## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ROCHESTER COURTHOUSE

Derrik Dildine, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

7-Eleven, Inc.,

Defendant

6:21-cv-06668

**Class Action Complaint** 

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff,

which are based on personal knowledge:

1. 7-Eleven, Inc. ("defendant") manufactures, packages, labels, markets, and sells Extra Strength Energy "shots" under the 7-Select brand in flavors including Watermelon Lime, purporting to have "100% Natural Flavors" ("Product").



### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 2 of 13

2. Defendant markets the Product with the prominent statement, "100% NATURAL FLAVORS," above pictures of the named ingredients, i.e., a slice of watermelon and a wedge of lime.



3. The representation that the Product has "100% Natural Flavors" appeals to the more than seven out of ten consumers who avoid artificial flavors, as these synthetic ingredients are believed to be associated with detrimental health and environmental effects.<sup>1</sup>

4. By identifying the Product as having "100% Natural Flavors," with a picture of watermelon and limes, consumers expect only natural flavors, because that is what the label says.

5. Federal and identical state regulations prohibit false and deceptive identification of the source of a food or beverage's characterizing flavors.

6. These regulations require the Product to disclose whether its characterizing flavors are from the fruits depicted on the front label, natural ingredients from fruits other than those pictured on the label, and/or artificial, chemical sources, such as petroleum byproducts. 21 C.F.R.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alex Smolokoff, Natural color and flavor trends in food and beverage, Natural Products Insider, Oct. 11, 2019; Thea Bourianne, Exploring today's top ingredient trends and how they fit into our health-conscious world, March 26-28, 2018; Nancy Gagliardi, Consumers Want Healthy Foods – And Will Pay More For Them, Forbes, Feb 18, 2015.

§ 101.22.

7. Natural flavor is defined as flavoring compounds from natural sources, such as "a spice, fruit or fruit juice, vegetable or vegetable juice, edible yeast, herb, bark, bud, root, leaf or similar plant material, meat, seafood, poultry, eggs, dairy products... whose significant function in food is flavoring rather than nutritional." 21 C.F.R § 101.22(a)(3).

8. Artificial flavor is any defined as "any substance, the function of which is to impart flavor," that is not obtained from natural source materials, including "a spice, fruit or fruit juice, vegetable or vegetable juice, edible yeast, herb, bark, bud, root, leaf or similar plant material." 21 C.F.R § 101.22(a)(1).

## I. "100% NATURAL FLAVORS" IS FALSE AND MISLEADING

9. Taste is the combination of sensations arising from specialized receptor cells located in the mouth.

10. Sweetness and tartness are key contributors to the flavors of watermelon and lime.

11. The Product's ingredients include "Natural Flavors" and "Malic Acid."



**OTHER INGREDIENTS:** PURIFIED WATER, NATURAL FLAVORS, MALIC ACID, CITRIC ACID, SUCRALOSE, POTASSIUM SORBATE, SODIUM BENZOATE, AND CALCIUM DISODIUM EDTA (TO PROTECT FRESHNESS)

12. Malic Acid (molecular formula C4H6O5) is the common name for 1-hydroxy-1, 2-

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 4 of 13

ethanedicarboxylic acid.

 Malic Acid has two isomers, or different arrangements of atoms in the molecule, L-Malic Acid, and D-Malic Acid. 21 C.F.R. § 184.1069.

14. L-Malic Acid occurs naturally in various fruits and provides tartness.

15. D-Malic Acid does not occur naturally.

16. D-Malic Acid is most commonly found as a racemic mixture, DL-Malic Acid, which is commercially made from petroleum products.

17. Based on laboratory analysis, the Product contains DL-Malic Acid.

18. The sensory qualities of watermelon is determined by its ratio of sugar to acids.

19. As the main acid in watermelon, malic acid provides a tart taste to balance the sweetness.

20. Malic acid is the second most predominant acid in lime, a fruit known for its sour taste.

21. The Product could have used natural, L-Malic Acid, or more natural watermelon and natural lime flavor.

22. However, defendant used artificial DL-Malic Acid because it was lower-priced and/or more accurately resembled the natural watermelon and natural lime flavor.

23. DL-Malic Acid is not a "natural flavor" as this term is defined by federal and state regulations, and as understood by reasonable consumers.

24. DL-Malic Acid is derived from petroleum products and is an artificial flavor and provides flavoring to the Product.

25. DL-Malic Acid could function as a flavor enhancer or PH balancer if the flavor imparted by malic acid was not a core component of watermelon and lime.

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 5 of 13

26. A flavor enhancer is "added to supplement, enhance, or modify the original taste and or aroma of a food without imparting a characteristic taste or aroma of its own." 21 C.F.R. § 170.3(o)(11).

27. PH balancers are "substances added to change or maintain active acidity or basicity, including buffers, acids, alkalis, and neutralizing agents." 21 C.F.R. § 170.3(o)(23).

28. DL-Malic Acid enhances and simulates the Product's watermelon and lime taste.

29. The Product's front label is misleading because it states, "100% Natural Flavors."

30. Consumers are misled by expecting the taste comes exclusively from natural flavoring and natural flavors.

31. Consumers are unable to learn the malic acid listed in the ingredients is the artificial version without a chemistry kit.

#### **II. CONCLUSION**

32. Reasonable consumers must and do rely on a company to honestly identify and describe the components, attributes, and features of the Product, relative to itself and other comparable products or alternatives.

33. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.

34. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.

35. Had Plaintiff and proposed class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.

36. The Product is sold for a price premium compared to other similar products, no less than \$2.49 for 2 oz, a higher price than it would otherwise be sold for, absent the misleading

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 6 of 13

representations and omissions.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

37. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

38. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory damages, exclusive of interest and costs.

39. Plaintiff Derrik Dildine is a citizen of New York.

40. Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc. is a Texas corporation with a principal place of business in Irving, Dallas County, Texas.

41. Defendant transacts business within this district through its numerous stores within the District – which it supplies and stocks on a regular basis.

42. The parties are citizens of different states.

43. Venue is in this District because plaintiff resides in this district and the actions giving rise to the claims occurred within this district, Plaintiff's purchase of the Product and awareness of the issues described herein.

44. Venue is in the Rochester division because Plaintiff resides in Chemung County.

#### Parties **Parties**

45. Plaintiff Derrik Dildine is a citizen of Horseheads, Chemung County, New York.

46. Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc. is a Texas corporation with a principal place of business in Irving, Texas, Dallas County.

47. 7-Eleven is a multinational chain of convenience stores founded in 1927 as an icehouse storefront in Dallas.

48. There are over 9,500 7-Eleven stores in the United States.

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 7 of 13

49. While 7-Eleven stores sell leading national brands, they sell a large number of products under their private label, 7-Select.

50. Private label products are made by third-party manufacturers and sold under the name of the retailer.

51. Previously referred to as "generic" or "store brand," private label products have increased in quality, and often are superior to their national brand counterparts.

52. Products under the 7-Select brand have an industry-wide reputation for quality and value.

53. In fact, Defendant stated that in releasing products, "under the 7-Select name, [their] first and foremost requirement was to create high-quality products that were equal to or better than the national brands."

54. That 7-Select branded products met this high bar was proven, when "[F]ocus groups verified that [they] exceeded that goal by scoring taste, texture and flavor profiles higher than the name brand equivalent in every case."

55. Private label products generate higher profits for retailers, because national brands spend significantly more on marketing, contributing to their higher prices.

56. A survey by The Nielsen Co. "found nearly three out of four American consumers believe store brands are good alternatives to national brands, and more than 60 percent consider them to be just as good."

57. Private label products under the 7-Select brand benefit by their association with consumers' appreciation for the 7-11 brand as a whole.

58. The development of private label items is a growth area for 7-11, as they "selected only top suppliers to develop and produce 7-Select" products.

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 8 of 13

59. The Product is sold at the almost one thousand 7-11 locations in New York, and available to consumers online from partnerships between 7-11 and delivery services.

60. Plaintiff bought the Product on one or more occasions within the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged, at Defendant's stores in or around Elmira, between August and September 2021, among other times.

61. Plaintiff bought the Product because he expected it would contain "100% Natural Flavors," only be flavored from natural flavoring, and not contain artificial flavoring.

62. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price.

63. Plaintiff relied on the representations identified here.

64. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product if he knew the representations were false and misleading.

65. Plaintiff chose between Defendant's Product and other similar products which were represented similarly, but which did not misrepresent their attributes and/or lower-priced products which did not make the claims made by Defendant.

66. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid and he would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

67. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when he can do so with the assurance that Product's representations are consistent with its composition.

68. Plaintiff has purchased fewer items under the 7-Select brand based on his knowledge of the representations indicated here and wants to resume purchasing items under the 7-Select brand but is reluctant to do so.

#### **Class Allegations**

69. Plaintiff seeks certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) of the following

classes:

**New York Class:** All persons in the State of New York who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged.

**Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class**: All persons in the States of North Dakota, Kansas, Wyoming, and Delaware, who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged

70. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's

representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

71. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were

subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.

72. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his interests do not conflict with other

members.

73. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices

and the class is definable and ascertainable.

74. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

75. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation

and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

76. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

## New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350

## (Consumer Protection Statute)

77. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

78. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase a product that contained only natural flavoring.

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 10 of 13

79. Defendant's false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.

80. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities, half-truths and/or actions.

81. Plaintiff relied on the representations.

82. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Violation of State Consumer Fraud Acts

#### (On Behalf of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class)

83. The Consumer Fraud Acts of the States in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class prohibit the use of unfair or deceptive business practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.

84. Defendant intended that plaintiff and each of the other members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class would rely upon its deceptive conduct, and a reasonable person would in fact be misled by this deceptive conduct.

85. As a result of defendant's use or employment of artifice, unfair or deceptive acts or business practices, plaintiff, and each of the other members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class, have sustained damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

86. In addition, defendant's conduct showed malice, motive, and the reckless disregard of the truth such that an award of punitive damages is appropriate.

## Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, *et seq.*

87. The Product was manufactured, labeled, and sold by defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to plaintiff and class members that it contained only natural flavoring and

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 11 of 13

"100% Natural Flavors."

88. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

89. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of Product, a trusted retailer, known for its convenience.

90. The Product is intended to be a "convenient" way for consumers to deliver energy, through its caffeine content.

91. Thus, the Product is synonymous with 7-Eleven, and therefore has a high level of trust with consumers, more so than other types of 7-Select products, like 7-Select iced tea.

92. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers, and their employees.

93. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to complaints by regulators, competitors, and consumers, to its main offices.

94. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable because it was not fit to pass in the trade as advertised as having "100% Natural Flavors" and only natural flavoring.

95. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Negligent Misrepresentation

96. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.

97. This duty is based on defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience this area, as custodian of the 7-Select brand, selling "convenient" energy in the form of 2 oz shots, in a convenience store.

#### Case 6:21-cv-06668 Document 1 Filed 10/30/21 Page 12 of 13

98. Consumers go to convenience stores because they provide what consumers want "in the moment," which includes energy shots.

99. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant, a globally recognized and trusted brand.

100. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, their purchase of the Product.

101. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Fraud

102. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product, that it contained only natural flavoring and "100% Natural Flavors."

103. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its knowledge that the Product was not consistent with its representations.

#### Unjust Enrichment

104. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

#### Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the

challenged practices to comply with the law;

- Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the applicable laws;
- 4. Awarding monetary damages, statutory and/or punitive damages pursuant to any statutory claims and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
- 5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- Dated: October 30, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021 Tel: (516) 268-7080 spencer@spencersheehan.com

## Case 6:21-cv-066 Corport Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Derrik Dildine, individually and on behalf of all others 7-Eleven, Inc. similarly situated (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chemung County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) □ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Ouestion PTF DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff Citizen of This State **☑** 1 Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 Δ4 (U.S. Government Not a Party) 1 of Business In This State □ 2 U.S. Government ☑ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State  $\square 2$  $\square$  2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 ☑ 5 of Business In Another State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 Foreign Nation  $\square$  6 □ 6  $\square$  3 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions CONTRACT OTHER STATUTES TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY 375 False Claims Act □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal П 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Product Liability □ 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) □ 367 Health Care/ □ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 400 State Reapportionment INTELLECTUAL □ 320 Assault, Libel & 150 Recovery of Overpayment Pharmaceutical 410 Antitrust PROPERTY RIGHTS 430 Banks and Banking & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights □ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 450 Commerce □ 151 Medicare Act П 830 Patent □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted 368 Asbestos Personal 460 Deportation Liability 835 Patent-Abbreviated □ 340 Marine Student Loans Injury Product 470 Racketeer Influenced and New Drug Application (Excludes Veterans) □ 345 Marine Product Liability Corrupt Organizations 840 Trademark PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 480 Consumer Credit 880 Defend Trade Secrets (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 350 Motor Vehicle ☑ 370 Other Fraud □ 710 Fair Labor Standards of Veteran's Benefits Act of 2016 485 Telephone Consumer □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lending Act □ 190 Other Contract Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal 720 Labor/Management Protection Act SOCIAL SECURITY □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 490 Cable/Sat TV 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 196 Franchise □ 385 Property Damage 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 740 Railway Labor Act Iniurv 862 Black Lung (923) □ 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability □ 751 Family and Medical Exchange п 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Medical Malpractice 890 Other Statutory Actions Leave Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation 891 Agricultural Acts 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 791 Employee Retirement 893 Environmental Matters 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 895 Freedom of Information Income Security Act □ 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee □ 220 Foreclosure FEDERAL TAX S UITS Act □ 510 Motions to Vacate 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration □ 240 Torts to Land 443 Housing/ Sentence or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure □ 530 General □ 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 871 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of **IMMIGRATION** □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 445 Amer\_w/Disabilities □ 535 Death Penalty 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision Other: 462 Naturalization Application Employment 950 Constitutionality of 540 Mandamus & Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 465 Other Immigration State Statutes □ 550 Civil Rights Other Actions 555 Prison Condition □ 448 Education D 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Original  $\Box$  2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from □ 6 Multidistrict □ 8 Multidistrict 团 1 Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation -Litigation -(specify) Transfer Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 1332 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: false advertising VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ **COMPLAINT:** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 5,000,000 JURY DEMAND: 🗹 Yes  $\Box$  No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD October 30, 2021 /s/Spencer Sheehan FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of New York

)

)

Derrik Dildine, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s) V.

7-Eleven, Inc.,

Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-06668

Defendant(s)

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) 7-Eleven, Inc.

c/o Corporate Creations Network Inc. 5444 Westheimer Rd Ste 1000 Houston TX 77056-5318

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 6:21-cv-06668	Document 1-2	Filed 10/30/21	Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-06668

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

## (This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was rec	eived by me on (date)	<u> </u>						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	t (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	·					
		, a person	of suitable age and discretion who rea	sides the	ere,			
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	$\Box$ I served the summa	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to a	lesignated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)	; or				
	$\Box$ I returned the summ	I returned the summons unexecuted because			; or			
	□ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	. <u> </u>				
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information i	is true.					
Date:								
Date.			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: