

GOOD GUSTAFSON AUMAIS LLP

J. Ryan Gustafson (Cal. Bar No. 220802)
2330 Westwood Blvd., No. 103
Los Angeles, CA 90064
Tel: (310) 274-4663
jrg@ggallp.com

SHENAQ PC

Amir Shenaq, Esq.*
3500 Lenox Road, Ste. 1500
Atlanta GA 30326
Tel: (888) 909-9993
amir@shenaqpc.com

THE KEETON FIRM LLC

Steffan T. Keeton, Esq.*
100 S Commons, Ste 102
Pittsburgh PA 15212
Tel: (888) 412-5291
stkeeton@keetonfirm.com

**Pro hac vice forthcoming*

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

Caley Keene, individually, and on
behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BEAUMONT PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff Caley Keene brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against BEAUMONT PRODUCTS, INC. Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This case arises from Defendant's deceptive and misleading practices with respect to its marketing and sale of its soap products (collectively, the "Product" or "Products").¹

2. Defendant manufactures, markets, and sells its Products throughout the United States including the State of California.

3. Despite the representations made on the Products' labels which lead reasonable consumers to believe that the Products are "natural," they are not.

4. The brand has grown significantly, and this growth was not by accident. Rather, it developed from specifically targeting the "natural" market with intense focus.

5. Defendant's marketing efforts stress the purported "natural" composition of their Products.

6. Notably, the principal display panel of all of the Products states "CLEARLY NATURAL" and "Pure and Natural."

¹ At the time of this filing, the following Rael products are included in this definition: Unscented Glycerin Hand Soap, Tea Tree Glycerin Hand Soap, Vitamin E Glycerin Hand Soap, and Aloe Vera Glycerin Hand Soap. This definition is not exhaustive, and shall include all of Defendant's products that are similarly deceptively marketed.



7. The word “Natural” is a representation to a reasonable consumer that the Product contains only natural ingredients.

8. Reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff, interpret “natural” to mean that the product does not include synthetic ingredients.

9. Despite this representation, the Products are not natural because they include multiple synthetic ingredients.

10. Specifically, the Products contain the following synthetic ingredients: Phenoxyethanol and Ethylhexylglycerin.

11. Plaintiff and those similarly situated (“Class Members”) relied on Defendant’s misrepresentations that the Products are “natural” when purchasing the Products.

12. Reasonable consumers purchased the Products believing, among other things, that they were accurately represented. Specifically, reasonable consumers believed that the Products contained accurate label information and representations. Reasonable consumers would not have purchased the Products if they had known about the misrepresentations or would have purchased them on different terms.

14. Defendant's conduct violated and continues to violate, *inter alia*, the consumer protection statutes of California. Defendant has been and continues to be unjustly enriched. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant on behalf of herself and Class Members who purchased the Products during the applicable statute of limitations period (the "Class Period").

15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant purposefully avails itself of the California consumer market and distributes the Products to many locations within the state, where the Products are purchased by hundreds of consumers every day.

– 3 –

1 members of the proposed Classes (as defined herein) are well in excess of
 2 \$5,000,000.00 in the aggregate, exclusive of interest and costs.

3 17. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Plaintiff's
 4 purchases of Defendant's Products, substantial acts in furtherance of the alleged
 5 improper conduct, including the dissemination of false and misleading information
 6 regarding the nature, quality, and/or ingredients of the Products, occurred within this
 7 District and the Defendant conducts business in this District.
 8

9 DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT

10 18. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c-d), a substantial part of the events
 11 giving rise to the claims arose in Alameda County, and this action should be assigned
 12 to the Oakland Division.
 13

14 PARTIES

15 19. Plaintiff Caley Keene is a citizen of California who purchased the
 16 Products during the class period, as described herein. Plaintiff's purchases took place
 17 in California. In addition, the advertising and labeling on the package of the Products
 18 purchased by Plaintiff, including the "natural" representations, is typical of the
 19 advertising and labeling of the Products purchased by members of the Class. Plaintiff
 20 relied on the representation on the packaging that the Products were "natural."
 21

- 22 a. Within the past three years, Plaintiff purchased the Unscented and Aloe
 23 Vera versions of the Product on multiple occasions. These purchases
 24 were made at retailers throughout California. Most recently in August
 25 2021, Plaintiff purchased Defendant's Product from the Berkeley
 26 Natural Grocery store in Berkeley, CA at a price of approximately \$7.00.
 27
 28

20. Defendant BEAUMONT PRODUCTS, INC. is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business in Kennesaw, Georgia.

a. Defendant produces, markets and distributes the Products in retail stores across the United States including stores physically located in the State of California and this District.

21. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to add different or additional defendants, including without limitation any officer, director, employee, supplier, or distributor of Defendant who has knowingly and willfully aided, abetted, or conspired in the false and deceptive conduct alleged herein.

22. Whenever reference is made in this Complaint to any representation, act, omission, or transaction of a defendant, that allegation shall mean that the defendant did the act, omission, or transaction through its officers, directors, employees, agents, and/or representatives while they were acting within the actual or ostensible scope of their authority.

FACTS

A. Consumers Value Representations that a Product is Natural

23. Consumers have become increasingly concerned about the effects of synthetic and chemical ingredients in food, cleaning products, bath and beauty products and everyday household products.²

² Julianna M. Butler & Christian A. Vossler, *What is an Unregulated and Potentially Misleading Label Worth? The case of "Natural"-Labelled Groceries*, Environmental & Resource Economics, Springer; European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists, vol. 70(2), pages 545-564 (2017).

24. Companies such as the Defendant have capitalized on consumers' desires for purportedly "natural products."

25. Indeed, consumers are willing to pay, and have paid, a premium for products branded "natural" over products that contain synthetic ingredients.

26. In 2015, sales of natural products grew 9.5% to \$180 billion.³ Reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff and Class Members, value natural products for important reasons, including the belief that they are safer and healthier than alternative products that are not represented as natural.

27. Further, consumers have become increasingly concerned about the effects of synthetic ingredients in consumer products.⁴

28. Reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff and Class Members, value natural products for important reasons, including the belief that they are safer and healthier than alternative products that are not represented as natural.

29. As a result, "natural" products are worth more than products that contain artificial ingredients, and consumers pay a premium for products labeled "natural" over products that contain synthetic ingredients.

³ *Natural Products Industry Sales up 9.5% to \$180bn Says NBJ*, FOOD NAVIGATOR, [http://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Markets/EXPO-WEST-trendspotting-organics-natural-claims/\(page\)/6](http://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Markets/EXPO-WEST-trendspotting-organics-natural-claims/(page)/6) ; see also Shoshanna Delventhal, *Study Shows Surge in Demand for "Natural" Products*, INVESTOPEDIA (February 22, 2017), <http://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/022217/study-shows-surge-demand-natural-products.asp> (Study by Kline Research indicated that in 2016, the personal care market reached 9% growth in the U.S. and 8% in the U.K. The trend-driven natural and organic personal care industry is on track to be worth \$25.1 million by 2025).

⁴ Butler and Vossler, *supra* note 2.

B. Plaintiff and Other Reasonable Consumers Understand Natural to Mean that a Product Lacks Artificial Ingredients

30. Plaintiff and Class Members understand “natural” representations to mean that a product lacks synthetic ingredients.

31. This interpretation is consistent with the understanding of a reasonable consumer.

32. The test to determine if a company’s “natural” representation is deceptive is judged by whether it would deceive or mislead a reasonable person. To assist in ascertaining what a reasonable consumer believes the term “natural” means, one can look to regulatory agency guidance.

33. Federal agencies have warned companies that they must ensure that they can substantiate “natural” claims.

34. In 2013, the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") issued a Draft Guidance Decision Tree for Classification of Materials as Synthetic or Nonsynthetic (Natural). In accordance with this decision tree, a substance is natural—as opposed to synthetic—if: (a) it is manufactured, produced, or extracted from a natural source (i.e. naturally occurring mineral or biological matter); (b) it has not undergone a chemical change (i.e. a process whereby a substance is transformed into one or more other distinct substances) so that it is chemically or structurally different than how it naturally occurs in the source material; or (c) the chemical change was created by a naturally occurring biological process such as composting, fermentation, or enzymatic digestion or by heating or burning biological matter.⁵

⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture, Draft Guidance Decision Tree for Classification of Materials as Synthetic or Nonsynthetic, March 26, 2013, *available at* <https://web.archive.org/web/20140818174458/http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/getfi>

35. The FTC has warned companies that the use of the term “natural” may be deceptive:⁶

Marketers that are using terms such as natural must ensure that they can substantiate whatever claims they are conveying to reasonable consumers. If reasonable consumers could interpret a natural claim as representing that a product contains no artificial ingredients, then the marketer must be able to substantiate that fact.

36. Likewise, the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) warns that any “natural” labeling on products must be “truthful and not misleading.”⁷

37. In April 2016, the FTC settled with four manufacturers and filed a complaint against a fifth company for representing that its products were “natural” when they contained Phenoxyethanol and other synthetic ingredients. The manufacturers agreed to cease marketing the products in question as being “natural.”⁸

38. Surveys and other market research, including expert testimony Plaintiff intends to introduce, will demonstrate that the term “natural” is misleading to a reasonable consumer because the reasonable consumer believes that the term “natural,” when used to describe goods such as the Products, means that the goods are free of synthetic ingredients. For example, according to a consumer survey,

[le?dDocName=STELPRDC5103308.](#)

⁶ 75 Fed. Reg. 63552, 63586 (Oct. 15, 2010).

⁷ U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Small Business & Homemade Cosmetics: Fact Sheet, *available at* <http://www.fda.gov/Cosmetics/ResourcesForYou/Industry/ucm388736.htm#7>.

⁸ *Four Companies Agree to Stop Falsely Promoting Their Personal-Care Products as “All Natural” or “100% Natural”; Fifth is Charged in Commission Complaint*, (April 2016), <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2016/04/four-companies-agree-stop-falsely-promoting-their-personal-care> (last visited Mar. 17, 2021).

1 “[e]ighty-six percent of consumers expect a ‘natural’ label to mean processed foods do
2 not contain any artificial ingredients.”⁹

3 39. A reasonable consumer’s understanding of the term “natural” comports
4 with that of federal regulators and common meaning. That is, the reasonable
5 consumer understands the representation that a product is “natural” to mean that it
6 does not contain any synthetic ingredients.¹⁰
7

8
9 **C. Defendant Represents that the Products are Natural**

10 40. Defendant capitalizes on consumers’ preferences for natural products by
11 making representations to consumers on its Products that they are natural.
12

13 41. The front label of every Product states that the Product is “CLEARLY
14 NATURAL” and “Pure and Natural.”

15 42. The following image is an example of that representation being
16 prominently made on one of the Products:
17

18
19
20
21
22
23
24 ⁹ Urvashi Rangan, Comments of Consumers Union on Proposed Guides for Use of
25 Environmental Marketing Claims, 16 C.F.R. Part 260, Notice of the Federal Trade
26 Commission (2010), *available at*
27 https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_comments/guides-use-environmental-marketing-claims-project-no.p954501-00289%C2%A0/00289-57072.pdf
(also accessible as Comment 58 at
28 <http://www.ftc.gov/policy/publiccomments/initiative-353>).

¹⁰ Butler and Vossler, *supra* note 2. “The vast majority of respondents stated a belief that ‘natural’ signals no artificial flavors, colors and/or preservatives.” *Id.*



43. Based on the language that appears on the front of each product, Plaintiff reasonably believed that Products contained only natural ingredients.

44. “Natural” is a representation to a reasonable consumer that the Products contain only natural ingredients.

45. Defendant represents on its Products’ labels that the Products are “natural.”

D. Defendant’s Representations Are False, Misleading, and Deceptive

46. Despite representing that the Products are “natural,” the Products contain multiple synthetic ingredients.

1 47. Thus, Defendant's representations that the Products are "natural" is
2 false, misleading, and deceptive because the Products contain ingredients that are, as
3 set forth and described below, synthetic.¹¹

4 a. **Phenoxyethanol** is a synthetic substance associated with depressing
5 the central nervous system, vomiting, and diarrhea.¹² This synthetic
6 chemical concerned the FDA, and the agency warned consumers against
7 using on nursing infants because it "can depress the central nervous
8 system" and "may cause vomiting and diarrhea, which can lead to
9 dehydration in infants."¹³ Concern for the use of this synthetic
10 ingredient is not restricted to the United States, and after concerns were
11 raised by the European Commission's Scientific Committee on
12 Consumer Safety, France prohibited the labeling and marketing of
13 products containing Phenoxyethanol for use on children that are three
14 years old and younger.¹⁴

15 b. **Ethylhexylglycerin** is a synthetic derived form of vegetable glycerin.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22 ¹¹ Other ingredients in the Products may also be artificial as well. Plaintiff's
23 investigation is ongoing and will seek to amend the Complaint to specify other
24 potential artificial ingredients in the future.

25 ¹² 21 C.F.R. §172.515 and *FDA Consumer Update: Contaminated Nipple Cream*, (May
26 2008),
27 <https://web.archive.org/web/20140712202507/https://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm049301.htm> (last visited Mar. 17, 2021).

28 ¹³ U.S. Food and Drug Administration, *For Consumers, Contaminated Nipple Cream*,
<https://web.archive.org/web/20140712202507/https://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm049301.htm> (last visited Mar. 17, 2021).

¹⁴ Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des Produits de Santé, Decision of
13 Mars 2019, *available at*
https://www.ansm.sante.fr/content/download/158253/2075101/version/1/file/DPS_Phenoxxyethanol-200319.pdf.

1 48. Consumers lack the meaningful ability to test or independently
2 ascertain or verify whether a product is natural, especially at the point of sale.
3 Consumers would not know that the Products contain unnatural, synthetic
4 ingredients, by reading the ingredients label.

5 49. Discovering that the ingredients are not natural and are actually
6 synthetic requires an investigation beyond that of the skills of the average consumer.
7 That is why, even though the ingredients listed above are identified on the back of
8 the Products' packaging in the ingredients listed, the reasonable consumer would not
9 understand – nor are they expected to understand – that these ingredients are
10 synthetic.

11 50. Moreover, the reasonable consumer is not expected or required to scour
12 the ingredients list on the back of the Products in order to confirm or debunk
13 Defendant's prominent front-of-the-product claims, representations, and warranties
14 that the Products are "natural."

15 51. Defendant did not disclose that the above listed ingredients are
16 synthetic ingredients anywhere on the Product. A reasonable consumer understands
17 Defendant's "natural" claims to mean that the Products are "natural" and do not
18 contain synthetic ingredients.

19 52. Consumers rely on label representations and information in making
20 purchasing decisions.

21 53. The marketing of the Products as "natural" in a prominent location on
22 the labels of all of the Products, throughout the Class Period, evidences Defendant's
23 awareness that "natural" claims are material to consumers.
24
25
26
27
28

1 54. Additionally, Defendant is aware that products containing synthetic
2 ingredients have lower demand and exploit reasonable consumers by projecting that
3 the Products are “natural” and free of synthetic, bad ingredients.

4 55. Defendant’s deceptive representations are material in that a reasonable
5 person would attach importance to such information and would be induced to act
6 upon such information in making purchase decisions.

7
8 56. Plaintiff and the Class Members reasonably relied to their detriment on
9 Defendant’s misleading representations and omissions.

10 57. Defendant’s false, misleading, and deceptive misrepresentations and
11 omissions are likely to continue to deceive and mislead reasonable consumers, as they
12 have already deceived and misled the Plaintiff and the Class Members.

13
14 **E. Defendant’s Deceptive Conduct Caused Plaintiff’s and Class**
15 **Members’ Injuries**

16 58. In making the false, misleading, and deceptive representations and
17 omissions described herein, Defendant knew and intended that consumers would pay
18 a premium for Products labeled “natural” over comparable products not so labeled
19 and marketed.

20 59. As an immediate, direct, and proximate result of Defendant’s false,
21 misleading, and deceptive representations, Defendant injured the Plaintiff and the
22 Class Members in that they:

23
24 a. Paid a sum of money for Products that were not what Defendant
25 represented;

26 b. Paid a premium price for Products that were not what Defendant
27 represented;
28

- 1 c. Were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the Products they
2 purchased were different from what Defendant warranted; and
3 d. Were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the Products they
4 purchased had less value than what Defendant represented.

5 60. Plaintiff and the Class Members paid for Products that were "natural"
6 but received Products that were not "natural." The products Plaintiff and the Class
7 Members received were worth less than the products for which they paid.
8

9 61. Based on Defendant's misleading and deceptive representations,
10 Defendant were able to, and did, charge a premium price for the Products over the
11 cost of competitive products not bearing the misrepresentations.
12

13 62. Plaintiff and the Class Members paid money for the Products. However,
14 Plaintiff and the Class Members did not obtain the full value of the advertised
15 Products due to Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions. Plaintiff and the
16 Class Members purchased, purchased more of, and/or paid more for, the Products
17 than they would have had they known the truth about the Products. Consequently,
18 Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a
19 result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.
20

21 63. Defendant knew that consumers will pay more for a product marketed
22 as "natural," and intended to deceive Plaintiff and putative Class Members by
23 labeling and marketing the Products as purportedly natural products.
24

25 64. Plaintiff and Class Members paid for the Products over and above
26 comparable products that did not purport to be "natural." Given that Plaintiff and
27 Class Members paid for the Products based on Defendant's misrepresentations that
28

they are “natural,” Plaintiff and Class Members suffered an injury in the amount paid.

65. Additionally, Plaintiff and Class Members paid a premium for the Products over and above comparable products that did not purport to be “natural.” Given that Plaintiff and Class Members paid a premium for the Products based on Defendant’s misrepresentations that they are “natural,” Plaintiff and Class Members suffered an injury in the amount of the premium paid.

CLASS DEFINITIONS AND ALLEGATIONS

66. Plaintiff, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, brings this action on behalf of the following classes (collectively, the “Class,” “Classes,” and “Class Members”):

- a. Multi-State Consumer Class: All persons in the States of California, Florida, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Oregon, and Washington who purchased the Products.¹⁵

¹⁵ The States in the Multi-State Consumer Class are limited to those States with similar consumer protection laws under the facts of this case: California (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.); Florida (Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.); Illinois (815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.); Maryland (Md. Com. Law §§ 13-301); Massachusetts (Mass. Gen. Laws Ch. 93A, et seq.); Michigan (Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901, et seq.); Minnesota (Minn. Stat. § 325F.67, et seq.); Missouri (Mo. Rev. Stat. 407.010, et seq.); New Jersey (N.J. Stat. § 56:8-1, et seq.); New York (N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, et seq.); Pennsylvania (73 Pa. Stat. Ann. §§ 201-1 et seq.); Oregon (Or. Rev. Stat. §§ 646.605, et seq.); and Washington (Wash Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et seq.).

1 b. California Class: All persons who purchased Defendant's Products
2 within the State of California and within the applicable statute of
3 limitations period; and

4 c. Nationwide Class: All persons who purchased Defendant's Products
5 within the United States and within the applicable statute of limitations
6 period.
7

8 67. Excluded from the Classes are Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries,
9 affiliates, officers, and directors, those who purchased the Products for resale, all
10 persons who make a timely election to be excluded from the Classes, the judge to
11 whom the case is assigned and any immediate family members thereof, and those
12 who assert claims for personal injury.
13

14 68. The members of the Classes are so numerous that joinder of all Class
15 Members is impracticable. Defendant has sold, at a minimum, millions of units of the
16 Products to Class Members.
17

18 69. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and
19 fact involved in this case. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the
20 putative classes that predominate over questions that may affect individual Class
21 Members include, but are not limited to the following:

22 a. whether Defendant misrepresented material facts concerning the
23 Products on the label of every product;

24 b. whether Defendant's conduct was unfair and/or deceptive;

25 c. whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched as a result of the
26 unlawful, fraudulent, and unfair conduct alleged in this Complaint such
27
28

1 that it would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefits
2 conferred upon them by Plaintiff and the Classes;

3 d. whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to equitable and/or
4 injunctive relief;

5 e. whether Defendant breached express and implied warranties to Plaintiff
6 and the Classes;

7 f. whether Plaintiff and the Classes have sustained damages with respect
8 to the claims asserted, and if so, the proper measure of their damages.
9

10 70. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other Class Members because
11 Plaintiff, like all members of the Classes, purchased Defendant's Products bearing
12 the natural representations and Plaintiff sustained damages from Defendant's
13 wrongful conduct.
14

15 71. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Classes
16 and has retained counsel that is experienced in litigating complex class actions.
17 Plaintiff has no interests which conflict with those of the classes.
18

19 72. A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and
20 efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be
21 encountered in the management of this class action. The damages or other financial
22 detriment suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class Members are relatively small
23 compared to the burden and expense that would be required to individually litigate
24 their claims against Defendant, making it impracticable for Class Members to
25 individually seek redress for Defendant's wrongful conduct. Even if Class Members
26 could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation
27 creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and increases the
28

1 delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action
2 device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single
3 adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

4 73. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action for equitable relief are
5 met as Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the
6 classes, thereby making appropriate equitable relief with respect to the classes as a
7 whole.
8

9 74. The prosecution of separate actions by members of the classes would
10 create a risk of establishing inconsistent rulings and/or incompatible standards of
11 conduct for Defendant. For example, one court might enjoin Defendant from
12 performing the challenged acts, whereas another might not. Additionally, individual
13 actions could be dispositive of the interests of the classes even where certain Class
14 Members are not parties to such actions.
15

16 **COUNT I**
17 **Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"),**
18 **CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17200, et seq.**

19 75. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in
20 the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

21 76. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the members of
22 the California Class.

23 77. Defendant is subject to California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. &
24 Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. The UCL provides, in pertinent part: "Unfair competition
25 shall mean and include unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business practices and unfair,
26 deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising"
27
28

1 78. Defendant violated the “unlawful” prong of the UCL by violating
2 California’s Consumer Legal Remedies Acts (“CLRA”) and False Advertising Law
3 (“FAL”), as alleged herein.

4 79. Defendant’s misrepresentations and other conduct, described herein,
5 violated the “unfair” prong of the UCL in that its conduct is substantially injurious to
6 consumers, offends public policy, and is immoral, unethical, oppressive, and
7 unscrupulous, as the gravity of the conduct outweighs any alleged benefits.

8 80. Defendant violated the “fraudulent” prong of the UCL by
9 misrepresenting that the Products are “natural” when, in fact, they are made with
10 synthetic ingredients.

11 81. Plaintiff and the California Class Members lost money or property as a
12 result of Defendant’s UCL violations because: because: (a) they would not have
13 purchased the Products on the same terms if they knew that the Products were made
14 with synthetic ingredients (b) they paid a substantial price premium compared to
15 other cosmetic products due to Defendant’s misrepresentations; and (c) the Products
16 do not have the characteristics, uses, or benefits as promised.

17 82. In accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order
18 enjoining Defendant from continuing to conduct business through unlawful, unfair,
19 and/or fraudulent acts and practices, and to commence a corrective advertising
20 campaign.

21 83. Plaintiff and the California Class also seek an order for the restitution of
22 all monies from the sale of the Products, which were unjustly acquired through acts
23 of unlawful competition.
24
25
26
27
28

1 84. Because Plaintiff and the California Class Members' claims under the
 2 "unfair" prong of the UCL sweep more broadly than their claims under the FAL,
 3 CLRA, or UCL's "fraudulent" prong, Plaintiff's legal remedies are inadequate to fully
 4 compensate Plaintiff for all of Defendant's challenged behavior.

5
 6
 7 **COUNT II**
 8 **Violation of The False Advertising Law ("FAL"),**
 9 **CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17500, et seq.**

10 85. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in
 11 the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

12 86. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the members of
 13 the California Class.

14 87. California's False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et
 15 seq., makes it "unlawful for any person to make or disseminate or cause to be made or
 16 disseminated before the public in this state, ... in any advertising device ... or in any
 17 other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement,
 18 concerning ... personal property or services, professional or otherwise, or performance
 19 or disposition thereof, which is untrue or misleading and which is known, or which by
 20 the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading."

21
 22 88. Defendant committed acts of false advertising, as defined by §§17500, *et*
 23 *seq.*, by misrepresenting that the Products are "natural" when they are not.

24 89. Defendant knew or should have known through the exercise of
 25 reasonable care (i.e. pre-market testing) that its representations about the Products
 26 were untrue and misleading.
 27
 28

1 90. Defendant's actions in violation of §§ 17500, *et seq.* were false and
2 misleading such that the general public is and was likely to be deceived.

3 91. Plaintiff and the California Class Members lost money or property as a
4 result of Defendant's FAL violations because: (a) they would not have purchased the
5 Products on the same terms if they knew that the Products were made with synthetic
6 ingredients; (b) they paid a substantial price premium compared to other cosmetic
7 products due to Defendant's misrepresentations; and (c) the Products do not have the
8 characteristics, uses, or benefits as promised.
9

10 92. Defendant profited from the sale of the falsely and deceptively
11 advertised Products to unwary consumers.
12

13 93. As a result, Plaintiff, the California Class, and the general public are
14 entitled to injunctive and equitable relief, restitution, and an order for the
15 disgorgement of the funds by which Defendant was unjustly enriched.

16 94. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17535, Plaintiff, on behalf of
17 herself and the California Class, seeks an order enjoining Defendant from continuing
18 to engage in deceptive business practices, false advertising, and any other act
19 prohibited by law, including those set forth in this Complaint.
20

21 95. Because the Court has broad discretion to award restitution under the
22 FAL and could, when assessing restitution under the FAL, apply a standard different
23 than that applied to assessing damages under the CLRA, and restitution is not
24 limited to returning to Plaintiff and California Class Members monies in which they
25 have an interest, but more broadly serves to deter the offender and others from future
26 violations, the legal remedies available under the CLRA and commercial code are
27
28

1 more limited than the equitable remedies available under the FAL, and are therefore
2 inadequate.

3
4 **COUNT III**
5 **Violation of The Consumer Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”),**
6 **CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1750, et seq.**

7 96. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in
8 the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

9 97. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the members of
10 the California Class.

11 98. This cause of action is brought pursuant to California’s Consumers Legal
12 Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 (the “CLRA”).

13 99. Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes are “consumers,” as the
14 term is defined by California Civil Code § 1761(d), because they bought the Products
15 for personal, family, or household purposes.

16 100. Plaintiff, the other members of the Classes, and Defendant has engaged
17 in “transactions,” as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1761(e).

18 101. The conduct alleged in this Complaint constitutes unfair methods of
19 competition and unfair and deceptive acts and practices for the purpose of the CLRA,
20 and the conduct was undertaken by Defendant in transactions intended to result in,
21 and which did result in, the sale of goods to consumers.

22 102. As alleged more fully above, Defendant has violated the CLRA by falsely
23 representing to Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes that the Products are
24 “natural” when in fact they are made with synthetic ingredients.

25 103. As a result of engaging in such conduct, Defendant has violated
26 California Civil Code § 1770(a)(5), (a)(7) and (a)(9).
27
28

1 104. Pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code § 1782(a), Plaintiff provided
2 notice to Defendant of its alleged violations of the CLRA, demanding that Defendant
3 correct such violations, and providing it with the opportunity to correct its business
4 practices. Notice was sent via certified mail, return receipt requested on December 6,
5 2022. As of the date of filing this complaint, Defendant has not responded.
6 Accordingly, if after 30 days no satisfactory response to resolve this litigation on a
7 class-wide basis has been received, Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this request to
8 seek restitution and actual damages as provided by the CLRA.
9

10 105. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780, Plaintiff seeks injunctive
11 relief, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and any other relief that the Court deems
12 proper.
13

14 **COUNT IV**
15 **Unjust Enrichment**

16 106. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in
17 the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

18 107. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of
19 the proposed Classes against the Defendant.

20 108. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant deceptively marketed,
21 advertised, and sold merchandise to Plaintiff and the Classes.
22

23 109. Plaintiff and members of the Classes conferred upon Defendant
24 nongratuitous payments for the Products that they would not have if not for
25 Defendant's deceptive advertising and marketing. Defendant accepted or retained the
26 nongratuitous benefits conferred by Plaintiff and members of the Classes, with full
27 knowledge and awareness that, as a result of Defendant's deception, Plaintiff and
28

1 members of the Classes were not receiving a product of the quality, nature, fitness, or
2 value that had been represented by Defendant and reasonable consumers would have
3 expected.

4 110. Defendant has been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived
5 from Plaintiff's and Class Members' purchases of the Products. Retention of those
6 monies under these circumstances is unjust and inequitable because of Defendant's
7 misrepresentations about the Products, which caused injuries to Plaintiff and Class
8 Members because they would not have purchased the Products if the true facts had
9 been known.
10

11 111. Because Defendant's retention of the non-gratuitous benefits conferred
12 on it by Plaintiff and members of the Classes is unjust and inequitable, Defendant
13 must pay restitution to Plaintiff and members of the Classes for its unjust
14 enrichment, as ordered by the Court.
15

16 **COUNT V**
17 **Violation of State Consumer Protection Statutes**

18 112. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation above as if set
19 forth herein.
20

21 113. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the members of
22 the Multi-State Consumer Class.

23 114. The Consumer Protection Acts of the States in the Multi-State
24 Consumer Class prohibit the use of unfair or deceptive business practices in the
25 conduct of trade or commerce.
26
27
28

115. Defendant intended that Plaintiff and the other members of the Multi-State Consumer Class would rely upon their deceptive conduct, and a reasonable person would in fact be misled by its deceptive conduct.

116. As a result of the Defendant's use or employment of unfair or deceptive acts or business practices, Plaintiff, and other members of Multi-State Consumer Class, have sustained damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

RELIEF DEMANDED

117. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- a. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Classes and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the members of the Classes;
- b. For an order declaring the Defendant's conduct violates the statutes and laws referenced herein;
- c. For an order awarding, as appropriate, compensatory and monetary damages, statutory damages, restitution or disgorgement to Plaintiff and the Classes for all causes of action;
- d. For an order requiring Defendant to immediately cease and desist from selling its misbranded Products in violation of law; enjoining Defendant from continuing to label, market, advertise, distribute, and sell the Products in the unlawful manner described herein; and ordering Defendant to engage in corrective action;

- 1 e. For prejudgment and postjudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
2 f. For an order awarding punitive damages; and
3 For an order awarding attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit
4

5
6 **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

7 Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all causes of action so triable.
8

9 Dated: December 6, 2022

10 **Good Gustafson Aumais LLP**

11 /s/ J. Ryan Gustafson
12 J. Ryan Gustafson (Cal. Bar No. 220802)
13 2330 Westwood Blvd., No. 103
14 Los Angeles, CA 90064
15 Tel: (310) 274-4663
16 cta@ggallp.com

17 **SHENAG PC**

18 /s/ Amir Shenaq
19 Amir Shenaq, Esq.*
20 3500 Lenox Road, Ste 1500
21 Atlanta, GA 30326
22 Tel: (888) 909-9993
23 amir@shenagpc.com

24 **THE KEETON FIRM LLC**

25 /s/ Steffan T. Keeton
26 Steffan T. Keeton, Esq.*
27 100 S Commons Ste 102
28 Pittsburgh PA 15212
Tel: (888) 412-5291
stkeeton@keetonfirm.com

**Pro hac vice forthcoming*

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Caley Keene, individually, and on behalf of those similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Alameda

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
GOOD | GUSTAFSON | AUMAIS LLP
2330 Westwood Boulevard, Suite 103
Los Angeles, California 90064
Telephone: (310) 274-4663
The Keeton Firm LLC
100 S Commons, Ste. 102
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
Telephone: (888) 412-5291
SHENAQ PC
3500 Lenox Road, Ste. 1500
Atlanta GA 30326
Telephone: (888) 909-9993

DEFENDANTS

BEAUMONT PRODUCTS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) Cobb (GA)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	X 1	1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4	4
Citizen of Another State	2	2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	X 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881	422 Appeal 28 USC § 158	375 False Claims Act			
120 Marine			690 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))			
130 Miller Act			LABOR	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment			
140 Negotiable Instrument						710 Fair Labor Standards Act	820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust
150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits						720 Labor/Management Relations	830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking
151 Medicare Act						740 Railway Labor Act	835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application	450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)						751 Family and Medical Leave Act	840 Trademark	460 Deportation
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits						790 Other Labor Litigation	880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations
160 Stockholders' Suits						791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit
190 Other Contract						IMMIGRATION		861 HIA (1395ff)
195 Contract Product Liability	862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV						
196 Franchise	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange						
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	462 Naturalization Application	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions			
			465 Other Immigration Actions	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts			
			FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters				
				870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	895 Freedom of Information Act			
				871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	896 Arbitration			
			210 Land Condemnation	HABEAS CORPUS	OTHER	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
			220 Foreclosure				540 Mandamus & Other	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
			230 Rent Lease & Ejectment				550 Civil Rights	
			240 Torts to Land				555 Prison Condition	
			245 Tort Product Liability				560 Civil Detainee—Conditions of Confinement	
290 All Other Real Property								

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- X 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation--Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation--Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2) (Diversity Jurisdiction)

Brief description of cause:

Consumer Fraud - Deceptive, Misleading, and Fraudulent Marketing of Soap

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,001.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only) X SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE 12/06/2022

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ J. Ryan Gustafson

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the “defendant” is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section “(see attachment).”
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an “X” in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an “X” in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) Diversity of citizenship. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an “X” in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an “X” in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) Transferred from Another District. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an “X” in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: “the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated.”

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.