Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 1 of 13 PageID #: 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION

Dixie Ellison-Robbins, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Class Action Complaint

Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.,

Defendant

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations about Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc. ("Defendant") manufactures and sells an "All Butter"

cake purporting to get its entire butter taste from butter under the Entenmann's brand ("Product").



2. Defendant's website tells purchasers to "[i]ndulge yourself with the rich taste of pure butter in our All Butter" cake.

ALL BUTTER LOAF CAKE

Indulge yourself with the rich taste of pure butter in our All Butter loaf.

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 2 of 13 PageID #: 2

3. However, the labeling is misleading because the Product includes added artificial flavor which contributes to its butter taste, yet omits this information from the front label.

I. CONSUMER AVOIDANCE OF ARTIFICIAL FLAVORS

4. The representation of "All Butter," without qualifying statements about other flavoring sources appeals to the more than seven out of ten consumers who avoid artificial flavors.

5. Artificial flavor is defined as "any substance, the function of which is to impart flavor, which is not derived from a spice, fruit or fruit juice, vegetable or vegetable juice, edible yeast, herb, bark, bud, root, leaf or similar plant material, meat, fish, poultry, eggs, dairy products, or fermentation products thereof." 21 C.F.R § 101.22(a)(1).

6. According to the Wall Street Journal, "As consumer concern rises over artificial ingredients, more food companies are reconstructing recipes" to remove artificial flavors.

7. A recent survey reported that over 82% of US respondents believe that foods with artificial flavors are less healthy than those promoted as containing natural flavors and/or not containing artificial flavors, which are highly processed with chemical additives and synthetic solvents in laboratories.

8. According to Nielsen, the absence of artificial flavors is very important for over 40% of respondents to their Global Health & Wellness Survey.

9. One scholar theorized "the preference for natural products appeals to a moral ideology and offers a moral satisfaction."

10. The trade journal, Perfumer & Flavorist, described "The Future of Artificial Flavors[]" as bleak, given consumer opposition to these synthetic ingredients.

11. Mintel announced that consumer avoidance of artificial flavors is just as strong as their desire for natural flavors, in its Report, "Artificial: Public Enemy No. 1."

12. Nielsen reported that 62% of consumers try to avoid artificial flavors.

13. New Hope Network concluded that 71% of consumers avoid artificial flavors.

14. Label Insight determined that 76% of consumers avoid artificial flavors.

15. A recent survey shows more than three in four people worldwide are convinced that artificial flavors have no place on their ingredient lists.

16. According to Forbes, 88% of consumers consider foods without artificial flavors to be more natural and healthier than foods with artificial flavors.

II. DISCLOSURE OF FLAVORING SOURCE

17. The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and studies of consumer psychology confirm that consumers rely on extrinsic cues such as visual information on packaging to evaluate a product's characteristics, such as its texture and taste.¹

18. Since extrinsic cues are linked to information presented on a label, "All Butter" tells purchasers the cake will contain butter as its main shortening ingredient and that its butter taste will only be from butter.²

19. In technical terms, this means that butter is the Product's "characterizing flavor."

20. The taste of butter is described by flavoring experts as "fatty," "smooth," "creamy," "rich" and "sweet."

21. This is due to its volatile compounds, including acids, aldehydes, ketones and lactones.

¹ Lancelot Miltgen, Caroline, Gaëlle Pantin Sohier, and Bianca Grohmann. "Communicating sensory attributes and innovation through food product labeling." Journal of food products marketing 22.2 (2016): 219-239.

² Blackmore, Helena, Claire Hidrio, and Martin R. Yeomans. "A taste of things to come: The effect of extrinsic and intrinsic cues on perceived properties of beer mediated by expectations." Food Quality and Preference 94 (2021): 104326; Okamoto, Masako, and Ippeita Dan. "Extrinsic information influences taste and flavor perception: A review from psychological and neuroimaging perspectives." Seminars in cell & developmental biology. Vol. 24. No. 3. Academic Press, 2013.

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 4 of 13 PageID #: 4

- 22. Its short-chain fatty acids, such as butyric acid, give butter its fatty, smooth taste.
- 23. Its creamy taste owes in part to carboxylic acid, methyl ketones and lactones.
- 24. The Product's ingredient list identifies "BUTTER" but also lists "ARTIFICIAL

FLAVORS."

INGREDIENTS: SUGAR, BLEACHED WHEAT FLOUR, EGGS, BUTTER, NONFAT MILK, WATER, FOOD STARCHMODIFIED (CORN), SOYBEAN OIL, LEAVENING (SODIUM ACID PYROPHOSPHATE, BAKING SODA, MONOCALCIUM PHOSPHATE), GLYCERIN, SALT, SORBITAN MONOSTEARATE, ARTIFICIAL FLAVORS, POTASSIUM SORBATE (PRESERVATIVE), POLYSORBATE 60, XANTHAN GUM, MONO- AND DIGLYCERIDES, PHOSPHORIC ACID, CELLULOSE GUM, SOY FLOUR, COCONUT FLOUR. R19-310 CONTAINS WHEAT, SOY, MILK, EGG, COCONUT.

INGREDIENTS: SUGAR, BLEACHED WHEAT FLOUR, EGGS, **BUTTER**, NONFAT MILK, WATER, FOOD STARCH-MODIFIED (CORN), SOYBEAN OIL, LEAVENING (SODIUM ACID PYROPHOSPHATE, BAKING SODA, MONOCALCIUM PHOSPHATE), GLYCERIN, SALT, SORBITAN MONOSTEARATE, **ARTIFICIAL FLAVORS**, POTASSIUM SORBATE (PRESERVATIVE), POLYSORBATE 60, XANTHAN GUM, MONO- AND DIGLYCERIDES, PHOSPHORIC ACID, CELLULOSE GUM, SOY FLOUR, COCONUT FLOUR.

25. This "Artificial Flavors" ingredient refers to and includes artificial vanillin, determined through laboratory analysis in accordance with accepted standards.

26. Artificial vanillin is made from petroleum products such as guaiacol and subjected

to numerous chemical reactions with synthetic agents. 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(a)(1).

27. Vanillin is a phenolic aldehyde, known for its ability to provide creamy and fatty

sensations when used in foods.

28. In fact, numerous companies sell a product which combines butter with vanillin,

known in the trade as "pastry butter" or "bakers butter."

29. One example is "Eurovanillin Butter," a butter with particularly strong butter taste attributes due to added vanillin, such as fattiness and creaminess.³

30. This product adds vanillin to butter to enhance the fattiness in the end-product, so it

³ https://www.borregaard.com/markets/food/tailor-made-vanillin-creations/butter/

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 5 of 13 PageID #: 5

has a more "buttery top note," which refers to its most significant flavor component.

31. Another manufacturer recognizes that the use of vanillin in baked goods enhances their "creamy scent."⁴

32. The trade journal Perfumer & Flavorist described vanillin as useful in contributing a "creamy-sweet aroma," a key butter attribute.⁵

33. Federal and identical state regulations require that because the added vanillin "simulates, resembles or reinforces the [Product's] characterizing [butter] flavor," the front label is required to state, "Artificially Flavored" next to "All Butter." 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(2).

34. Guidance issued by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") requires that where a food is labeled "Butter _____" or uses the word "butter" in conjunction with its name, like "All Butter" but "contains any artificial butter flavor," this fact must be disclosed to consumers on the front label.⁶

35. The Product could have used flavoring from butter or other natural sources, but used artificial vanillin because it cost less and was more potent in providing the creamy and fatty flavor notes butter is known for.

36. Competitor brands of butter cake truthfully disclose that even though it is "made with real butter," its butter taste is due in part to being provided to being "Artificially Flavored."

 $^{^{4}\} https://www.zanchenglife.com/news-events/vanillin-applications-and-its-other-functions.html$

⁵ https://www.perfumerflavorist.com/flavor/sweet-applications/article/21861066/vanillin

⁶ Compliance Policy Guide ("CPG"), Sec 505.200, "Butter" Featured in Product Name, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition ("CFSAN"), Office of Regulatory Affairs, March 1988 citing 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(2).

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 6 of 13 PageID #: 6



37. As a result of the false and misleading representations, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$3.99 per 11.5 OZ, excluding tax and sales, higher than similar products, represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than it would be sold for absent the misleading representations and omissions

Jurisdiction and Venue

38. Jurisdiction is based on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28 U.S.C.§ 1332(d)(2).

39. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory damages, exclusive of interest and costs.

40. Plaintiff is a citizen of Missouri.

41. Defendant is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 7 of 13 PageID #: 7

Pennsylvania.

42. The class of persons Plaintiff seeks to represent includes persons who are citizens of different states from which Defendant is a citizen.

43. The members of the classes Plaintiff seeks to represent are more than 100, because the Product has been sold with the representations described here from thousands of locations including grocery stores, convenience stores, big box stores, and/or online, across the States covered by Plaintiff's proposed classes.

44. Venue is in this District with assignment to the Eastern Division because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in Saint Louis County, including Plaintiff's purchase and consumption of the Product and awareness and/or experiences of and with the issues described here.

Parties

45. Plaintiff Dixie Ellison-Robbins is a citizen of Saint Louis, Missouri, Saint Louis County.

46. Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Horsham, Pennsylvania, Montgomery County.

47. Defendant is the world's largest producer of baked goods, owned by a Mexican conglomerate.

48. Defendant owns the Entenmann's brand which is known for the highest quality ingredients.

49. Plaintiff purchased the Product on one or more occasions within the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged, at stores including Dierbergs, 222 N Hwy 67, Florissant, MO 63031, between 2021 and 2023, among other times.

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 8 of 13 PageID #: 8

50. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price.

51. Plaintiff paid more for the Product than she would have paid had she known that "All Butter" was false and misleading or would not have purchased it.

52. Plaintiff expected that butter was the Product's main shortening ingredient and that its butter taste was only from butter.

53. Plaintiff expected that if the Product used artificial flavoring to make it seem like it had more butter than it did, this fact would be disclosed on the front label, like all other foods do.

54. The value of the Product that Plaintiff purchased was materially less than its value as represented by Defendant.

55. Plaintiff chose between Defendant's Product and products represented similarly, but which did not misrepresent their attributes and/or components.

56. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so with the assurance its representations are consistent with its composition.

57. Plaintiff is unable to rely on the labeling and representations not only of this Product, but other similar baked goods represented as made with all butter, because she is unsure whether those representations are truthful.

Class Allegations

58. Plaintiff seeks certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 of the following class:

Missouri Class: All persons in the State of Missouri who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged; and

59. Common questions of issues, law, and fact predominate and include whether Defendant's representations were and are misleading and if Plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

60. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 9 of 13 PageID #: 9

subjected to the same unfair, misleading, and deceptive representations, omissions, and actions.

61. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other members.

62. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on Defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

63. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

64. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

65. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

<u>Missouri Merchandising Practices Act ("MMPA"),</u> <u>Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, *et seq.*</u>

66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

67. Plaintiff purchased the Product for her and her household's personal use.

68. Plaintiff believed the Product's butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste.

69. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

<u>Breaches of Express Warranty,</u> <u>Implied Warranty of Merchantability/Fitness for a Particular Purpose and</u> <u>Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.</u>

70. The Product was manufactured, identified, marketed, and sold by Defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to Plaintiff and class members that its butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste.

71. Defendant directly marketed the Product to Plaintiff and consumers through its

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 10 of 13 PageID #: 10

advertisements and marketing, through various forms of media, on the packaging, in print circulars, direct mail, and targeted digital advertising.

72. Defendant knew the product attributes that potential customers like Plaintiff were seeking and developed its marketing and labeling to directly meet those needs and desires.

73. The representations were conveyed in writing and promised the Product would be defect-free, and Plaintiff understood this meant that its butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste.

74. Defendant affirmed and promised that the Product's butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste.

75. Defendant described the Product so Plaintiff and consumers believed its butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste, which became part of the basis of the bargain that it would conform to its affirmation and promises.

76. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

77. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of product, custodian of the Entenmann's brand, known for the highest quality ingredients.

78. Plaintiff recently became aware of Defendant's breach of the Product's warranties.

79. Plaintiff provided or provides notice to Defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers, and their employees that it breached the Product's express and implied warranties.

80. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to complaints by third-parties, including regulators, competitors, and consumers, to its main offices, and by consumers through online forums.

81. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 11 of 13 PageID #: 11

Defendant's actions.

82. The Product was not merchantable because it was not fit to pass in the trade as advertised, not fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was intended and did not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on its packaging, container, or label, because it was marketed as if its butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste.

83. The Product was not merchantable because Defendant had reason to know the particular purpose for which the Product was bought by Plaintiff, because she expected its butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste, and she relied on Defendant's skill or judgment to select or furnish such a suitable product.

Negligent Misrepresentation

84. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.

85. This duty was non-delegable, based on Defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience in this area, custodian of the Entenmann's brand.

86. The representations and omissions took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in Defendant, a widely recognized and iconic brand.

87. Plaintiff reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, their purchase of the Product.

Fraud

88. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product, that its butter taste was only from butter and not from artificial flavoring ingredients which provided a butter taste.

89. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its knowledge that the Product was not

consistent with its representations.

Unjust Enrichment

90. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of Plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying Plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices;
- 3. Awarding monetary, statutory and/or punitive damages and interest;
- 4. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for Plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 27, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel F. Harvath

Harvath Law Group, LLC 75 W Lockwood Ave Ste 1 Webster Groves MO 63119 (314) 550-3717 dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. Spencer Sheehan (*Pro Hac Vice* forthcoming) 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 412 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080 spencer@spencersheehan.com Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 13 of 13 PageID #: 13

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 1 of 1 PageID #: 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

v.	, Plaintiff,))))) Case No.)
	,)
	Defendant,)
)

ORIGINAL FILING FORM

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND VERIFIED BY THE FILING PARTY WHEN INITIATING A NEW CASE.

THIS SAME CAUSE, OR A SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT COMPLAINT, WAS

PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS COURT AS CASE NUMBER

AND ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE ______.

THIS CAUSE IS RELATED, BUT IS NOT SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT TO ANY

PREVIOUSLY FILED COMPLAINT. THE RELATED CASE NUMBER IS ______ AND

THAT CASE WAS ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE ______. THIS CASE MAY,

THEREFORE, BE OPENED AS AN ORIGINAL PROCEEDING.

NEITHER THIS SAME CAUSE, NOR A SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT

COMPLAINT, HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS COURT, AND THEREFORE

MAY BE OPENED AS AN ORIGINAL PROCEEDING.

The undersigned affirms that the information provided above is true and correct.

Date:

Signature of Filing Party

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21) Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Der #11 Cover Street Page: 1 of 1 PageID #: 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Dixie Ellison-Robbin		nd on behalf of		DEFENDANTSallBimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.			
others similarly situat							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Saint Louis (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND C	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
		<u>`</u>		T OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Harvath Law Group, LLC MO 63119, (314) 550-371	, 75 W Lockwood Av		Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Only) F		ind One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place 4 4		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 □ 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	Principal Place 🗆 5 🗹 5		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗆 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of S BANKRUPTCY	uit Code Descriptions . OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 375 False Claims Act		
 Ito Instruct Ito Instruct Ito Natine Ito Negotiable Instrument Ito Net	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	 □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability ■ 980 Asbestos Personal 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETITIONS ■ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General 	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other Y LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1462 Naturalization Application	 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent-Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX S UITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 	 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 895 Arbitration 895 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	Confinement					
	te Court	Appellate Court	(specify	er District Litigation v) Transfer			
	28 IL S C 8 1222	atute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of c False advertising	ause:					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASE							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTC					
February 2 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	7, 2023		/s/Da	niel F. Harvath			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		
		711 L 1 1100 IFF		MAG. JUI			

Case: 4:23-cv-00232 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 02/27/23 Page: 1 of 2 PageID #: 16

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTR	RICT COURT
---------------------	------------

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

)

))))

)

))

))

Dixie Ellison-Robbins, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No.

Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.

c/o Corporation Service Company 251 Little Falls Dr Wilmington DE 19808-1674

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Daniel F. Harvath, Harvath Law Group, LLC, 75 W Lockwood Ave Ste 1 Webster Groves MO 63119, (314) 550-3717

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:_____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (n	ame of individual and title, if any)						
was rec	eived by me on (date)		·					
	□ I personally serve	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at (<i>place</i>)						
			on (date)	; or				
	\Box I left the summon	s at the individual's residence	e or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	, and mailed a cop	y to the individual's last known address; or	-				
	\Box I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to	accept service of process on	behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	\Box I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or			
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$					
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this information	ation is true.					
Date:								
<u></u>			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: