| 1 2 3 4 5 | | |
|---|--|---|
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF T | HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 WW 6 | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | |
| 01 1:56 | | |
| 11 eq 03/14/2 | ALEXANDER J. LEON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, | Case No.: 20STCV38201 |
| Electronically Received 03/14/2022 11:59 AM 12022 11 Electronically Received 03/14/2022 11:59 AM 13 14 15 16 17 | Plaintiff, v. MISHIMA FOODS USA, INC ., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants. | [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER (1) GRANTING CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION, (2) GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AND (3) SETTING FINAL APPROVAL HEARING |
| 18 19 20 | | |
| 21 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 28 | | |
| 20 | | |
| | | Case No.: 20STCV38201 |

2 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement; and Setting Final Approval Hearing Date. Having 3 reviewed and considered the unopposed Motion, and having heard and considered the oral arguments 4 of counsel, the Court makes the findings and grants the relief set forth below, preliminarily approving 5 the Settlement contained in the Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement 6 Agreement" as attached as Exhibit D to the Declaration for Trenton R. Kashima) upon the terms and 7 conditions set forth in this Order. All terms and phrases in this Order shall have the same meaning as 8 they are defined in the Settlement Agreement. 9 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**: 10 1 The Court certifies, for settlement proposes only, the following Settlement Class: all persons who purchased, between April 6, 2016 and the date of Preliminary Approval, Mishima's Wasabi Green Peas Product in California for personal, family or 11 12 household use 13 2 The Court finds that Plaintiff Jordan Alexander J. Leon will fairly and adequately 14 represent the interest of the Settlement Class and therefore appoints him as a class representative. 15 3 The Court finds that the law firm of Sommers Schwartz, P.C. and attorney Trenton R. 16 Kashima are competent and experienced counsel that will adequately represent the interest of the 17 Settlement Class and therefore appoints the firm as Class Counsel. 18 4. The Court preliminarily approves the settlement terms set forth in the Settlement 19 Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, subject to final consideration at the Fairness Hearing 20 provided for below. 21 5. Simpluris Inc., the Settlement Administrator selected and agreed to by the parties, is 22 appointed as the Settlement Administrator. 23 6 The Court finds the Class Notice constrained with Notice Packets, attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits A and B, and the provision of the Class Notice outline in the 24 25 Settlement Agreement will provide adequate notice to the Class.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Conditional Class Certification;

7. The Settlement Administrator effectuate notice and send, in the manner and form
approved by this Court, no later than thirty (30) days after entry of this Order.

28

1

8. Prior to the Fairness Hearing (defined herein), Class Counsel and Defendant shall file

1

with the Court an appropriate affidavit or declaration attesting to the parties' compliance with the distribution of notice as set forth in this Order.

9. A hearing (the "Fairness Hearing") shall be held before this Court on 4 2022, at , in Department 12 of the Superior Court of California, County of Los 5 Angeles, Spring Street Courthouse, to determine:

- whether the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the a. best interests of the Settlement Class;
 - b. whether a Final Order and Judgment, as provided for in the Agreement, should be entered granting final approval of the settlement; and
- 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

whether, and in what amount, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and Class c. Representative incentive award, should be paid.

10. Class Member may object to the Settlement by submitting a timely written statement to the Settlement Administrator that describes the Class Member's objection in specific terms and the reasons for any such objection, including any evidence and legal authority the Class Member wishes to bring to the Court's attention and any evidence the Class Member wishes to introduce in support of his or her objection, as well as the Class Member's name, email and postal addresses, and telephone number, and information demonstrating that the Class Member is entitled to be included as a Member of the Class. To be timely, written notice of an objection in the format above must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator within one hundred and twenty (120) days of this Order.

11. Class Member may also object to the Settlement by appearing at the Fairness Hearing. No written objection need to be filed in order for a Class Member to be heard at the Fairness Hearing.

12. Each Class Member desiring to opt-out to the Settlement shall submit a timely written notice to the Settlement Administrator evidence their intention to opt out, as well as the Class Member's name and address. To be timely, written notice of an opt-out must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator within one hundred and twenty (120) days of this Order.

26 13. Class Member may submit Claims under the Settlement to the Settlement Administrator 27 within one hundred and twenty (120) days of this Order.

28

14. Not later than ten (10) days before the Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator

2

will prepare and deliver to Mishima's Counsel, who shall file with the Court and serve on Class Counsel, a report stating: (1) the total number of persons who have submitted timely and valid requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class and the names of such persons; and (2) the total number of persons who have submitted timely valid claims, and the aggregate value of those claims.

15. Class Counsel will file a Motion for approval of attorney's fees, costs, and class representative service awards no later than Ninety (90) days after this Order. This Motion, and all supporting documents, shall be posted to the Settlement Website.

16. The parties may respond to any Objectors, if any, no later than fourteen (14) days before the Fairness Hearing.

17. All discovery and pretrial proceedings in this Action are stayed and suspended until further order of this Court.

18. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor the terms contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be, or any be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity or lack thereof of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of Defendant; or (b) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of Defendant, in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 03/17/2022

colyn & Kukl

Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge Carolyn B. Kuhl Superior Court of California

1

2

3

4