1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 JENALE NIELSEN, individually and on 10 Case No.: 8:21-cv-02055-DOC-ADS behalf of others similarly situated, 11 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF 12 CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT VS. [88] 13 WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., Inc., a Florida 14 Corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, Judge: Hon. David O. Carter Courtroom: 9D inclusive. 15 Defendants. 16 17 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval 18 of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"). ECF No. 88. Plaintiff Jenale Nielsen 19 ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of the proposed Settlement Class, and 20 Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc. ("Defendant") (together with 2.1 Plaintiff, the "Parties) have entered into a Class Action Settlement Agreement dated 2.2. September 7, 2023 (the "Settlement Agreement") that, subject to the Court's 23 approval and final hearing on the matter, will resolve this lawsuit. 24 The Court, having considered the Motion, the supporting memorandum of 2.5 law, the parties' Settlement Agreement, the proposed forms of notice to the 26 27 28

Settlement Class, the pleadings and the record in this Action, and the statements of counsel and the parties, HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Unless otherwise defined herein, all terms capitalized herein shall have the same definitions ascribed to them as in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over this litigation, including Class Representative, Defendant, and Settlement Class members, and all matters arising out of or connected with the settlement, including the administration and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval

- The Court has carefully reviewed all of the terms of the proposed 3. Settlement Agreement, all corresponding and supporting documents attached thereto, Plaintiff's Motion and corresponding papers filed therewith, including the declarations by counsel and Epic Systems, Inc. Based on its review of these documents, the Court finds the Settlement Agreement to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, and the result of vigilant, informed, non-collusive arms'-length negotiations overseen by an experienced, highly qualified neutral mediator, the Honorable Judge Jay Gandhi (Ret.). The Court further finds that the Settlement Agreement is the result of substantial discovery and the parties' knowledge of the strengths and weaknesses of the case. The relief provided by the Settlement Agreement outweighs the substantial cost, delay, and risks presented by further prosecution of the issues during pre-trial, trial, and possible appeal. Based on these factors, the Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement meets the criteria for preliminary settlement approval, are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and fall within the range of possible approval.
- 4. The Court hereby **GRANTS** preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and all of the terms and conditions contained therein.

Preliminary Certification of the Settlement Class

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5. The Court preliminarily certifies, for settlement purposes only pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), the Settlement Class defined in the Settlement Agreement as follows:

Settlement Class:

All Persons who purchased a Dream Key.

Specifically excluded from the Settlement Class are (1) any Judge or Magistrate

Judge presiding over this Action and members of their families; (2) Defendant;

(3) Persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the class; and (4) the legal representatives, successors, or assigns of any such excluded persons. The Settlement Class is estimated to include 103,435 individuals.

- 6. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Class satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) for settlement purposes: (1) the Settlement Class is sufficiently numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class; (3) the Class Representative's claims are typical of the Settlement Class; and (4) the Class Representative and her Counsel fairly and adequately protects the interests of the Settlement Class.
- 7. The Court hereby appoints Jenale Nielsen as the Class Representative of the Settlement Class.
- 8. The Court hereby appoints Cafferty Clobes Meriwether & Sprengel LLP and Ventura Hersey & Muller, LLP as Settlement Class Counsel.

Notice and Administration

9. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the parties have designated Epic Systems, Inc. ("Epic") as the Claims Administrator. Epic shall perform all duties necessary for notice and administration as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Epic will make important documents, such

as the Settlement Agreement and Address Update Form (which Settlement Class members have the option to submit online), accessible on the settlement website.

Agreement satisfies the requirements of due process and provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(1). The Class Notice plan is reasonably calculated to inform the Settlement Class members of the nature of the litigation, the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement, the right of Settlement Class members to object to the Settlement Agreement or exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, including instructions about the process for doing so, and the Final Approval Hearing details. The Court approves the Class Notice plan, including the Claim Form, and directs the Settlement Administrator and the parties to proceed with providing Notice to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order.

Settlement Class Member Exclusions and Objections

11. Settlement Class members who request to opt-out and exclude themselves from the Settlement Class must do so by notifying the Settlement Administrator in writing. To be valid, the opt-out request must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than 60 days after the Notice Date, must be in writing and must state the name, address, and telephone number of the person seeking exclusion, and must contain a signed statement unequivocally stating the Settlement Class Member's intent to be excluded from the Settlement. Settlement Class members who submit a valid and timely request for exclusion will not be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Any Settlement Class member who does not submit a timely request for exclusion in accordance with the Settlement Agreement will be included in the Settlement and bound by the Settlement Agreement upon entry of the Final Judgment and Order.

- 12. Settlement Class members who wish to object to the Settlement Agreement must do so by submitting a written objection to the Settlement Administrator, signed by the objector, in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Class Notice and this Order, filed or postmarked no later than 60 days after the Notice Date and must include the following information:
 - i) The name of this proceeding (*Nielsen v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.*, No. 8:21-cv-02055-DOC-ADS or similarly identifying words such as Disney Dream Key Lawsuit);
 - ii) The objector's name, address and telephone number;
 - iii) an explanation of the basis upon which the objector claims to be a Settlement Class Member;
 - iv) all grounds for the objection, including all citations to legal authority and evidence supporting the objection;
 - v) the name and contact information of any and all attorneys representing, advising, or in any way assisting the objector in connection with the preparation or submission of the objection or who may profit from the pursuit of the objection (the "Objecting Attorneys"); and
 - vi) a statement indicating whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing (either personally or through counsel who files an appearance with the Court in accordance with the Local Rules).
- 13. Any Settlement Class member who does not timely submit a written objection pursuant to the procedures outlined above and the procedures detailed in the Class Notice and Settlement Agreement waives the right to object or be heard at the Final Approval Hearing, shall be forever barred from making any objection to

the Settlement Agreement, and will be bound by the Settlement Agreement upon entry of the Final Judgment and Order.

Final Approval Hearing

- 14. The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing on February 20, 2024, at 8:30 a.m., in Courtroom 10 A of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA, 92701-4516.
- 15. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will review, and rule on, the following issues:
 - i) Whether this matter should be finally certified as a class action for settlement purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3);
 - ii) Whether the settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e);
 - iii) Whether this lawsuit should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement;
 - iv) Whether the Settlement Class members should be bound by the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement;
 - v) Whether the application of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses and service awards should be approved under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h); and
 - vi) Any other issues the Court deems appropriate.
- 16. Settlement Class members do not need to attend the Final Approval Hearing, nor take any other action to indicate their approval of the proposed Settlement Agreement. However, any Settlement Class members who wish to be heard must appear at the Final Approval Hearing. The Final Approval Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, transferred, or continued without further notice to the Settlement Class members.

Settlement Administration Timeline, Injunction, and Termination

17. To facilitate the timely administration of this case, the Court hereby sets the following schedule:

Event	Deadline
Defendant to provide Settlement	14 days after entry of this Order:
Class member data to the Claims	October 31, 2023
Administrator	
Last day for Settlement	30 days after entry of this Order:
Administrator to email Settlement	November 15, 2023
Notice to Settlement Class	
Members (the "Notice Date")	
Last day for Settlement	14 days from the Notice Date:
Administrator to mail Settlement	November 29, 2023
Notice to Settlement Class	
Members	
Last day for Settlement Class	60 Days from the Notice Date:
Members to submit Address Update	January 15, 2024
Forms	
Deadline to Submit Motion for	At Least 14 Days Before the Objection
Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Service	Deadline: January 1, 2024
Awards	
Deadline to Object and Comment on	60 Days from the Notice Date:
Settlement	January 15, 2024
Deadline to Submit Request for	60 Days from the Notice Date:
Exclusion	January 15, 2024

Event	Deadline
Final Approval Hearing	February 20, 2024

- 18. All proceedings and deadlines in this matter, except those required to implement this Order and the Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order from the Court.
- In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated pursuant to the 19. terms of the Settlement Agreement, (1) the Settlement Agreement and this Order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the parties, shall have no further force or effect, and shall not be used in this litigation or any other proceedings for any purpose other than as necessary to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement that survived termination, (2) this litigation will revert to the status that existed before the Settlement Agreement was executed, and (3) no term(s) or draft(s) of the Settlement Agreement or any part of the settlement discussions, negotiations, or documentation of any kind, related to the Settlement Agreement, whatsoever, shall (a) be admissible into evidence for any purpose in this litigation or in any other action or proceeding other than as may be necessary to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement that survived termination, (b) be deemed an admission or concession by any settling party regarding the validity of any of the Released Claims or the propriety of certifying any class against Defendant, or (c) be deemed an admission or concession by any of the parties regarding the truth or falsity of any facts alleged in the litigation or the availability or lack of availability of any defense to the Released Claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 16, 2023

HON. DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

plavid O. Carter

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25