SARAH WILLIAMS, Senior Trial Attorney
Consumer Protection Branch
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
450 5th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 305-2428
sarah.williams@usdoj.gov

Attorney for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. PREMIUM PRODUCTION, LLC, a corporation, and RYAN PETERSEN, an individual, Defendants.	**COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION** Case No. 4:23-cv-00088-DN Judge David Nuffer

Plaintiff, the United States of America, by its undersigned counsel, and on behalf of the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), respectfully represents to this Court as follows:

1. This statutory injunction proceeding is brought under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the "Act"), 21 U.S.C. § 332(a), and the inherent equitable authority of this Court, to permanently enjoin Premium Production, LLC, a corporation, and Ryan Peterson, an individual, (collectively, "Defendants") from:

A. Violating 21 U.S.C. § 331(a), by introducing or delivering for introduction or causing to be introduced or delivered for introduction into interstate commerce articles of food (dietary supplements, as defined at 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff)) that are adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1) in that they have been prepared, packed, or held in violation of the current good manufacturing practice regulations for dietary supplements set forth in 21 C.F.R. Part 111 ("Dietary Supplement CGMP"); and

B. Violating 21 U.S.C. § 331(k), by doing acts to articles of food (dietary supplements, as defined by 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff)) while held for sale after shipment of one or more of their components in interstate commerce that results in the dietary supplements being adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and all parties to this action under 21 U.S.C. § 332(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1345.

3. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

DEFENDANTS

4. Defendant Premium Production, LLC ("Premium") is a limited liability company incorporated in Nevada and in Utah as a foreign limited liability company. Premium is located at 880 N Pinon St, Hildale, UT 84784 ("Defendants' Establishment"), within the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendant Premium manufactures three products labeled as dietary supplements, which are sold by Evig, LLC ("Evig") under the brand name Balance of Nature: (1) Whole Food Fiber & Spice, a powder, (2) Whole Produce Fruits, capsules, and (3) Whole Produce Veggies, capsules. These products are made using freeze dried fruits and vegetables, spices, and other

botanicals in powder form. As the manufacturer of these products, Defendant Premium is responsible for, among other things, testing raw components and finished products, and for packaging. Defendant Premium is also responsible for confirming the identity, purity, strength, and composition of the components and products and ensuring that all products and components meet specifications.

5. Defendant Ryan Peterson is the Manger at Premium and the most responsible person at the firm. He has all decision-making and oversight responsibilities over Premium and its employees.

6. Defendant Peterson performs his duties at Defendants' Establishment, within the jurisdiction of this Court**.**

DEFENDANTS' OPERATIONS

7. Defendants have been and are now engaged in the business of manufacturing and causing the distribution of food, namely dietary supplements within the meaning of the Act, 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff), from Defendants' Establishment.

DEFENDANTS DISTRIBUTE ADULTERATED DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS

8. It is a violation of the Act to introduce or deliver for introduction, or cause to be introduced or delivered for introduction, into interstate commerce articles of food (dietary supplements) that are adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1), in that they have been prepared, packed, or held under conditions that do not meet the Dietary Supplement CGMP regulations set forth at 21 C.F.R. Part 111. 21 U.S.C. § 331(a).

Defendants' Products are Dietary Supplements

9. A product is a dietary supplement within the meaning of the Act, if, among other things, it is "a product (other than tobacco) intended to supplement the diet" that contains one or more of the following dietary ingredients: a vitamin; a mineral; an herb or other botanical; an amino acid; a dietary substance for use by man to supplement the diet by increasing the total dietary intake; or a concentrate, metabolite, constituent, extract or combination of any of them. 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff). In addition, a dietary supplement must not be "represented for use as a conventional food or as a sole item of a meal or the diet" and must be "labeled as a dietary supplement." *Id.*

Defendants' Products are Adulterated Dietary Supplements

10. The Act deems a dietary supplement to be adulterated if it is not prepared, packed, or held in conformance with "Dietary Supplement CGMP." *See* 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1), 21 C.F.R. Part 111.

11. The Dietary Supplement CGMP regulations are designed to ensure the quality of dietary supplements. The regulations apply to any person who manufactures, packages, labels, or (subject to an exception not relevant here) holds dietary supplements. The regulations require such persons to control all aspects of their processes and procedures to ensure compliance with established specifications for identity, purity, strength, composition, and limits on certain types of contamination.

12. FDA investigators most recently inspected Defendants' Establishment in May 2022 (the "2022 inspection"). This inspection established that the dietary supplements Defendants distribute are adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1), in that they

are prepared, packed, and/or held in a manner that does not conform to Dietary Supplement CGMP. Defendants' significant deviations from Dietary Supplement CGMP include, but are not limited to, the following:

A. Failure to establish and follow written procedures for the responsibilities of the quality control operations, including written procedures for conducting a material review and making a disposition, and for approving or rejecting any reprocessing, as required by 21 C.F.R. § 111.103. Specifically, Defendants have not established adequate Standard Operation Procedures ("SOPs") for their quality control operations. During the 2022 inspection, the Defendant Premium did not have any established SOPs. Following the 2022 inspection, Defendant Premium provided FDA with an SOP that merely outlined quality control responsibilities but did not provide sufficient information to show that Defendant Premium had adequate procedures in place for their quality control operations.

B. Failure to establish identity specifications and other specifications for each component that are necessary to ensure that the specifications for the identity, purity, strength and composition of dietary supplements manufactured using the components are met, as required by 21 C.F.R. § 111.70(b). Specifically, Defendants have not established identity specifications for the components used to manufacture the dietary supplements. During the 2022 inspection, Defendant Premium provided specification sheets for components, but the sheets did not establish adequate unique identity specifications for the components. Additionally, there were no other specifications for each component. Following the inspection, Defendant Premium provided FDA with specifications for three ingredients (papaya cube, orange powder, and carrot pieces)

but they did not contain identity specifications. Further, Defendant Premium did not provide any specifications on the numerous other ingredients contained in their products.

C. Failure to establish specifications for each dietary supplement manufactured for identity, purity, strength, and composition, as required by 21 C.F.R. § 111.70(e). Specifically, Defendants have not established specifications for finished product identity and strength. During the 2022 inspection, Defendant Premium had not established finished product specifications for the products. Following the inspection, Defendant Premium informed FDA that it uses organoleptic characteristics, i.e., smell, as the specification to identify the powdered ingredients that comprise the three Balance of Nature products. Organoleptic characteristics alone may not be used to test powdered or extracted botanicals for identity or strength. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 111.75(h)(1). Because the firm has not established specifications for finished product identity and strength, Defendant Premium is not able to test the firm's finished products and confirm specifications are met.

D. Failure to create master manufacturing records that included all requisite information, as required by 21 C.F.R. § 111. 210. Specifically, Defendants have not established adequate master manufacturing records ("MMRs"). During the 2022 inspection, Defendant Premium did not provide any actual MMRs, just batch records labeled as MMRs and which did not include any information on packaging, finished product specifications, or procedures for sampling. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 111. 210 (h). Following the inspection, Defendant Premium provided FDA with MMRs for the Fiber & Spice, Fruits, and Veggies, but they were inadequate in that they merely contained lists of ingredients without weight information and did not include sampling plans, specifications, and other required information. *See Id*., 21 C.F.R. § 111. 210 (d).

13. For the foregoing reasons, Defendants' products are adulterated dietary supplements within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. 342(g)(1). Defendants violate 21 U.S.C. § 331(a), by introducing or delivering for introduction, or causing the introduction or delivery for introduction, into interstate commerce articles of food (dietary supplements) that are adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1).

14. Defendants violate 21 U.S.C. § 331(k), by causing articles of food (dietary supplements, as defined by 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff)) that Defendants hold for sale after shipment of one or more of their components in interstate commerce to become adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1).

DEFENDANTS ENGAGE IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE

15. Evig, the distributor of the Balance of Nature products manufactured by Premium, primarily sells the products through its website, www.balanceofnature.com. Evig distributes approximately 85% of the finished product to customers out of state, including to Pennsylvania and California. Such shipments constitute the introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce. Thus, Defendants, as the manufacturer of the products, cause the introduction or delivery for introduction, into interstate commerce, adulterated dietary supplements under 21 U.S.C. § 331(a).

16. In addition, Premium receives approximately 95% of the raw materials used to manufacture their products from suppliers out of state, including from Illinois, Wisconsin, California, and India. Such shipments satisfy the interstate commerce element under 21 U.S.C. § 331(k).

DEFENDANTS' HISTORY OF VIOLATIVE CONDUCT

17. Defendants have a long history of failing to comply with the Act. FDA has documented a pattern of continued violative conduct during multiple inspections of Defendants' Establishments and have repeatedly warned Defendants that such conduct could lead to enforcement action.

18. FDA conducted the most recent inspection of Defendants' Establishment in May 2022. As a result of the inspection, FDA issued a List of Inspectional Observations ("Form FDA 483") to Defendant Premium on May 27, 2022.[1] The Dietary Supplement CGMP violations described in Paragraph 12 were near repeats of observations included in a previously issued Form FDA 483.[2] Defendant Premium responded to the Form FDA 483 on June 13, July 8, August 5, 2022, and December 14, 2022. FDA found all of Defendant Premium's responses to be inadequate in addressing FDA's concerns. Defendant Premium's responses lacked sufficient detail and supporting documentation.

19. FDA previously conducted an inspection of Defendants' Establishment in March 2021. As a result of the inspection, FDA issued a Form FDA 483 to Defendant Premium on April 2, 2021[3]. The 2021 Form FDA 483 issued to Defendant Premium included repeat observations from a previously issued Warning Letter. Defendant Premium responded to the Form FDA 483 on April 21, 2021, which FDA found to be inadequate.

---

[1] FDA issued an Amended Form FDA 483 to Defendant Premium on July 25, 2022.

[2] The 21 C.F.R. § 111. 210 violation described in Paragraph 14 involving the failure to create adequate master manufacturing records was revised from the 2021 Form FDA 483, which included a similar observation that covered the same issue.

[3] FDA issued an Amended Form FDA 483 to Defendant Premium on July 26, 2021.

20. FDA also previously conducted an inspection of Defendants' Establishment in 2019. As a result of the inspection, FDA issued a Warning Letter to Defendant Premium on July 29, 2019. The Warning Letter described Dietary Supplement CGMP violations that would be observed in the 2021 and 2022 Form FDA 483s.

21. Defendants continue to operate their businesses in a state of non-compliance. Defendant Premium's responses to FDA's issued Form FDA 483s have all been inadequate. All of Defendants' significant violations were near repeats from the 2021 inspection or 2019 Warning Letter.

22. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff believes that, unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to violate the Act in the manner set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

I. Permanently restrain and enjoin, under 21 U.S.C. § 332(a), Defendants, and each and all of their directors, officers, agents, representatives, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, and any and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from doing or causing to be done, any of the following acts:

A. Violating 21 U.S.C. § 331(a), by introducing or delivering for introduction, or causing to be introduced or delivered or introduction, into interstate commerce articles of food (including but not limited to dietary supplements and their components) that are adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1);

B. Violating 21 U.S.C. § 331(k), by doing acts to articles of food (dietary supplements, as defined by 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff)) while held for sale after shipment of one or

more of their components in interstate commerce that results in the dietary supplements being adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 342(g)(1).

II. Permanently restrain and enjoin, under 21 U.S.C. § 332(a), Defendants, and each and all of their directors, officers, agents, representatives, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, and any and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from manufacturing, preparing, processing, packing, holding, and distributing food (dietary supplements, as defined at 21 U.S.C. § 321(ff)) at or from the Establishment, or at or from any other location(s) at which Defendants manufacture, prepare, process, pack, label, hold, and/or distribute food (dietary supplements), now or in the future, unless and until Defendants bring their manufacturing, preparing, processing, packing, holding, and distributing operations into compliance with the Act and Dietary Supplement CGMP regulations in a manner that has been found acceptable by FDA, and unless and until Defendants have otherwise brought their operations into compliance with the Act;

III. Order that FDA be authorized pursuant to this injunction to inspect Defendants' places of business, and all records relating to introducing or delivering for introduction, or causing the introduction or delivery for introduction, into interstate commerce of any dietary supplement, or to holding for sale after shipment of one or more of their components in interstate commerce, to ensure continuing compliance with the terms of the injunction, with the costs of such inspections to be borne by Defendants at the rates prevailing at the time the inspections are accomplished; and that Plaintiff be granted judgment for its costs herein, and that this Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

Dated this 11th day of October, 2023.

BRIAN M. BOYNTON
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division

ARUN G. RAO
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

AMANDA N. LISKAMM
Director, Consumer Protection Branch

GABRIEL H. SCANNAPIECO
Assistant Director

/s/ Sarah Williams
SARAH WILLIAMS

OF COUNSEL:

MARK RAZA
Chief Counsel

SHANNON SINGLETON
Acting Deputy Chief Counsel for Litigation

TODD MILLER
Associate Chief Counsel for Enforcement
United States Department of
Health and Human Services
Office of the General Counsel
Food and Drug Division

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

United States of America

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys *(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)*
Sarah Williams
US Department of Justice, Civil Division
450 5th St NW, Washington, DC 20001

DEFENDANTS

Premium Productions LLC and Ryan Petersen

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Washington Co., Utah
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)*
Scott Kaplan, Rebecca Wood and William Levi, Sidley Austin LLP
Kurt Harris, Esq.

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- [x] 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- [ ] 3 Federal Question *(U.S. Government Not a Party)*
- [ ] 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- [ ] 4 Diversity *(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)*

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*
(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	[ ] 1	[ ] 1	Incorporated *or* Principal Place of Business In This State	[ ] 4	[ ] 4
Citizen of Another State	[ ] 2	[ ] 2	Incorporated *and* Principal Place of Business In Another State	[ ] 5	[ ] 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	[ ] 3	[ ] 3	Foreign Nation	[ ] 6	[ ] 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT
- [ ] 110 Insurance
- [ ] 120 Marine
- [ ] 130 Miller Act
- [ ] 140 Negotiable Instrument
- [ ] 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment
- [ ] 151 Medicare Act
- [ ] 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)
- [ ] 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits
- [ ] 160 Stockholders' Suits
- [ ] 190 Other Contract
- [ ] 195 Contract Product Liability
- [ ] 196 Franchise

TORTS

PERSONAL INJURY
- [ ] 310 Airplane
- [ ] 315 Airplane Product Liability
- [ ] 320 Assault, Libel & Slander
- [ ] 330 Federal Employers' Liability
- [ ] 340 Marine
- [ ] 345 Marine Product Liability
- [ ] 350 Motor Vehicle
- [ ] 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability
- [ ] 360 Other Personal Injury
- [ ] 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice

PERSONAL INJURY
- [ ] 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability
- [ ] 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability
- [ ] 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability

PERSONAL PROPERTY
- [ ] 370 Other Fraud
- [ ] 371 Truth in Lending
- [ ] 380 Other Personal Property Damage
- [ ] 385 Property Damage Product Liability

REAL PROPERTY
- [ ] 210 Land Condemnation
- [ ] 220 Foreclosure
- [ ] 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment
- [ ] 240 Torts to Land
- [ ] 245 Tort Product Liability
- [ ] 290 All Other Real Property

CIVIL RIGHTS
- [ ] 440 Other Civil Rights
- [ ] 441 Voting
- [ ] 442 Employment
- [ ] 443 Housing/ Accommodations
- [ ] 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment
- [ ] 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other
- [ ] 448 Education

PRISONER PETITIONS

Habeas Corpus:
- [ ] 463 Alien Detainee
- [ ] 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence
- [ ] 530 General
- [ ] 535 Death Penalty

Other:
- [ ] 540 Mandamus & Other
- [ ] 550 Civil Rights
- [ ] 555 Prison Condition
- [ ] 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement

FORFEITURE/PENALTY
- [ ] 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881
- [ ] 690 Other

LABOR
- [ ] 710 Fair Labor Standards Act
- [ ] 720 Labor/Management Relations
- [ ] 740 Railway Labor Act
- [ ] 751 Family and Medical Leave Act
- [ ] 790 Other Labor Litigation
- [ ] 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act

IMMIGRATION
- [ ] 462 Naturalization Application
- [ ] 465 Other Immigration Actions

BANKRUPTCY
- [ ] 422 Appeal 28 USC 158
- [ ] 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157

PROPERTY RIGHTS
- [ ] 820 Copyrights
- [ ] 830 Patent
- [ ] 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application
- [ ] 840 Trademark
- [ ] 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016

SOCIAL SECURITY
- [ ] 861 HIA (1395ff)
- [ ] 862 Black Lung (923)
- [ ] 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
- [ ] 864 SSID Title XVI
- [ ] 865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS
- [ ] 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
- [ ] 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609

OTHER STATUTES
- [ ] 375 False Claims Act
- [ ] 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
- [ ] 400 State Reapportionment
- [ ] 410 Antitrust
- [ ] 430 Banks and Banking
- [ ] 450 Commerce
- [ ] 460 Deportation
- [ ] 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
- [ ] 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)
- [ ] 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
- [ ] 490 Cable/Sat TV
- [ ] 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
- [x] 890 Other Statutory Actions
- [ ] 891 Agricultural Acts
- [ ] 893 Environmental Matters
- [ ] 895 Freedom of Information Act
- [ ] 896 Arbitration
- [ ] 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
- [ ] 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- [x] 1 Original Proceeding
- [ ] 2 Removed from State Court
- [ ] 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- [ ] 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- [ ] 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)*
- [ ] 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- [ ] 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing ***(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)***:
Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 301-392

Brief description of cause:
FDCA Civil Enforcement Action for a Permanent Injunction

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

- [ ] CHECK IF THIS IS A **CLASS ACTION** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND $

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: [ ] Yes [x] No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE David Nuffer DOCKET NUMBER 23-cv-00089

DATE
Oct 11, 2023

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD
SARAH WILLIAMS
Digitally signed by SARAH WILLIAMS
Date: 2023.10.11 09:19:26 -04'00'

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below**; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.