, Defendant's awareness is demonstrated by the recall issued by Defendant. Had Defendant been unaware of such breach, Defendant would not have issued such a recall.
- 67. Privity exists because Defendant impliedly warranted to Plaintiff and the Classes through their warranting, packaging, advertising, marketing, and labeling that the Recalled Products were suitable for consumption and failed to make any mention of bacterial contamination.

68. Had Defendant properly designed, manufactured, or implemented a system in which Defendant's products had been properly examined and tested for Bacteria prior to sale, Plaintiff would not have been injured and/or damaged as Plaintiff would not have purchased contaminated granola products. As such, this Court should issue injunctive relief as to require Defendant to design and implement a new monitoring system in which Defendant will adequately monitor the bacteria levels in their products.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Classes have suffered actual damages in that they have purchased Recalled Products that are now worth less than the price they paid, given the risk of and/or actual contamination of Recalled Products. Plaintiff and the Classes would not have purchased the Recalled Products at all, had they known of the bacterial contamination issues.

70. Plaintiff and the Classes seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available as a result of Defendant's failure to deliver goods conforming to their implied warranties and resulting breach.

FOR A FOURTH COLLECTIVE CAUSE OF ACTION Fraudulent Misrepresentation (Plaintiff and Other Members the Classes)

- 71. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 72. Plaintiff brings this count on behalf of herself and other members of the Classes.
- 73. Defendant expressly represented and warranted that the Recalled Products were safe for consumption, especially by physically vulnerable persons.
- 74. Defendant intentionally, knowingly, and recklessly made misrepresentations to induce Plaintiff and the Classes to purchase its Recalled Products.

- 75. Defendant knew that its representations about the Recalled Products were false in that the Recalled Products contained, or were at risk of containing, unsafe levels of *Salmonella* or other unnatural ingredients that do not conform to the products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements. Defendant allowed their packaging, labels, advertisements, promotional materials, and websites to intentionally mislead consumers, such as Plaintiff and the Classes. More specifically, Defendant's website advertises its products as a good choice for digestive health. However, Defendant's products contain *Salmonella* which actually destroys the digestive system.
- 76. Plaintiff and the Classes relied on these misrepresentations and purchased the Recalled Products to their detriment, given the lesser value of the product. Given the deceptive way Defendant advertised, represented, and otherwise promoted the Recalled Products, Plaintiff's and the Classes' reliance on Defendant's misrepresentations was justifiable.
- 77. Had Defendant properly designed, manufactured, or implemented a system in which Defendant's products had been properly examined and tested for Bacteria prior to sale, Plaintiff would not have been injured and/or damaged as Plaintiff would not have purchased contaminated granola products. As such, this Court should issue injunctive relief as to require Defendant to design and implement a new monitoring system in which Defendant will adequately monitor the bacteria levels in their products.
- 78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Classes have suffered actual damages in that they have purchased the Recalled Products that are worth less than the price they paid. Plaintiff and the Classes were marketed a safe product, and would not have purchased at all had they known of the presence, or risk of thereof, of *Salmonella*.

79. Plaintiff and the Classes seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

FOR A FIFTH COLLECTIVE CAUSE OF ACTION Fraud by Omission (Plaintiff and Other Members the Classes)

- 80. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 81. Plaintiff brings this count on behalf of herself and other members of the Classes.
- 82. Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and materially misrepresented and omitted, concealed from, and failed to disclose to Plaintiff and the Classes that its Recalled Products contained, or were at risk of containing, *Salmonella*, or other ingredients that do not conform to the products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements.
- 83. Defendant had a duty to disclose to Plaintiff and the Classes the true quality, characteristics, ingredients, suitability, and risks of the Recalled Products because:
- (1) Defendant was in a superior position to know the true state of facts about the Recalled Products;
- (2) Defendant was in a superior position to know the actual ingredients, characteristics and suitability of the Recalled Products for consumption by all ages of persons, particularly those seeking a healthy supplement; and
- (3) Defendant knew that Plaintiff and the Classes could not have reasonably been expected to learn or discover that the Recalled Products were misrepresented in the packaging, labels, advertising and websites prior to purchasing the Recalled Products.
- 84. The facts concealed or not disclosed by Defendant to Plaintiff and the Classes are material because a reasonable consumer would consider the safety of a product quite important when deciding whether to purchase Defendant's Recalled Products.

detriment. The detriment is evident from the recall notice and true qualities, characteristics, and ingredients of the Recalled Products. All true qualities, characteristics, and ingredients of the

Plaintiff and the Classes justifiably relied on Defendant's omissions to their

Recalled Products are inferior in comparison to Defendant's advertisements and representations

of the Recalled Products.

85.

86. Had Defendant properly designed, manufactured, or implemented a system in

which Defendant's granola products had been properly examined and tested for Bacteria prior to

sale, Plaintiff would not have been injured and/or damaged as Plaintiff would not have purchased

contaminated products. As such, this Court should issue injunctive relief as to require Defendant

to design and implement a new monitoring system in which Defendant will adequately monitor

the bacteria levels in their granola products.

87. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Classes

have suffered actual damages in that they have purchased a Recalled Product that is worth less

than the price they paid given the potential harm to the consumer and that they would not have

purchased at all had they known of the presence or risk of dangerous levels of Salmonella.

88. Plaintiff and the Classes seek actual damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief,

attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

FOR A SIXTH COLLECTIVE CAUSE OF ACTION

Unjust Enrichment

(Plaintiff and Other Members the Classes)

89. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

90. Plaintiff brings this count on behalf of herself and other members of the Classes.

- 91. Substantial benefits have been conferred on Defendant by Plaintiff and the Classes through purchase of the Recalled Products. Defendant knowingly and willingly accepted and enjoyed these benefits.
- 92. Defendant either knew or should have known that the payments rendered by Plaintiff and the Classes were given and made with the expectation that the Recalled Products would have the qualities, characteristics, ingredients, and suitability for consumption, as represented and warranted by Defendant. As such, it would be unjust for Defendant to retain the benefit of the payments under the circumstances.
- 93. Had Defendant properly designed, manufactured, or implemented a system in which Defendant's products had been properly examined and tested for Bacteria prior to sale, Plaintiff would not have been injured and/or damaged as Plaintiff would not have purchased contaminated products. As such, this Court should issue injunctive relief as to require Defendant to design and implement a new monitoring system in which Defendant will adequately monitor the bacteria levels in their granola products.
- 94. Defendant's acceptance and retention of these benefits under the alleged circumstances is inequitable.
- 95. Plaintiff and the Classes are entitled to recover all amounts wrongfully collected and improperly retained by Defendant, plus interest thereon.
- 96. Plaintiff and the Classes seek actual damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the other members of the Classes alleged herein, respectfully request that the Court enter judgment in her favor and

against Defendant as follows:

- A. For an order certifying the Classes under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

 Procedure and naming Plaintiff as the representatives for the Classes and

 Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel;
- B. For an order declaring the Defendant's conduct violates the causes of action referenced herein;
- C. For an order granting injunctive relief providing that Defendant must implement a system to monitor bacteria levels within its Products more effectively as to prevent such contamination, or at the very least, the distribution of contaminated Products;
- D. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Classes on all counts asserted herein;
- E. For compensatory, statutory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- F. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- G. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- H. For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Classes their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit, and any other expense, including expert witness fees; and
- I. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

December 27, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Roy T. Willey

Roy T. Willey IV (Fed ID #11664) Blake G. Abbott (Fed ID #13354) Paul J. Doolittle (Fed ID #6012) POULIN | WILLEY ANASTOPOULO, LLC

32 Ann Street Charleston, SC 29403 Tel: (803) 222-2222

Email: blake.abbott@poulinwilley.com paul.doolittle@poulinwilley.com

Exhibit A

			1	
Quaker Big Chewy Bars Peanut Butter Chocolate Chip	5ct 7.4 oz	30000316856	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	
	1ct 1.48 oz 10ct 14.8 oz	3000004098 (Bar) 30000315668 (Caddy) 30000315665 (Case)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CIENCE CIENCE CORP CORP CORP CORP CORP CORP CORP CORP
Quaker Big Chewy Bars Variety Pack	36ct 53.3 oz	30000567609	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	MENT MA
Quaker Chewy Bars and	14ct 13 oz	30000576533	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHEVU
Dipps Variety Pack	58ct 58.6 oz	30000562468	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	
	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311820	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU
	18ct 15.1 oz	30000450178	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHEWU
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip	30ct 25.2 oz	30000573402	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHEND
	36ct 30.2 oz	30000566237	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHRWY
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000563045	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	

	1ct	03077504		
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip (continued)	0.84 oz 440ct 369.6 oz	(Bar) 30000562581 (Tote)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENCY CHENCY BE
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip Cookie Dough	8ct 6.7 oz	30000576519	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	CHENU
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip Holiday Minis	28ct 13.8 oz	3000057787	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip	28ct 13.8 oz	30000312575	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	MINIS INFORMATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Halloween Minis	40ct 19.6 oz	30000571231	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CIZUJ MINIS
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip Spring Minis	28ct 13.8 oz	30000569504	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip Valentine Minis	28ct 13.8 oz	30000311639	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	
Quaker Chewy Bars Dark Chocolate Chunk	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311868	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU
Quaker Chewy Bars Chocolate Chunk	1ct 1.41 oz	3000003442 (Bar) 30000565879 (Tote)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	QUAKER, CHENU CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311752	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	CHENU
Quaker Chewy Bars Less Sugar Chocolate Chip	18ct 15.1 oz	30000571682	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	CICLU
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000565506	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	
Quaker Chewy Bars Less Sugar	1ct 1.38 oz	3000003435 (Bar) 30000565862 (Tote)	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
Cookies & Cream	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311875	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	
Quaker Chewy Bars Less Sugar Peanut Butter Chocolate Chip	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311769	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	
	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311943	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	CISIO E
Quaker Chewy Bars Less Sugar Variety	18ct 15.1 oz	30000450109	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	CHARLES TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000564998	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	
	60ct 50.4 oz	30000575185	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	

	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311806	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU E
Quaker Chewy Bars Oatmeal Raisin	58ct 48.7 oz	30000568798	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CLAV
	1ct	03076709		
	0.84 oz	(Bar)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any	EE CHENU CHENU
	440ct 369.6 oz	30000577011 (Tote)	earlier date	THE RELIEF CO.
	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311844	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CIEU
Quaker Chewy Bars Peanut Butter Chocolate	18ct 15.1 oz	30000567265	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHARLE PACK 181
Chip	58ct 48.7 oz	30000565513	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	
	1ct 0.84oz 440ct 369.6 oz	03077601 (Bar) 30000562598 (Tote)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU
	1ct 0.84oz	03076806 (Bar)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	I CHRIC
Quaker Chewy Bars S'mores	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311813	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU E
	18ct 15.1 oz	30000573174	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU CHEN
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000568804	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CITATU EXECUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

	8ct 6.7 oz	30000311882	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CICIU
	14ct 11.8 oz	30000575284	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	
	18ct 15.1 oz	30000451304	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	VALUE PACK 181
	30ct 25.2 oz	30000573419	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	VALUE PACK 30 III
Quaker Chewy Bars	36ct 30.2 oz	30000566244	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	CHENU
Variety Pack	48ct 40.3 oz	30000317709	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	40 BARS
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000562093	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	NAME OF THE PARTY AND THE PART
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000565520	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	STATE OF THE PARTY
	58ct 48.7 oz	30000577257	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	WARTY TOOLS
	60ct 50.4 oz	30000577349	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	GISTA

	6ct 6.5 oz	30000312698	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIPPS
	14ct 15.3 oz	30000450529	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIDAS
Quaker Chewy Dipps Chocolate Chip	24ct 26.2 oz	30000576809	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIPPS
	30ct 32.7 oz	30000569061	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIPLS
	34ct 37.1 oz	30000311653	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIDA
Quaker Chewy Dipps	6ct 6.3 oz	30000312711	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIPAS NAME TO THE PARTY OF THE
Peanut Butter	14ct 14.7 oz	30000311332	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	CONTRACTOR AND
Quaker Chewy Dipps	14ct 15 oz	30000567272	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIDS
Variety Pack	48ct 51.6 oz	30000574836	Best Before of Oct- 01-24 and any earlier date	DIES I
Quaker Chewy Mini Dipps Birthday Blast	12ct 8.9 oz	30000572733	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	DIZZS
Quaker Chewy Mini Dipps Summer Night S'mores	12ct 8.9 oz	30000572757	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	DIZZS

GRANOLA CEREAL				
Product Description	Size	UPC	Best Before	Representative Image
Quaker Puffed Granola Apple Cinnamon Cereal	17 oz	30000575758	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	QUAKER PUFFEO GRANOLA
Quaker Puffed Granola Blueberry Vanilla Cereal	17 oz	30000575765	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	QUAKER TO THE PUPPED GRANOLA
Quaker Simply Granola Oats, Honey & Almonds Cereal	2PK 48.2 oz	30000573488	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	QUARE SHIPY GRANDA
Quaker Simply Granola Oats, Honey & Almonds Cereal	24.1 oz	30000572429	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	QUAKE, SIMPLY GRANOLA
Quaker Simply Granola Oats, Honey, Raisins & Almonds Cereal	24.1 oz	30000572436	Best Before of Jun- 03-24 and any earlier date	QUAKER SIMPLY GRANDLA
Quaker Simply Granola Oats, Honey, Raisins & Almonds Cereal	2PK 48.2 oz	30000577721	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	QUARTE SIMPLY GRANDIA
Quaker Simply Granola Oats, Honey, Raisins & Almonds Cereal	69 oz	30000436073	Best Before of Sep- 01-24 and any earlier date	SIMPLY GRANDLA
Quaker Protein Granola Oats, Chocolate, & Almonds Flavor	18 oz	30000571627	Best Before of Jan- 09-24 and any earlier date	PROTEIN GRANOLA
	2PK 36 oz	30000577783	Best Before of Jan- 09-24 and any earlier date	QUAKER PROTEIN GRANDA

GRANOLA BARS INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING SNACK BOXES				
Product Description	Size	UPC	Best Before	Representative Image
Quaker Chocolatey Favorites Snack Mix	20ct Box 378 oz	30000577325	Jun-03-24 and any earlier date	20 SNACKS CHOCOLATEY FAVORITES CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
Quaker On The Go Snack Mix	20ct Box 344 oz	30000577318	Jun-03-24 and any earlier date	20 SNACKS ON-THE-GO ON-THE-GO NACKERS
Frito-Lay Snacks Variety Pack With Quaker Chewy	20ct 17.42 oz (493.8g)	28400715607	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	20 PACKS
Frito-Lay Chips and Quaker Chewy Granola Bars Variety Pack	40ct 36.94 oz	28400697965	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	snock
Crunchy & Chewy Snacks, Frito-Lay Chips, Cookies, Nuts and Quaker Chewy Bars Variety Pack	40ct 36.205 oz	28400714259	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	snocks
Lunch Box Mix, Frito-Lay Chips, Cookies and Quaker Chewy Bars Variety Pack	40ct 40.62 oz	28400713375	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	- Snock
Frito-Lay Popped & Baked Chips with Cookies, Nuts and Quaker Chewy Bars Variety Pack	40ct 35.58 oz	28400714273	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	BAKED BAKED STOCK
Frito-Lay Snack Time Favorites with Baked, Smartfood, SunChips and Quaker Chewy Granola Bars Variety Pack	40ct 33.94 oz	28400698009	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	šnocki
Tasty Snacks, Frito-Lay Chips, Nuts, Cookies and Quaker Chewy Bars Variety Pack	40ct 41.56 oz	28400713368	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	snock

Ultimate Flavor Snack Care Package, Variety Assortment of Chips, Cookies, Crackers, & More	40ct 50.89 oz	28400734868	Best Before March 12 2024 and any earlier date	snock
---	------------------	-------------	--	-------

GRANOLA BARS					
Product Description	Size	UPC	Best Before	Representative Image	
	5ct 7.4 oz	30000314074	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	Quilita in the second	
Quaker Big Chewy Bars Chocolate Chip	1ct 1.48 oz 10ct	3000004081 (Bar) 30000315651 (Caddy)	Best Before of Aug- 02-24 and any earlier date	BIG CHENU THERM CO	
1	14.8 oz	30000315658 (Case)			

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21) Case: 1:23-cv-17103 Document # 127/23 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:32

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN'	TS			
TERESA HERE	NDEEN, ET AL.		THE QUAKE	ER OATS COMPANY			
(b) County of Residence of (E)	of First Listed Plaintiff H CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	lorry County, SC SES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Cook County, IL (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, And STOPOULO, LLC) 803-222-2222	bott, Paul Doolittle; P	OULIN WILLEY	Attorneys (If Know				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTII	$\stackrel{ extbf{ES}}{\text{ES}}$ (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N		(For Diversity Cases On Citizen of This State	aly) PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated of	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF or Principal Place 4 X 4 In This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	x 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		and Principal Place 5 5 In Another State		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Natio	n 6 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)		Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALT	Y BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract x 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	of Property 21 USC 83 690 Other Y LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act S 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Applica	28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviate New Drug Applicat 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secre Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUIT 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintif or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information		
W. ODIGDI		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is			4.50	0 10			
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reopened And	nsferred from 6 Multic other District Litigaterify) Transf	tion - Litigation -		
	28 11 8 C & 1332	tute under which you are	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional	statutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Nationwide Product Re						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	CHECK YES of JURY DEMA	only if demanded in complaint: ND: Yes No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 12/27/2023		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1790 20	any ce				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGI	E MAG	. JUDGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.