IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

	X	
	:	
JULIANNA BRIGLIO,	:	
individually and on behalf of all others similarly	:	
situated,	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	NO.
v.	:	
	:	CLASS ACTION
JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER INC.	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	X	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff, Julianna Briglio ("Plaintiff"), brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. ("Defendant"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations upon information and belief and personal knowledge, as to herself.

BACKGROUND

2. This is a class action lawsuit against Defendant for manufacturing and distributing the popular Neutrogena brand Sunscreen Products ("Sunscreen Products") without disclosing that they contain high levels of benzene, a known carcinogen. These Sunscreen Products include but are not limited to: Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray; Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray; Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray; Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100+; SPF 70 Neutrogena Beach Defense Oil-Free Body Sunscreen Spray-SPF 100; Neutrogena Invisible Daily Defense Body Sunscreen Broad Spectrum, SPF 60+; and Neutrogena Beach Defense Spray Body Sunscreen, SPF 50.

- 3. Benzene is known to cause cancer in humans, particularly blood cancers like leukemia. Direct exposure of the eyes, skin, or lungs to benzene can cause tissue injury and irritation. The FDA's concentration limit for benzene in products is 2 parts per million (ppm).
- 4. On May 25, 2021, Valisure, a company that tests the chemical composition of medications and other consumer products, filed a citizen's petition with the FDA after finding that several of Defendant's Sunscreen Products tested well above the EPA limit for benzene. For example, Neutrogena Ultra Sheer Weightless Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100+ contained 6.26 ppm benzene. Similarly, Neutrogena Beach Defense Oil-Free Body Sunscreen Spray, SPF 100 contained 4.01 ppm benzene. Valisure's petition sought a recall of the Sunscreen Products.
- 5. Valisure's petition also indicated that the presence of benzene in the Sunscreen Products appeared to be the result of contamination, or a defect in the manufacturing process, which rendered the Sunscreen Products "unacceptable." ⁶
- 6. Defendant knew or should have known about the presence of benzene in its Sunscreen Products and about its potential harmful effects to consumers. Nevertheless, Defendant continued to manufacture and sell Sunscreen Products containing benzene.

¹ National Cancer Institute, Cancer-Causing Substances, Benzene. https:// www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/substances/benzene (last accessed July 19, 2021).

² Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Facts About Benzene, https://emergency.cdc.gov/agent/benzene/basics/facts.asp (last accessed July 19, 2021). ³ See p.1, "Valisure Citizen Petition on Benzene in Sunscreen and After-sun Care Products,"

See p.1, "Valisure Citizen Petition on Benzene in Sunscreen and After-sun Care Products," Valisure, (May 24, 2021), available at https://www.valisure.com/wp-content/uploads/Valisure-Citizen-Petition-on-Benzene-in-Sunscreen-and-After-sun-Care-Products-v9.7.pdf (last accessed July 16, 2021).

⁴ *Id.* at p. 12.

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ *Id.* at pp. 7-8, p.2.

- 7. On July 14, 2021, nearly three months after the Valisure petition was filed,
 Defendant recalled five Neutrogena brand aerosol Sunscreen Products after conducting its own internal tests.⁷ Defendant claimed it found "low levels of benzene in some samples of the products."
- 8. Plaintiff and the Class purchased the Sunscreen Products because they believed they were safe for human use. However, what Defendant sold them was toxic, dangerous, unmerchantable, and unfit for their intended purpose. Plaintiff and the Class would not have purchased the Sunscreen Products had they known they were unsafe and have, therefore, not received the benefit of their bargain.
- 9. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and the Class for equitable relief and to recover damages or equitable relief for: (i) breach of express warranty; (ii) breach of implied warranty; (iii) violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law (iv) fraudulent concealment; and (v) unjust enrichment.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff Julianna Briglio is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania who purchased Defendant's Sunscreen Products.⁹
- 11. Defendant Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business in

⁷ jnj.com/johnson-johnson-consumer-inc-issues-voluntary-recall-of-specific-neutrogena-and-aveeno-aerosol-sunscreen-products-due-to-the-presence-of-benzene. (last accessed July 19, 2021).

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ Plaintiff purchased the following Sunscreen Products: NEUTROGENA® Beach Defense® aerosol sunscreen and NEUTROGENA® Cool Dry Sport aerosol sunscreen, both of which have been recalled by Defendant.

Skillman, New Jersey. Defendant manufactures and distributes Neutrogena® brand Sunscreen Products and other products throughout the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over this action because Defendant is incorporated, and maintains its principal place of business in, the State of New Jersey, and therefore is subject to general jurisdiction in New Jersey.
- 14. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because Defendant resides in this District.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as "all persons in the United States who purchased Defendant's Sunscreen Products that contain benzene." Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass of "all Class members who also purchased Sunscreen Products in the State of Pennsylvania" (the "Subclass").
 - 16. The Class and Subclass shall be referred to as the "Classes."
- 17. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definitions of the Classes may be expanded or narrowed by amendment to the complaint or narrowed at class certification.
- 18. Specifically excluded from the Classes are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees,

principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and its heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.

- 19. **Numerosity**. The members of the proposed Classes are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are hundreds of thousands of individuals that are members of the proposed Classes. Although the precise number of proposed members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of members of the Classes is known by Defendant. Members of the Classes may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.
- 20. **Typicality**. The claims of the representative Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Classes in that the representative Plaintiff, like all members of the Classes, purchased the Sunscreen Products, which were worthless due to the presence of benzene, a harmful and carcinogenic chemical. The representative Plaintiff, like all members of the Classes, has been damaged by Defendant's misconduct in the very same way as the members of the Classes. Further, the factual bases of Defendant's misconduct are common to all members of the Classes and represent a common thread of misconduct resulting in injury to all members of the Classes.
- 21. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact**. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Classes and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Classes. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) whether the Sunscreen Products manufactured by Defendant contain dangerously high levels of benzene, thereby breaching the express and

- implied warranties made by Defendant and making the Sunscreen Products unfit for human use and therefore unfit for its intended purpose;
- (b) whether Defendant knew or should have known the Sunscreen Products contained elevated levels of benzene prior to selling them, thereby constituting fraud and/or fraudulent concealment;
- (c) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff and the Classes;
- (d) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Classes for unjust enrichment;
- (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Classes for fraudulent concealment:
- (f) whether Plaintiff and the Classes have sustained monetary loss and the proper measure of that loss;
- (g) whether Plaintiff and the Classes are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief;
- (h) whether Plaintiff and the Classes are entitled to restitution and disgorgement from Defendant; and
- (i) whether the marketing, advertising, packaging, labeling, and other promotional materials for the Sunscreen Products are deceptive.
- 22. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Classes. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Classes. Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Classes.
- 23. **Superiority**. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by members of the Classes are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for members of the Classes, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if members of the Classes could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of

inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

- 24. In the alternative, the Classes may be certified because:
 - (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of the Classes that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
 - (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Classes not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
 - (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY (On Behalf of the National Class and the Pennsylvania Subclass)

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Classes against Defendant.
- 27. In connection with the sale of the Sunscreen Products, Defendant, as the designer, manufacturer, marketer, distributor, and/or seller issued written warranties by representing that

the Sunscreen Products contained only those active and inactive ingredients listed on the Sunscreen Products' labels. Those active and inactive ingredients do not include benzene, a known carcinogen. Defendant further expressly warranted that the Sunscreen Products are used for protection from the sun, rather than for exposure to carcinogens.

As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's breach of express warranty,

Plaintiff and the Class members have been injured and harmed because they would not have

purchased the Sunscreen Products had they known that the Sunscreen Products contained

benzene and are generally recognized as unsafe.

COUNT II BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY (On Behalf of the National Class and the Pennsylvania Subclass)

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Classes against Defendant.
- 31. At all relevant times, Defendant was a merchant with respect to the Sunscreen Products and impliedly warranted that the Sunscreen Products (i) would not contain elevated levels of benzene and (ii) are generally recognized as safe for human use.
- 32. Defendant breached the warranty implied in the contract for the sale of the defective Sunscreen Products because they could not pass without objection in the trade under the contract description, the Sunscreen Products were not of fair or average quality within the description, and the Sunscreen Products were unfit for their intended and ordinary purpose because the Sunscreen Products manufactured, distributed, and sold by Defendant were defective in that they contained elevated levels of benzene, and as such are not generally recognized as

safe for human use. As a result, Plaintiff and members of the Classes did not receive the goods that Defendant impliedly warranted were merchantable.

- 33. Plaintiff and members of the Classes purchased the Sunscreen Products in reliance upon Defendant's skill and judgment and the implied warranties of fitness for the purpose.
 - 34. The Sunscreen Products were not altered by Plaintiff or members of the Classes.
- 35. The Sunscreen Products were defective when they left the exclusive control of Defendant.
- 36. Defendant knew that the Sunscreen Products would be purchased and used without additional testing by Plaintiff and members of the Classes.
- 37. The Sunscreen Products were defectively manufactured and unfit for their intended purpose, and Plaintiff and members of the Classes did not receive the goods as warranted.
- 38. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's breach of the implied warranty, Plaintiff and members of the Classes have been injured and harmed because: (a) they would not have purchased the Sunscreen Products if they knew that the Sunscreen Products contained harmful levels of benzene and are not generally recognized as safe for human use; and (b) the Sunscreen Products do not have the characteristics, ingredients, uses, or benefits that were promised by Defendant.

COUNT III VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW, 73 PA. C.S. §201-1, ET SEQ. (On Behalf of the Pennsylvania Subclass)

39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

9

- 40. This cause of action is brought on behalf of the Pennsylvania Subclass.
- 41. Plaintiff and the Class members are "[p]erson[s]" within the meaning of 73 Pa. C.S. §201-2(2).
- 42. Plaintiff and the Class members purchased the Sunscreen Products "primarily for personal, family or household purposes" within the meaning of 73 Pa. C.S. §201-9.2(a). Defendant was and is engaged in "[t]rade" or "commerce" within the meaning of 73 Pa. C.S. §201-2(3).
- 43. The Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law ("Pennsylvania CPL") prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce." 73 Pa. C.S. §201-3.
 - 44. The Pennsylvania CPL makes unlawful specific acts, including:
 - (a) "[r]epresenting that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits or quantities that they do not have" (73 Pa. C.S. §201-2(4)(v));
 - (b) "[r]epresenting that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another" (73 Pa. C.S. §201-2(4)(vii));
 - (c) "[a]dvertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised" (73 Pa. C.S. §201-2(4)(ix)); and
 - (d) "[e]ngaging in any other fraudulent or deceptive conduct which creates a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding" (73 Pa. C.S. §201-2(4)(xxi)).
- 45. In the course of its business, Defendant, directly or through its agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Pennsylvania CPL by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material facts about the presence of benzene in the Sunscreen Products, and further that they were inherently defective, unreasonably

dangerous, not fit to be used for their intended purpose, contained elevated levels of a known carcinogen that rendered them unsafe, and unfit for human use.

- 46. Defendant, directly or through its agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Pennsylvania CPL by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material facts in its marketing, advertising, and promotions for its benzene containing Sunscreen Products, including that they were inherently defective, unreasonably dangerous, not fit to be used for their intended purpose, and that they contained a known carcinogen that rendered them unsafe and unfit for human use.
- 47. By knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material facts regarding the benzene contained in the Sunscreen Products, as detailed above, Defendant engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce, in violation of the Pennsylvania CPL by:
 - (a) representing that the Sunscreen Products have characteristics, uses, benefits, and qualities which they do not have;
 - (b) representing that the Sunscreen Products are of a particular standard, quality, and grade when they are not;
 - (c) advertising the Sunscreen Products with the intent not to sell them as advertised; and
 - (d) engaging in other fraudulent or deceptive conduct which created a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding.
- 48. Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions regarding the inherently defective and unreasonably dangerous nature of the Sunscreen Products were disseminated to Plaintiff and the Class members in a uniform manner.

- 49. Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, including its misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, as alleged herein, had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers' minds, and were likely to and, in fact, did deceive reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class members, into thinking that inherently defective and unreasonably dangerous Sunscreen Products were safe.
- 50. The facts about the benzene contained in the Sunscreen Products that Defendant knowingly and intentionally misrepresented, omitted, concealed, and failed to disclose would be considered material by a reasonable consumer, and they were, in fact, material to Plaintiff and the Class members, who considered such facts to be important to their purchase decisions with respect to the Sunscreen Products.
- 51. Plaintiff and the Class members relied on Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions of material facts with respect to the Sunscreen Products by purchasing the Sunscreen Products after Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions of material facts were made.
- 52. Plaintiff and the Class members were aggrieved by Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania CPL because they suffered ascertainable loss and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's knowing and intentional misrepresentations, omissions, concealments, and failures to disclose material facts as set forth above.
- 53. Specifically, Plaintiff and the Class members were deceived by Defendant's misrepresentations, omissions, concealments, and failures to disclose material facts regarding Sunscreen Products. Had Defendant not engaged in the deceptive acts and practices alleged herein, Plaintiff and the Class members would not have purchased the drug, and, thus, they did not receive the benefit of the bargain and/or suffered out-of-pocket loss.

- 54. Defendant's violations present a continuing risk to Plaintiff and the Class members, as well as to the general public. Defendant's unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.
- 55. As a result of Defendant's violations of the Pennsylvania CPL, as alleged herein, Plaintiff and the Class members seek an order enjoining Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding actual damages, costs, attorneys' fees, and any other just and proper relief available under the Pennsylvania CPL.

COUNT IV FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT (On Behalf of the National Class and the Pennsylvania Subclass)

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Classes against Defendant.
- 58. Defendant had a duty to disclose material facts to Plaintiff and the Classes given their relationship as contracting parties and intended users of the Sunscreen Products. Defendant also had a duty to disclose material facts to Plaintiff and the Classes, namely that it was in fact manufacturing, distributing, and selling harmful Sunscreen Products unfit for human use, because Defendant had superior knowledge such that the transactions without the disclosure were rendered inherently unfair.
- 59. Defendant possessed knowledge of these material facts. Since at least mid-2020, numerous recalls put Defendant on notice that adulterated and misbranded Sunscreen Products were being investigated for contamination with carcinogens, including benzene. Further, benzene is not unavoidable in the manufacture of sunscreens.

- 60. During this time, Plaintiff and members of the Classes were using the Sunscreen Products without knowing they contained dangerous levels of benzene.
 - 61. Defendant failed to discharge its duty to disclose these materials facts.
- 62. In so failing to disclose these material facts to Plaintiff and the Classes, Defendant intended to hide from Plaintiff and the Classes that they were purchasing and consuming the Sunscreen Products with harmful defects that were unfit for human use, and thus acted with scienter and/or an intent to defraud.
- 63. Plaintiff and the Classes reasonably relied on Defendant's failure to disclose insofar as they would not have purchased the defective Sunscreen Products manufactured and sold by Defendant had they known they contained unsafe levels of benzene.
- 64. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's fraudulent concealment, Plaintiff and the Classes suffered damages in the amount of monies paid for the defective Sunscreen Products.
- 65. As a result of Defendant's willful and malicious conduct, punitive damages are warranted.

COUNT V UNJUST ENRICHMENT (On Behalf of the National Class and the Pennsylvania Subclass)

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Classes against Defendant.
- 68. Plaintiff and the Classes conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid to purchase Defendant's defective and worthless Sunscreen Products.
 - 69. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit.

70. Because this benefit was obtained unlawfully, namely by selling and accepting compensation for Sunscreen Products unfit for human use, it would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests, individually and on behalf of the alleged Classes, that the Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendant as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Classes under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as the representative for the Classes and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel;
- (b) For an order declaring the Defendant's conduct violates the causes of action referenced herein;
- (c) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Classes on all counts asserted herein;
- (d) For compensatory, statutory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (e) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (f) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (g) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (h) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Classes their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: July 21, 2021 /s/ Katrina Carroll

Katrina Carroll
CARLSON LYNCH
111 W. Washington Street, Suite 1240
Chicago, IL 60602
Phone: (312) 750-1265
kcarroll@carlsonlynch.com

Jonathan M. Jagher
D. Patrick Huyett
FREED KANNER LONDON & MILLEN LLC
923 Fayette Street
Conshohocken, PA 19428
Phone: (610) 234-6486
jjagher@fklmlaw.com
phuyett@fklmlaw.com

William E. Hoese
Craig W. Hillwig
Barbara L. Gibson
Aarthi Manohar
KOHN, SWIFT & GRAF, P.C.
1600 Market Street, Suite 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (215) 238-1700
whoese@kohnswift.com
chillwig@kohnswift.com
bgibson@kohnswift.com
amanohar@kohnswift.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

$_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~04/2)}$ Case 0:21-cv-62191 Document 11 Entered Spt Docket 07/21/2021 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FC	ORM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DICLIO individu	مادها می امادها	t	DEFENDAN	TS					
JULIANNA BRIGLIO, individually and on behal			1	JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.						
of all others similarly situated, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia County, I				, ·						
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>F</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		, FA	County of Reside			d Defendant .AINTIFF CASES O	DNLY)		
,		,		NOTE: IN LAND	O COND	EMNATIO	ON CASES, USE T VOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attornova (Firm Name)	Adduses and Tolonhous Numbe)		Attorneys (If Kno		L/ II VD II V	VOLVED.			
	Address, and Telephone Numbe Carlson Lynch LLP	r)		Attorneys (1) Kno	wn)					
111 W. Washingt	on Street, Suite 1240,	Chicago, IL 60602,								
(p) 312-750-1265										
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Or		NCIPA		Place an "X" in and One Box for		
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question			,	PTF	DEF		,	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State	1	1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		4	X 4
2 U.S. Government	X 4 Diversity		Citiza	en of Another State	X 2	□ 2	Incorporated and I		□ 5	□ 5
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	ii of Another State	A 2	L 2	of Business In A			\square_{j}
			Citize	n or Subject of a	3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
W. MARKING OF CHIE	n			reign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALT			for: Nature of S			
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure			eal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 8		423 With	ıdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	H ⁶⁹	0 Other			JSC 157 LLECTUAL	3729(400 State I	(a)) Reapportio	nment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					RTY RIGHTS	410 Antitr	ust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				820 Copy	_	430 Banks 450 Comn	and Banki	ing
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			\vdash	830 Pater 835 Pater	nt nt - Abbreviated	460 Depor	tation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability					Drug Application		teer Influer pt Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y	LABOR		840 Trad	emark and Trade Secrets	_ `	ımer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	X 370 Other Fraud	71	0 Fair Labor Standards			of 2016	_ `	SC 1681 or	
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	H ₇₂	Act 0 Labor/Management		SOCIAL	L SECURITY		hone Const ction Act	ımer
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations		861 HIA	(1395ff)	490 Cable		
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	_	0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical			k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securi Excha	ities/Comm	nodities/
	Medical Malpractice	1 roduct Elability		Leave Act			Title XVI		Statutory A	Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	_	Other Labor Litigation		865 RSI	(405(g))	_	ultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	$\Box^{\prime 9}$	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		FEDERA	L TAX SUITS		onmental M om of Infor	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		meeme seemmy ries			es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General					efendant)	896 Arbitr)ma a a dayma
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			—Third Party USC 7609	899 Administrative Proce Act/Review or Appea		
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applica	ation				y Decision	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	r 📙 46.	5 Other Immigration Actions					itutionality Statutes	OI
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition								
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
W. OBIGIN		Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	1.6 — 2	D	14 D.i			1 6	— (Madridian	:-4 — 0) N. A 141 .11.	_4
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		nsferred other Di		6 Multidistr Litigation		Multidis Litigatio	
		11	1		ecify)		Transfer		Direct F	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	filing (L	o not cite jurisdictional	l statutes	s unless div	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Brief description of ca									
		e Consumer Protecti	on Stat	utes.						
VII. REQUESTED IN	X CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	Dl	EMAND \$		CI	HECK YES only	if demanded i	n compla	int:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.				Л	JRY DEMAND:	XYes	□No	1
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)										
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY C	OF RECORD						
7/21/2021		/s/ Katrina Carro		11100111						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	E		MAG. JUI	DGE		
1.11										

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey

	2 1501						
JULIANA BRIGLIO, individual others similarly s)					
Plaintiff		-))					
${ m v.}$ JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER INC.) C	ivil Action No.				
Defendant)					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Johnson & Johnson C 199 Grandview Road Skillman, NJ 08558	onsumer, Inc.					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Katrina Carroll Carlson Lynch LLP 111 W. Washington St., Suite 1240 Chicago, IL 60602							
If you fail to respond, j You also must file your answer			gainst you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
			CLERK OF COURT				
Date:							
			Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at (place	re)						
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or usual p	place of abode with (name)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf of	(name of organization)						
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I returned the summ	mons unexecuted because		; or					
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information is tru	e.						
Date:			g ,						
			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			1 птей пате ини ине						
			G 1 11						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: