UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

David Inouye, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Adidas America, Inc.,

Defendant

Class Action Complaint

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Adidas America, Inc. ("Defendant") manufactures, labels, markets, and sells NHL (National Hockey League) jerseys represented as "authentic" or "authentic pro" under the Adidas brand (the "Product").

2. Defendant promotes the Product as authentic through methods including labeling, hang tags attached to the Product, and descriptions, seen on its website, shown below.

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 2 of 20 PageID 2



← BACK Home / Men / Hockey / Jerseys

MEN'S AUTHENTIC NHL HOCKEY JERSEYS [53]



3. Third-party stores and websites such as fanatics.com, identify the Product as "authentic."



🟆 Most Popular in Jerseys

4. Consumers want to buy and wear the jerseys worn by their favorite hockey teams and players.

5. Consumers purchasing NHL jerseys marketed as "authentic," by the company which makes the jerseys worn by NHL teams, will expect they are

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 4 of 20 PageID 4

purchasing jerseys of identical quality to those worn by NHL players.¹

6. Authentic is defined as not false or copied, or genuine, as opposed to counterfeit.²

7. However, the Product is not authentic and differs in numerous ways from the jerseys worn by NHL players.

8. First, the cut of the jerseys is tighter than those worn by NHL players.

9. Second, the fabric used in the Product is half the thickness of the jerseys worn by NHL players.

10. Third, the stitching used in making the Product is weaker and less durable than in the jerseys worn by NHL players.

¹ AJ Strong, <u>Stop Calling Adidas NHL Jerseys Authentic</u>, Teal Town USA, June 29, 2021.

² Dictionary.com, <u>authentic</u>.



11. Fourth, the neck hole for the jerseys worn by NHL players (top) is smaller than in the Product sold to Plaintiff and consumers (bottom).



12. Fifth, the "dimples" or small holes in the upper torso and shoulder area of the Product (top) are significantly smaller than in the jerseys worn by NHL players (bottom).



13. The dimples are not merely for aesthetics but allow air to flow through the jersey.

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 7 of 20 PageID 7

14. The smaller dimples of the Product render it less efficient at dealing with moisture and airflow than those worn by NHL players.



15. Sixth, the Product is made in Indonesia, as opposed to those worn by NHL players, which are made in Canada.

16. The Product (middle) is closer to counterfeit Adidas NHL jerseys (bottom) than to those worn by NHL players (top).



17. The representation of the Product as "authentic" is misleading, because they are more accurately described as "replicas."

18. Defendant makes other representations and omissions with respect to the Product which are false or misleading.

19. Reasonable consumers must and do rely on a company to honestly identify and describe the components, attributes, and features of a product, relative to itself and other comparable products or alternatives.

20. The value of the Product that Plaintiff purchased was materially less than its value as represented by Defendant.

21. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.

22. Had Plaintiff and proposed class members known the truth, they would

not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.

23. As a result of the false and misleading representations, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than no less than \$179.99, excluding tax and sales, higher than similar products, represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than it would be sold for absent the misleading representations and omissions.

Jurisdiction and Venue

24. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

25. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory damages, exclusive of interest and costs.

26. Plaintiff David Inouye is a citizen of Florida.

27. Defendant Adidas America, Inc. is an Oregon corporation with a principal place of business in Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon

28. The class of persons Plaintiff seeks to represent includes persons who are citizens of different states from which Defendant is a citizen

29. The members of the class Plaintiff seeks to represent are more than 100, because the Product has been sold for several years, in thousands of locations, in the states covered by Plaintiff's proposed classes.

30. The Product is available to consumers from Defendant's retail stores and website, and third-party retail sporting goods stores and websites

31. Venue is in the Tampa Division in this District because a substantial

part of the events or omissions giving rise to these claims occurred in Hillsborough County, i.e., Plaintiff's purchase, consumption, and/or use of the Product and awareness and/or experiences of and with the issues described here.

<u>Parties</u>

32. Plaintiff David Inouye is a citizen of Gibsonton, Hillsborough County, Florida.

33. Defendant Adidas America, Inc. is a Oregon corporation with a principal place of business in Portland, Oregon, Multnomah County.

34. The parent company of Defendant is Adidas AG, a German multinational sportswear corporation, founded and headquartered in Herzogenaurach, Bavaria, in 1949.

35. Adidas AG is the second largest sportswear company in the world, after Nike, with revenues approaching \$30 billion.

36. Adidas manufactures uniforms, including jerseys, for the National Hockey League.

37. Consumers know they can trust a sportswear product with Adidas' wellknown three stripes to deliver what it promises.

38. The Product is available to consumers from Defendant's retail stores and website, and third-party retail sporting goods stores and websites

39. Plaintiff purchased the Product on one or more occasions within the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged, at stores including Fanatics, at locations including fanatics.com between November 2021 and December 2021,

and/or among other times.

40. Plaintiff believed the Product was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

41. Plaintiff bought the Product because he expected it was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players because that is what the representations said and implied.

42. Plaintiff relied on the words, descriptions, layout, packaging, tags, and/or images on the Product, on the labeling, statements, omissions, and/or claims made by Defendant or at its directions, in digital, print and/or social media, which accompanied the Product and separately, through in-store, digital, audio, and print marketing.

43. Plaintiff was disappointed because he believed the Product was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

44. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price.

45. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product if he knew the representations and omissions were false and misleading or would have paid less for it.

46. Plaintiff chose between Defendant's Product and products represented similarly, but which did not misrepresent their attributes, features, and/or components.

47. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid and he would not

have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

48. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when he can do so with the assurance the Product's representations are consistent with its abilities, attributes, and/or composition.

49. Plaintiff is unable to rely on the labeling and representations not only of this Product, but for other similar authentic sports jerseys, because he is unsure whether those representations are truthful.

Class Allegations

50. Plaintiff seeks certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 of the following classes:

Florida Class: All persons in the State of Florida who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged; and

Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class: All persons in the forty-nine other States including the District of Columbia, who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged.

51. Common questions of issues, law, and fact predominate and include whether Defendant's representations were and are misleading and if Plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

52. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 13 of 20 PageID 13

53. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his interests do not conflict with other members.

54. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on Defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

55. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

56. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

57. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

<u>Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act</u> ("FDUTPA"), Fla. Stat. § <u>501.201 et seq.</u>

(Consumer Protection Statute)

58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

59. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase a product that was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

60. Defendant's false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.

61. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities, half-truths and/or actions.

62. Plaintiff relied on the representations that the Product was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

63. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Violation of State Consumer Fraud Acts

(On Behalf of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class)

64. The Consumer Fraud Acts of the States in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class are similar to the above-referenced consumer protection statute and prohibit the use of unfair or deceptive business practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.

65. Defendant intended that each of the members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class would rely upon its deceptive conduct, and a reasonable person would in fact be misled by this deceptive conduct.

66. As a result of Defendant's use or employment of artifice, unfair or deceptive acts or business practices, each of the members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class have sustained damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

67. Defendant's conduct showed motive and a reckless disregard of the truth such that an award of punitive damages is appropriate.

Breach of Contract

68. Plaintiff entered into a contract with Defendant for purchase of the

Product.

69. The terms of the contract provided that the Product was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

70. Defendant breached the contract because the Product did not meet the terms Plaintiff agreed to.

71. Plaintiff was damaged by the breach, and those damages include the purchase price.

<u>Breaches of Express Warranty,</u> <u>Implied Warranty of Merchantability/Fitness for a Particular Purpose and</u> <u>Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, *et seq.*</u>

72. The Product was manufactured, identified, and sold by Defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to Plaintiff and class members that it was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

73. Defendant directly marketed the Product to Plaintiff and consumers through its advertisements and marketing, through various forms of media, on the packaging, in print circulars, direct mail, and targeted digital advertising.

74. Defendant knew the product attributes that potential customers like Plaintiff were seeking and developed its marketing and labeling to directly meet those needs and desires.

75. Defendant's representations about the Product were conveyed in writing and promised it would be defect-free, and Plaintiff understood this meant

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 16 of 20 PageID 16

the Product was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

76. Defendant's representations affirmed and promised that the Product was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

77. Defendant described the Product as one which was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players, which became part of the basis of the bargain that the Product would conform to its affirmations and promises.

78. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

79. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of Product, the official manufacturer of NHL jerseys, and known as a leader in sportswear.

80. Plaintiff recently became aware of Defendant's breach of the Product's warranties.

81. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to Defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers, and their employees.

82. Plaintiff hereby provides notice to Defendant that it has breached the express and implied warranties associated with the Product.

83. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to complaints by third-parties, including regulators, competitors, and

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 17 of 20 PageID 17

consumers, to its main offices, and by consumers through online forums.

84. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to Defendant's actions.

85. The Product was not merchantable because it was not fit to pass in the trade as advertised, not fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was intended and did not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the packaging, container or label.

86. The Product was not merchantable because Defendant had reason to know the particular purpose for which the Product was bought by Plaintiff, because he expected it was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players, and he relied on Defendant's skill and judgment to select or furnish such a suitable product.

87. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Negligent Misrepresentation

88. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.

89. This duty was non-delegable, and based on Defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience in this area, the official manufacturer of NHL jerseys, and known as a leader in sportswear.

90. Defendant's representations regarding the Product went beyond the specific representations on the packaging, as they incorporated its extra-labeling

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1 Filed 02/21/22 Page 18 of 20 PageID 18

promises and commitments to quality, transparency and putting customers first.

91. These promises were outside of the standard representations that other companies may make in a standard arms-length, retail context.

92. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in Defendant.

93. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, their purchase of the Product.

94. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

<u>Fraud</u>

95. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product, that it was authentic, understood as being genuine and substantially similar or identical to those worn by NHL players.

96. Moreover, the records Defendant is required to maintain, and/or the information inconspicuously disclosed to consumers, provided it with actual and constructive knowledge of the falsity or deception, through statement and omission, of the representations.

97. Defendant knew of the issues described here yet did not address them.

98. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its knowledge that the Product was not consistent with its representations.

Unjust Enrichment

99. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of Plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- Declaring this a proper class action, certifying Plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing Defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
- Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the applicable laws;
- Awarding monetary damages, statutory and/or punitive damages pursuant to any statutory claims and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
- 5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for Plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 21, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Will Wright

The Wright Law Office, P.A. Will Wright 515 N Flagler Dr Ste P300 West Palm Beach FL 33401-4326 Tel: (561) 514-0904 willwright@wrightlawoffice.com

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. Spencer Sheehan* 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021 Tel: (516) 268-7080 spencer@spencersheehan.com

*Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21) Case 8:22-cv-00416 Dervice Coveres 63/21/22 Page 1 of 1 PageID 21

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS David Inouve, individually and on behalf of all others Adidas America, Inc. similarly situated (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hillsborough County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) The Wright Law Office, P.A., 515 N Flagler Dr Ste P300 West Palm Beach FL 33401-4326 (561) 514-0904 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) □ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Ouestion PTF DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff Citizen of This State **☑** 1 Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 Δ4 (U.S. Government Not a Party) 1 of Business In This State □ 2 U.S. Government ☑ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State $\square 2$ \square 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 ☑ 5 of Business In Another State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 Foreign Nation \square 6 □ 6 \square 3 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions CONTRACT OTHER STATUTES TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY 375 False Claims Act □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal П 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Product Liability □ 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) □ 367 Health Care/ □ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 400 State Reapportionment INTELLECTUAL □ 320 Assault, Libel & 150 Recovery of Overpayment Pharmaceutical 410 Antitrust PROPERTY RIGHTS 430 Banks and Banking & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights □ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 450 Commerce □ 151 Medicare Act П 830 Patent □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted 368 Asbestos Personal 460 Deportation Liability 835 Patent-Abbreviated □ 340 Marine Student Loans Injury Product 470 Racketeer Influenced and New Drug Application (Excludes Veterans) □ 345 Marine Product Liability Corrupt Organizations 840 Trademark PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 480 Consumer Credit 880 Defend Trade Secrets (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 350 Motor Vehicle ☑ 370 Other Fraud □ 710 Fair Labor Standards of Veteran's Benefits Act of 2016 485 Telephone Consumer □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lending Act □ 190 Other Contract Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal 720 Labor/Management Protection Act SOCIAL SECURITY □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 490 Cable/Sat TV 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 196 Franchise □ 385 Property Damage 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 740 Railway Labor Act Iniurv 862 Black Lung (923) □ 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability □ 751 Family and Medical Exchange п 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Medical Malpractice 890 Other Statutory Actions Leave Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation 891 Agricultural Acts 865 RSI (405(g)) 893 Environmental Matters □ 791 Employee Retirement 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 895 Freedom of Information □ 441 Voting Income Security Act □ 220 Foreclosure 463 Alien Detainee FEDERAL TAX S UITS Act □ 510 Motions to Vacate 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration □ 240 Torts to Land 443 Housing/ Sentence or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure □ 530 General □ 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 871 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of **IMMIGRATION** □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 445 Amer_w/Disabilities □ 535 Death Penalty 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision Other: 462 Naturalization Application Employment 950 Constitutionality of 540 Mandamus & Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 465 Other Immigration State Statutes □ 550 Civil Rights Other Actions 555 Prison Condition □ 448 Education D 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 团 1 Original \Box 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from □ 6 Multidistrict □ 8 Multidistrict Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation -Litigation -(specify) Transfer Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 1332 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: false advertising VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: $\mathbf{\nabla}$ **COMPLAINT:** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 5,000,000 JURY DEMAND: 🗹 Yes \Box No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD February 20, 2022 /s/Will Wright FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Middle District of Florida		
David Inouye, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,)))	
Plaintiff(s) V.))) Civil Action No.	
Adidas America, Inc.,)))	
Defendant(s)	IN A CIVIL ACTION	

To: (Defendant's name and address) Adidas America, Inc.

c/o United Agent Group Inc. 5708 SE 136th Ave Ste 2 Portland OR 97236-4181

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: The Wright Law Office, P.A., 515 N Flagler Dr Ste P300 West Palm Beach FL 33401-4326 (561) 514-0904

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 8:22-cv-00416 Document 1-2 Filed 02/21/22 Page 2 of 2 PageID 23

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. [Case Number]

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

ceived by me on (date)				
□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	t (place)		
		on (date)	; or	
\Box I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)		
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who re	sides the	ere,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	ne individual's last known address; or		
\Box I served the summa	ons on (name of individual)			, wh
designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal	lf of (name of organization)		
		on (date)	; or	
\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			;
□ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.		
		Server's signature		
		Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: