| 1 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DI | STDICT COUDT |
| 7 | WESTERN DISTRICT | |
| 8 | AT SEAT | |
| 9 | 111 52.11 | |
| 10 | | l |
| 11 | TONDA FERRANDO and DEX MARZANO, individually and on behalf of all others | Case No. |
| 12 | similarly situated, | |
| 13 | Plaintiffs, | COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION |
| 14 | v. | |
| 15 | ZYNGA, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, | JURY DEMAND |
| 16 | ZTNOA, mc., a Delaware Corporation, | |
| 17 | Defendant. | |
| 18 | Plaintiffs Tonda Ferrando and Dex Marzan | o bring this case, individually and on behalf of |
| 19 | all others similarly situated, against Defendant Zyr | nga, Inc. to enjoin and obtain redress for its |
| 20 | operation of illegal online casino games. Plaintiffs | allege as follows: |
| 21 | <u>NATURE OF TI</u> | HE ACTION |
| 22 | The Ninth Circuit recently held that | a social casino game called Big Fish Casino |
| 23 | "constitutes illegal gambling under Washington lav | w." Kater v. Churchill Downs Inc., 886 F.3d |
| 24 | 784, 785 (9th Cir. 2018). | |
| 25 | 2. Zynga owns and operates various so | ocial casino games, including Hit It Rich!, |
| 26 | Black Diamond Casino, Wizard of Oz Slots, Game | e of Thrones Slots, and Willy Wonka Slots |
| 27 | (altogether the "Zynga Slots"). | |
| | | |
| | COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 1 | EDELSON PC |

| 1 | 3. | Under Kater, Zynga violates Washington law by operating the Zynga slots. | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Consequently, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and a Class of similarly situated individuals, | | | | |
| 3 | bring this lawsuit to recover their losses and to obtain other appropriate relief. | | | | |
| 4 | | <u>PARTIES</u> | | | |
| 5 | 4. | Plaintiffs are natural persons domiciled in the state of Washington. | | | |
| 6 | 5. | Defendant Zynga, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of | | | |
| 7 | the state of D | elaware with its principal place of business located at 699 Eighth Street, San | | | |
| 8 | Francisco, Ca | alifornia 94103. Zynga conducts business throughout this District and Washington | | | |
| 9 | State. | | | | |
| 10 | | JURISDICTION AND VENUE | | | |
| 11 | 6. | Federal subject-matter jurisdiction exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because | | | |
| 12 | (a) at least one member of the Class is a citizen of a state different from Defendant, (b) the | | | | |
| 13 | amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interests and costs, and (c) none of the | | | | |
| 14 | exceptions under that subsection apply to this action. | | | | |
| 15 | 7. | The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts | | | |
| 16 | significant bu | siness transactions in this District, and because the wrongful conduct alleged | | | |
| 17 | occurred in a | nd emanated from this District. | | | |
| 18 | 8. | Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial | | | |
| 19 | part of the ev | ents giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this District. | | | |
| 20 | | FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS | | | |
| 21 | II. Zyng | a's Social Casinos Are Illegal Under Washington Law | | | |
| 22 | 9. | Consumers visiting the Zynga Slots for the first time are awarded free chips. | | | |
| 23 | These free sa | mple chips offer a taste of gambling and are designed to encourage players to get | | | |
| 24 | hooked and b | buy more chips for real money. | | | |
| 25 | 10. | After they begin playing, consumers quickly lose their initial allotment of chips. | | | |
| 26 | Immediately | thereafter, Zynga informs them via a "pop up" screen that they have run "Out Of | | | |
| 27 | Coins." See <u>F</u> | Figure 1. | | | |
| | | | | | |



(**Figure 1,** showing Zynga's Hit it Rich!)

- 11. Concurrently with that warning, Zynga provides an offer to purchase virtual chips at its electronic store where the price for chips ranges from \$2.99 to \$99.99 or more. Zynga's offer to purchase chips with real money is substantially the same across its various social casino games, on its mobile app, and on Facebook. Once players run out of their allotment of free chips, they cannot continue to play the game without buying more chips for real money.
- 12. To begin wagering, players select the "bet" that will be used for a spin, as illustrated in <u>Figure 2</u>, which shows one of Zynga's slot machine games in the Hit It Rich! casino. Zynga allows players to increase or decrease the amount he or she can wager and ultimately win (or lose).



(Figure 2.)

- 13. Once a consumer spins the slot machine by pressing the "SPIN" button, no action on his or her part is required. Indeed, none of the Zynga Slots require (or call for) any additional user action.
- 14. Consumers can continue playing with the chips that they won, or they can exit the game and return at a later time to play because Zynga maintains win and loss records and balances for each consumer. Each time Zynga determines the outcome of a spin, Zynga displays the outcome to the consumer and adjusts the consumer's chip balance. Zynga keeps records of each wager, outcome, win, and loss for every player.

FACTS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFFS

- 15. Plaintiff Marzano has purchased and lost more than \$100 playing Hit it Rich!
- 16. Plaintiff Ferrando has purchased and lost more than \$100 playing Hit it Rich!

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

17. **Class Definition**: Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class of similarly situated individuals, defined as follows:

Class: All persons in the State of Washington who have purchased and lost chips playing Hit It Rich!, Black Diamond Casino, Game of Thrones Slots, Wizard of Oz Slots, Willy Wonka Slots, or other of Zynga's "social casino" games.

The following people are excluded from the Class: (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, Defendant's subsidiaries, parents,

- successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and its current or former employees, officers and directors; (3) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) persons whose claims in this matter have been finally adjudicated on the merits or otherwise released; (5) Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendant's counsel; and (6) the legal representatives, successors, and assigns of any such excluded persons.
- 18. **Numerosity**: On information and belief, tens of thousands of consumers fall into the definition of the Class. Members of the Class can be identified through Defendant's records, discovery, and other third-party sources.
- 19. **Commonality and Predominance**: There are many questions of law and fact common to Plaintiffs' and the Class member's claims, and those questions predominate over any questions that may affect individual members of the Class. Common questions for the Class include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:
 - a. Whether the Zynga Slots are "gambling" as defined by RCW § 9.46.0237;
 - b. Whether Defendant is the proprietor for whose benefit the online casino games are played;
 - c. Whether Plaintiffs and each member of the Class lost money or anything of value by gambling;
 - d. Whether Defendant violated the Washington Consumer Protection Act,
 RCW § 19.86.010, et seq.; and
 - e. Whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched as a result of its conduct.
- 20. **Typicality**: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of other members of the Class in that Plaintiffs and the members of the Class sustained damages arising out of Defendant's wrongful conduct.
- 21. **Adequate Representation**: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class and have retained counsel competent and experienced in complex litigation and Class actions. Plaintiffs' claims are representative of the claims of the

other members of the Class, as Plaintiffs and each member of the Class lost money playing Defendant's games of chance. Plaintiffs also have no interests antagonistic to those of the Class, and Defendant has no defenses unique to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the Class and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interest adverse to the Class.

- 22. **Policies Generally Applicable to the Class**: This Class action is appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the members of the Class and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole. Defendant's policies that Plaintiffs challenge apply and affect members of the Class uniformly, and Plaintiffs' challenge of these policies hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class as a whole, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiffs. The factual and legal bases of Defendant's liability to Plaintiffs and to the other members of the Class are the same.
- 23. **Superiority**: This case is also appropriate for certification because Class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The harm suffered by the individual members of the Class is likely to have been relatively small compared to the burden and expense of prosecuting individual actions to redress Defendant's wrongful conduct. Absent a Class action, it would be difficult for the individual members of the Class to obtain effective relief from Defendant. Even if members of the Class themselves could sustain such individual litigation, it would not be preferable to a Class action because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties and the Court and require duplicative consideration of the legal and factual issues presented. By contrast, a Class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single Court. Economies of time, effort, and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be ensured.

| 1 | 24 |
|----|-------------|
| 2 | Definition |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | 25 |
| 6 | 20 |
| 7 | RCW § 9 |
| 8 | 27 |
| 9 | provides |
| 10 | shall have |
| 11 | for whose |
| 12 | amount o |
| 13 | 28 |
| 14 | of value |
| 15 | person's o |
| 16 | 29 |
| 17 | "thing[s] |
| 18 | 30 |
| 19 | online ga |
| 20 | (e.g., by s |
| 21 | gameplay |
| 22 | 31 |
| 23 | played be |
| 24 | 32 |
| 25 | Defendar |
| 26 | the form |
| 27 | |
| | |

24. Plaintiffs reserve the right to revise the foregoing "Class Allegations" and "Class Definition" based on facts learned through additional investigation and in discovery.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of Revised Code of Washington § 4.24.070 (On behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class)

- 25. Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Plaintiffs, members of the Class, and Defendant are all "persons" as defined by RCW § 9.46.0289.
- 27. Washington's "Recovery of money lost at gambling" statute, RCW 4.24.070, provides that "all persons losing money or anything of value at or on any illegal gambling games shall have a cause of action to recover from the dealer or player winning, or from the proprietor for whose benefit such game was played or dealt, or such money or things of value won, the amount of the money or the value of the thing so lost."
- 28. "Gambling," defined by RCW § 9.46.0237, "means staking or risking something of value upon the outcome of a contest of chance or a future contingent event not under the person's control or influence."
- 29. Defendant's "chips" and/or "coins" sold for use in its online gambling games are "thing[s] of value" under RCW § 9.46.0285.
- 30. Defendant's online gambling games are illegal gambling games because they are online games at which players wager things of value (the chips) and by an element of chance (e.g., by spinning an online slot machine) are able to obtain additional entertainment and extend gameplay (by winning additional chips).
- 31. Defendant is the proprietor for whose benefit the online gambling games are played because it owns the online gambling games and operates those games for its own profit.
- 32. Plaintiffs and the Class gambled when they purchased chips to wager at Defendant's online gambling games. Plaintiffs and each member of the Class staked money, in the form of chips purchased with money, at Defendant's games of chance (*e.g.*, Defendant's slot

machines) for the chance of winning additional things of value (*e.g.*, chips that extend gameplay without additional charge).

- 33. In addition, Defendant's online gambling games are not "pinball machine[s] or similar mechanical amusement device[s]" as contemplated by the statute because:
 - a. the games are electronic rather than mechanical;
 - b. the games confer replays but they are recorded and can be redeemed on separate occasions (*i.e.*, they are not "immediate and unrecorded"); and
 - c. the games contain electronic mechanisms that vary the chance of winning free games or the number of free games which may be won (*e.g.*, the games allow for different wager amounts).
- 34. RCW § 9.46.0285 states that a "Thing of value,' as used in this chapter, means any money or property, any token, object or article exchangeable for money or property, or any form of credit or promise, directly or indirectly, contemplating transfer of money or property or of any interest therein, or involving extension of a service, entertainment or a privilege of playing at a game or scheme without charge."
- 35. The "chips" and/or "coins" Plaintiffs and members of the Class had the chance of winning in Defendant's online gambling games are "thing[s] of value" under Washington law because they are credits that involve the extension of entertainment and a privilege of playing a game without charge.
- 36. Defendant's online gambling games are "Contest[s] of chance," as defined by RCW § 9.46.0225, because they are "contest[s], game[s], gaming scheme[s], or gaming device[s] in which the outcome[s] depend[] in a material degree upon an element of chance, notwithstanding that skill of the contestants may also be a factor therein." Defendant's online gambling games are programmed to have outcomes that are determined entirely upon chance and a contestant's skill does not affect the outcomes.
- 37. RCW § 9.46.0201 defines "Amusement game[s]" as games where "The outcome depends in a material degree upon the skill of the contestant," amongst other requirements.

| 1 | Defendant's | online gambling games are not "Amusement game[s]" because their outcomes are | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | dependent entirely upon chance and not upon the skill of the player and because the games are | | | | |
| 3 | "contest[s] of chance," as defined by RCW § 9.46.0225. | | | | |
| 4 | 38. | As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's gambling game, Plaintiffs and | | | |
| 5 | each member | of the Class have lost money wagering at Defendant's games of chance. Plaintiffs, | | | |
| 6 | on behalf of | themselves and the Class, seek an order (1) requiring Defendant to cease the | | | |
| 7 | operation of | its gambling games; and (2) awarding the recovery of all lost monies, interest, | | | |
| 8 | reasonable at | torneys' fees, expenses, and costs. | | | |
| 9 10 | SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW § 19.86.010, et seq. (On behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class) | | | | |
| 11 | 39. | Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein. | | | |
| 12 | 40. | Washington's Consumer Protection Act, RCW § 19.86.010 et seq. ("CPA"), | | | |
| 13 | protects both | consumers and competitors by promoting fair competition in commercial markets | | | |
| 14 | for goods and | d services. | | | |
| 15 | 41. | To achieve that goal, the CPA prohibits any person from using "unfair methods o | | | |
| 16 | competition of | or unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce | | | |
| 17 | RCW § 19.86 | 5.020. | | | |
| 18 | 42. | The CPA states that "a claimant may establish that the act or practice is injurious | | | |
| 19 | to the public | interest because it Violates a statute that contains a specific legislative | | | |
| 20 | declaration o | f public interest impact." | | | |
| 21 | 43. | Defendant has violated RCW § 9.46.010, et seq., because Defendant's online | | | |
| 22 | games are ille | egal online gambling games. | | | |
| 23 | 44. | Defendant's wrongful conduct occurred in the conduct of trade or commerce— | | | |
| 24 | i.e., while De | fendant was engaged in the operation of making computer games available to the | | | |
| 25 | public. | | | | |
| 26 | 45. | Defendant's acts and practices were and are injurious to the public interest | | | |
| 27 | because Defe | ndant, in the course of its business, continuously advertised to and solicited the | | | |

| 1 | Defendant h | as unjustly obtained as a result of its unlawful operation of unlawful online gambling | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | games. As it stands, Defendant has retained millions of dollars in profits generated from its | | | | |
| 3 | unlawful gar | mes of chance and should not be permitted to retain those ill-gotten profits. | | | |
| 4 | 54. | Accordingly, Plaintiffs and the Class seek full disgorgement and restitution of any | | | |
| 5 | money Defe | ndant has retained as a result of the unlawful and/or wrongful conduct alleged | | | |
| 6 | herein. | | | | |
| 7 | | PRAYER FOR RELIEF | | | |
| 8 | Plain | tiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully request | | | |
| 9 | that this Cou | art enter an Order: | | | |
| 10 | a) | Certifying this case as a Class action on behalf of the Class defined above, | | | |
| 11 | appointing P | laintiffs as representatives of the Class, and appointing their counsel from Edelson | | | |
| 12 | PC as Class counsel; | | | | |
| 13 | b) | Declaring that Defendant's conduct, as set out above, violates the CPA; | | | |
| 14 | c) | Entering judgment against Defendant, in the amount of the losses suffered by | | | |
| 15 | Plaintiffs and | d each member of the Class; | | | |
| 16 | d) | Enjoining Defendant from continuing the challenged conduct; | | | |
| 17 | e) | Awarding damages to Plaintiffs and the Class members in an amount to be | | | |
| 18 | determined a | at trial, including trebling and/or punitive damages as appropriate; | | | |
| 19 | f) | Awarding restitution to Plaintiffs and Class members in an amount to be | | | |
| 20 | determined a | at trial, and requiring disgorgement of all benefits that Defendant unjustly received; | | | |
| 21 | g) | Awarding reasonable attorney's fees and expenses; | | | |
| 22 | h) | Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest, to the extent allowable; | | | |
| 23 | i) | Entering judgment for injunctive and/or declaratory relief as necessary to protect | | | |
| 24 | the interests | of Plaintiffs and the Class; and | | | |
| 25 | j) | Awarding such other and further relief as equity and justice require. | | | |
| 26 | | JURY DEMAND | | | |
| 27 | Plain | tiffs request a trial by jury of all claims that can be so tried. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1 | | Respectfully Submitted, |
|------------|-------------------------|---|
| 2 | | TONDA FERRANDO and DEX MARZANO, |
| 3 | | individually and on behalf of all others similarly |
| 4 | | situated, |
| 5 | Dated: January 24, 2022 | By: s/ Rafey S. Balabanian Rafey S. Balabanian* |
| 6 | | rbalabanian@edelson.com |
| 7 | | By: <u>s/ <i>Todd Logan</i></u> Todd Logan* |
| 8 | | tlogan@edelson.com |
| | | By: s/ <i>Brandt Silver-Korn</i> Brandt Silver-Korn* |
| 9 | | bsilverkorn@edelson.com EDELSON PC |
| 10 | | 150 California Street, 18th Floor |
| 11 | | San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: 415.212.9300 |
| 12 | | Fax: 415.373.9495 |
| 13 | | By: s/ Jay Edelson |
| 14 | | Jay Edelson* |
| 15 | | jedelson@edelson.com By: <u>s/ <i>Alexander G. Tievsky</i></u> |
| 16 | | Alexander G. Tievsky, WSBA #57125 |
| 17 | | atievsky@edelson.com By: <u>s/ <i>Amy B. Hausmann</i></u> |
| | | Amy B. Hausmann* abhausmann@edelson.com |
| 18 | | EDELSON PC |
| 19 | | 350 N LaSalle Street, 14th Floor Chicago, IL 60654 |
| 20 | | Tel: 312.589.6370 / Fax: 312.589.6378 |
| 21 | | By: s/ Cecily C. Jordan |
| 22 | | Cecily C. Jordan, WSBA #50061 |
| 23 | | cjordan@tousley.com Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC |
| 24 | | 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 |
| 25 | | Tel: 206.682.560 |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| <i>_</i> 1 | | |

| 1 | By: <u>s/ Simon Franzini</u> Simon Franzini* |
|----|---|
| 2 | simon@dovel.com |
| 3 | DOVEL & LUNER LLP 201 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 600 |
| 4 | Santa Monica, CA 90401 Tel: 310.656.7077 Fax: 310.656.7069 |
| 5 | *Pro hac vice forthcoming |
| 6 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the proposed class |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

Case 2:22-cv-002 24 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| purpose of initiating the civil di | Jeket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC | TIONS ON NEXT FAGE OF | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | DEFENDANTS | S | | |
| TONDA FERRA | NDO and DEX MAR | RZANO, individuall | Ily ZYNGA, Inc., a Delaware Corporation | | | |
| and on behalf of | f all others similarly s | situated | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of | of First Listed Plaintiff B | enton | County of Residence | e of First Listed Defendant | | |
| (E | XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA | SES) | | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O | | |
| | | | NOTE: IN LAND C | CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T T OF LAND INVOLVED. | HE LOCATION OF | |
| (a) A#+ (T) V | 411 1T 1 N 1 | | | | | |
| | Address, and Telephone Number | | Attorneys (If Known, |) | | |
| Cecily C. Jordar | n, Tousley Brain Ste _l | phens PLLC | | | | |
| 1200 5th Ave., S | Ste 1700, Seattle, W | A 98101 | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in | One Box Only) | II. CITIZENSHIP OF P | | Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) | |
| 1 U.S. Government | 3 Federal Question | | | PTF DEF | PTF DEF | |
| Plaintiff | (U.S. Government N | Not a Party) | Citizen of This State | 1 Incorporated or Pr | | |
| | | | | of Business In T | his State | |
| 2 U.S. Government | 4 Diversity | (D) (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Citizen of Another State | 2 Incorporated and I | | |
| Defendant | (Indicate Citizenshi | ip of Parties in Item III) | | of Business In A | Another State | |
| | | | Citizen or Subject of a | 3 Foreign Nation | □ 6 □ 6 | |
| W. MARKING OF CHIE | | | Foreign Country | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | The property of the second sec | Click here for: Nature of S | | |
| CONTRACT 110 Insurance | PERSONAL INJURY | RTS PERSONAL INJURY | 625 Drug Related Seizure | BANKRUPTCY | 375 False Claims Act | |
| 120 Marine | 310 Airplane | 365 Personal Injury - | of Property 21 USC 881 | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal | 376 Qui Tam (31 USC | |
| 130 Miller Act | 315 Airplane Product | Product Liability | 690 Other | 28 USC 157 | 3729(a)) | |
| 140 Negotiable Instrument | Liability | 367 Health Care/ | | INTELLECTUAL | 400 State Reapportionment | |
| 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment | 320 Assault, Libel & Slander | Pharmaceutical Personal Injury | | PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights | 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking | |
| 151 Medicare Act | 330 Federal Employers' | Product Liability | | 830 Patent | 450 Commerce | |
| 152 Recovery of Defaulted | Liability | 368 Asbestos Personal | | 835 Patent - Abbreviated | 460 Deportation | |
| Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) | 340 Marine 345 Marine Product | Injury Product Liability | | New Drug Application 840 Trademark | 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations | |
| 153 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability | PERSONAL PROPERTY | Y LABOR | 880 Defend Trade Secrets | 480 Consumer Credit | |
| of Veteran's Benefits | 350 Motor Vehicle | 370 Other Fraud | 710 Fair Labor Standards | Act of 2016 | (15 USC 1681 or 1692) | |
| 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract | 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability | 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal | Act 720 Labor/Management | SOCIAL SECURITY | 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act | |
| 195 Contract Product Liability | 360 Other Personal | Property Damage | Relations | 861 HIA (1395ff) | 490 Cable/Sat TV | |
| 196 Franchise | Injury | 385 Property Damage | 740 Railway Labor Act | 862 Black Lung (923) | 850 Securities/Commodities/ | |
| | 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | Product Liability | 751 Family and Medical Leave Act | 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI | Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITIONS | | 865 RSI (405(g)) | 891 Agricultural Acts | |
| 210 Land Condemnation | 440 Other Civil Rights | Habeas Corpus: | 791 Employee Retirement | | 893 Environmental Matters | |
| 220 Foreclosure | 441 Voting | 463 Alien Detainee | Income Security Act | FEDERAL TAX SUITS | 895 Freedom of Information | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land | 442 Employment 443 Housing/ | 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence | | 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) | Act 896 Arbitration | |
| 245 Tort Product Liability | Accommodations | 530 General | | 871 IRS—Third Party | 899 Administrative Procedure | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | 535 Death Penalty | IMMIGRATION | 26 USC 7609 | Act/Review or Appeal of | |
| | Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - | Other: 540 Mandamus & Other | 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration | on | Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of | |
| | Other | 550 Civil Rights | Actions | | State Statutes | |
| | 448 Education | 555 Prison Condition | | | | |
| | | 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of | | | | |
| | | Confinement | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 1 | | Remanded from Appellate Court | | ferred from 6 Multidistri | I I | |
| Proceeding Sta | te Court | Appenate Court | Reopened Anoth (specified) | er District Litigation fy) Transfer | - Litigation - Direct File | |
| | Cite the U.S. Civil Sta | tute under which you are | filing (Do not cite jurisdictional st | • / | | |
| VI CALICE OF ACTIO | 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(2 | 2) | 8 (* ********************************** | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | Brief description of ca | | | | | |
| | Violations of RCW 4 | 1.24.070, RCW 19.86.0 | 110 et seq.; unjust enrichment | | | |
| | | | DEMAND \$ | CHECK YES only | if demanded in complaint: | |
| COMPLAINT: | COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. TO BE DETERMINED JURY DEMAND: ▼Yes No | | | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI | | | | | | |
| IF ANY | (See instructions): | JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATTO | DDNEY OF DECORD | | | |
| | | | JANE I OF KECUKD | | | |
| CECILY C. JORDAN | | s/ Cecily C. Jordan | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # AN | MOUNT | APPLYING IFP | JUDGE | MAG. JUI | DGE | |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

| TONDA FERRANDO and DEX MARZANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated |))) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Plaintiff(s) v. ZYNGA, Inc., a Delaware Corporation | Civil Action No. | | | | |
| Defendant(s) |)) | | | | |
| SUMMONS IN | N A CIVIL ACTION | | | | |
| To: (Defendant's name and address) Zynga, Inc. 699 Eighth Street San Francisco, California | To: (Defendant's name and address) Zynga, Inc. 699 Eighth Street San Francisco, California 94103 | | | | |
| A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Cecily C. Jordan Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC 1200 Fifth Street, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 | | | | | |
| If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. | | | | | |
| | CLERK OF COURT | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | |
| | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk | | | | |

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | | ne of individual and title, if ar | ny) | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| was rec | ceived by me on (date) | | · | | |
| | ☐ I personally served | the summons on the ind | lividual at (place) | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | ☐ I left the summons | | ence or usual place of abode with (name) | | |
| | | | , a person of suitable age and discretion who res | | |
| | on (date) | , and mailed a | copy to the individual's last known address; or | | |
| | ☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) | | | , who is | |
| | designated by law to | accept service of process | s on behalf of (name of organization) | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | ☐ I returned the summ | nons unexecuted because | e | ; or | |
| | ☐ Other (<i>specify</i>): | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and S | for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 | |
| | I declare under penalty | y of perjury that this info | ormation is true. | | |
| Date: | | _ | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | | |
| | | _ | Printed name and title | | |
| | | _ | Server's address | | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: