1	Shalini Dogra, State Bar No. 309024						
2	DOGRA LAW GROUP PC 2219 Main Street, Unit 239						
3	Santa Monica, CA 90405 Tel: (747) 234-6673						
4	Fax: (310) 868-0170						
5	W EMPLOYMENT LAW, APC Jacob N. Whitehead, SBN 266123						
6	jacob@swemploymentlaw.com						
7	7700 Irvine Center Dr., Ste. 930 Irvine, CA 92618						
8	Tel: (949) 674-4922 Fax: (949) 674-4930						
9	Attorneys for Named Plaintiffs BARBARA BRI	TTAIN & LINDA DIAL and Proposed Class					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
11	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
12		Case No: <b>'22CV1764 CAB DEB</b>					
13	BARBARA BRITTAIN, an Individual; LINDA DIAL, an Individual;, on behalf of	PLAINTIFFS' CLASS ACTION					
14	themselves and all others similarly situated;	COMPLAINT					
15	Plaintiffs,	(1) CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1750, et					
16	V.	seq.;					
17	AMAZON.COM, INC., a Washington	(2) VIOLATION OF THE FALSE ADVERTISING LAW ("FAL"),					
18	Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,	CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17500, et.					
19		seq.;					
20	Defendants.	(3) VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW ("UCL"),					
21		CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 et.					
22		seq.; (4) COMMON LAW FRAUD;					
23		(5) NEGLIGENT					
24		MISREPRESENTATION; (6) UNJUST ENRICHMENT					
25		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED					
26	Plaintiff Barbara Brittain and Plaintiff	Linda Dial, by and through their attorneys, bring this					
27	action on behalf of themselves and all oth	ners similarly situated against Amazon.Com, Inc					
28	("Defendant Amazon") and Does 1 through 50	0, inclusive. Plaintiffs hereby allege, on information					

and belief, except as those allegations which pertain to the named Plaintiffs, which allegations are based on personal knowledge, as follows:

3

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

- 1. This is a California consumer class action for violations of California's consumer protection laws.
- 2. Through its uniform advertising claims, Defendant Amazon misrepresents its "Amazon Prime" membership. ("the Product") on a statewide and nationwide basis. Amazon markets specific benefits that consumers will receive by purchasing the Product, including "sameday" or "two day" delivery and shipping speeds. However, in reality, the Product does not provide actually provide its advertised benefits. Likewise, purchase of the Product does not confer the benefit of delivery within two days or within the same day. Consumers who purchase the Product, and subscribe to the Amazon Prime Membership, are often waiting substantially beyond the same day and more than two days for ordered items. Consequently, Defendant Amazons' deceptive marketing tactics for the Product play out in two key ways. First, when consumers purchase the Product, they are shown advertised claims that the benefit of the Product includes free shipping within two days or the same day. However, after these consumers purchase the Product, and buy an item identified as one that qualifies for the Product's same-day or two-day delivery speed, they do not receive the item within two days or within the same day. Defendant Amazon's second deceptive tactic involves changing the delivery date of a purchased item midway, during its transit. That is, when a person who has bought the Product, i.e., paid for an "Amazon Prime" membership, s/he is shown a specific delivery date. Yet, after completing his/her purchase, the buyer is provided with a longer delivery day or simply told that the item is delayed in transit and no expected delivery date is provided.
- 3. Based on the fact that Defendant Amazon's advertising misled Plaintiffs and all others like them, Plaintiffs bring this class against Defendant Amazon to seek reimbursement of the premium them and the Class Members paid due to Defendant Amazon's false and deceptive representations about the Product. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek relief in this action individually and on behalf of all purchasers of the Product statewide in California for violations of the California

Bus. & Prof. Code §§17500, et seq., California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), Bus. & Prof. Code §§17200, et seq., and California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), as well as for unjust enrichment, negligent misrepresentation and common law fraud.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), the Class Action Fairness Act, because the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs, and at least one class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendants. Additionally, more than two-thirds of the members of the class reside in states other than those in which Defendant Amazon is a citizen and in which this case is filed, and therefore any exceptions to jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) do not apply.
- 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. P. § 410.10, as a result of Defendants' substantial, continuous and systematic contacts with the State, and because Defendants have purposely availed themselves to the benefits and privileges of conducting business activities within the State.
- 6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, this Court is the proper venue for this action because a substantial part of the events, omissions and acts giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this District. Moreover, Defendants distributed, advertised and sold the Product, which is the subject of the present Complaint, in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff Barbara Brittain is a citizen and resident of California and resides in San Diego County. Plaintiff Linda Dial is a citizen and resident of California and resides in San Diego County.
- 8. Defendant Amazon is a Washington corporation, headquartered in the State of Washington, located at 401 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, WA 98109-5210. Defendant is an online e-commerce store that sells goods throughout California, and the United States, as demonstrated by Defendant Amazon's own website.
- 9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that at all times relevant hereto each of these individuals and/or entities was the agent, servant, employee, subsidiary,

affiliate, partner, assignee, successor-in-interest, alter ego, or other representative of each of the

remaining Defendants and was acting in such capacity in doing the things herein complained of and

alleged. The true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein under California Code of Civil

Procedure § 474 and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who

therefore sues these Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to show

their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. Each of the Doe Defendants is

7

8

9

10

11

12

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

responsible in some manner for the conduct alleged herein.

Undoubtedly, shipping speeds are a highly important attribute to consumers. Many

reasonable individuals decide where to buy a specific item based on how quickly the potential retail

location for the purchase will deliver the ordered item. Defendant Amazon, aware of how critical

10.

11.

shipping speeds are to buyers, deliberately deceive consumers by purposely marketing the Product

based on Defendant Amazon's advertising of the Product that they would receive items purchased

from Defendant Amazon's online store within two days. Had Plaintiffs and other class members

known that Defendant Amazon fails to provide the marketed benefits of the Product and fails to

deliver items within the advertised time frames, they would not have purchased the Product or would

have paid significantly less. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated class members have been

deceived and suffered economic injury. Additionally, they have incurred reputational damage and

lost patients as well as a result of Defendant Amazon's deceptive representations.

Plaintiffs and Class Members paid for the Product because they reasonably believed,

13 in a false and misleading manner, and lying to consumers about the purported shipping and delivery

benefits that will receive when they buy the Product.

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

12. Defendant Amazon's labeling, marketing and advertising uniformly involves multiple false and misleading statements, as well as material omissions of fact, concerning the Product that have injured Plaintiffs and the class by tricking them into buying the Product, and paying extra fees for "Amazon Prime" membership.

Consequently, Plaintiffs and class members received benefits that were entirely different from what they sought at the time of purchase. Hence, because the Product fails to provide

the advertised benefits, services and quality of service promised, consumers are not receiving the benefit of their bargain.

- 14. Defendant Amazon has no reasonable basis for falsely advertising and deceptively marketing shipping speeds, or for perpetuating pervasive and systematic misrepresentations about the Product. As a result, consumers are consistently misled into purchasing the Product for commonly known and/or advertised benefits, when in fact no such characteristics could be had.
- 15. The malicious actions taken by Defendant Amazon caused significant harm to consumers. Plaintiffs and similarly situated class members who purchased the Product because they reasonably believed, based on Defendant Amazon's marketing and advertising scheme, that they were purchasing a membership subscription that provided advertised benefits of faster delivery and shipping speeds. Had Plaintiffs and other class members known the Product actually fails to provide any of its advertised services and benefits, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid substantially less money for it. As a result, Plaintiffs and similarly situated class members have been deceived and suffered economic injury.
- 16. Plaintiff Dial purchased the Product, and paid an annual fee amount for the "Amazon Prime" membership in 2022 and throughout the relevant time period. In choosing to pay for the Product, Plaintiff Dial relied on Defendant Amazon's marketing scheme for the Product, including the faster shipping speed attributes. Despite Defendant Amazon's systematic advertising of the Product, and claims about the shipping speed benefits conferred by the Product, in many instances Ms. Dial did not receive purchased items within the marketed time frames of two days or less.
- 17. Plaintiff Brittain bought the Product from Defendant Amazon between January and February 2022, in the city of San Diego. Plaintiff Brittain reasonably made her purchasing decision based upon the deceptive advertising claims that Defendant Amazon prominently disseminated and continues to market with the Product. Specifically, in opting the purchase the Product and pay a monthly \$12.99 monthly fee for the Product, Plaintiff Brittain relied on the expedited shipping speed attributes, which are undoubtedly material to the reasonable consumer. During the time span when Plaintiff Brittain paid for the Product, on at least three occasions, Defendant Amazon failed to

1

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27 28

provide her with the advertised benefits of the Product. and deliver her ordered goods within the marketed shipping speed of two days or less.

- 18. Plaintiffs purchased the Product in reliance upon Defendant Amazon's marketing scheme, without knowledge of the fact that Defendant Amazon would actually fail to provide the marketed benefits of the Product, includes the Product's advertised shipping speeds. Plaintiffs implemented the Product as intended and would not have purchased the Product if they had known that the advertising as described herein was false, misleading and deceptive. Plaintiffs were economically harmed by Defendant Amazon's false marketing of the Product. Hence, the value of the Product that Plaintiffs actually purchased was materially less than its value as mispresented by Defendant Amazon.
- 19. Plaintiffs bring this class action on behalf of themselves and all others similar situated, asserting claims for violations of California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. (the "UCL" or "§17200"); California's False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.; California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 et seq. (the "CLRA").; common law fraud; negligent misrepresentation; and unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, restitution and equitable relief on behalf of themselves and the Class.

#### CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiffs bring this class action on behalf of themselves individually and all others similarly situated, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(2) and/or (b)(3). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, Plaintiffs bring this class action on behalf of themselves individually and all others similarly situated statewide in California. Plaintiffs seek to represent a class a comprised of all persons in California who, on or after March 28, 2018, in California, (the "Class Period") purchased the Product for household use and not for resale or distribution.
- 21. The proposed class consists of all consumers who purchased the Product in the State of California for personal use and not for resale, during the time period March 28, 2022, through the present. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their affiliates, employees, officers and directors, any individual who received remuneration from Defendants in connection with that

5

6

7 8

10 11

12

9

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

25

24

26 27

28

individual's use or endorsement of the Product, the Judge(s) assigned to this case, and the attorneys of record in this case. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend the Class definitions if discovery and further investigation reveal that the Class should be expanded or otherwise modified.

- 22. This action is properly brought as a class action for the following reasons:
- (a) The members in the proposed class are so numerous that individual joinder of all members is impracticable and disposition of the class members' claims in a single class action will provide substantial benefits to the parties and Court, and is in the best interests of the parties and judicial economy.
- (b) Plaintiffs stand on equal footing with and can fairly and adequately protect the interests of all members of the proposed class. All advertisements for the Product bear the misleading claims about the purported benefits that purchasers will receive, including deceptive statements about shipping and delivery speeds. Hence, all members of the proposed class are exposed to Defendant Amazon's deceptive marketing scheme of the Product. Every individual consumer who purchases the Product is subjected to the same misrepresentation and to the false advertising regarding the Product's conferred shipping speed benefits. Defendants have, or have access to, address information for the Class Members, which may be used for the purpose of providing notice of the pendency of this class action. Further, the class definition itself describes a set of common characteristics sufficient to allow a prospective plaintiff or class member to identify himself or herself as having a right to recover based on the description. It is especially easy for Defendants to access Class Members' contact information here, and facilitate notification to the proposed class because all Class Members have to provide their contact information in order to purchase the Product, and also since the Product is bought online from Defendant Amazon.
- (c) Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class, have no interest incompatible with the interests of the class, and have retained counsel competent and experienced in class actions, consumer protection, and false advertising litigation. Plaintiffs' attorneys have the experience, knowledge, and resources to adequately and properly represent the interests of the proposed class. Plaintiffs will have no interests

- antagonistic to those of other proposed class members, and they have retained attorneys experienced in consumer class actions and complex litigation as counsel.;
- (d) Class treatment is superior to other options for resolution of the controversy because the relief sought for each class member is so small, that, absent representative litigation, it would be infeasible for class members to redress the wrongs done to them. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class and thus establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party or parties opposing the class. Further, individual cases would be so numerous as to inefficiently exhaust judicial resources. Plaintiffs seek damages and equitable relief on behalf of the proposed class on grounds generally applicable to the entire proposed class.;
- (e) Questions of law and fact common to the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. There are questions of law and fact common to the proposed class which predominate over any questions that may affect particular class members. Such questions of law and fact common to Plaintiffs and the class include, without limitation:
  - i. Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched by their conduct;
  - ii. Whether Class Members suffered an ascertainable loss as a result of Defendants' misrepresentations;
  - iii. Whether, as a result of Defendants' misconduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs and the Class Members are entitled to restitution, injunctive relieve and/or monetary relief, and if so, the amount and natural of such relief;
  - iv. Whether Defendants made any statement they knew or should have known were false or misleading;
  - v. Whether Defendants maintained a longstanding marketing policy, practice and strategy of labeling, advertising and selling the Product with misrepresentations about shipping and delivery speed claims even though Defendants knew the Product failed to confer its advertised benefits and that delivery would not be provided within the marketed time frames;

1	vi.	Whether the utility of Defendants' practices, if any, outweighed the gravity
2		of the harm to their victims;
3	vii.	Whether Defendants' conduct violated public policy, included as declared
4		by specific constitutional, statutory, or regulatory provisions;
5	viii.	Whether Defendants' conduct or any of their practices violated the
6		California False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.
7		the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et
8		seq.;
9	ix.	Whether Defendants passed off the Product as goods or services as those of
10		another, within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(1);
11	x.	Whether Defendants misrepresented the Product's affiliation, sponsorship
12		approval or certification, within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(2);
13	xi.	Whether Defendants misrepresented the affiliation, connection, or association
14		with, or certification by another of the Product, within the meaning of Cal. Civ
15		Code § 1770(a)(3);
16	xii.	Whether Defendants represented that the Product has characteristics, uses, or
17		benefits which it does not have, within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §
18		1770(a)(5);
19	xiii.	Whether Defendants represented that the Product is of a particular standard
20		quality, or grade, when it was really of another, within the meaning of Cal. Civ
21		Code § 1770(a)(7);
22	xiv.	Whether Defendants advertised the Product with the intent not to sell it as
23		advertised, within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(9);
24	XV.	Whether Defendants represented that the Product has been supplied in
25		accordance with a previous representation when it has not, within the meaning
26		of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(16);
27	xvi.	The proper equitable and injunctive relief;
28	xvii.	The proper amount of restitution or disgorgement;

xviii. The proper amount of reasonable litigation expenses and attorneys' fees;

- (f) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed class. Plaintiffs and all class members have been injured by the same practices of Defendants. Plaintiffs' claims arise from the same practices and conduct that give rise to the claims of all class members and are based on the same legal theories. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of class members' claims, as they are based on the same underlying facts, events and circumstances relating to Defendants' conduct.;
- (g) As a result of the foregoing, class treatment is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(1), (b)(2) and (b)(3), and may be appropriate for certification "with respect to particular issues" under Rule 23(b)(4).

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## Violation of Cal. Civ. Code §§1750, et seq.

- 23. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code §§ 1750, *et seq*. ("CLRA"). The CLRA prohibits any unfair, deceptive, and/or unlawful practices, as well as unconscionable commercial practices in connection with the sales of any goods or services to consumers. *See* Cal. Civ. Code §1770.
- 25. The CLRA "shall be liberally construed and applied to promote its underlying purposes, which are to protect consumers against unfair and deceptive business practices and to provide efficient economical procedures to secure such protection." Cal. Civ. Code § 1760.
  - 26. Defendant is a "person" under the CLRA. Cal. Civ. Code §1761 (c).
- 27. Plaintiff Dial and Plaintiff Brittain and the putative Class Members are "consumers" under the CLRA. Cal. Civ. Code §1761 (d).
- 28. The Product constitutes a "good" and "service" under the CLRA. Cal. Civ. Code §§1761 (a) and (b).
- 29. Plaintiffs and the putative Class Members' purchases of the Product within the Class Period constitute "transactions" under the CLRA. Cal. Civ. Code §1761 (e).

- 30. Defendant's actions and conduct described herein reflect transactions that have resulted in the sale of goods to consumers.
- 31. Defendant's failure to market the Product in accordance with California regulatory requirements constitute an unfair, deceptive, unlawful and unconscionable commercial practice.
- 32. Defendant's actions have violated at least seven provisions of the CLRA, including §§ 1770(a)(1), 1770 (a)(2), 1770 (a)(3)1770(a)(5), 1770(a)(7), 1770 (a)(9) and 1770(a)(16).
- 33. As a result of Defendant's violations, Plaintiffs and the Class suffered, and continue to suffer, ascertainable losses in the form of the purchase price they paid for the unlawfully marketed Product, which they would not have paid had the Product been advertised correctly, or in the form of the reduced value of the Product relative to the Product as advertised and the retail price they paid.
- 34. Pursuant to § 1782 of the CLRA on approximately March 28, 2022, Plaintiffs notified Defendant Amazon in writing of the particular violations of § 1770 of the CLRA, and demanded Defendant Amazon rectify the actions described above by providing monetary relief, agreeing to be bound by its legal obligations, and to give notice to all affected consumers of its intent to do so.
- 35. Defendant Amazon has failed to rectify or agree to rectify at least some of the violations associated with actions detailed above and give notice to all affected consumers within 30 days of receipt of the Cal. Civ. Code § 1782 notice. Thus, Plaintiffs seek actual damages and punitive damages for violation of the Act.
- 36. In addition, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1780(a)(2), Plaintiffs is entitled to, and therefore seeks, a Court order enjoining the above-described wrongful acts and practices that violate Cal. Civ. Code §1770.
- 37. Plaintiffs and Class Members are also entitled to recover attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, disbursements, and punitive damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1780 and 1781.
- 38. Notably, the Ninth Circuit has explained why venue is proper in this Court and why "choice of law" provisions are unenforceable against California consumer, stating "California public policy strongly favors consumer class actions," and that "waivers by a consumer of the

v. AOL LLC, 552 F.3d 1077, 108-1095 (9th Cir. 2009).

3

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

provisions of [the CLRA] is contrary to public policy and shall be unenforceable and void." Doe 1

5

# Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500, et seq.

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

- 39. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Defendant Amazon engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices, in violation of the California Business and Professions Code § 17500 et seq., by marketing and/or selling the Product without disclosure of the material fact that the Product actually failed to provide its advertised benefits. These acts and practices, as described above, have deceived Plaintiffs and other class members, causing them to lose money as herein alleged and have deceived and are likely to deceive the consuming public, in violation of those sections. Accordingly, Defendant Amazon's business acts and practices, as alleged herein, have caused injury to Plaintiffs and the other class members.
- 41. Defendant Amazon had a duty to disclose that the Product failed to confer the marketed benefits, including the fact that shipping speed that was slower than marketed, since this information was a material fact of which Defendant Amazon had exclusive knowledge; Defendant Amazon actively concealed this material fact; and Defendant Amazon made partial representations about the Product but suppressed some material facts.
- 42. Defendant Amazon's misrepresentation and/or nondisclosure of the fact that the Product failed to provide the advertised benefits, and lacked delivery within marketed time frames was the immediate cause of Plaintiffs and the other class members purchasing the Product.
- 43. In the absence of Defendant Amazon's misrepresentation and/or nondisclosure of facts, as described above, Plaintiffs and other class members would not have purchased the Product.
- 44. Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to relief, including full restitution and/or disgorgement of all revenues, earnings, profits, compensation, and benefits which may have been obtained by Defendant Amazon as a result of such business acts or practices, and enjoining Defendant Amazon to cease and desist from engaging in the practices described herein.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## Violation of Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

- 45. Plaintiffs and the Class re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*, also known as the California Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), prohibits acts of "unfair competition," including any unlawful, unfair, fraudulent, or deceptive business act or practice as well as "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
- 47. Defendant Amazon's failure to disclose the fact that the Product does not provide its advertised services is likely to deceive a reasonable consumer and therefore constitutes a fraudulent or deceptive business practice.
- 48. Defendant Amazon's sale of the Product without disclosing the truth that the Product lacks its advertised benefits, and that the Product in reality entails substantially slower delivery pace than advertised offends established public policy and constitutes an unfair business practice. This injury is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or competition.
- 49. Defendant Amazon's conduct is unlawful in that it violated numerous statutes, including Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1770(a); Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1709-1710; and Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1572-1573, as well as constituted common law fraud.
- 50. Case law overwhelmingly demonstrates that Defendant Amazon's marketing scheme for the Product constitutes violations of the CLRA and supports imposing substantial liability against Defendant Amazon here for its systematic practice of making untrue and misleading representations regarding shipping timeframes. Entities that have committed similar violations by lying to consumers about shipping times or charging fees for expedited shipping (without actually shipping purchased item at promised faster speed) have faced exponential six-figure monetary penalties. Furthermore, pursuant to §§1782(b)(1) and (b)(2), Defendant Amazon's liability is especially amplified here since both Plaintiff Dial and Plaintiff Brittain are senior citizens.
- 51. California's legislature and government agents have made clear that "consumers are to protection against unwarranted fees and unreasonable long waits for purchases to arrive at

///

- doorsteps. Likewise, as demonstrated by lawsuits filed by California's district attorneys' offices, engaging in deceptive tactics as the ones Defendant Amazon perpetuates for the Product, including false claims about shipping times, violates State false advertising and unfair competition laws. Thus, Defendant Amazon further violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200's prohibition against engaging in "unlawful" business acts or practices by, *inter alia*, failing to comply with California Civil Code § 1750, *et. seq.*, and California's False Advertising Law.
- 52. Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money and/or property as a result of Defendant Amazon's fraudulent, unfair and/or unlawful business practices, in that as a result of Defendant Amazon violations of the UCL, Plaintiffs and the class purchased the Product, which is a membership; they otherwise would not have purchased or at a minimum, paid more for the Product than they would have paid had Defendant Amazon not violated the UCL.
- 53. Plaintiffs and the Class reserve the right to allege other violations of law which constitute other unlawful business acts and practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.
- 54. Under California Business & Profession Code § 17203, Plaintiffs and the Class seek an order of this Court: (a) injunctive relief requiring Defendant Amazon to repair and/or replace the Product; for injunctive relief requiring Defendant Amazon to disclose the truth about the Product on Defendant Amazon's website, in newspapers throughout the State of California, and. through a notice mails and/or emailed to all Class Members; and (c) restitution of all monies paid to Defendant Amazon as a result of Defendant Amazon's violations of the UCL.
- 55. Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek additional preliminary or permanent injunctive relief.
- 56. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17203 and 17535, Plaintiffs and the Class are therefore entitled to an order requiring Defendant Amazon to cease the acts of unfair competition alleged herein, full restitution of all monies paid to Defendant Amazon as a result of its deceptive practices, interest at the highest rate allowable by law and the payment of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to, *inter alia*, California Civil Code Procedure §1021.5.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

2

## Common Law Fraud

4

3

57. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

5

58. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of their proposed Class.

7 8 59. As discussed above, Defendants provided Plaintiffs and the Class Members with false or misleading material information and failed to disclose material facts about the Product, including but not limited to the fact that the Product did not confer its advertised benefits. These

10

9

misrepresentations and omissions were made with knowledge of their falsehood.

60. The misrepresentations and omissions made by Defendants, upon which Plaintiffs the

11 12

Plaintiffs and Class Members to purchase the Product.

13 14

61. The fraudulent actions of Defendants caused damage to Plaintiffs and Class Members, who are entitled to damages and other legal and equitable relief as a result.

Class Members reasonably and justifiably relied, were intended to induce and actually induced

1516

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

17

# **Negligent Misrepresentation**

18 19 62. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

2021

63. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the proposed Class against Defendants.

22

23

24

64. As discussed above, Defendants represented the Product conferred same day shipping or delivery within two days, but failed to disclose that the Product actually failed to provide its advertised benefits or proffer its marketed shipping speed attributes. Defendants had a duty to disclose this information.

25

26

65. At the time Defendants made these misrepresentations, Defendants knew or should have known that these misrepresentations were false or made them without knowledge of their truth or veracity.

- 66. At an absolute minimum, Defendants negligently misrepresented or negligently omitted material facts about the Product.
- 67. The negligent misrepresentations and omissions made by Defendants, upon which Plaintiffs and Class Members reasonably and justifiably relied, were intended to induce and actually induced Plaintiffs and Class Members to purchase the Product.
- 68. Plaintiffs and Class Members would not have bought the Product if they had known the true facts
- 69. The negligent actions of Defendants caused damage to Plaintiffs and Class Members, who are entitled to damages and other legal and equitable relief as a result.

## **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **Unjust Enrichment**

- 70. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 71. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the proposed Class against Defendants.
- 72. Plaintiffs and Class Members conferred benefit on Defendants by purchasing the Product
- 73. Defendants have been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived from Plaintiffs' and Class Members' purchases of the Product. Retention of those moneys under these circumstances is unjust and inequitable because the Product does not actually proffer is advertised benefits or marketed shipping attributes and resulted in purchasers being denied the full benefit of their purchase because they did not a membership subscription that actually conferred the represented value or proffer delivery and shipping within the marketed time frame.
- 74. Because Defendants' retention of the non-gratuitous benefits conferred on them by Plaintiffs and Class Members is unjust and inequitable, Defendants must pay restitution to Plaintiffs and Class Members for their unjust enrichment, as ordered by the Court.

27 || ///

23

24

25

26

28 || ///

1 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and on behalf of the Class defined 3 herein, pray for judgment and relief on all Causes of Action as follows: 4 A. This action be certified and maintained as a class action and certify the proposed class 5 as defined, appointing Plaintiffs as representatives of the Class, and appointing the 6 attorneys and law firms representing Plaintiffs as counsel for the Class; 7 В. For an order declaring the Defendant Amazon's conduct violates the statutes 8 referenced herein; 9 C. That the Court awards compensatory, statutory and/or punitive damages as to all 10 Causes of Action where such relief is permitted; 11 D. That the Court awards Plaintiffs and proposed class members the costs of this action, 12 including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; 13 E. For an order enjoining Defendant Amazon from continuing to engage in the unlawful 14 conduct and practices described herein; 15 F. That the Court awards equitable monetary relief, including restitution and 16 disgorgement of all ill-gotten gains, and the imposition of a constructive trust upon, 17 or otherwise restricting the proceeds of Defendant Amazon's ill-gotten gains, to 18 ensure that Plaintiffs and proposed class members have an effective remedy; 19 G. That the Court awards pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the legal rate; 20 Н. Imposition of a constructive trust to prevent unjust enrichment and to compel the 21 restoration of property (money) to Plaintiffs and the Class which Defendant Amazon 22 acquired through fraud. 23 I. That the Court orders appropriate declaratory relief; and 24 J. That the Court grants such other and further as may be just and proper. 25 Dated: October 20, 2022 DOGRA LAW GROUP PC 26 By: 27 Shalini Dogra 28 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

		JURY DEMAND				
	Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.					
Dated:	October 20, 2022	DOGRA LAW GROUP PC				
Dated.						
		By: Shalini Dogra				
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
		18				
	PLAINTIF	FFS' CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT				

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	NTS				-		
Barbara Brittain, an Individual; Linda Dial, an Individual				Amazon.cor	m, Inc	<b>;</b> .					
, , , , ,											
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff S	an Diego		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant King County							
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION					OF		
				NOTE: IN LAN THE TR	RACT O	F LAND IN	IVOLVED	IL LOCATION	Or		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	nr)		Attorneys (If Kn	own)						
	219 Main Street, Un	it 239, Santa Mor	nica,				'22 CV176	64 CAB D	)EB		
CA 90405; (747)	234-6673										
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION /Place on "V" in	One Roy Only)	ш ст	 	F PRI	NCTPA	I. PARTIES /	Diaco en "V" in	One Box fo	ne Dlaintiff	
	—	One Box Only)	III. CI	(For Diversity Cases C		INCII A		nd One Box for I			
1 U S Government Plaintiff	] 1 US Government				PTF				PTF	DEF 4	
1 minii	(O.S. Government)	ivoi a 1 arty)	Citizo	in of This State	• 1		of Business In T			□,	
2 US Government	<b>★</b> 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and P	rincipal Place		<b>*</b> 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)					of Business In A		_		
				n or Subject of a	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6	
IV NATUDE OF CUIT	Г. п	• •	For	reign Country		1. 1. 1	C NI-+ CC	-'-'-'-'-'-'-'-'-	. ,.		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT		nly) ORTS	FC	RFEITURE/PENAL			for: Nature of S		STATUT		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure	_		peal 28 USC 158	375 False C			
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC	881	423 Wit	hdrawa1	376 Qui Ta		C	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	69	0 Other		28	USC 157		3729(a)) 00 State Reapportionment		
150 Recovery of Overpayment							PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust				
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			⊦	820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks 450 Comm		ng	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			L	_	ent - Abbreviated	460 Deport			
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability				Nev 840 Trac	v Drug Application	470 Racket	teer Influen t Organizat		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	гу	LABOR			end Trade Secrets	480 Consu	_		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	<b>★</b> 370 Other Fraud	71	0 Fair Labor Standards	5	Act	of 2016		SC 1681 or		
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	h <sub>72</sub>	Act 0 Labor/Management	- 1	SOCIA	L SECURITY	485 Teleph	ione Consu tion Act	mer	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	''	Relations		_	(1395ff)	490 Cable/			
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act	F		ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit Excha		odities/	
	Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	Η"	1 Family and Medical Leave Act	┢		D Title XVI	890 Other		ctions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigatio		865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu	iltural Acts	;	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	□ /9	1 Employee Retiremen Income Security Act		FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	893 Enviro 895 Freedo			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		meonic security rec			es (U S Plaintiff	Act	in or inion	mation	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General				or I	Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admin		rooduro	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			USC 7609		view or Ap		
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applic	cation				y Decision		
	446 Amer w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	er46	5 Other Immigration Actions				950 Constitution State S		of	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition									
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of									
		Confinement									
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	•••										
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rein Reop		ansferre nother I		6 Multidistri		Multidis Litigatio		
Troccoming Sta	ic Court	Appendic Court	псор		pecify)	)Isuici	Transfer		Direct F		
		tute under which you ar					versity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		Legal Remedies Act, Unf	air Compe	etition Law and False	Adverti	sing Law					
	Brief description of ca	iuse: Xass action against Defei	ndant for t	he deceptive marketi	ing of its	"Amazon	Prime" membershi	ip product			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$			HECK YES only		ı complai	nt:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		•	,000.00 pursuan	nt to C		URY DEMAND:		No		
			Ψυ,υυ	,coo.oo parsuan		. 11/1					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	TTP CT				D.C.					
		JUDGE				_DOCK	ET NUMBER				
DATE October 20, 2022		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY	OF RECORD						_	
October 20, 2022  Walking											
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE		MAG JUI	OGE			