Cas	e 3:21-cv-00868-BAS-DEB Document 1	Filed 05/05/21 PageID.1 Page 1 of 18					
4 5 6 7 8 9	BONNETT, FAIRBOURN, FRIEDMAN & BALINT, P.C. PATRICIA N. SYVERSON (CA SBN 203111) 600 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101 psyverson@bffb.com Telephone: (619) 798-4593 BONNETT, FAIRBOURN, FRIEDMAN & BALINT, P.C. ELAINE A. RYAN (<i>To Be Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>) CARRIE A. LALIBERTE (<i>To Be Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>) 2325 E. Camelback Rd. Suite 300 Phoenix, AZ 85016 eryan@bffb.com claliberte@bffb.com Telephone: (602) 274-1100						
10		ES DISTRICT COURT					
11 12		`RICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. '21CV868 BAS DEB					
13	STACIE SOMERS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:					
14	Plaintiff,	1. VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR					
15	v.	COMPETITION LAW, Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 <i>et</i>					
16 17		<i>seq.</i> ; and 2. VIOLATION OF THE					
17 18	CROWN LABORATORIES, a Tennessee company,	CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT, Civil Code					
19	Defendant.	§§ 1750 <i>et seq</i> .;					
20		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED					
21							
22							
23							
24 25							
23 26							
27							
28							
	CLASS AC	TION COMPLAINT					

Plaintiff Stacie Somers, individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated, through her undersigned attorneys, brings this Class Action Complaint
against Defendant Crown Laboratories on actual knowledge as to her own acts, and
on information and belief after due investigation as to all other allegations, as
follows:

6

NATURE OF THE ACTION

7 1. Defendant manufactures, markets, sells, and distributes sunscreen
8 products under its Blue Lizard brand. To obtain an unfair competitive advantage in
9 the billion-dollar sunscreen market and recognizing consumers' desire for healthy
10 and safe products without harmful chemicals, especially as it relates to products for
11 their children, Defendant markets the Products as "mineral-based" even though they
12 contain less desirable, harmful, chemical-based active ingredients.

The purported "mineral-based" products at issue include Kids Mineral-13 2. 14 Based Sunscreen SPF 30+ (5 oz Bottle); Kids Mineral-Based Sunscreen SPF 30+ 15 (8.75 oz Bottle); Kids Mineral-Based Sunscreen SPF 50+ (5 oz Tube); Kids 16 Mineral-Based Sunscreen SPF 50+ (8.75 oz Bottle); Face Mineral-Based Sunscreen 17 SPF 30+ (3 oz Tube); Active Mineral-Based Sunscreen SPF 50+ (5 oz Tube); 18 Active Mineral-Based Sunscreen SPF 50+ (8.75 oz Bottle); Sport Mineral-Based 19 Sunscreen SPF 50+ (5 oz Bottle); and Sport Mineral-Based Sunscreen SPF 50+ 20 (8.75 oz Bottle) (collectively, the "Products").¹

3. Contrary to the "mineral-based" representations on the front of each
and every Product label, each of the Products contains *chemical* active ingredients:
either Octisalate 5% or Octinoxate 5.5%. Octisalate is an organic compound formed
by the condensation of salicylic acid with 2-ethylhexanol that is a weak UVB filter
and must be used with other UV filters to provide adequate sun protection.

^{27 &}lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to add additional products upon completion of discovery.

Octinoxate is an organic compound formed from methoxycinnamic acid and 2 ethylhexanol that also filters out UVB rays and some studies have shown it gets
 absorbed into the bloodstream and can cause reproductive problems in animals that
 have been tested.

4. Mineral-based sunscreens have become increasingly popular in recent
years as consumers have prioritized safety and embraced a healthy lifestyle and as
consumers are becoming more educated about the potential harmful human and
environmental effects of using chemical-based sunscreens. Given their rise in
popularity and corresponding increase in demand, mineral sunscreen products
command a price premium over chemical sunscreen products.

11 5. Reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff, interpret "mineral-based"
12 representations to mean that a product is free of chemical active ingredients, much
13 in the same way that reasonable consumers understand that a product labeled "plant14 based" does not contain meat.

Thus, a mineral-based sunscreen should be just what it sounds like - a 15 6. 16 sunscreen that uses minerals as its active ingredients. The Products, however, also 17 active Defendant's chemical ingredients. Thus, mineral-based contain 18 representations are false, misleading, and reasonably likely to deceive consumers. 19 As a result, consumers – including Plaintiff and putative Class members – have been 20 injured by their purchases of the Products.

7. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly
situated consumers who purchased the Products to halt the dissemination of this
false, misleading, and deceptive advertising message, correct the false and
misleading perception it has created in the minds of consumers, and obtain redress
for those who have purchased the Products. Based on violations of California's
consumer fraud laws (detailed below), Plaintiff seeks injunctive and restitutionary
relief for consumers who purchased the Products.

28 ||

1 2

3

4

5

6

23

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000 and is a class action in which there are in excess of 100 class members and some members of the Class are citizens of a state different from Defendant.

7 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant 8 is authorized to conduct and does conduct business in California, including this 9 Defendant marketed, promoted, distributed, and sold the Products in District. 10 California, and Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with this State and/or 11 sufficiently availed itself of the markets in this State through its promotion, sales, 12 distribution, and marketing within this State, including this District, to render the 13 exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible. In addition, the acts complained of occurred in California, as Plaintiff read and relied upon Defendant's false 14 15 representations and was injured by her purchase of the Products in California.

16 10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(a) and (b)
17 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred
18 while she resided in this judicial district. Venue is also proper under 18 U.S.C.
19 § 1965(a) because Defendant transacts substantial business in this District and the
20 acts complained of occurred in this judicial district, as Plaintiff read and relied upon
21 Defendant's false representations and was injured by her purchases of Defendant's
22 Products in this judicial district.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Stacie Somers resides in and is a citizen of San Diego,
California. On March 19, 2021, Plaintiff was exposed to, saw, and relied upon
Defendant's "mineral-based" representations by reading the Kids Mineral-Based
Sunscreen SPF 30+ (8.75 oz Bottle) label. She purchased the Product on
Amazon.com in reliance on Defendant's "mineral-based" representations and paid

-3-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT approximately \$19.98 for the Product. At all relevant times, Plaintiff believed that
the Product's sun protection was the result of mineral active ingredients. Plaintiff
continues to desire to purchase sunscreen products that provide sun protection
through mineral active ingredients, and she would purchase such a product
manufactured by Defendant. Indeed, Plaintiff regularly shops online, including at
Amazon.com, where Defendant's Products are sold, but will be unable to trust that
Defendant is telling the truth about the mineral nature of its Products.

8 12. Defendant Crown Laboratories is a Tennessee company whose
9 principal place of business is located at 207 Mockingbird Lane, Johnson City, TN
10 37604. Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, and sells the Products to
11 consumers nationwide, including in California.

12

13

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Chemical- vs. Mineral-Based Sunscreen Products

14 There are two types of sunscreen products: chemical-based and 13. 15 mineral-based. Chemical-based sunscreens contain various synthetic, chemical 16 active ingredients, such as Octisalate, Octocrylene, and Octinoxate, which protect the skin by absorbing ultraviolet ("UV") radiation and dissipating it as heat.² 17 18 Conversely, mineral-based sunscreens, also known as "physical" sunscreens, use 19 mineral active ingredients such as zinc oxide and/or titanium dioxide which cover 20 the skin and act as a physical barrier, deflecting and scattering UV radiation.

21

22

23

24

14. In recent years, consumers have become increasingly concerned about using chemical-based sunscreens because chemical active ingredients have been shown to have adverse health effects, including endocrine disruption, skin irritation, allergic reactions, and the production of dangerous free radicals. One reason for

25

26

^{27 &}lt;sup>2</sup> "Active" ingredients are those that produce the desired or intended result. In the case of sunscreen products, active ingredients are what protect the skin from harmful UV radiation.

these deleterious consequences is that chemical active ingredients in sunscreen can
 penetrate a person's skin and enter the bloodstream.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15. As The Environmental Working Group, a nonprofit organization that specializes in research and advocacy, reported:

Several common chemical filters appear to be endocrine disruptors. Many studies in animals and cells have shown that the chemicals affect reproduction and development by altering reproductive and thyroid hormones, although the evidence is mixed for some studies (Krause 2012). Animal studies report lower sperm counts and sperm abnormalities after oxybenzone and octinoxate exposure; delayed puberty after octinoxate exposure; and altered estrous cycling for female mice exposed to oxybenzone. Recently, Danish researchers reported that eight of 13 chemical sunscreen ingredients allowed in the U.S. affected calcium signaling of male sperm cells in laboratory tests, which the researchers suggest could reduce male fertility (Endocrine Society 2016).

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

https://www.ewg.org/sunscreen/report/the-trouble-with-sunscreen-chemicals/.

16. Consumers have also become increasingly concerned about the negative environmental effects of chemical-based sunscreens, as the chemicals not only harm humans, but other living organisms such as coral reefs and other marine life. In fact, state lawmakers in Hawaii recently banned two chemical sunscreen ingredients, Octinoxate (which is used in Defendant's Products) and Oxybenzone. In explaining its decision to ban those ingredients, the Hawaii legislature stated:

Oxybenzone and octinoxate cause mortality in developing coral; increase coral bleaching that indicates extreme stress, even at temperatures below 87.8 degrees Fahrenheit; and cause genetic damage to coral and other marine organisms. These chemicals have also been shown to degrade corals' resiliency and ability to adjust to climate change factors and inhibit recruitment of new corals. Furthermore, oxybenzone and octinoxate appear to increase the probability of endocrine disruption. Scientific studies show that both chemicals can induce feminization in adult male fish and increase reproductive diseases in marine invertebrate species (e.g., sea urchins), vertebrate species (e.g., fish such as wrasses, eels, and parrotfish), and mammals (in species similar to the Hawaiian monk seal). The chemicals also induce deformities in the embryonic development of fish, sea urchins, coral, and shrimp and induce neurological behavioral changes in fish that threaten the continuity of fish populations. In addition, species that are listed on the federal Endangered Species Act and inhabit Hawaii's waters, including sea turtle species, marine mammals, and migratory birds, may be exposed to oxybenzone and octinoxate contamination.

Consumers are justified in their concerns over the safety of chemical-

https://legiscan.com/HI/text/SB2571/2018.

- based sunscreens. In February 2019, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration issued a proposed rule that would update regulatory requirements for most sunscreen products in the United States.³ In fashioning the proposed rule, the FDA determined that for 12 of the 16 currently marketed active ingredients in sunscreens, including the Octisalate and Octinoxate present in Defendant's Products, there is insufficient safety data to make a positive GRASE [Generally Recognized As Safe and Effective] determination, which is a designation that the FDA gives a substance when qualified experts consider it generally safe for its intended use.⁴ And all 12 of these questionable ingredients are *chemical* active ingredients.⁵ The FDA further noted that "[a] number of these [chemical] active ingredients have also shown hormonal effects in mammalian assays (homosalate (Refs. 86 to 92)) and padimate O (64 FR 27666 at 27671) and in in vitro and in vivo assays (homosalate (Refs. 86 to 92), octinoxate (Refs. 93 and 94), and octocrylene (Ref. 95)."⁶
- 20 18. On the other hand, according to the FDA, the only two active
 21 ingredients for which there exists sufficient information to make a positive GRASE
 22 ingredient of the state of th
- 24 3 See <u>https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/02/26/2019-03019/sunscreen-drug-products-for-over-the-counter-human-use</u>. See also
 26 <u>https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-advances-newproposed-regulation-make-sure-sunscreens-are-safe-and-effective</u>.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

27 $||^4 Id.$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

17.

28 $||^{5}$ *Id.*

1 determination were zinc oxide and titanium dioxide, both of which are minerals
2 used in sunscreens that are of mineral composition, rather than chemical
3 composition.⁷

4 19. Consequently, because of concerns about chemical-based sunscreens,
5 consumers have increasingly sought out mineral-based sunscreens, driving their
6 prices to premium levels, because they reasonably believe that mineral-based
7 sunscreens do not contain any chemical active ingredients, such as Octisalate and
8 Octinoxate. As a result, sales of mineral-based sunscreens have surged in recent
9 years. This is particularly true for consumers seeking safe sunscreens intended for
10 use on babies and children.

20. Defendant has expressly recognized consumers' concerns about the
chemical active ingredients used in sunscreens, claiming on its Blue Lizard product
website that "We Love the Reef. Blue Lizard's mineral sunscreens are made without
Oxybenzone or Octinoxate – two chemicals thought to contribute to the destruction
of our coral reefs." <u>https://bluelizardsunscreen.com/</u>.

16

B. The Products' False, Misleading, and Deceptive Labeling

17 21. Defendant manufactures, markets, sells, and distributes the Products
18 under its Blue Lizard brand. To obtain an unfair competitive advantage in the
19 billion-dollar sunscreen market, Defendant markets the Products as "mineral-based"
20 even though they contain less desirable, harmful, chemical-based active ingredients.



- 03019/sunscreendrug-products-for-over-the-counter-human-use.
- **28** $||^7$ *Id*.

-7-
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT





1 23. As demonstrated by the Product labels, Defendant prominently and 2 uniformly labels the front display panels of the Products – which every consumer is exposed to - with the "mineral-based" representation.

3

4 Based on the "mineral-based" representations, reasonable consumers, 24. 5 including Plaintiff, believe the Products contain only mineral active ingredients. Put 6 differently, Plaintiff and other reasonable consumers do not believe the Products 7 contain any synthetic chemical active ingredients. This understanding is reasonable 8 because nearly all other sunscreens on the market that are advertised as mineral or 9 mineral-based contain only mineral active ingredients. This includes other products 10 sold by Defendant under the Blue Lizard brand, such as Sensitive Face Mineral 11 Sunscreen SPF 30+ (1.7 oz Tube), Kids Mineral Sunscreen SPF 50+ (Stick), and 12 Active Mineral Sunscreen Spray SPF 50+ (5 oz). Further, even if consumers were 13 required to read the ingredients panel on the back of the label (which they are not, 14 see Williams v. Gerber Products Co., 552 F.3d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 2008)), reasonable 15 consumers do not possess the knowledge of chemists and scientists such that they 16 cannot discern and the point of sale whether the listed ingredients are minerals or 17 chemicals. As it pertains to Defendant's Products, it is not common knowledge that 18 Octisalate and Octinoxate are chemicals and not minerals.

19 Leading to even greater consumer confusion, the Products not only 25. 20 contain the active chemical ingredients Octisalate or Octinoxate (banned in Hawaii), 21 but also contain the mineral active ingredients Titanium Dioxide and/or Zinc Oxide. 22 See Exhibit A.

23

24

26. Labeling the Products as "mineral-based" when they actually contain chemical active ingredients is wholly misleading and deceptive.

25 27. By misleadingly and deceptively labeling the Products as described herein, Defendant sought to take advantage of Plaintiff's and other reasonable 26 27 consumers' desire for pure mineral-based sunscreens and not chemical-based 28 sunscreens masquerading as "mineral-based" by the inclusion of some mineral

active ingredients along with the chemical active ingredients. True mineral-based
 sunscreens generally contain a significantly higher percentage of mineral active
 ingredients—often 20-24%—than the Products, and they do not contain any
 chemical active ingredients.

5 28. Defendant has profited from its "mineral-based" misrepresentations at 6 the expense of unwitting consumers-many of whom seek to protect their babies 7 and children—and Defendant's lawfully acting competitors, over whom Defendant 8 has an unfair competitive advantage. Furthermore, the cost of chemical active 9 ingredients is less than the cost of mineral active ingredients. Therefore, by using 10 cheaper chemical active ingredients in lieu of mineral active ingredients, on 11 information and belief, Defendant reduced its manufacturing costs and increased its 12 profits.

13

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly
situated consumers pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), 23(b)(2), and
23(b)(3) and seeks certification of the following Class:

17

California-Only Class Action

- All California consumers who, within the applicable statute of limitations period until the date notice is disseminated, purchased the Products.
- 20 Excluded from the Class are Defendant and its officers, directors, and employees, and those who purchased the Products for the purpose of resale.

30. Numerosity. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder
of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed
Class contain thousands of purchasers of the Product who have been damaged by
Defendant's conduct as alleged herein. The precise number of Class members is

26 unknown to Plaintiff.

27 31. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and
28 Fact. This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate

over any questions affecting individual Class members. These common legal and
 factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

3

4

5

6

7

a. Whether Defendant's mineral-based representations are false, misleading, or objectively reasonably likely to deceive;

- b. Whether the alleged conduct constitutes violations of the laws asserted;
- c. Whether Defendant engaged in misleading and/or deceptive advertising; and
- 8 d. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to appropriate
 9 remedies, including restitution, corrective advertising, and injunctive
 10 relief.

32. Typicality. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class members' claims
because, *inter alia*, all Class members were injured through the uniform misconduct
described above. Plaintiff is also advancing the same claims and legal theories on
behalf of herself and all Class members.

33. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately
protect the interests of Class members. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in
complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this
action vigorously. Plaintiff has no adverse or antagonistic interests to those of the
Class.

20 Superiority. A class action is superior to all other available means for 34. the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other 21 financial detriment suffered by individual Class members is relatively small 22 23 compared to the burden and expense that would be entailed by individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would thus be virtually impossible for 24 members of the Class, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the 25 26 wrongs done to them. Furthermore, even if Class members could afford such 27 individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would 28 create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same

set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to
all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast,
the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a
single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single
court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances
here.

7 8

9

10

35. Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive and equitable relief on behalf of the entire Class, on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, to enjoin and prevent Defendant from engaging in the acts described and requiring Defendant to provide full restitution to Plaintiff and Class members.

11 36. Unless a Class is certified, Defendant will retain monies received as a
12 result of its misconduct that were taken from Plaintiff and Class members.

13 37. Unless an injunction is issued, Defendant will continue to commit the
14 violations alleged, and the members of the Class and the general public will
15 continue to be deceived.

- 16
- 17

18

COUNT I

Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.

38. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, as if fully set forth herein.

19 20

21

22

23

39. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

40. As alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and lost money or property at the time of purchase as a result of Defendant's conduct because she purchased the Products in reliance on Defendant's mineral-based representations, but purchased Products that actually contained chemical active ingredients.

24 25

41. The Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq*. ("UCL") prohibits any "unlawful," "fraudulent," or "unfair" business act or practice and any false or misleading advertising.

27 28

26

-13-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 42. <u>Unlawful Business Practices:</u> In the course of conducting business,
 Defendant committed "unlawful" business practices in violation of the UCL by,
 making the mineral-based representations (which also constitute advertising within
 the meaning of § 17200), and violating California Civil Code §§ 1572, 1573, 1709,
 and 1711; the California Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code §§ 1750, *et seq.*; California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* and 17500, *et seq.*,
 and the common law.

8 43. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other violations of law, which
9 constitute other unlawful business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and
10 continues to this date.

11 Unfair Business Practices: In the course of conducting business, 44. 12 Defendant committed "unfair" business acts or practices by, making the mineral-13 based representations (which also constitute advertising within the meaning of § 17200). There is no societal benefit from false advertising, only harm. While 14 15 Plaintiff and the public at large were and continue to be harmed, Defendant has been 16 unjustly enriched by its false, misleading, and/or deceptive representations as it 17 unfairly enticed Plaintiff and Class members to purchase the Products. Because the 18 utility of Defendant's conduct (zero) is outweighed by the gravity of harm to 19 Plaintiff, consumers, and the competitive market, Defendant's conduct is "unfair" 20 having offended an established public policy.

21 45. Defendant also engaged in immoral, unethical, oppressive, and
22 unscrupulous activities that are substantially injurious to the public at large.

23 46. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's
24 legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

47. <u>Fraudulent Business Practices:</u> In the course of conducting business,
Defendant committed "fraudulent business act[s] or practices" and deceptive or
misleading advertising by making the mineral-based representations (which also
constitute advertising within the meaning of § 17200).

48. Defendant's actions, claims, and misleading statements, as more fully
 set forth above, are misleading and/or likely to deceive the consuming public within
 the meaning of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq*.

4

5

7

49. Plaintiff relied on Defendant's mineral-based representations and was in fact injured as a result of those false, misleading, and deceptive representations.

6

50. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendant will continue to engage in the above described conduct. Accordingly, injunctive relief is appropriate.

8 51. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, and the
9 general public, seek declaratory relief and an injunction prohibiting Defendant from
10 continuing such practices, corrective advertising, restitution of all money obtained
11 from Plaintiff and the Class collected as a result of unfair competition, and all other
12 relief this Court deems appropriate, consistent with Business & Professions Code §
13 17203.

14

15

18

COUNT II Violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act – Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 *et seq*.

16 52. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained
17 in the paragraphs 1 through 37 above as if fully set forth herein.

53. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

19 54. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Consumers Legal
20 Remedies Act, California Civil Code §§ 1750, *et seq.* (the "CLRA").

21 55. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by California Civil Code § 1761(d).
22 The Products are "goods" within the meaning of the CLRA.

56. Defendant violated and continues to violate the CLRA by engaging in
the following practices proscribed by California Civil Code § 1770(a) in
transactions with Plaintiff and the Class which were intended to result in, and did
result in, the sale of the Products:

27 28 (5) Representing that [the Products have]... characteristics,... uses[and] benefits... which [they do] not have....

-15-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1

2

(7)

* *

Representing that [the Products are] of a particular standard, quality,

3 or grade ... if [they are] of another. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 1782(d), Plaintiff and the Class 4 57. 5 seek a Court Order declaring Defendant to be in violation of the CLRA, enjoining 6 the above-described wrongful acts and practices of Defendant, and ordering 7 restitution and disgorgement. Pursuant to § 1782 of the CLRA, Plaintiff notified Defendant in writing 8 74. 9 by certified mail of the particular violations of § 1770 of the CLRA and demanded 10 that Defendant rectify the problems associated with the actions detailed above and 11 give notice to all affected consumers of Defendant's intent to so act. A copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." 12 13 75. If Defendant fails to rectify or agree to rectify the problems associated with the actions detailed above and give notice to all affected consumers within 30 14 days of the date of written notice pursuant to § 1782 of the CLRA, Plaintiff will 15 16 amend this Complaint to add claims for actual, punitive, and statutory damages as 17 appropriate. 18 Pursuant to § 1780 (d) of the CLRA, attached hereto as Exhibit "C" is 76. 19 the affidavit showing that this action has been commenced in the proper forum. 20 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 21 Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims for which a jury is available. 22 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class members, demand judgment against Defendant and requests the entry of: 24 25 An order certifying the Class as requested herein; a. An order declaring that the conduct complained of herein 26 b. 27 violates the laws asserted; 28

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	3:21-cv-00868-BAS-DEB Dc	ocument 1 Filed 05/05/21 PageID.18 Page 18 of 18		
1		c. An order requiring Defendant to undertake corrective action, and		
2		g Defendant's conduct;		
3	d. An orde	r awarding restitution and disgorgement of Defendant's		
4	revenues	s to Plaintiff and the proposed Class members;		
5	e. An order	r awarding attorneys' fees and costs; and		
6	f. An orde	r providing such other and further relief as this Court		
7	may dee	m just, equitable, or proper.		
8				
9	Dated: May 5, 2021	BONNETT, FAIRBOURN, FRIEDMAN		
10		& BALINT, P.C.		
11		s/Patricia N. Syverson		
12		Patricia N. Syverson (CA SBN 203111)		
13		600 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101		
14		psyverson@bffb.com		
15		Telephone: (619) 798-4593		
16		Elaine A. Ryan (To Be Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
		Carrie A. Laliberte (To Be Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
17	BONNETT, FAIRBOURN, FRIEDMAN & BALINT, P.C.			
18		2325 E. Camelback Rd. Suite 300		
19		Phoenix, AZ 85016		
20		eryan@bffb.com		
21	claliberte@bffb.com Telephone: (602) 274-1100			
22				
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
20				
	-17- CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT			

JS 44 (Rev. 10/2) Gase 3:21-cv-00868-BAS-DE CIPPEUCOVER STICK 05/05/21 PageID.19 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil d	t. This form, approved by the	ne Judicial Conference of th	upplement the filing and service the United States in September 1 (HIS FORM.)	e of pleadings or other papers a 974, is required for the use of	as required by law, except as the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	V		DEFENDANTS			
STACIE SOMER similarly situated	RS, on behalf of hers 1	self and all others	CROWN LABO	CROWN LABORATORIES, a Tennessee company		
(b) County of Residence of		<u> </u>	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant		
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O NDEMNATION CASES, USE TI OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, .	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
600 W. Broadwa	urn, Friedman & Bali ay, Suite 900, San D		_	'21 CV868	BAS DEB	
619-798-4593 II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Diaco an "V" in	One Ben Only	CITIZENSHIP OF PI	DINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
	_	One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	G	and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	IF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and H of Business In A	· 🗆 🗀	
	-		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country		6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of S BANKRUPTCY	Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/		28 050 157	3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		830 Patent	450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	X 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/	
190 Franchise	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
DEAL DOODEDTV	Medical Malpractice	PRISONER PETITIONS	Leave Act	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitration	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General		871 IRS—Third Party	899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	465 Other Immigration		Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights	Actions		State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	Confinement				
		Remanded from	Reinstated or 5 Transfe	rred from 🛛 6 Multidistri	ict 🖂 8 Multidistrict	
Proceeding Sta	te Court .	Appellate Court	Reopened Another	r District Litigation		
	28 USC & 1332	tute under which you are fi	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	JN Brief description of ca		idant's sale of Defendant's Blue I	Lizard Sunscreen Products		
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:	X Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD			
May 5, 2021		s/Patricia N. Syverson				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

Case 3:21-cv-00868-BAS-DEB Document 1-2 Filed 05/05/21 PageID.20 Page 1 of 18

EXHIBIT A

















Drug Facts	Made in USA			
Active Ingredients	Purpose			
Octinoxate 5.5% Zinc Oxide 8.0%	Sunscreen Sunscreen			
Uses • Helps prevent sunburn and photodamage caused by UVA/UVB exposure • Higher SPF gives more sunburn protection • If used as directed with other sun protection measures (see <i>Directions</i>), decreases the risk of skin cancer and early skin aging caused by the sun				
 Warnings When using this product • Keep out of eyes. Rinse with w Stop use and ask a doctor if • Rash or irritation occurs Do not use • On damaged or broken skin • If allergic to a If swallowed, get medical help or contact a Poison Control 	ny ingredient			
Directions • Shake well prior to use • Apply liberally to dry skin 15 minutes before sun exposure • For topical use only • Keep out of reach of children • Children under 6 months: ask a doctor • <i>Sun Protection Measures:</i> Spending time in the sun increases your risk of skin cancer and early skin aging. To decrease this risk, regularly use a sunscreen with a Broad Spectrum SPF value of 15 or higher and other sun protection measures including: • Limit time in the sun, especially from 10 a.m. – 2 p.m. • Wear long-sleeved shirts, pants, hats, and sunglasses. Reapply to dry skin: • At least every 2 hours • Use a water resistant sunscreen if swimming or sweating				
Other Information • Protect the product in this conta heat and direct sun • May stain some fabrics	iner from excessive			
Inactive Ingredients: Beeswax, C12-15 Alkyl Benzoate, C13-14 Isoparaffin, Caffeine, Camellia sinesis Leaf (Green Tea) Extract, Caprylyl Glycol, Chlorphenesin, Cyclomethicone, Hyaluronic Acid, Laureth-7, Lauryl PEG/PPG 18/18 Methicone, Phenoxyethanol, Polyacrylamide, Purified Water, and Tocopheryl Acetate (Vitamin E)	3 03162 05030 ¹			
Questions? Visit www.bluelizard.net or call 800.877. Crown Laboratories, Inc., Johnson City, TN 37604 P613	8869 38.09			



Mineral-Based Sunscreen Combining mineral and chemical UV protectors for water-resistant performance you can trust. Drug Facts Made in USA Active Ingredents Active Ingredents Database 538% Success Succ Warnings
- Illner using the product + Keep out of eyes. Rinse with water is the smoot + Stop use and exist advice fir + Rash or initiation occurs + Din not use + Din dranged or broken kin. - I faileript to any impedient + I stationed, get medical help or contact a Posion Control Center ar contrat a resourcement Center Data 15 modes tables ear a resource in a set - Scory Iberaily to dry Data 15 modes tables sun apposent in For bipolar and only - Along out of tables of children - white source in months are a doctor - San Protection IBeraily with a group. To opcrease for ratio, registry, use sunscription with a Brack Spectrum SFF used of 15 m Arguet and the sup protection measures including. - Limit there are sun-spectra synthmy SFF used in SFF set of the sup protection measures including. - Limit there are sunscription to any set of the set of the support of the sup protection measures including. - Limit there are supported to my SFF set of the support of the set of the support and my set of the set of the set of the support of the set of the SFF set of the set of th Other information - Protect the product in this container from excessive heat and cirect sun - May stain some fabrics Ten-sussile had and driet sur - May stars some faces Hactive Ingredients: Aurea, Kunis, Kunis, Maranta, Beense, B., Bickyel, C. Of - Mark (Biocas), Capito Capit Tigenetis, Capito Tigenetis, Capito Capito Capit Tigenetis, Capito Capito Destinana, Duada (ED), Etherhoppenetis, Capito Homan, Duada (ED), Etherhoppenetis, Destinana, L. Agrine, Metry Beaman, L. Agrine, Metry Romann, J. Agrine, Metry Romann, L. Agrine, Metry Romann, L. Agrine, Metry Romann, L. Agrine, Metry Romann, Santo Capito, Participation, Santo Capito, Tapperey Katas, Henry Synkins, He ill a Questions? Vist 'n www.blueltandsunscreen.com or cal 800.877.8869 • · · · · · P15803.00 Orown Laboratories, Inc. Johnson-Dity, IN 37604











