Sunday, 12 December, 2021 12:51:19 AM Clerk, U.S. District Court, ILCD

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS PEORIA DIVISION

Julie Foster, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

1:21-cv-01360

- against -

**Class Action Complaint** 

Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc.,

Defendant

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc. ("Defendant") manufactures, labels, markets, and sells peppermint oil capsules promoted as a treatment for irritable bowel syndrome ("IBS") under the IBgard brand ("Product").



# 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 2 of 12

2. The relevant front label representations include "Clinically Proven to Help Relieve Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) Symptoms" (left), "A Medical Food for the Dietary Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome" (right), and a gold seal relating to the Product's approval by doctors.

3. The representations are misleading.

4. The FDA has established guidance to assist the pharmaceutical industry and investigators who are developing drugs for the treatment of IBS.

5. IBS diagnosis and assessment of clinical status depend mainly on an evaluation of signs and symptoms that are known to the patient.

6. The studies upon which Defendant's claims that the Product is "Clinically Proven" fail to meet the FDA's criteria.

7. This is based on factors which may include the length of the studies, conflicts of interest, sample size, outcome measures, and subsets of IBS considered.

8. No competent or reliable scientific evidence supports the claims that the Product is clinically proven to have the effects promised.

9. Studies have shown that peppermint oil and a placebo both showed clinically meaningful improvement in IBS symptoms, with no significant differences between them.

10. The Product is misleadingly identified as a medical food but does not meet the definition of a medical food. 21 U.S.C. § 360ee(b)(3); 21 C.F.R. § 101.9(j)(8).

11. A "medical food" is "a food which is formulated to be consumed or administered enterally under the supervision of a physician and which is intended for the specific dietary management of a disease or condition for which distinctive nutritional requirements, based on recognized scientific principles, are established by medical evaluation."

12. 21 CFR 101.9(j)(8) provides that a food is considered a medical food only if

- i. It is a specially formulated and processed product (as opposed to a naturally occurring foodstuff used in its natural state) for the partial or exclusive feeding of a patient by means of oral intake or enteral feeding tube;
- ii. It is intended for the dietary management of a patient who, because of therapeutic or chronic medical needs, has limited or impaired capacity to ingest, digest, absorb, or metabolize ordinary foodstuffs or certain nutrients, or who has other special medically determined nutrient requirements, the dietary management of which cannot be achieved by the modification of the normal diet alone;
- iii. It provides nutritional support specifically modified for the management of the unique nutrient needs that result from the specific disease or condition, as determined by medical evaluation;
- iv. It is intended to be used under medical supervision; and
- v. It is intended only for a patient receiving active and ongoing medical supervision wherein the patient requires medical care on a recurring basis for, among other things, instructions on the use of the medical food.

13. Medical foods are distinguished from the broader category of foods for special dietary use by the requirement that medical foods be intended to meet distinctive nutritional requirements of a disease or condition and must be intended to be used under medical supervision.

14. Medical foods are not those simply recommended by a physician as part of an overall diet to manage the symptoms or reduce the risk of a disease or condition.

15. Instead, medical foods are foods that are specially formulated and processed (as opposed to a naturally occurring foodstuff used in a natural state) for a patient who is seriously ill or who requires use of the product as a major component of a disease or condition's specific dietary

#### 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 4 of 12

management.

16. Pursuant to 21 CFR 101.9(j)(8)(ii), a medical food must be intended for a patient who has a limited or impaired capacity to ingest, digest, absorb, or metabolize ordinary foodstuffs or certain nutrients, or who has other special medically determined nutrient requirements, the dietary management of which cannot be achieved by the modification of the normal diet alone.

17. The Product is promoted as a medical food for use by persons with irritable bowel syndrome.

18. However, the FDA has stated it is not aware of any distinctive nutritional requirements for individuals with irritable bowel syndrome.

19. Therefore, the Product does not meet the definition of a medical food or the regulatory criteria for a medical food.

20. The Product includes claims that it is intended for use in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease, which are drug claims, and because the products are not generally recognized as safe and effective for treating these conditions, they are considered unapproved new drugs under the FDCA.

21. The Product's gold seal and doctor recommended statements are misleading because these types of representations have been shown to elicit an additional level of trust in the product.

22. However, without uniform standards established by FDA or by industry groups, manufacturers cannot compare the quality of their products or hold each other accountable

23. The Product contains other representations which are misleading.

24. Reasonable consumers must and do rely on a company to honestly identify and describe the components, attributes, and features of a product, relative to itself and other comparable products or alternatives.

# 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 5 of 12

25. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.

26. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.

27. Had Plaintiff and proposed class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.

28. The Product is sold for a price premium compared to other similar products, no less than approximately \$7.50 for 12 capsules, a higher price than it would otherwise be sold for, absent the misleading representations and omissions.

# Jurisdiction and Venue

29. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

30. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory damages, exclusive of interest and costs.

31. Plaintiff Julie Foster is a citizen of Illinois.

32. Defendant Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Arlington, Arlington County, Virginia

33. Defendant transacts business within this District through sale of the Product at dozens of stores within this State and District, and online, sold directly to residents of this District.

34. Venue is in this District because plaintiff resides in this district and the actions giving rise to the claims occurred within this district.

35. Venue is in the Peoria Division in this District because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in McLean County, i.e., Plaintiff's purchase of the

#### 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 6 of 12

Product and her awareness of the issues described here.

# Parties

36. Plaintiff Julie Foster is a citizen of Bloomington, McLean County, Illinois.

37. Defendant Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Arlington, Virginia, Arlington County.

38. Defendant is one of the largest and most trusted food and nutrition companies in the world, which consumers rely upon to supply foods and medical foods to improve their lives.

39. Plaintiff purchased the Product on one or more occasions within the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged, at stores within this District between August and September 2021, among other times.

40. Plaintiff bought the Product because she expected it was clinically proven to treat the conditions indicated and was a medical food because that is what the representations said and implied.

41. Plaintiff relied on the words and images on the Product, on the labeling and/or claims made by Defendant in digital and/or social media.

42. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price.

43. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product if she knew the representations and omissions were false and misleading or would have paid less for it.

44. Plaintiff chose between Defendant's Product and products represented similarly, but which did not misrepresent their attributes.

45. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid and she would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

46. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so

with the assurance that Product's representations are consistent with its abilities and/or composition.

47. Plaintiff is unable to rely on the labeling of not only this Product, but other similar

products, because she is unsure of whether their representations are truthful.

# Class Allegations

48. Plaintiff seeks certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) of the following

classes:

**Illinois Class:** All persons in the State of Illinois who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged.

**Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class**: All persons in the States of Minnesota, Arizona, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Maine, Oregon, Washington, North Dakota, Texas, Iowa, Kansas, Georgia, Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, Delaware, Montana, Kentucky, Tennessee, New Hampshire, Alaska, South Dakota, Oklahoma, Utah, Nebraska, Maine, and Wyoming, who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged

49. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's

representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

50. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were

subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.

51. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other

members.

52. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

53. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

### 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 8 of 12

54. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

55. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

# Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act ("ICFA"), 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.

# (Consumer Protection Statute)

56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

57. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase a product that was clinically proven to treat the conditions indicated and was a medical food.

58. Defendant's false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.

59. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities, half-truths and/or actions.

60. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

61. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities, half-truths and/or actions.

62. Plaintiff relied on the representations that the Product was clinically proven to treat the conditions indicated and was a medical food

63. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

# 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 9 of 12

# Violation of State Consumer Fraud Acts

# (On Behalf of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class)

64. The Consumer Fraud Acts of the States in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class prohibit the use of unfair or deceptive business practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.

65. Defendant intended that plaintiff and each of the other members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class would rely upon its deceptive conduct, and a reasonable person would in fact be misled by this deceptive conduct.

66. As a result of defendant's use or employment of artifice, unfair or deceptive acts or business practices, plaintiff, and each of the other members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class, have sustained damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

67. In addition, defendant's conduct showed motive, and the reckless disregard of the truth such that an award of punitive damages is appropriate.

# Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

68. The Product was manufactured, identified, and sold by defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to plaintiff and class members that it was clinically proven to treat the conditions indicated and was a medical food.

69. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

70. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of Product, a trusted company known for its high quality products.

71. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers, and their employees.

#### 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 10 of 12

72. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to complaints by regulators, competitors, and consumers, to its main offices, and by consumers through online forums.

73. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable because it was not fit to pass in the trade as advertised.

74. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Negligent Misrepresentation

75. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.

76. This duty is based on defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience in this area, a trusted company known for its high quality products.

77. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant.

78. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, their purchase of the Product.

79. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

# Fraud

80. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product, that it was clinically proven to treat the conditions indicated and was a medical food.

81. Moreover, the records Defendant is required to maintain, and/or the information

#### 1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH #1 Page 11 of 12

inconspicuously disclosed to consumers, provided it with actual and/or constructive knowledge of the falsity of the representations.

82. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its knowledge that the Product was not consistent with its representations.

# Unjust Enrichment

83. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

# Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
- Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the applicable laws;
- 4. Awarding monetary damages, statutory and/or punitive damages pursuant to any statutory claims and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
- 5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 12, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021 Tel: (516) 268-7080 spencer@spencersheehan.com

#### 1:21-cv Citore JEOVER SHEET age 1 of 1 JS 44 (Rev. 04/21) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and serv Sever fleading So Decemptors required by 2.5 \* 20\* AM provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is fequired for the use of the Clerk of Court for the nurrose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Julie Foster, individually and on behalf of all others similarly Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc. situated County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant McLean (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) □ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Ouestion PTF DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff Citizen of This State **☑** 1 $\Box$ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 Δ4 (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State □ 2 U.S. Government ☑ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State $\square 2$ □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 ☑ 5 of Business In Another State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) □ 6 Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 Foreign Nation $\square$ 3 $\square 6$ Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions FORFEITURE/PENALTY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS BANKRUPTCY 375 False Claims Act □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal П 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Product Liability □ 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) □ 367 Health Care/ □ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 400 State Reapportionment INTELLECTUAL □ 320 Assault, Libel & 150 Recovery of Overpayment Pharmaceutical 410 Antitrust PROPERTY RIGHTS 430 Banks and Banking & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights □ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 450 Commerce □ 151 Medicare Act П 830 Patent □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted 368 Asbestos Personal 460 Deportation Liability 835 Patent-Abbreviated □ 340 Marine Student Loans Injury Product 470 Racketeer Influenced and New Drug Application (Excludes Veterans) □ 345 Marine Product Liability Corrupt Organizations 840 Trademark PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 480 Consumer Credit 880 Defend Trade Secrets (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 350 Motor Vehicle ☑ 370 Other Fraud □ 710 Fair Labor Standards of Veteran's Benefits Act of 2016 □ 485 Telephone Consumer □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lending Act □ 190 Other Contract Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal 720 Labor/Management Protection Act SOCIAL SECURITY □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 490 Cable/Sat TV 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 196 Franchise □ 385 Property Damage 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 740 Railway Labor Act Iniurv 862 Black Lung (923) □ 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability □ 751 Family and Medical Exchange п 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Medical Malpractice 890 Other Statutory Actions Leave Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation 891 Agricultural Acts 865 RSI (405(g)) 893 Environmental Matters □ 791 Employee Retirement 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 895 Freedom of Information □ 441 Voting Income Security Act 463 Alien Detainee □ 220 Foreclosure FEDERAL TAX S UITS Act □ 510 Motions to Vacate 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration □ 240 Torts to Land 443 Housing/ Sentence or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure □ 530 General □ 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 871 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of **IMMIGRATION** □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 445 Amer\_w/Disabilities □ 535 Death Penalty 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision Other: 462 Naturalization Application Employment 950 Constitutionality of 540 Mandamus & Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 465 Other Immigration State Statutes □ 550 Civil Rights Other Actions 555 Prison Condition □ 448 Education D 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Original $\Box$ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from □ 6 Multidistrict □ 8 Multidistrict 团 1 Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation -Litigation -(specify) Transfer Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 1332 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: false advertising VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: $\mathbf{\nabla}$ **COMPLAINT:** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 5,000,000 JURY DEMAND: 🗹 Yes $\Box$ No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD December 12, 2021 /s/Spencer Sheehan FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

Clerk, U.S. District Court, ILCD

Sunday, 12 December, 2021 12:51:20 AM

FD

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of Illinois

)

)

)

Julie Foster, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-01360

Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc.,

Defendant(s)

# SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Nestle Health Science US Holdings, Inc.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company 1209 N Orange St Wilmington DE 19801-1120

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

1:21-cv-01360-JES-JEH # 1-2 Page 2 of 2
---

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-01360

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

# (This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was rec	eived by me on (date)				
	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at ( <i>place</i> )				
			on (date)	; or	
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with ( <i>name</i> )				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,				
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	to the individual's last known address; or		
	$\Box$ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or	
	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because				; or
	□ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.				
Date:					
-			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: