# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Lori Gilker, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

3:21-cv-00488

Plaintiff,

- against -

**Class Action Complaint** 

Chobani, LLC,

Defendant

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Chobani, LLC ("defendant") manufactures, labels and sells low-fat Greek yogurt under the Chobani Complete brand in flavors including vanilla and strawberry ("Product").



- 2. The front label statements include nutrition and nutrient claims, ingredient claims and allergen claims (collectively, the "claims").
  - 3. The nutrition claims include "Chobani Complete" and "Advanced Nutrition Yogurt."
  - 4. The nutrient claims<sup>1</sup> include:
    - Og Added Sugar\*
       \*Not a low calorie food
    - 3g Soluble Fiber
    - 17g Complete Protein
- 20 Amino Acids
- + Prebiotic [and] Probiotic
- 5. The ingredient claims include:
  - Only Natural Ingredients
  - pictures of the characterizing flavor ingredient,
     i.e., vanilla beans and flowers or strawberries
  - + Only Real Vanilla (or Other Fruit)
  - Vanilla (or other characterizing flavor ingredient)
- 6. The "allergen" claims include "Lactose-Free" and "Easy to Digest."
- 7. The back of the package lists the ingredients.

Ingredients: Cultured lowfat milk, water, chicory root fiber, less than 1.5% of: vanilla extract, lactase\*, natural flavors, monk fruit extract, stevia leaf extract (reb m), fruit pectin, locust bean gum, lemon juice concentrate.

#### 6 live and active cultures:

S. Thermophilus, L. Bulgaricus, L. Acidophilus, Bifidus, L. Casei, and L. Rhamnosus.

\*Ingredient not found in regular yogurt. **Ingredients:** Cultured lowfat milk, water, chicory root fiber, less than 1.5% of: vanilla extract, lactase\*, natural flavors, monk fruit extract, stevia leaf extract (reb m), fruit pectin, locust bean gum, lemon juice concentrate.

#### 6 live and active cultures:

S. Thermophilus, L. Bulgaricus, L. Acidophilus, Bifidus, L. Casei, and L. Rhamnosus.

\*Ingredient not found in regular yogurt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "nutrient claims" is not used synonymously with "nutrient content claims." Nutrient claims refers to statements

8. The back panel contains the Nutrition Facts.



#### I. Claims are Misleading

9. The claims are false, deceptive, and misleading for several reasons.

#### A. Nutrition Claims

- 10. The Product's name, "Complete Nutrition," is false, deceptive, and misleading because it fails to provide "complete" nutrition as this term is understood by reasonable consumers.
- 11. Reasonable consumers understand "complete" the same way as defined by the dictionary "having all the necessary or appropriate parts."
- 12. The Product does not have all the necessary and appropriate parts related to an average consumer's nutritional needs.
- 13. The "Advanced Nutrition [Yogurt]" representation is false, deceptive, and misleading because the ingredients and composition are not beyond what others have already introduced into the marketplace.

#### B. Nutrient Content Claims

- 14. Illinois incorporates the federal food labeling regulations in the Illinois Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act ("IFDCA") and its parallel regulations. See 410 ILCS 620/1, et seq.
- 15. Congress required that the FDA develop and implement nutrient content claims to prevent consumers from being misled by the endless terms and descriptors appearing on foods.
  - 16. Nutrient content claims tell consumers about the level of relevant nutrients in a food.
- 17. The criteria for nutrient content claims were the result of dozens of meetings held by the FDA with consumers.
- 18. "Expressed" nutrient content claims are direct statements about the level (or range) of nutrients in a food, e.g., "low sodium" or "contains 100 calories." 21 C.F.R. § 101.13(b)(1).
- 19. "Implied" nutrient content claims can describe the food or an ingredient in a manner that suggests that a nutrient is absent or present in a certain amount. 21 C.F.R. § 101.13(b)(2).
- 20. For example, a claim that a food is "high in oat bran" is understood as a way of saying that food is high in fiber. 21 C.F.R. § 101.13(b)(2)(i).
- 21. Implied nutrient content claims can also suggest that a food, because of its nutrient content, may be useful in maintaining healthy dietary practices and is made in association with an explicit claim or statement about a nutrient e.g., "healthy, contains 3 grams (g) of fat." 21 C.F.R. § 101.13(b)(2)(ii).
- 22. Nutrient content claims are restricted to nutrients that have an established Reference Daily Intake ("RDI") or Daily Reference Value ("DRV").
- 23. If this were not the case, companies would be able to promote nutrients and ingredients which were of limited or no value, and consumers would not be able to know if those statements were truthful and meaningful.
  - 24. Probiotics and prebiotics are not recognized by FDA as having an RDI or DRV.

- 25. Probiotics are defined by the Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization as "live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host."
- 26. The theory is that probiotics "good bacteria" can affect the "gut microbiome," which plays an important role in gastrointestinal health and disease.
- 27. Consumer enthusiasm for probiotics is based on the unregulated messages conveyed by companies which sell these products, which is a multibillion-dollar industry.
- 28. Most studies on probiotic strains including those identified as in the Product S. Thermophilus, L. Bulgaricus, L. Acidophilus, Bifidus, L. Casei, and L. Rhamnosus reveal no benefit to persons who are already healthy.
- 29. The only people who *may* benefit from probiotics are those who suffer from a small number of intestinal disorders.
  - 30. Experts have warned that healthy people who consume probiotics may suffer harm.
- 31. According to Dr. Matthew Ciorba, a gastroenterologist at Washington University in St. Louis, "There is no evidence to suggest that people with normal gastrointestinal tracts can benefit from taking probiotics."
- 32. The theory behind probiotics is based on the live bacteria surviving and propagating in the intestinal tract and altering the internal composition of the human body.
  - 33. However, the human gut contains tens of trillions of bacteria.
  - 34. Defendant's Complete Nutrition yogurt purports to contains "billions."
- 35. The probiotics in the Product are "still just a drop in a bucket," because "The gut always has orders of magnitude more microbes," states Shira Doron, an infectious disease expert at Tufts Medical Center.

36. Defendant touts the probiotics and prebiotics through the + or plus symbol.<sup>2</sup>



- 37. Through use of the + (plus) symbol in conjunction with "probiotic" and "prebiotic," defendant tells consumers the Product has "more" of these nutrients or ingredients than other comparable foods. 21 C.F.R. § 101.54(e) (identifying "plus" as a synonym for "more").
- 38. This is an unlawful nutrient content claim, which is also misleading because there is no recognized or accepted number, amount or colony forming units ("CFU") of probiotics and prebiotics with which those in the Product can be compared.
- 39. Nutrient content claims for the term "more" and its synonyms are restricted to describing the level of protein, vitamins, minerals, dietary fiber, or potassium. 21 C.F.R. § 101.54(e)(1).
- 40. Further, the Product offers no comparison to other foods with probiotics and prebiotics, i.e., "More, compared to what?"
- 41. While the front label uses the + (plus) symbol to claim, "ONLY REAL VANILLA," consumers are smart enough to distinguish the context of the plus symbol.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Probiotics and prebiotics will be used interchangeably from this point forward in the pleading.

- 42. In the context of the purported "ONLY REAL VANILLA," the plus symbol is equivalent to a bullet point or checkmark, ticking off attributes of added value.
- 43. In the context of scientific-sounding terms Probiotic and Prebiotic consumers will associate a "plus" symbol to mean not just that the Product contains probiotics, but that (1) probiotics are recognized by relevant authoritative bodies as nutrients of dietary significance and (2) the Product had more of them than other comparable products.

#### C. Ingredient Claims are Misleading

- 44. The FDA has considered the term "natural" to mean that nothing artificial or synthetic has been included in, or has been added to, a food that would not normally be expected.
- 45. Consumers increasingly are avoiding foods that are synthetic, due to negative health and environmental effects caused by chemicals and additives used.
  - 46. Consumers pay more for products that claim to be made with natural ingredients.
- 47. The representation that the Product contains "Only Natural Ingredients" is false, deceptive and misleading due to the use of "monk fruit extract."
- 48. *Siraitia grosvenorii* Swingle ("Monk") fruit extract (SGFE) is a high-intensity, non-nutritive sweetener.
- 49. Should consumers read "monk fruit extract" on the ingredient list, they will think it is made similarly to "vanilla extract," which only involves ethyl alcohol to remove the flavoring principles.
- 50. However, the sweetener used by defendant is not a simple extract from the Luo Han Guo or monk fruit.
- 51. Real monk fruit extract is very sweet, but its aftertaste causes producers to further refine and process it to modify its taste.

- 52. This is typically done with solvents and additives, such as divinylbenzene copolymer, calcium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid and styrene divinylbenzene ion exchange resin.
- 53. The solvents and additives used in making the monk fruit extract are not natural, as those terms are understood by consumers.
- 54. Even if none of these solvents or additives remain in final product, their use in an ingredient identified as "monk fruit extract" is misleading.
- 55. Consumers expect that a representation that a product is made with "Only Natural Ingredients" does not only mean the ingredients are natural, but that they were obtained in a natural way without harsh processing and additives.
- 56. Even if consumers read the ingredients, they will expect monk fruit extract to be made in the same, natural way that vanilla extract is made only with an alcohol solution.
- 57. The Product contains other representations about its ingredients that are false, deceptive and misleading.
- 58. Reasonable consumers must and do rely on a company to honestly identify and describe the components and features of the Product, relative to itself and other comparable products.
- 59. Defendant sold more of the Product and at a higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.
- 60. Had Plaintiff and proposed class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.
- 61. Plaintiff paid more for the Product based on the representations than she would have otherwise paid.

8

62. As a result of the false and misleading representations, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than no less than \$4.99 for 24 OZ (680g), excluding tax, higher than similar products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than it would be sold for absent the misleading representations and omissions.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 63. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
  - 64. Plaintiff Lori Gilker is a citizen of Illinois.
- 65. Defendant Chobani, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business in Norwich, Chenango County, New York and upon information and belief, at least one member of defendant is not a citizen of the same state as the plaintiff.
- 66. Diversity exists because plaintiff Lori Gilker and defendant are citizens of different states.
- 67. Upon information and belief, sales of the Product and any available statutory and other monetary damages, exceed \$5 million during the applicable statutes of limitations, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 68. Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here the purchase of plaintiff and her experiences identified here.

#### <u>Parties</u>

- 69. Plaintiff Lori Gilker is a citizen of Collinsville, Madison County, Illinois.
- 70. Defendant Chobani, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business in Norwich, New York, Chenango County and upon information and belief, at least one member of defendant is not a citizen of the same state as the plaintiff.

- 71. Defendant is one of the largest yogurt producers in the world and controls over fifty percent of the United States yogurt market.
  - 72. Defendant popularized the Greek yogurt category in the United States.
  - 73. Greek yogurt is a thick yogurt with higher protein content than regular yogurt.
- 74. Defendant is considered an "innovator" when it comes to pushing the boundaries in consumer foods and beverages.
  - 75. Defendant's annual sales are approximately \$1.5 billion.
- 76. Plaintiff purchased the Product on at least one occasion within the statutes of limitations for each cause of action, including in April 2021, at locations including Walmart, 1101 Beltline Rd, Collinsville, IL 62234.
- 77. Plaintiff bought the Product because she expected the + (plus) symbol in conjunction with "Probiotic" and "Prebiotic" meant that these were nutrients of dietary significance, and that it contained more of them than other comparable foods.
- 78. Plaintiff bought the Product because she expected "Only Natural Ingredients" meant the ingredients were made through natural processes without non-natural additives and processing aids.
- 79. Plaintiff did not know that probiotics and prebiotics are ingredients with no established dietarily significant values, and that no credible evidence existed of their efficacy or usefulness to healthy people.
  - 80. Plaintiff did not know how monk fruit extract was made.
  - 81. Plaintiff was unaware of the other misrepresentations on the Product.
- 82. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff and consumers paid and she would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

- 83. Plaintiff paid more for the Product than she would have paid otherwise.
- 84. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so with the assurance that Product's representations about its components and ingredients are consistent with its representations.

#### Class Allegations

- 85. The class will consist of all purchasers of the Product who reside in Illinois during the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 86. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief based on Rule 23(b) in addition to a monetary relief class.
- 87. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.
- 88. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.
- 89. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other members.
- 90. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 91. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.
- 92. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.
  - 93. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

## Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act ("ICFA"), 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.

#### (Consumer Protection Statute)

- 94. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 95. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase a product which had valuable nutrients it did not have, contained ingredients that were natural not just in their final version but in their production and conformed to the other representations.
- 96. Defendant's false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.
- 97. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities, half-truths and/or actions.
  - 98. Plaintiff relied on the representations.
- 99. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

# Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

- 100. The Product was manufactured, labeled and sold by defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to plaintiff and class members that it had valuable nutrients it did not have, contained ingredients that were natural not just in their final version but in their production and conformed to the other representations.
- 101. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.
  - 102. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of Product.

- 103. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers and their employees.
- 104. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to complaints by regulators, competitors, and consumers, to its main offices.
- 105. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable because they were not fit to pass in the trade as advertised.
- 106. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Negligent Misrepresentation

- 107. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.
- 108. This duty is based on defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience this area a trusted innovator in dairy products.
- 109. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant.
- 110. Plaintiff reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, their purchases of the Product.
- 111. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Fraud

112. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product.

113. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its knowledge that probiotics and

prebiotics were not authorized to be used in nutrient content claims and because its "Only Natural

Ingredients" statement was a "half-truth."

**Unjust Enrichment** 

114. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented

and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek

restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the

undersigned as counsel for the class;

2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the

challenged practices to comply with the law;

3. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and

representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the

applicable laws;

4. Awarding monetary damages, statutory damages pursuant to any statutory claims and

interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;

5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and

experts; and

6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 16, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

14

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan

Bar Roll # 519087 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 268-7080 Fax: (516) 234-7800

spencer@spencersheehan.com

### $_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~04/21)}$ Case 3:21-cv-00488 Document Coving SF15621 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #16

The civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Lori Gilker, individua				DEFENDANTS Chobani, LLC			
Lori Gilker, individually and on behalf of all others simi situated  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Madison  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Necl 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in  ☐ 3 Federal Question	One Box Only)	III. CI	(For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifi and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	•		Citiz	zen of This State		incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			zen of Another State	of Business In A	Another State	
				zen or Subject of a  oreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					Click here for: Nature of S	<b>i</b>	
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ Student Loans ☐ (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY    310 Airplane   315 Airplane Product   Liability   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   330 Federal Employers' Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine Product   Liability   350 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   Product Liability   360 Other Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice   CIVIL RIGHTS   440 Other Civil Rights   441 Voting   442 Employment   443 Housing/   Accommodations   445 Amer. w/Disabilities-   Other Other   446 Amer. w/Disabilities-   Other   448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage   385 Property Damage Product Liability    PRISONER PETITIO   Habeas Corpus:   463 Alien Detainee   510 Motions to Vacate Sentence   530 General   535 Death Penalty Other:   540 Mandamus & Oth   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition of Confinement	Y	CABOR  LABOR  10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   835 Patent-Abbreviated New Drug Application   840 Trademark   880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX S UITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) □ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	emoved from   3 ate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	29 II C C 8 1222		ue milig	(Do not cite jurisdictional sta	unes umess aversuy):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:   □ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		N D	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 5,000,000 JURY DEMAND: ☑ Yes ☐ No				
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
May 16, 2021		/s/ Spencer She	ehan				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1					
DECEIDT #	MOUNT	ADDI VINC IED		HIDCE	MAC HI	DCE	

## United States District Court

for the Southern District of Illinois

Lori Gilker, individually and others similarly situated,	on behalf of all	) ) )
Plaintiff(s)		, )
V.		Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-00488
•		)
Chobani, LLC,		) )
		, )
Defendant(s	)	)
	SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Chobani, LLC	
	c/o C T Corporation Sy 1209 N Orange St Wilmington DE 19801-	
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must sthe Federal Rules of Civil Proce whose name and address are:	vice of this summons on your states agency, or an office serve on the plaintiff an answer or motion	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you er or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. ower to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of a must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, .C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-
If you fail to respond, ju You also must file your answer		entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-00488

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if a	any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)									
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the in	dividual at (place)							
			on (	; or						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)									
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or									
	☐ I served the summe		, who is							
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	ss on behalf of (name	e of organization)						
		on (date)								
	☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because					; or				
	□ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$	for services, for a total of \$						
I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.										
Date:		_								
		Server's signature								
	Printed name and title									
		-		Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: