

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**KALIELGOLD PLLC**  
Jeffrey D. Kaliel (SBN 238293)  
jkaliel@kalielllc.com  
1100 15<sup>th</sup> Street NW, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 350-4783

**KALIELGOLD PLLC**  
Sophia G. Gold (SBN 307971)  
sgold@kalielgold.com  
950 Gilman Street, Suite 200  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Telephone: (202) 350-4783

**EDELSBERG LAW, P.A.**  
Scott Edelsberg, Esq. (SBN 330090)  
scott@edelsberglaw.com  
20900 NE 30th Ave, Ste. 417  
Aventura, FL 33180  
Tel: 305-975-3320  
Fax: 786-623-0915

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**FELIPE VIDAURRE**, individually, and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

**PAYPAL, INC.**,

Defendant.

Case No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Plaintiff Felipe Vidaurre individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, hereby  
2 brings this Class Action Complaint against Defendant PayPal, Inc. (“PayPal”) and alleges as  
3 follows:

4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 1. This lawsuit is brought as a class action on behalf of Plaintiff and thousands of  
6 similarly situated PayPal customers who have been deceived into using PayPal’s buy now, pay  
7 later service by the company’s misrepresentations and omissions, in marketing materials,  
8 regarding the true operation and risks of the service. These risks include the real and repeated  
9 risk of multiple insufficient funds fees (“NSF fees”) or overdraft fees imposed by users’ banks as  
10 a result of automated PayPal transfers from consumers’ checking accounts.

11 2. PayPal’s “Pay in 4” service specifically targets poor consumers and those  
12 struggling to make ends meet on a week-to-week basis. This group is its core constituency.

13 3. To that group, PayPal purports to offer a solution to cash-strapped consumers:  
14 PayPal prominently markets Pay in 4 as a service that allows users to pay for purchases at a later  
15 date, with no interest, no fees and no hassle. These representations are false. In fact, there are  
16 huge, undisclosed fees and interest associated with using the service.

17 4. PayPal’s services thus cause unsuspecting consumers like Plaintiff to incur  
18 significant overdraft and NSF fees on their linked bank accounts.

19 5. Unfortunately, PayPal’s operation, along with its deceptive and incomplete  
20 marketing materials, means that users like Plaintiff end up paying huge amounts of fees and  
21 interest, which PayPal falsely assures users they will not receive.

22 6. In its rush to tout itself as convenient, simple, automatic, and free, PayPal does not  
23 disclose that overdraft and NSF fees are a likely and devastating consequence of the use of its  
24 service. No reasonable consumer would run this risk.

25 7. This massive risk is known to PayPal but is omitted from all of its marketing.

26 8. Worse, PayPal exacerbates the overdraft and NSF fee risk associated with service  
27 by using undisclosed processing choices that result in even more bank fees than users would  
28

1 otherwise incur, including repeatedly reprocessing debits when it knows users' checking accounts  
2 are already negative.

3 9. As a result, Plaintiff incurred *three separate NSF Fees*—almost \$90—on a single  
4 repayment to PayPal for his small-dollar purchase.

5 10. Had Plaintiff and the Class members known of the true operation and risks of the  
6 PayPal Pay in 4 service, they would not have used the PayPal service.

7 11. Plaintiff and the Class members have been injured by PayPal's practices. Plaintiff  
8 brings this action on behalf of himself, the putative Class, and the general public. Plaintiff seeks  
9 actual damages, punitive damages, restitution, and an injunction on behalf of the general public  
10 to prevent PayPal from continuing to engage in its illegal practices as described herein.

11 **PARTIES**

12 12. Plaintiff Felipe Vidaurre is a citizen and resident of Miami, Florida

13 13. Defendant PayPal, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of  
14 business in San Jose, California.

15 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

16 14. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28  
17 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), because the matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest  
18 and costs, and is a class action in which at least one member of the class is a citizen of a different  
19 State than Defendant. The number of members of the proposed Class in aggregate exceeds 100  
20 users. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).

21 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because it regularly  
22 conducts and/or solicits business in, engages in other persistent courses of conduct in, and/or  
23 derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to persons in this District.

24 16. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant  
25 resides in this District and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the  
26 claims occurred in this District.

27 ///

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

**A. Overview**

17. The concept of "buy now, pay later" has existed since the birth of credit cards. PayPal and other companies like Klarna, Afterpay, and Affirm have expanded this concept to offer point-of-sale loans for online and in-store purchases and through their mobile apps, allowing users to avoid paying in full for products at hundreds of online and in-person stores by breaking up payments into four installments—allowing users to pay off a purchase over the next few months.

18. According to the PayPal website, app, and advertisements online including on social media, the service is completely free, with no interest or hidden fees.

19. Here’s how it works. At checkout at an in-person store, or online, a user is offered a PayPal Pay in 4 option as an alternative to other, traditional methods of payment. During that checkout experience, PayPal offers short marketing messages regarding its supposedly fee and risk-free service.

20. If a user chooses to use PayPal Pay in 4, she provides basic personal details like name, date of birth, address, and debit card. She then is provided specific payment plan details.

21. For example, if the total purchase is \$50, PayPal breaks that total into four payments of \$12.50, with the first installment due at checkout and the remaining three deducted every two weeks. The user’s bank account will be charged for the first payment and automatically charged every two weeks until the balance is paid in full.

22. The whole process takes a few seconds—and at no time during that process does PayPal warn potential users of the true risks of using its service. To the contrary, during the checkout and sign up processes, PayPal repeatedly touts itself as a free service—without fees, interest, or other catches.

23. The PayPal interface and marketing representation promise:

///

///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Buy now, pay later with Pay in 4

Check out at millions of online stores and split your purchase into 4 interest-free payments with no late fees. There’s no impact on your credit score and it’s backed by PayPal.

24. PayPal also touts itself as offering “**4 interest-free payments**....Pay in 4 with PayPal through our app, with integrated brands, or anywhere Visa is accepted.”

25. It also states:

**No interest. No catch.**

Buy now, pay later is an alternative to credit and gives you the flexibility to shop what you want, when you want, without breaking the bank. When you split the cost of your purchase into 4 smaller payments with PayPal, **you’ll never pay any interest. Ever.**

26. But there are often huge “late fees” and significant interest, albeit not assessed by PayPal, in the form of overdraft and NSF fees assessed by banks processing Pay in 4 payments.

27. Overdraft fees, which banks charge when they pay small-dollar purchases into an insufficient account balance, are a highly profitable part of the banking sector that exclusively targets the very poor. According to a 2017 study by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 5 percent of all bank accounts have over 20 overdrafts a year, which produce 63.3 percent of all overdraft fees paid by consumers. Another 4.2 percent of bank accounts have over ten overdrafts a year and make up more than 15 percent of fees paid by consumers.

28. This is the same group of consumers that PayPal targets with its marketing: consumers living paycheck to paycheck. As a result, PayPal knew or should have know that such users were at extreme risk of overdraft and NSF fees when using the PayPal service.

29. When a bank pays an overdraft, it makes a loan to its accountholder in the amount of the overdraft. The overdraft fee is a payment the accountholder makes for the extension of credit for the overdrawn amount.

30. A 2008 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) study showed that overdraft fees carry an effective APR in excess of 3,500 percent.

1           31. Alternatively, when banks do not make payments, but rather return them unpaid,  
2 they charge the same \$28-\$39 fee, but term it an “insufficient funds” or “NSF Fee.” In that  
3 circumstance, the accountholder is assessed the fee even though her payment is not paid.

4           32. Worse, and as occurred with Plaintiff, PayPal repeatedly re-processes payments  
5 that are not successful on the first attempt—*causing multiple NSF Fees on the same repayment.*

6           33. In short, the entire premise of PayPal Pay in 4 is to provide immediate access to  
7 goods and services and avoid bank fees and interest charges. That is why consumers are shocked  
8 to discover that PayPal causes significant bank fees and/or interest charges.

9           34. Using PayPal’s Pay in 4 service causes unsuspecting consumers like Plaintiff to  
10 incur massive fees on their linked bank accounts.

11           35. PayPal misrepresents (and omits facts about) the true nature, benefits, and risks of  
12 its service, functioning of which means that users are at extreme and undisclosed risk of  
13 expensive bank fees when using PayPal. Had Plaintiff been adequately informed of these risks,  
14 he would not have used PayPal Pay in 4.

15           36. As alleged herein, Plaintiff had no idea small, automatic PayPal repayments could  
16 cause multiple \$29-each NSF fees from his bank.

17           **B. Plaintiff’s Experience**

18           37. When Plaintiff signed up for PayPal Pay in 4 and was induced to provide PayPal  
19 with his highly sensitive banking information, he was not aware that PayPal’s service had a  
20 significant “catch” and that significant penalties, including “interest” and/or “late fees,” could  
21 result.

22           38. For example, in October 2021, Plaintiff made a purchase using PayPal Pay in 4.

23           39. On December 15, 2021, PayPal repeatedly attempted to make the same payment  
24 deduction from his checking account at Baxter Credit Union, as a partial repayment of that  
25 purchase. The first, second, third attempted deductions of that same partial repayment each  
26 incurred a \$29 NSF Fee from Baxter Credit Union—for a total of \$87 in fees on just one  
27 repayment.

1           40. Throughout December 2021, PayPal incessantly reprocessed payment attempts  
2 from his checking account at Baxter Credit Union, as a partial repayment of that purchase, a total  
3 of *twelve* times. Overall, PayPal’s deceptive service ended up costing Plaintiff a whopping \$348  
4 in bank fees.

5           **C. PayPal’s Deceptive Marketing**

6           41. In marketing and promotions, PayPal describes its service as simple, convenient,  
7 and easy—a no-fee, no-interest way for consumers to receive their purchases before they have  
8 money to pay for them.

9           42. PayPal’s marketing never warns consumers of the extreme and crushing NSF and  
10 overdraft fee risk of using the service.

11           43. PayPal conceals from users the punishing risk of NSF and overdraft fees on small  
12 dollar PayPal repayments.

13           44. PayPal’s marketing materials—including within the app, in app stores, and on  
14 PayPal’s website—never disclose these risks and material facts, instead luring consumers to sign  
15 up for and use the service with promises of ease, convenience, and fee/interest avoidance.

16           45. PayPal knows that its service is likely to cause its low-income users to incur large  
17 bank fees.

18           46. PayPal’s representations—which all users view during the sign-up process—are  
19 false and contain material omissions.

20           47. PayPal misrepresents the true nature, benefits and risks of the service, which targets  
21 users with an extreme and undisclosed risk of PayPal triggering expensive, earnings-depleting  
22 bank fees. Plaintiff would not have used PayPal if he had been adequately informed of the risks  
23 of bank fees. As alleged herein, Plaintiff had no idea small, automatic PayPal repayments could  
24 cause bank fees from their bank; and he had no idea PayPal would repeatedly reprocess  
25 transactions when his account had insufficient funds.

26           48. PayPal’s marketing never discloses the most devastating risk of using the service—  
27 that days of earnings can be wiped out by bank fees associated with using the service.  
28

1 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

2 49. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as representatives of all those similarly  
3 situated, on behalf of the below-defined Class (the “Class”):

4 All persons who used the PayPal Pay in 4 Service and incurred an  
5 overdraft or NSF Fee as a result of a PayPal repayment deduction.

6 50. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and its affiliates, parents, subsidiaries,  
7 employees, officers, agents, and directors. Also excluded are any judicial officers presiding over  
8 this matter and the members of their immediate families and judicial staffs.

9 51. This case is appropriate for class treatment because Plaintiff can prove the elements  
10 of their claims on a class wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those  
11 elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

12 52. **Numerosity:** The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all  
13 members would be unfeasible and impracticable. The precise membership of the Class is  
14 unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, it is estimated that the Class number is greater than  
15 one hundred individuals. The identity of such membership is readily ascertainable via inspection  
16 of Defendant’s books and records or other approved methods. Class members may be notified of  
17 the pendency of this action by mail, email, internet postings, and/or publication.

18 53. **Common Questions of Law or Fact:** There are common questions of law and fact  
19 as to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated persons, which predominate over questions affecting  
20 only individual Class members, including, without limitation:

- 21 a) Whether PayPal’s representations and omissions about its service are false,  
22 misleading, deceptive, or likely to deceive;
- 23 b) Whether PayPal failed to disclose the NSF and overdraft fee risks of using its  
24 service;
- 25 c) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged by PayPal’s conduct;
- 26 d) Whether PayPal’s actions or inactions violated the consumer protection statute  
27 invoked herein; and
- 28



1 e) Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining  
2 Defendant's conduct.

3 54. **Predominance of Common Questions:** Common questions of law and fact  
4 predominate over questions that affect only individual members of the Class. The common  
5 questions of law set forth above are numerous and substantial and stem from PayPal's uniform  
6 practices applicable to each individual Class member. As such, these common questions  
7 predominate over individual questions concerning each Class member's showing as to his or her  
8 eligibility for recovery or as to the amount of his or her damages.

9 55. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the  
10 Class because, among other things, Plaintiff and all Class members were similarly injured  
11 through PayPal's uniform misconduct as alleged above. As alleged herein, Plaintiff, like the  
12 members of the Class, were deprived of monies that rightfully belonged to them. Further, there  
13 are no defenses available to PayPal that are unique to Plaintiff.

14 56. **Adequacy of Representation:** Plaintiff are adequate class representatives because  
15 they are fully prepared to take all necessary steps to represent fairly and adequately the interests  
16 of the members of the Class, and because their interests do not conflict with the interests of the  
17 other Class members they seek to represent. Moreover, Plaintiff's attorneys are ready, willing,  
18 and able to fully and adequately represent Plaintiff and the members of the Class. Plaintiff's  
19 attorneys are experienced in complex class action litigation, and they will prosecute this action  
20 vigorously.

21 57. **Superiority:** The nature of this action and the claims available to Plaintiff and  
22 members of the Class make the class action format a particularly efficient and appropriate  
23 procedure to redress the violations alleged herein. If each Class member were required to file an  
24 individual lawsuit, PayPal would necessarily gain an unconscionable advantage since it would  
25 be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited resources of each individual Plaintiff with its vastly  
26 superior financial and legal resources. Moreover, the prosecution of separate actions by  
27 individual Class members, even if possible, would create a substantial risk of inconsistent or  
28

1 varying verdicts or adjudications with respect to the individual Class members against PayPal,  
2 and which would establish potentially incompatible standards of conduct for PayPal and/or legal  
3 determinations with respect to individual Class members which would, as a practical matter, be  
4 dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to adjudications or which would  
5 substantially impair or impede the ability of the Class members to protect their interests. Further,  
6 the claims of the individual members of the Class are not sufficiently large to warrant vigorous  
7 individual prosecution considering all of the concomitant costs and expenses attending thereto.

8  
9 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
10 **Violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act ("FDUTPA")**  
11 **Fla. Stat. § 501.201, *et seq.***

12 58. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference as if fully set forth  
13 herein.

14 59. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade  
15 Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, *et seq.* The stated purpose of the FDUTPA is to “protect the  
16 consuming public ... from those who engage in unfair methods of competition, or  
17 unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce.”  
18 Fla. Stat. § 501.202(2).

19 60. Plaintiff and members of the Class are “consumers” as defined by Fla. Stat. §  
20 501.203(7).

21 61. PayPal engaged in “trade or commerce” as defined by Fla. Stat. § 501.203(8) with  
22 its Pay in 4 service offered through its website and mobile app.

23 62. As described herein, PayPal’s misrepresentations that it provides fee and risk-free  
24 repayment services through its website and mobile app constitutes an unconscionable, unfair  
25 and/or deceptive act in trade or commerce in violation of Fla. Stat. § 501.201.

26 63. PayPal’s deceptive concealment of the material risks of incurring expensive bank  
27 fees when using its Pay in 4 repayment service is a practice that is likely to mislead a consumer  
28 acting reasonably under the circumstances, to the consumer’s detriment.



1 reasonable consumers, who do not expect that they can occur expensive bank fees—and  
2 sometimes, multiple bank fees—for using PayPal’s service. On the media on which Defendant  
3 communicated to consumer as they were making a purchase decision, Defendant concealed the  
4 material fact that the cost to use the Pay in 4 service can far exceed the “free” price represented.

5 73. A business act or practice is “unlawful” under the UCL if it violates any other law  
6 or regulation.

7 74. Among other statutes, laws, and/or regulations, Defendant’s acts and practices  
8 violate the following statutes, laws, and/or regulations:

9 (a) Violating Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, *et seq.*;

10 (b) Engaging in conduct in which the gravity of harm to Plaintiff and the Class  
11 outweighs the utility of the Defendant’s conduct; and/or

12 (c) Engaging in acts and/or practices and/or omissions that are immoral, unethical,  
13 oppressive, and/or unscrupulous and causes injury to consumers which outweigh  
14 its benefits.

15 75. PayPal committed unfair and fraudulent business acts and practices in violation of  
16 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.*, by affirmatively and knowingly misrepresenting on its  
17 website and mobile app that the true risks and operation of its service.

18 76. Defendant’s acts and practices offend an established public policy of fee  
19 transparency in the marketplace, and constitute immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous  
20 activities that are substantially injurious to consumers.

21 77. The harm to Plaintiff and the Class outweighs the utility of Defendant’s practices.  
22 There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant’s legitimate business interests,  
23 other than the misleading and deceptive conduct described herein.

24 78. PayPal’s business practices have misled Plaintiff and the proposed Class and will  
25 continue to mislead them in the future.

26 79. Plaintiff relied on Defendant’s misrepresentations.



1 87. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17500, Plaintiff and the  
2 members of the Class, on behalf of the general public, seeks an order of this Court enjoining  
3 Defendant from continuing to engage, use, or employ their practice of misrepresenting the true  
4 risks and operation of its services.

5 88. Further, Plaintiff and the members of the Class seek an order requiring Defendant  
6 to disclose such misrepresentations, and additionally request an order awarding Plaintiff  
7 restitution of the money wrongfully acquired by Defendant by means of said misrepresentations.

8 89. Additionally, Plaintiff and the Class members seek an order requiring Defendant to  
9 pay attorneys' fees pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1021.5.

10 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, demands a jury trial on  
12 all claims so triable and judgment as follows:

13 A. Certifying the proposed Class pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
14 23, appointing Plaintiff as representative of the Class, and appointing counsel for Plaintiff  
15 as lead counsel for the respective Class;

16 B. Declaring that PayPal's policies and practices as described herein constitute  
17 a violation of state consumer protection statutes;

18 C. Enjoining PayPal from the wrongful conduct as described herein;

19 D. Awarding restitution of all fees at issue paid to PayPal by Plaintiff and the  
20 Class as a result of the wrongs alleged herein in an amount to be determined at trial;

21 E. Compelling disgorgement of the ill-gotten gains derived by Defendant from  
22 its misconduct;

23 F. Awarding actual and/or compensatory damages in an amount according to  
24 proof;

25 G. Punitive and exemplary damages;

26 H. Awarding pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by  
27 applicable law;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I. Reimbursing all costs, expenses, and disbursements accrued by Plaintiff in connection with this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, pursuant to applicable law and any other basis; and

J. Awarding such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff and all others similarly situated hereby demand trial by jury on all issues in this Class Action Complaint that are so triable.

Dated: March 1, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

**KALIELGOLD PLLC**

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Kaliel  
Jeffrey D. Kaliel (SBN 238293)  
jkaliel@kaliellpc.com  
1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 350-4783

Sophia G. Gold (SBN 307971)  
sgold@kalielgold.com  
**KALIELGOLD PLLC**  
950 Gilman Street, Suite 200  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Telephone: (202) 350-4783

Scott Edelsberg (SBN 330090)  
scott@edelsberglaw.com  
**EDELSBERG LAW, P.A.**  
20900 NE 30th Ave., Ste. 417  
Aventura, FL 33180  
Tel: 305-975-3320  
Fax: 786-623-0915

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class*

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, HABEAS CORPUS, OTHER, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Brief description of cause:

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only) SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD



## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

**Authority For Civil Cover Sheet.** The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - b) **County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the “defendant” is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - c) **Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section “(see attachment).”
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an “X” in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
  - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an “X” in this box.
  - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - (4) Diversity of citizenship. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an “X” in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an “X” in one of the six boxes.
- (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
  - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
  - (5) Transferred from Another District. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
  - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an “X” in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: “the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated.”
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.



Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_ , who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_ .

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: