

1 **KALIEL GOLD PLLC**
 2 Jeffrey D. Kaliel (SBN 238293)
 3 Sophia G. Gold (SBN 307971)
 4 1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor
 5 Washington, D.C, 20005
 6 Telephone: (202) 350-4783
 Facsimile: (202) 871-8180
 Emails: jkaliel@kalielpllc.com
 sgold@kalielgold.com

7 **THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC**
 8 Taras Kick (SBN 143379)
 9 Jeffrey C. Bills (SBN 301629)
 10 815 Moraga Drive
 11 Los Angeles, CA 90049
 Telephone: (310) 395-2988
 Facsimile: (310) 395-2088
 Emails: Taras@kicklawfirm.com
 Jeff@kicklawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

12
 13 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 14
 15 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 **BROOKE MILLER**, individually, and on
 17 behalf of all others similarly situated,
 18
 19 Plaintiff,
 20
 21 v.
 22 **AFTERPAY US**,
 Defendant.

Case No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

23 **INTRODUCTION**

24 1. This lawsuit is brought as a class action on behalf of Plaintiff and thousands of
 25 similarly situated Afterpay customers who have been deceived into using Afterpay’s buy now,
 26 pay later service by the company’s misrepresentations and omissions, in marketing materials,
 27 regarding the true operation and risks of the service. These risks include the real and repeated
 28

1 risk of multiple insufficient funds fees (“NSF fees”) or overdraft fees imposed by users’ banks as
2 a result of automated Afterpay transfers from consumers’ checking accounts.

3 2. As Afterpay has explosively grown in popularity in the U.S., it has concealed a
4 hidden scourge: hundreds of thousands of overdraft and NSF Fees its already-struggling users
5 have to pay for using the service.

6 3. Afterpay specifically targets young and poor consumers and those struggling to
7 make ends meet on a week-to-week basis. This group is its core constituency.

8 4. To that group, Afterpay purports to offer a solution to cash-strapped consumers:
9 Afterpay prominently markets itself as a service that allows users to pay for purchases at a later
10 date, with no interest, no fees and no hassle. These representations are false. In fact, there are
11 huge, undisclosed fees and interest associated with using the service.

12 5. Afterpay’s services thus cause unsuspecting consumers like Plaintiff to incur
13 significant overdraft and NSF fees on their linked bank accounts.

14 6. Unfortunately, Afterpay’s operation, along with its deceptive and incomplete
15 marketing materials, means that users like Plaintiff end up paying huge amounts of fees and
16 interest, which Afterpay falsely assures users they will not receive.

17 7. In its rush to tout itself as convenient, simple, automatic, and free, Afterpay does
18 not disclose that overdraft and NSF fees are a likely and devastating consequence of the use of
19 its service. No reasonable consumer would run this risk.

20 8. This massive risk is known to Afterpay but is omitted from all of its marketing.

21 9. Had Plaintiff and the Class members known of the true operation and risks of the
22 Afterpay service, they would not used the Afterpay service.

23 10. Plaintiff and the Class members have been injured by Afterpay’s practices. Plaintiff
24 brings this action on behalf of themselves, the putative Class, and the general public. Plaintiff
25 seeks actual damages, punitive damages, restitution, and an injunction on behalf of the general
26 public to prevent Afterpay from continuing to engage in its illegal practices as described herein.

27 ///

1 **PARTIES**

2 11. Plaintiff Brooke Miller is a citizen and resident of Springfield, Missouri.

3 12. Defendant Afterpay US is a California corporation with its principal place of
4 business in San Francisco, CA.

5 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 13. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28
7 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), because the matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest
8 and costs, and is a class action in which at least one member of the class is a citizen of a different
9 State than Defendant. The number of members of the proposed Classes in aggregate exceeds 100
10 users. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).

11 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because it regularly
12 conducts and/or solicits business in, engages in other persistent courses of conduct in, and/or
13 derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to persons in this District.

14 15. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant
15 resides in this District and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the
16 claims occurred in this District.

17 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 **A. Overview**

19 16. Founded in Australia in 2016, Afterpay has expanded to become one of the largest
20 buy now, pay later services in the U.S.

21 17. The concept of "buy now, pay later" has existed since the birth of credit cards.
22 Afterpay has expanded this concept to offer point-of-sale loans for online and in-store purchases
23 through its mobile app, allowing users to avoid paying in full for products at hundreds of online
24 and in-person stores by breaking up payments into four installments—allowing users to pay off
25 a purchase over the next six weeks.

26 18. According to the Afterpay website and marketing materials, the service is
27 completely free, with no interest or hidden fees.

1 19. Here’s how it works. At checkout at an in-person store, or online, a user is offered
2 a Afterpay loan as an alternative to other, traditional methods of payment. During that checkout
3 experience, Afterpay offers short marketing messages regarding its supposedly fee-free service.

4 20. If a user chooses to use Afterpay, she provides basic personal details like name,
5 date of birth and address, debit card. She then is provided specific payment plan details.

6 21. For example, if the total purchase is \$50, Afterpay breaks that total into four
7 payments of \$12.50, with the first installment due at checkout and the remaining three deducted
8 over the next six weeks. The user’s debit card will be charged for the first payment and
9 automatically charged for the remaining three payments until the balance is paid in full.

10 22. The whole process takes a few seconds—and at no time during that process does
11 Afterpay warn potential users of the true risks of using its service. To the contrary, during the
12 checkout and sign up processes, Afterpay repeatedly touts itself as a free service—without
13 “surprises.”

14 23. Afterpay’s marketing and public communications stress that it is a service that
15 allows users to avoid overdraft fees, interest and other predatory charges.

16 24. Its marketing, website, and app promises:

18 **Shop online and in stores. Pay over 6 weeks interest**
19 **free.**

22 **Afterpay. It’s the better way to pay.**

23 **Signing up is simple.**

24 No long applications or complicated terms & conditions. Have your email,
25 phone number, address, DOB, and debit/credit card handy—that’s it.

26 **Get what you need right now.**

27
28

1 Make your first payment today and the rest over 6 weeks. It really is that
2 simple.

3 **Manage with smart limits.**

4 Check your available spend and stay within budget. And spend wisely with
5 limits that gradually increase with on-time payments.

6 **We've got your back.**

7 We'll send reminders, so you never miss a payment. And if you do, we pause
8 your account until you're back on track. There are no fees when you pay on
9 time. And late fees are capped at 25% of the purchase price.

10 25. Similarly, the Afterpay interface promises:

11 **We've pioneered a better way to pay.**

12 No external credit checks, no interest, no fees when you pay on time, no surprises—
13 and our customers love us for it.

14 26. But there is a “surprise.” A big one. There can also be huge amounts of “interest”
15 and “fees,” albeit not assessed by Afterpay, in the form of overdraft fees assessed by banks.

16 27. Overdraft fees, which banks charge when they pay small-dollar purchases into an
17 insufficient account balance, are a highly profitable part of the banking sector that exclusively
18 targets the very poor. According to a 2017 study by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,
19 5 percent of all bank accounts have over 20 overdrafts a year, which produce 63.3 percent of all
20 overdraft fees paid by consumers. Another 4.2 percent of bank accounts have over ten overdrafts
21 a year and make up more than 15 percent of fees paid by consumers.

22 28. This is the same group of consumers that Afterpay targets with its marketing:
23 consumers living paycheck to paycheck. As a result, Afterpay knew or should have know that
24 such users were at extreme risk of overdraft fees when using the Afterpay service.
25
26
27
28

1 29. When a bank pays an overdraft, it makes a loan to its accountholder in the amount
2 of the overdraft. The overdraft fee is a payment the accountholder makes for the extension of
3 credit for the overdrawn amount.

4 30. A 2008 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) study showed that overdraft
5 fees carry an effective APR in excess of 3,500 percent.

6 31. In short, the entire premise of Afterpay is to provide immediate access to goods
7 and services and avoid bank fees and interest charges. That is why consumers are shocked to
8 discover that Afterpay causes significant bank fees and interest charges.

9 32. Using Afterpay's services causes unsuspecting consumers like Plaintiff to incur
10 massive fees on their linked bank accounts.

11 33. Afterpay misrepresents (and omits facts about) the true nature, benefits, and risks
12 of its service, functioning of which means that users are at extreme and undisclosed risk of
13 expensive bank fees when using Afterpay. Had Plaintiff been adequately informed of these risks,
14 she would not have used Afterpay.

15 34. As alleged herein, Plaintiff had no idea that small, automatic Afterpay repayments
16 could cause \$35-each in overdraft fees from her bank.

17 **B. Plaintiff's Experience**

18 35. When Plaintiff signed up for Afterpay and were induced to provide Afterpay with
19 their highly sensitive banking information, she was not aware that Afterpay's service had a
20 significant "surprise" and that significant "interest" and "fees" could result.

21 36. For example, in November, 2020, Plaintiff made a purchase using Afterpay.

22 37. On December 14, 2020, Afterpay made a deduction from her checking account at
23 Central Bank of the Ozarks in the amount of \$15.47, as a partial repayment of that purchase.
24 That deduction caused a \$35 OD Fee at Central Bank of the Ozarks.

25 **C. Afterpay's Deceptive Marketing**

1 38. In marketing and promotions, Afterpay describes its service as simple, convenient,
2 and easy—a no-fee, no-interest way for consumers to receive their purchases before they have
3 money to pay for them.

4 39. Afterpay’s marketing never warns consumers of the extreme and crushing NSF and
5 overdraft fee risk of using the service.

6 40. Afterpay conceals from users the punishing risk of NSF and overdraft fees on small
7 dollar Afterpay repayments.

8 41. Afterpay’s marketing materials—including within the app, in app stores, and on
9 Afterpay’s website—never disclose these risks and material facts, instead luring consumers to
10 sign up for and use the service with promises of ease, convenience, and fee/interest avoidance.

11 42. Afterpay knows that its service is likely to cause its low-income users to incur large
12 bank fees.

13 43. Afterpay’s representations—which all users view during the sign-up process—are
14 false and contain material omissions.

15 44. Afterpay misrepresents the true nature, benefits and risks of the service, which
16 targets users with an extreme and undisclosed risk of Afterpay triggering expensive, earnings-
17 depleting bank fees. Plaintiff would not have used Afterpay if she had been adequately informed
18 of the risks of bank fees. As alleged herein, Plaintiff had no idea small, automatic Afterpay
19 repayments could cause \$35 bank fees from their bank; she had no idea Afterpay would process
20 transactions when their accounts had insufficient funds.

21 45. Afterpay’s marketing never discloses the most devastating risk of using the
22 service—that days of earnings can be wiped out by bank fees associated with using the service.

23 46. Plaintiff would not have chosen to use the Afterpay service if she had been
24 informed of the true risks associated with it.

25 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

26 47. Plaintiff bring this action individually and as representatives of all those similarly
27 situated, on behalf of the below-defined Class (the “Class”):
28

1 All persons who used the Afterpay Service and incurred an overdraft or NSF Fee
2 as a result of a Afterpay repayment deduction.

3 48. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and its affiliates, parents, subsidiaries,
4 employees, officers, agents, and directors. Also excluded are any judicial officers presiding over
5 this matter and the members of their immediate families and judicial staffs.

6 49. This case is appropriate for class treatment because Plaintiff can prove the elements
7 of her claims on a class wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those
8 elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

9 50. **Numerosity:** The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all
10 members would be unfeasible and impracticable. The precise membership of the Class is
11 unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, it is estimated that the Class number is greater than
12 one hundred individuals. The identity of such membership is readily ascertainable via inspection
13 of Defendant's books and records or other approved methods. Class members may be notified of
14 the pendency of this action by mail, email, internet postings, and/or publication.

15 51. **Common Questions of Law or Fact:** There are common questions of law and fact
16 as to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated persons, which predominate over questions affecting
17 only individual Class members, including, without limitation:

- 18 a) Whether Afterpay's representations and omissions about its service are
19 false, misleading, deceptive, or likely to deceive;
- 20 b) Whether Afterpay failed to disclose the NSF and overdraft fee risks of
21 using its service;
- 22 c) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged by Afterpay's
23 conduct;
- 24 d) Whether Afterpay's actions or inactions violated the consumer protection
25 statute invoked herein; and
- 26 e) Whether Plaintiff are entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction
27 enjoining Defendant's conduct.
- 28

1 52. **Predominance of Common Questions:** Common questions of law and fact
2 predominate over questions that affect only individual members of the Class. The common
3 questions of law set forth above are numerous and substantial and stem from Afterpay's uniform
4 practices applicable to each individual Class member. As such, these common questions
5 predominate over individual questions concerning each Class member's showing as to his or her
6 eligibility for recovery or as to the amount of his or her damages.

7 53. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the
8 Class because, among other things, Plaintiff and all Class members were similarly injured
9 through Afterpay's uniform misconduct as alleged above. As alleged herein, Plaintiff, like the
10 members of the Class, were deprived of monies that rightfully belonged to them. Further, there
11 are no defenses available to Afterpay that are unique to Plaintiff.

12 54. **Adequacy of Representation:** Plaintiff is an adequate class representative because
13 who is fully prepared to take all necessary steps to represent fairly and adequately the interests
14 of the members of the Class, and because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the
15 other Class members they seek to represent. Moreover, Plaintiff's attorneys are ready, willing,
16 and able to fully and adequately represent Plaintiff and the members of the Class. Plaintiff's
17 attorneys are experienced in complex class action litigation, and they will prosecute this action
18 vigorously.

19 55. **Superiority:** The nature of this action and the claims available to Plaintiff and
20 members of the Class make the class action format a particularly efficient and appropriate
21 procedure to redress the violations alleged herein. If each Class member were required to file an
22 individual lawsuit, Afterpay would necessarily gain an unconscionable advantage since it would
23 be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited resources of each individual Plaintiff with its vastly
24 superior financial and legal resources. Moreover, the prosecution of separate actions by
25 individual Class members, even if possible, would create a substantial risk of inconsistent or
26 varying verdicts or adjudications with respect to the individual Class members against Afterpay,
27 and which would establish potentially incompatible standards of conduct for Afterpay and/or
28

1 legal determinations with respect to individual Class members which would, as a practical matter,
2 be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to adjudications or which
3 would substantially impair or impede the ability of the Class members to protect their interests.
4 Further, the claims of the individual members of the Class are not sufficiently large to warrant
5 vigorous individual prosecution considering all of the concomitant costs and expenses attending
6 thereto.

7
8 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**
9 **Violation of California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”)**
10 **Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.**
11 **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

12 56. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing
13 paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

14 57. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits acts of “unfair
15 competition,” including any “unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice.” Afterpay’s
16 conduct related to its misrepresentations and omissions about the true nature of using its “buy
17 now, pay later” service violates the statute’s “unfair” and “fraudulent” prongs.

18 58. The UCL imposes strict liability. Plaintiff need not prove that Afterpay
19 intentionally or negligently engaged in unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business practices—but
20 only that such practices occurred.

21 59. A business act or practice is “unfair” under the UCL if it offends an established
22 public policy or is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, or substantially injurious to
23 consumers, and that unfairness is determined by weighing the reasons, justifications, and motives
24 of the practice against the gravity of the harm to the alleged victims.

25 60. A business act or practice is “fraudulent” under the UCL if it is likely to deceive
26 members of the public.

27 61. A business act or practice is “unlawful” under the UCL if it violates any other law
28 or regulation.

1 62. Afterpay committed unfair and fraudulent business acts and practices in violation
2 of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.*, by affirmatively and knowingly misrepresenting on
3 its website, app, and marketing materials that its service is a simple, convenient, and easy no-fee,
4 no-interest way for consumers to receive their purchases before they have money to pay for them
5 with “no surprises,” but fails to warn consumers of the extreme and crushing NSF and overdraft
6 fee risk of using the service.

7 63. Defendant’s acts and practices offend an established public policy of promoting
8 transparency in the marketplace regarding the real risks of utilizing financial services that have a
9 high potential of incurring devastating banking fees, and constitute immoral, unethical,
10 oppressive, and unscrupulous activities that are substantially injurious to consumers.

11 64. The harm to Plaintiff and the Class outweighs the utility of Defendant’s practices.
12 There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant’s legitimate business interests,
13 other than the misleading and deceptive conduct described herein.

14 65. Afterpay’s business practices have misled Plaintiff and the proposed Class and will
15 continue to mislead them in the future.

16 66. Plaintiff relied on Defendant’s misrepresentations about the Afterpay service,
17 believing it would be “simple” to use, with “no surprises,” “no interest,” and “no fees.”

18 67. By falsely marketing its “buy now, pay later” service as such, Afterpay deceived
19 Plaintiff and Class members into using the Afterpay service, only to find out that using Afterpay
20 would trigger expensive, earnings-depleting bank fees.

21 68. Had Plaintiff known the truth about the Afterpay service, she would not have
22 signed up for Afterpay.

23 69. As a direct and proximate result of Afterpay’s unfair, fraudulent, and unlawful
24 practices, Plaintiff and Class members suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages in the
25 form of overdraft and NSF fees. Defendant’s fraudulent conduct is ongoing and presents a
26 continuing threat to Class members in that they will continue to be deceived into signing up for
27

28

1 Afterpay under the false belief that it is a “free” service without any “surprises,” when in reality,
2 users are exposed to a heightened risk of incurring expensive bank fees when using Afterpay

3 70. As a result of its unfair, fraudulent, and unlawful conduct, Afterpay has been
4 unjustly enriched and should be required to disgorge its unjust profits and make restitution to
5 Plaintiff and Class members pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203 and 17204.

6 71. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17500, Plaintiff and the
7 members of the Class, on behalf of the general public, seek an order of this Court enjoining
8 Defendant from continuing to engage, use, or employ its unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent
9 practices.

10 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Classes, demands a jury trial
12 on all claims so triable and judgment as follows:

- 13 A. Certifying the proposed Classes pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23,
14 appointing Plaintiff as representative of the Classes, and appointing counsel for
15 Plaintiff as lead counsel for the respective Classes;
- 16 B. Declaring that Afterpay’s policies and practices as described herein constitute a
17 breach of contract, a breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing or unjust
18 enrichment, and/or a violation of the UCL;
- 19 C. Public injunctive relief enjoining Afterpay from the wrongful conduct as described
20 herein;
- 21 D. Awarding restitution of all fees at issue paid to Afterpay by Plaintiff and the Classes
22 as a result of the wrongs alleged herein in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 23 E. Compelling disgorgement of the ill-gotten gains derived by Defendant from its
24 misconduct;
- 25 F. Awarding actual and/or compensatory damages in an amount according to proof;
- 26 G. Punitive and exemplary damages;
- 27 H. Awarding pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by applicable law;
- 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- I. Reimbursing all costs, expenses, and disbursements accrued by Plaintiff in connection with this action, including reasonable attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses, pursuant to applicable law and any other basis; and
- J. Awarding such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff and all others similarly situated hereby demand trial by jury on all issues in this Class Action Complaint that are so triable.

Dated: May 25, 2021

KALIEL GOLD PLLC

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Kaliel

Jeffrey D. Kaliel (SBN 238293)
Sophia Goren Gold (SBN 307971)
1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 350-4783
Facsimile: (202) 871-8180
jkaliel@kaliellpc.com
sgold@kalielgold.com

THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC

Taras Kick (SBN 143379)
Jeffrey C. Bills (SBN 301629)
815 Moraga Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Telephone: (310) 395-2988
Facsimile: (310) 395-2088
Emails: Taras@kicklawfirm.com
Jeff@kicklawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 10/2020)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<p>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS BROOKE MILLER, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,</p> <p>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Greene County, Missouri <i>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</i></p> <p>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kaliel Gold PLLC 1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005 (202) 350-4783</p>	<p>DEFENDANTS AFTERPAY US</p> <p>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant San Francisco, California <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i></p> <p>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)</p>
--	--

<p>II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION <i>(Place an "X" in One Box Only)</i></p> <p>1 U.S. Government Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question <i>(U.S. Government Not a Party)</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>2 U.S. Government Defendant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity <i>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES <i>(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)</i></p> <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Citizen of This State</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF	Citizen of This State	1	1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	Citizen of Another State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6
	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF																				
Citizen of This State	1	1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4																				
Citizen of Another State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5																				
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6																				

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881	422 Appeal 28 USC § 158	375 False Claims Act
120 Marine	315 Airplane Product Liability	367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	690 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))
130 Miller Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	LABOR	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment
140 Negotiable Instrument	330 Federal Employers' Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust
150 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	340 Marine	371 Truth in Lending	720 Labor/Management Relations	830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking
151 Medicare Act	345 Marine Product Liability	380 Other Personal Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application	450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	350 Motor Vehicle	385 Property Damage Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	840 Trademark	460 Deportation
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability		790 Other Labor Litigation	880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations
160 Stockholders' Suits	360 Other Personal Injury		791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit
190 Other Contract	362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice		IMMIGRATION	861 HIA (1395ff)	485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
195 Contract Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	462 Naturalization Application	862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV
196 Franchise	440 Other Civil Rights	HABEAS CORPUS	465 Other Immigration Actions	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange
REAL PROPERTY	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
210 Land Condemnation	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
220 Foreclosure	443 Housing/Accommodations	530 General		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	445 Amer. w/Disabilities—Employment	535 Death Penalty		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	895 Freedom of Information Act
240 Torts to Land	446 Amer. w/Disabilities—Other	OTHER		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	896 Arbitration
245 Tort Product Liability	448 Education	540 Mandamus & Other			899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
290 All Other Real Property		550 Civil Rights			950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		555 Prison Condition			
		560 Civil Detainee—Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation—Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation—Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):*
 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) and 1332 (d)(5)(B); California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.
 Brief description of cause:
 Breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing; false advertising

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY *(See instructions):* JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)
(Place an "X" in One Box Only) SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE 05/25/2021 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Jeffrey D. Kaliel

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Northern District of California

BROOKE MILLER, individually, and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,
Plaintiff(s)
v.
AFTERPAYUS
Defendant(s)
Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Afterpay US
c/o Corporation Service of America -- CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service
2091 E. Grant Line Road
Tracy, California 95376

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Kaliel Gold PLLC
Jeffrey D. Kaliel (jkaliel@kalielpllc.com)
Sophia G. Gold (sgold@kalielgold.com)
1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 350-4783

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: