

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
 COUNTY OF NASSAU**

_____	X	
Wendy Gold, individually and on behalf of	:	
all others similarly situated,	:	
	:	Case No.
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
-against-	:	
	:	
Eva Naturals Inc.,	:	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
_____	X	

Plaintiff, Wendy Gold (hereinafter “Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by her attorneys, alleges the following upon information and belief, except for those allegations pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action seeks to remedy the deceptive and misleading business practices of Eva Naturals Inc. (hereinafter “Defendant”) with respect to the marketing and sales of Defendant Eva Naturals Inc.’s Eva Naturals products throughout the State of New York and throughout the country. The Eva Naturals’ products include the following products (hereinafter the “Products”):

- Eva Naturals Skin Firming Serum;
- Eva Naturals Hand Gel Waterless Handwash;
- Eva Naturals Honey Exfoliating Body Scrub;
- Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Skin Perfecting Serum;
- Eva Naturals Skin Clearing Spot Treatment & Mask with Bentonite Clay & Essential Oils;

- Eva Naturals Hyaluronic Acid Serum;
- Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Neck Cream;
- Eva Naturals All In One Skin Renewing Facial Toner;
- Eva Naturals Exfoliating Facial Scrub;
- Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Hyaluronic Acid Cream Moisturizer;
- Eva Naturals Stop The Clock Anti-Aging Cream;
- Eva Naturals Skin Clearing Vitamin C+ Toner;
- Eva Naturals Anti-Aging Serum 10 Actives;
- Eva Naturals Anti Aging Glycolic Acid Facial Cleanser;
- Eva Naturals Skin Clearing Serum Vitamin C+;
- Eva Naturals Vanilla Bean Night Cream;
- Eva Naturals Skin Lightening Cream;
- Eva Naturals Anti Wrinkle & Fine Lines Retinol 2.5% Cream Moisturizer;
- Eva Naturals Collagen Simulating Skin Repairing Peptide Complex Serum;
- Eva Naturals Retore Youthful Skin Niacinamide Vitamin B3 Serum;
- Eva Naturals Licorice Extract Skin Whitening Serum;
- Eva Naturals Ultimate Skin Hydrating Hyaluronic Acid Serum;
- Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Eye Gel;
- Eva Naturals Maximum Potency Anti-Aging Serum Vitamin C 20%;
- Eva Naturals Anti-Wrinkle Skin Firming Serum Retinol 2.5%.

2. Defendant manufactures, sells, and distributes the Products using a marketing and advertising campaign centered around claims that appeal to health-conscious consumers, i.e., that

its Products are “Natural;” however, Defendant's advertising and marketing campaign is false, deceptive, and misleading because the Products contain non-natural, synthetic ingredients.

3. Plaintiff and those similarly situated (“Class Members”) relied on Defendant’s misrepresentations that the Products are “Natural” when purchasing the Products. Plaintiff and Class Members paid a premium for the Products over and above comparable products that did not purport to be “Natural.” Given that Plaintiff and Class Members paid a premium for the Products based on Defendant’s misrepresentations that they are “Natural,” Plaintiff and Class Members suffered an injury in the amount of the premium paid.

4. Defendant’s conduct violated and continues to violate, *inter alia*, New York General Business Law §§ 349 and 350. Defendant breached and continues to breach its warranties regarding the Products. Defendant has been and continues to be unjustly enriched. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant on behalf of herself and Class Members who purchased the Products during the applicable statute of limitations period (the “Class Period”).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

5. Consumers have become increasingly concerned about the effects of synthetic and chemical ingredients in food, cleaning products, bath and beauty products, and everyday household products. Companies such as the Defendant have capitalized on consumers’ desire for purportedly “natural products.” Indeed, consumers are willing to pay, and have paid, a premium for products branded “natural” over products that contain synthetic ingredients. In 2015, sales of natural products grew 9.5% to \$180 billion.¹ Reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff and

¹ *Natural Products Industry Sales up 9.5% to \$180bn Says NBJ*, FOOD NAVIGATOR, [http://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Markets/EXPO-WEST-trendspotting-organics-natural-claims/\(page\)/6](http://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Markets/EXPO-WEST-trendspotting-organics-natural-claims/(page)/6); *see also* Shoshanna Delventhal, *Study Shows Surge in Demand for “Natural” Products*, INVESTOPEDIA (February 22, 2017), <http://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/022217/study-shows-surge-demand-natural-products.asp> (Study by Kline Research indicated that in 2016, the personal care market reached 9% growth in the U.S. and 8% in the U.K. The trend-driven natural and organic personal care industry is on track to be worth \$25.1 million by 2025); *Natural*

Class Members, value natural products for important reasons, including the belief that they are safer and healthier than alternative products that are not represented as natural.

6. Despite the Products containing a number of synthetic ingredients, Defendant markets the Products as being “Natural.” The Products’ labeling are depicted below:

living: The next frontier for growth? [NEXT Forecast 2017], NEW HOPE NETWORK (December 20, 2016), <http://www.newhope.com/beauty-and-lifestyle/natural-living-next-frontier-growth-next-forecast-2017>.

Eva Naturals Skin Firming Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Glyceryl Stearate
- Panthenol (Vitamin B5)
- Cetyl Alcohol
- Hydroxyethyl Ethylcellulose
- Polysorbate 20
- Potassium Sorbate
- Sodium Benzoate
- Carbomer
- Phenoxethanol
- Butylene Glycol
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Hand Gel Waterless Handwash



Synthetic Ingredients:

Phenoxyethanol
Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Honey Exfoliating Body Scrub



Synthetic Ingredients:

Phenoxyethanol
Ethyhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Skin Perfecting Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Xanthan Gum
- Potassium Sorbate
- Sodium Benzoate

Eva Naturals Skin Clearing Spot Treatment & Mask with Bentonite Clay & Essential Oils



Synthetic Ingredients:

Citric Acid
Phenoxyethanol
Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Hyaluronic Acid Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Carbomer
- Xanthan Gum
- DMDM Hydantoin
- Potassium Sorbate
- Tetrasodium EDTA
- Thiamine
- Riboflavin
- Tocopherol

Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Neck Cream



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Glyceryl Stearate)
- Lactic Acid
- Panthenol
- Tocopheryl Acetate
- Tocopherol
- Ascorbic Acid
- Potassium Sorbate
- Cetearyl Alcohol
- Stearyl Alcohol
- Xanthan Gum
- Sodium Carbomer
- Butylene Glycol
- Phenoxyethanol
- Ethyhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals All In One Skin Renewing Facial Toner



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Potassium Sorbate
- Sodium Benzoate
- Hydroxethyl Ethylcellulose
- Maltodextrin
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Exfoliating Facial Scrub



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Carbomer
- Vegetable Glycerin
- Ethylhexylglycerin
- Phenoxyethanol

Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Hyaluronic Acid Cream Moisturizer



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Stearic Acid
- DMDM Hydantoin
- Potassium Sorbate
- Tetrasodium EDTA
- Thiamine
- Riboflavin
- Tocopherol

Eva Naturals Stop The Clock Anti-Aging Cream



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Cetyl Alcohol
- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Glyceryl Stearate
- Lactic Acid
- Cetearyl Alcohol
- Stearyl Alcohol
- Sodium Hydroxide
- Tocopheryl Acetate
- Xanthan Gum
- Potassium Sorbate
- Sodium Benzoate
- Ascorbic Acid
- Butylene Glycol

Eva Naturals Skin Clearing Vitamin C+ Toner



Synthetic Ingredients:

Polysorbate 20
Phenoxyethanol
Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Anti-Aging Serum 10 Actives



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Glyceryl Stearate
- Cetyl Alcohol
- Sodium Carbomer
- Sodium Hydroxide
- Phenoxyethanol
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Anti Aging Glycolic Acid Facial Cleanser



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Decyl Glucoside
- Cocamidopropyl Betaine
- Tocopheryl Acetate
- Phenoxyethanol
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Skin Clearing Serum Vitamin C+



Synthetic Ingredients:

Carbomer
Phenoxyethanol
Ethyl Hexyl Glycerin

Eva Naturals Vanilla Bean Night Cream



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Stearic Acid
- DMDM Hydantoin
- Potassium Sorbate
- Tetrasodium EDTA
- Thiamine
- Riboflavin
- Tocopherol

Eva Naturals Skin Lightening Cream



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Stearic Acid
- DMDM Hydantoin
- Potassium Sorbate
- Thiamine
- Riboflavin
- Tocopherol
- Tetrasodium EDTA

Eva Naturals Anti Wrinkle & Fine Lines Retinol 2.5% Cream Moisturizer



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glyceryl Stearate SE
- Cetyl Alcohol
- Stearic Acid
- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Panthenol
- Tocopherol Acetate
- Xanthan Gum
- Phenoxyethanol
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Collagen Stimulating Skin Repairing Peptide Complex Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Hydroxyethyl Ethylcellulose
- Potassium Sorbate
- Sodium Benzoate
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Retore Youthful Skin Niacinamide Vitamin B3 Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin
- Phenoxyethanol
- Tocopherol
- Xanthan Gum
- Tetrasodium EDTA
- Sodium Bicarbonate
- Citric Acid

Eva Naturals Licorice Extract Skin Whitening Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Carbomer
- Xanthan Gum
- DMDM Hydantoin
- Potassium Sorbate
- Tetrasodium EDTA
- Thiamine
- Riboflavin
- Tocopherol

Eva Naturals Ultimate Skin Hydrating Hyaluronic Acid Serum



Synthetic Ingredients:

Carbomer
Xanthan Gum
DMDM Hydantoin
Potassium Sorbate
Tetrasodium EDTA
Thiamine
Riboflavin
Tocopherol

Eva Naturals Youth Restoring Eye Gel



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Tocopheryl Acetate
- Hydroxyethyl Ethylcellulose
- Sodium Benzoate
- Potassium Sorbate
- Ethylhexylglycerin

Eva Naturals Maximum Potency Anti-Aging Serum Vitamin C 20%



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Carbomer
- d-Alpha Tocopheryl Acetate
- Glycerin
- Phenoxyethanol
- Ethyl Hexyl Glycerin

Eva Naturals Anti-Wrinkle Skin Firming Serum Retinol 2.5%



Synthetic Ingredients:

- Glycerin (Kosher, Vegetable)
- Tocopheryl Acetate
- Hydroxyethyl Ethylcellulose
- Sodium Benzoate
- Potassium Sorbate
- Ethylhexylglycerin

7. Defendant's representations that the Products are "Natural" are false, misleading, and deceptive because the Products contain multiple ingredients that are, as explained below, synthetic.

- a. **Citric Acid** is (2-hydroxy-propane-1, 2,3-tricarboxylic acid) is a synthetic substance. While the chemical's name has the word "citric" in it, citric acid is no longer extracted from the citrus fruit but industrially manufactured by fermenting certain genetically mutant strains of the black mold fungus, *Aspergillus niger*.
- b. **Stearic Acid (Glyceryl Stearate)** is a mixture of variable proportions of glyceryl monostearate, glyceryl monopalmitate, and glyceryl esters of fatty acids present in commercial stearic acid. It is recognized by federal regulations as synthetic. *See* 7 C.F.R. § 205.605(b).
- c. **Tocopherol (Tocopheryl Acetate)** is a synthetic, inert ingredient used pre and post-harvest as an ingredient in pesticide formulations applied to growing crops or to raw agricultural commodities after harvest. *See* 40 C.F.R. §180.910.
- d. **Cetearyl Alcohol/Stearyl Alcohol/Cetyl Alcohol** is a synthetic flavoring substance and adjuvant. *See* 21 C.F.R. §172.515.
- e. **Panthenol** is a synthetic compound, produced by adding propanolamine to optically active alpha, gamma-dihydroxy-beta,beta-dimethylbutyrolacton, such as by combining 3-amino-1-propanolamine with the lactone of 2,4-dihydroxy-3,3-dimethyl butyric acid or the panthoheinc lactone of 2,4-dihydroxy-3,3-dimethyl butyric acid.
- f. **Phenoxyethanol** is toxic by definition under federal law, based on animal testing

demonstrating that the substance is lethal even in very small doses. Even short exposure could cause serious temporary or residual injury. It is toxic to the kidneys, the nervous system, and the liver. It is extremely hazardous in case of eye contact and very hazardous in case of skin contact (defatting the skin and adversely affecting the central nervous system and peripheral nervous system, causing headaches, tremors, and central nervous system depression). It is also very hazardous in case of ingestion or inhalation. It degrades into substances that are even more toxic. It is a category 2 germ cell mutagen, meaning that it is suspected of mutating human cells in a way that can be transmitted to children conceived after exposure. Phenoxyethanol is an ethylene glycol ether, which is known to cause wasting of the testicles, reproductive changes, infertility, and changes to kidney function. Phenoxyethanol is also a category 2 carcinogen, meaning that it is suspected to induce cancer or increase its incidence.

- g. Cocamidopropyl Betaine** is a synthetic surfactant.²
- h. Potassium Sorbate** is a synthetic preservative.³ See 21 C.F.R. § 582.3640. It is created by using potassium hydroxide (KOH) to neutralize sorbic acid (C₆H₈O₂). The resulting potassium sorbate may be crystallized from aqueous ethanol. Studies have shown Potassium Sorbate to have genotoxic effects on humans and other mammals.⁴ It causes chromosomal aberrations in cells, which can trigger the development of cancer.⁵

²<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/CombinationProducts/JurisdictionalInformation/RFDJurisdictionalDecisions/RedactedDecisionLetters/UCM113805.pdf>

³ <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/WarningLetters/2011/ucm274535.htm>.

⁴ Sevcn Mamur et al., *Does Potassium Sorbate Induce Genotoxic or Mutagenic Effects in Lymphocytes?*, TOXICOLOGY IN VITRO 790, 793 (2010).

⁵ *Id.*

- i. **Lactic Acid** is a federally-listed synthetic substance that is added to foods as a synthetic flavorant, acidity regulator, and preservative. 21 C.F.R. § 172.515(b); *see also Food Ingredients and Colors, E270, Current EU Approved Additives and their E Numbers*, http://www.food.gov.uk/policy-advice/additivesbranch/enumberlist#anchor_3. Although lactic acid exists naturally in some foods, it must be synthetically formulated for use as a food additive -- as is the case with the Products -- through commercial fermentation of carbohydrates or by using acetaldehyde and hydrogen cyanide to form lactronitrile, which is then chemically degraded via hydrolysis for form lactic acid. 21 C.F.R. § 184.1061(a).
- j. **Decyl Glucoside** is a synthetic ingredient obtained by the condensation of decyl alcohol and glucose.⁶
- k. **Sodium Hydroxide** is also known as sodium hydrate, soda lye, caustic soda, white caustic, and lye. It is prepared commercially by the electrolysis of sodium chloride solution and also by reacting calcium hydroxide with sodium carbonate. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 184.1763.
- l. **Carbomer** is a synthetic acrylic polymer.
- m. **Butylene Glycol** is a synthetic prepared by the aldol condensation of acetaldehyde followed by catalytic hydrogenation. *See* 21 C.F.R. §172.712.
- n. **Polysorbate-20** is a synthetic emulsifier and/or surface-active agent. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 178.3400.

⁶ <http://www.newdirections.com.au/articles/images/Decyl-Glucoside-and-Other-Alkyl-Glucosides-as-Used-in-Cosmetics.pdf>

- o. Xanthan Gum** is a polysaccharide derived from the fermentation of sugars by *anthomonas campeseri* bacterium and purification using isopropyl alcohol. It is listed as a synthetic ingredient by federal regulation and is typically used as a thickening or stabilizing agent in beverages and as emulsifiers in salad dressings. *See* 7 C.F.R. § 205.605(b). A 2012 article in the *Journal of Pediatrics* noted that the U.S. Food & Drug Administration issued warnings that products containing xanthan gum have been linked to illness and death in infants.⁷
- p. Hydroxyethylcellulose** is a water insoluble film which consists of the base sheet manufactured by the ethoxylation of cellulose under controlled conditions. It is a synthetic. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 177.1400.
- q. Sodium benzoate** is a synthetic preservative.⁸ Sodium benzoate is produced by the neutralization of benzoic acid with sodium hydroxide, or by adding benzoic acid to a hot concentrated solution of sodium carbonate until effervescence ceases. The solution is then evaporated, cooled and allowed to crystalize or evaporate to dryness, and then granulated. It does not occur naturally.⁹ Sodium benzoate has been shown to cause DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations.¹⁰ When sodium benzoate combines with ascorbic acid (an ingredient common in many food products) the two substances can react to produce benzene, which is a highly toxic carcinogen.

⁷ Jennifer Beal, MPH et al., *Late Onset Necrotizing Enterocolitis in Infants Following Use of a Xanthan Gum-Containing Thickening Agent*, 161 THE JOURNAL OF PEDIATRICS 2, 354 (2012).

⁸ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/705989/SODIUM_BENZOATE/;
<http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/WarningLetters/2011/ucm274535.htm>.

⁹ 21 C.F.R. § 184.1733.

¹⁰ N. Zengin et al., *The Evaluation of the Genotoxicity of Two Food Preservatives: Sodium Benzoate and Potassium Benzoate*, FOOD AND CHEMICAL TOXICOLOGY 763, 764-68 (2011).

- r. **DMDM Hydantoin** is a synthetic antimicrobial formaldehyde releaser preservative.¹¹
- s. **Tetrasodium EDTA** is a synthetic additive. *See* 21 C.F.R. §173.310. This ingredient is produced synthetically for industrial purposes in the laboratory. It is a preservative made from the known carcinogen formaldehyde and sodium cyanide. It is a penetration enhancer, meaning it breaks down the skin's protective barrier, going directly into the bloodstream.
- t. **Riboflavin** (C₁₇H₂₀N₄O₆, CAS Reg. No. 83885) occurs as yellow to orange yellow needles that are crystallized from 2N acetic acid, alcohol, water, or pyridine. It may be prepared by chemical synthesis, biosynthetically by the organism *Eremothecium ashbyii*, or isolated from natural sources. 21CFR § 184.1695. Further, as set forth in 21 CFR § 73.450, riboflavin is a color additive. Riboflavin is synthetic.
- u. **Thiamine Mononitrate** (C₁₂H₁₇N₅O₄S, CAS Reg. No. 532-43-4) is the mononitrate salt of thiamine. It occurs as white crystals or a white crystalline powder and is prepared from thiamine hydrochloride by dissolving the hydrochloride salt in alkaline solution followed by precipitation of the nitrate half-salt with a stoichiometric amount of nitric acid.” (21 C.F.R. § 184.1878) Thiamine mononitrate is synthetic, and is chemically distinct from thiamine. (47 Fed. Reg. 47438; 21 C.F.R. § 184.1878).
- The amount of nitrates added to processed and preserved foods over the past several decades has exponentially increased. The nitrates present in thiamine

¹¹[https://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702196/DMDM_HYDANTOIN_\(FORMALDEHYDE_RELEASER\)/#.WbmXWSiGOUk](https://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702196/DMDM_HYDANTOIN_(FORMALDEHYDE_RELEASER)/#.WbmXWSiGOUk)

mononitrate can cause health problems. “Exposure to higher levels of nitrates or nitrites has been associated with increased incidence of cancer in adults, and possible increased incidence of brain tumors, leukemia, and nasopharyngeal (nose and throat) tumors in children[.]” (**internal citations omitted**) Some studies have linked nitrate exposure in children to increased incidence of childhood diabetes, recurrent diarrhea and recurrent respiratory tract infections. (*Id.* at 3) Other reported effects of chronic exposure reported in adults include frequent urination and spleen hemorrhaging. (*Id.* at 3) “Health effects that were significantly associated with nitrate or nitrite exposure during pregnancy include increased incidence of intrauterine growth retardation, cardiac defects, central nervous system defects, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), and miscarriage.” (*Id.* at 4 (**internal citations omitted**)) Research has also connected the increased use of nitrates and nitrites in western societies with increased rates of cognitive impairment, Alzheimer’s disease, and brain insulin resistance.

- v. **Ascorbic Acid** is a chemical preservative and is synthetic. *See* 21 C.F.R. § 182.3013.
- w. **Maltodextrin** is recognized as a synthetic by federal regulations. Maltodextrin is a saccharide polymer that is prepared as a white powder or concentrated solution by partial hydrolysis of corn starch, potato starch, or rice starch using acids and enzymes. (72 Fed. Reg. 62149, 62166 (proposed Nov. 2, 2007); 21 C.F.R. § 184.1444). Maltodextrin is primarily used as a carrier or bulking agent. It is a synthetic factory-produced texturizer that is created by complex processing that does not occur in nature. To produce maltodextrin, acids and/or enzymes are

applied in sequence to a starch to produce partial hydrolysis (saccharification).

The acids or enzymes convert or depolymerize starch to glucose or maltose molecules. Once maltose is high enough for maltodextrin, the acids or enzymes are neutralized, removed, or deactivated. (57 Fed. Reg. 23989 (proposed June 5, 1992)). See also *Maltodextrins*, GMO COMPASS, Dec. 10, 2008, available at <http://www.gmo-compass.org/eng/database/ingredients/148.maltodextrins.html>

- x. **Sodium Bicarbonate** is a synthetic that is prepared by treating a sodium carbonate or a sodium carbonate and sodium bicarbonate solution with carbon dioxide. As carbon dioxide is absorbed, a suspension of sodium bicarbonate forms. The slurry is filtered, forming a cake which is washed and dried. See 21 C.F.R. §184.1736.
- y. **Ethylhexylglycerin** is a synthetic derived, in part, from vegetable glycerin. (See Below).
- z. **Glycerin** is a factory-produced texturizer that is created by complex processing. It is recognized by federal regulations as synthetic. See 7 C.F.R. § 205.605(b). It is commonly used as a filler and thickening agent. It requires multiple processing steps in an industrial environment to create Glycerin. Therefore, it cannot be described as “natural.” A technical evaluation report compiled by the USDA AMS Agricultural Analytics Division for the USDA National Organic Program explains that Glycerin is “produced by a hydrolysis of fats and oils” and is listed in the USDA Organic Program’s National List as a “synthetic nonagricultural (nonorganic) substance.” The same report lists several methods of producing

Glycerin, each of which involve numerous steps that include the use of high temperatures, pressure, and purification to get an end product.

Processes for producing glycerin by hydrolysis of fats and oils ¹²	
Lemmens Fryer's Process	Oil or fat is subjected in an autoclave to the conjoint action of heat and pressure (about 100 PSI) in the presence of an emulsifying and accelerating agent, e.g. zinc oxide or hydroxide (sodium hydroxide can be substituted) for about eight hours. The strong solution of glycerin formed is withdrawn and replaced by a quantity of hot, clean and preferably distilled water equal to about one third to one fourth of the weight of the original charge of oil or fat and treatment continued for an additional four hours. The dilute glycerin obtained from the latter part of the process is drawn off and used for the initial treatment of the further charge of oil or fat.
Budde and Robertson's Process	The oils or fats are heated and mechanically agitated with water and sulphuric acid gas, under pressure in a closed vessel or autoclave. The advantage claimed for the process are that the contents of the vessel are free from foreign matter introduced by reagents and need no purification; that the liberated glycerin is in the form of a pure and concentrated solution; that no permanent emulsion is formed and that the fatty acids are not discolored.
Ittner's Process	Coconut oil is kept in an autoclave in the presence of water at 70 atmospheres pressure and 225-245oC temperature and split into fatty acids and glycerin, both being soluble under these conditions in water. The glycerin solution separates in the bottom of the autoclave. The aqueous solution contains at the end of the splitting process more than 30 percent glycerin.
Continuous High-Pressure Hydrolysis	In this process a constant flow of fat is maintained flowing upward through an autoclave column tower against a downward counterflow of water at a pressure of 600 PSI maintained at temperature of 480-495oF. Under these conditions, the fat is almost completely miscible in water and the hydrolysis take place in a very short time. The liberated fatty acids, washed free of glycerin by the downward percolating water, leave the top of the column and pass through a flash tank while the liberated glycerin dissolves in the downward flow of water and is discharged from the bottom of the tower into the sweet-water storage tank.

¹² <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Glycerin%20Petition%20to%20remove%20TR%202013.pdf>

8. Defendant's website states on its homepage that "Eva Naturals is a family-owned company with a reputation for high quality, natural skin care products. We believe in staying natural in our formulations and we don't mass produce our products, creating small batches for ultimate freshness."¹³

9. Whether Defendant's labeling of the Products as "Natural" is deceptive is judged by whether it would deceive or mislead a reasonable person, which is a question for determination by a finder of fact. To assist in ascertaining what a reasonable consumer believes the term natural means, one can look to the regulatory agencies for their guidance.

10. In 2013, the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") issued a Draft Guidance Decision Tree for Classification of Materials as Synthetic or Nonsynthetic (Natural). In accordance with this decision tree, a substance is natural—as opposed to synthetic—if: (a) it is manufactured, produced, or extracted from a natural source (i.e. naturally occurring mineral or biological matter); (b) it has not undergone a chemical change (i.e. a process whereby a substance is transformed into one or more other distinct substances) so that it is chemically or structurally different than how it naturally occurs in the source material; or (c) the chemical change was created by a naturally occurring biological process such as composting, fermentation, or enzymatic digestion or by heating or burning biological matter. **(Exhibit A)**.

11. Congress has defined "synthetic" to mean "a substance that is formulated or manufactured by a chemical process or by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plants, animals, or mineral sources" 7 U.S.C. § 6502 (2.1).

¹³ <https://evanaturals.com/>

12. Consumers lack the meaningful ability to test or independently ascertain or verify whether a product is natural, especially at the point of sale. Consumers would not know the true nature of the ingredients merely by reading the ingredients label.

13. Discovering that the ingredients are not natural and are actually synthetic requires a scientific investigation and knowledge of chemistry beyond that of the average consumer. This is why, even though the ingredients listed above are identified on the back of the Products' packaging in the ingredients listed, the reasonable consumer would not understand – nor are they expected to understand - that these ingredients are synthetic.

14. Moreover, the reasonable consumer is not expected or required to scour the ingredients list on the back of the Products in order to confirm or debunk Defendant's prominent claims, representations, and warranties that the Products are "Natural."

15. Defendant did not disclose that the above listed ingredients are synthetic ingredients. A reasonable consumer understands Defendant's "Natural" claims to mean that the Products are "Natural" and do not contain synthetic ingredients.

16. Defendant has thus violated, *inter alia*, NY General Business Law § 392-b by: a) putting upon an article of merchandise, bottle, wrapper, package, label or other thing, containing or covering such an article, or with which such an article is intended to be sold, or is sold, a false description or other indication of or respecting the kind of such article or any part thereof; and b) selling or offering for sale an article, which to its knowledge is falsely described or indicated upon any such package, or vessel containing the same, or label thereupon, in any of the particulars specified.

17. Consumers rely on label representations and information in making purchasing decisions.

18. The marketing of the Products as “Natural” in a prominent location on the label of the Products, throughout the Class Period, evidences Defendant’s awareness that “Natural” claims are material to consumers.

19. Defendant’s deceptive representations and omissions are material in that a reasonable person would attach importance to such information and would be induced to act upon such information in making purchase decisions.

20. Plaintiff and the Class members reasonably relied to their detriment on Defendant’s misleading representations and omissions.

21. Defendant’s false, misleading, and deceptive misrepresentations and omissions are likely to continue to deceive and mislead reasonable consumers and the general public, as they have already deceived and misled Plaintiff and the Class members.

22. In making the false, misleading, and deceptive representations and omissions described herein, Defendant knew and intended that consumers would pay a premium for Products labeled “Natural” over comparable products not so labeled.

23. As an immediate, direct, and proximate result of Defendant’s false, misleading, and deceptive representations and omissions, Defendant injured Plaintiff and the Class members in that they:

- a. Paid a sum of money for Products that were not what Defendant represented;
- b. Paid a premium price for Products that were not what Defendant represented;
- c. Were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the Products they purchased were different from what Defendant warranted; and
- d. Were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the Products they purchased had less value than what Defendant represented.

24. Had Defendant not made the false, misleading, and deceptive representations and omissions, Plaintiff and the Class members would not have been willing to pay the same amount for the Products they purchased, and, consequently, Plaintiff and the Class members would not have been willing to purchase the Products.

25. Plaintiff and the Class members paid for Products that were “Natural” but received Products that were not “Natural.” The Products Plaintiff and the Class members received were worth less than the Products for which they paid.

26. Based on Defendant’s misleading and deceptive representations, Defendant was able to, and did, charge a premium price for the Products over the cost of competitive products not bearing a “Natural” label.

27. Plaintiff and the Class members all paid money for the Products; however, Plaintiff and the Class members did not obtain the full value of the advertised Products due to Defendant’s misrepresentations and omissions. Plaintiff and the Class members purchased, purchased more of, and/or paid more for, the Products than they would have had they known the truth about the Products. Consequently, Plaintiff and the Class members have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendant’s wrongful conduct.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

28. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (“CPLR”) §§ 301 & 302 and venue is proper pursuant to CPLR § 503.

29. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts and transacts business in the State of New York, contracts to supply goods within the State of New York, and supplies goods within the State of New York.

30. Venue is proper because Plaintiff and many Class Members reside in Nassau County in the State of New York, and throughout the State of New York. A substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the classes' claims occurred in this county.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

31. Plaintiff is an individual consumer who, at all times material hereto, was a citizen of Nassau County, New York. Plaintiff purchased Defendant's Eva Naturals Skin Firming Serum in January 2021, and paid a total of \$18.41 including tax.

32. Had Defendant not made the false, misleading, and deceptive representation that the Products were "Natural," Plaintiff would not have been willing to pay the same amount for the Products, and, consequently, she would not have been willing to purchase the Products. Plaintiff purchased, purchased more of, and/or paid more for, the Products than she would have had she known the truth about the Products. The Products Plaintiff received were worth less than the Products for which she paid. Plaintiff was injured in fact and lost money as a result of Defendant's improper conduct. Plaintiff would purchase the Products again if the ingredients were changed so that they were "Natural," as represented by Defendant.

Defendant

33. Defendant, Eva Naturals Inc. is a corporation with its principal place of business in Duson, Louisiana. Defendant manufactures, markets, advertises, and distributes the Products throughout the United States. Defendant created and/or authorized the false, misleading, and deceptive advertisements, packaging, and labeling for the Products.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiff brings this matter on behalf of herself and those similarly situated. As detailed at length in this Complaint, Defendant orchestrated deceptive marketing and labeling practices. Defendant's customers were uniformly impacted by and exposed to this misconduct. Accordingly, this Complaint is uniquely situated for class-wide resolution, including injunctive relief.

35. The Class is defined as all consumers who purchased the Products anywhere in the United States during the Class Period (the "Class").

36. Plaintiff also seeks certification, to the extent necessary or appropriate, of a subclass of individuals who purchased the Products in the State of New York at any time during the Class Period (the "New York Subclass").

37. The Class and New York Subclass shall be referred to collectively throughout the Complaint as the "Class."

38. The Class is properly brought and should be maintained as a class action under Article 9 of the CPLR, satisfying the class action prerequisites of numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, and superiority because:

39. Numerosity: Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff believes that there are thousands of consumers who are Class Members described above who have been damaged by Defendant's deceptive and misleading practices.

40. Commonality: The questions of law and fact common to the Class Members which predominate over any questions which may affect individual Class Members include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant is responsible for the conduct alleged herein which was uniformly directed at all consumers who purchased the Products;
- b. Whether Defendant's misconduct set forth in this Complaint demonstrates that Defendant has engaged in unfair, fraudulent, or unlawful business practices with respect to the advertising, marketing, and sale of their Products;
- c. Whether Defendant made false and/or misleading statements to the Class and the public concerning the contents of their Products;
- d. Whether Defendant's false and misleading statements concerning their Products were likely to deceive the public;
- e. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to injunctive relief; and
- f. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to money damages under the same causes of action as the other Class Members?

These issues predominate over individual issues. This controversy will largely turn on Defendant's uniform behavior in misrepresenting the Products to the Class which will be evaluated under an objective "reasonable person" standard. Individual inquiries into the conduct of members of the Class will not be necessary.

41. Typicality: Plaintiff is a member of the Class. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of each Class Member in that every member of the Class was susceptible to the same deceptive, misleading conduct and purchased the Defendant's Products. Plaintiff is entitled to relief under the same causes of action as the other Class Members.

42. Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate Class representative because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class Members she seeks to represent, her consumer fraud claims are common to all members of the Class and she has a strong interest in vindicating her

rights, she has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation, and counsel intends to vigorously prosecute this action.

43. Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because:

- a. The joinder of thousands of individual Class Members is impracticable, cumbersome, unduly burdensome, and a waste of judicial and/or litigation resources;
- b. The individual claims of the Class Members may be relatively modest compared with the expense of litigating the claim, thereby making it impracticable, unduly burdensome, and expensive—if not totally impossible—to justify individual actions;
- c. When Defendant's liability has been adjudicated, all Class Members' claims can be determined by the Court and administered efficiently in a manner far less burdensome and expensive than if it were attempted through filing, discovery, and trial of all individual cases;
- d. This class action will promote orderly, efficient, expeditious, and appropriate adjudication and administration of Class claims;
- e. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action;
- f. This class action will assure uniformity of decisions among Class Members;
- g. The Class is readily definable and prosecution of this action as a class action will eliminate the possibility of repetitious litigation;

- h. Class Members' interests in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions is outweighed by their interest in efficient resolution by single class action; and
- i. It would be desirable to concentrate in this single venue the litigation of all plaintiffs who were induced by Defendant's uniform false advertising to purchase their Products as being "Natural."

44. Accordingly, this Class is properly brought and should be maintained as a class action under Article 9 of the CPLR.

INJUNCTIVE CLASS RELIEF

45. Article 9 of the CPLR contemplates a class action for purposes of seeking class-wide injunctive relief. Here, the Defendant has engaged in conduct resulting in misleading consumers about ingredients in their Products. Since Defendant's conduct has been uniformly directed at all consumers in the United States, and the conduct continues presently, injunctive relief on a class-wide basis is a viable and suitable solution to remedy Defendant's continuing misconduct. Plaintiff would purchase the Products again if the ingredients were changed so that they were "Natural."

46. The injunctive Class is properly brought and should be maintained as a class action under Article 9, satisfying the class action prerequisites of numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, and superiority because:

- a. Numerosity: Individual joinder of the injunctive Class Members would be wholly impracticable. Defendant's Products have been purchased by thousands of people throughout the United States;

- b. Commonality: Questions of law and fact are common to members of the Class.

Defendant's misconduct was uniformly directed at all consumers. Thus, all members of the Class have a common cause against Defendant to stop their misleading conduct through an injunction. Since the issues presented by this injunctive Class deal exclusively with Defendant's misconduct, resolution of these questions would necessarily be common to the entire Class. Moreover, there are common questions of law and fact inherent in the resolution of the proposed injunctive class, including, *inter alia*:

- i. Resolution of the issues presented in the Article 9 class;
 - ii. Whether members of the Class will continue to suffer harm by virtue of Defendant's deceptive products marketing and labeling; and
 - iii. Whether, on equitable grounds, Defendant should be prevented from continuing to deceptively mislabel their Products as being "Natural?"
- c. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the injunctive Class because her claims arise from the same course of conduct (i.e. Defendant's deceptive and misleading marketing, labeling, and advertising practices). Plaintiff is a typical representative of the Class because, like all members of the injunctive Class, she purchased Defendant's Products which were sold unfairly and deceptively to consumers throughout the United States.
- d. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the injunctive Class. Her consumer protection claims are common to all members of the injunctive Class and she has a strong interest in vindicating her rights. In addition, Plaintiff and the Class are represented by counsel who is

competent and experienced in both consumer protection and class action litigation.

e. Superiority: An injunctive class is superior to individual relief because:

47. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief on behalf of the Class Members on grounds generally applicable to the entire injunctive Class and Defendant has acted or refused to act in a manner that applies generally to the injunctive Class (i.e. Defendant has marketed their Products using the same misleading and deceptive labeling to all of the Class Members). Any final injunctive relief or declaratory relief would benefit the entire injunctive Class as Defendant would be prevented from continuing their misleading and deceptive marketing practices and would be required to honestly disclose to consumers the nature of the contents of its Products.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
VIOLATION OF NEW YORK GBL § 349
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and New York Subclass Members)

48. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in all the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

49. New York General Business Law Section 349 (“GBL § 349”) declares unlawful “[d]eceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any business, trade, or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state . . .”

50. The conduct of Defendant alleged herein constitutes recurring, “unlawful” deceptive acts and practices in violation of GBL § 349, and as such, Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members seek monetary damages and the entry of preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against Defendant, enjoining them from inaccurately describing, labeling, marketing, and promoting the Products.

51. There is no adequate remedy at law.

52. Defendant misleadingly, inaccurately, and deceptively presents their Products to consumers.

53. Defendant's improper consumer-oriented conduct—including labeling and advertising the Products as being “Natural”—is misleading in a material way in that it, *inter alia*, induced Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members to purchase and pay a premium for Defendant's Products and to use the Products when they otherwise would not have. Defendant made their untrue and/or misleading statements and representations willfully, wantonly, and with reckless disregard for the truth.

54. Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members have been injured inasmuch as they paid a premium for products that were—contrary to Defendant's representations—not “Natural.” Accordingly, Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members received less than what they bargained and/or paid for.

55. Defendant's advertising and Products' packaging and labeling induced the Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members to buy Defendant's Products and to pay a premium price for them.

56. Defendant's deceptive and misleading practices constitute a deceptive act and practice in the conduct of business in violation of New York General Business Law §349(a) and Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members have been damaged thereby.

57. As a result of Defendant's recurring, “unlawful” deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members are entitled to monetary, compensatory, injunctive relief, restitution, and disgorgement of all moneys obtained by means of Defendant's unlawful conduct, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.

58. Plaintiff and Class Members seek actual damages under GBL § 349, and expressly waive any right to recover minimum, punitive, treble, and/or statutory damages pursuant to GBL § 349.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
VIOLATION OF NEW YORK GBL § 350
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members)

59. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in all the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

60. N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350 provides, in part, as follows:

False advertising in the conduct of any business, trade, or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state is hereby declared unlawful.

61. N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350a(1) provides, in part, as follows:

The term ‘false advertising, including labeling, of a commodity, or of the kind, character, terms or conditions of any employment opportunity if such advertising is misleading in a material respect. In determining whether any advertising is misleading, there shall be taken into account (among other things) not only representations made by statement, word, design, device, sound or any combination thereof, but also the extent to which the advertising fails to reveal facts material in the light of such representations with respect to the commodity or employment to which the advertising relates under the conditions proscribed in said advertisement, or under such conditions as are customary or usual . . .

62. Defendant’s labeling and advertisements contain untrue and materially misleading statements concerning Defendant’s Products inasmuch as they misrepresent that the Products are “Natural.”

63. Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members have been injured inasmuch as they relied upon the labeling, packaging, and advertising and paid a premium for the Products

which were—contrary to Defendant’s representations—not “Natural.” Accordingly, Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members received less than what they bargained and/or paid for.

64. Defendant’s advertising, packaging, and products’ labeling induced the Plaintiff and the New York Subclass Members to buy Defendant’s Products.

65. Defendant made their untrue and/or misleading statements and representations willfully, wantonly, and with reckless disregard for the truth.

66. Defendant’s conduct constitutes multiple, separate violations of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350.

67. Defendant made the material misrepresentations described in this Complaint in Defendant’s advertising, and on the Products’ packaging and labeling.

68. Defendant’s material misrepresentations were substantially uniform in content, presentation, and impact upon consumers at large. Moreover, all consumers purchasing the Products were and continue to be exposed to Defendant’s material misrepresentations.

69. As a result of Defendant’s recurring, “unlawful” deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff and New York Subclass Members are entitled to monetary, compensatory, injunctive relief, restitution, and disgorgement of all moneys obtained by means of Defendant’s unlawful conduct, interest, and attorneys’ fees and costs.

70. Plaintiff and Class Members seek actual damages under GBL § 350, and expressly waive any right to recover minimum, punitive, or treble, and/or statutory damages pursuant to GBL § 350.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and All Class Members)

71. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

72. Defendant provided the Plaintiff and Class Members with an express warranty in the form of written affirmations of fact promising and representing that the Products are “Natural.”

73. The above affirmations of fact were not couched as “belief” or “opinion,” and were not “generalized statements of quality not capable of proof or disproof.”

74. These affirmations of fact became part of the basis for the bargain and were material to the Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ transactions.

75. Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably relied upon the Defendant’s affirmations of fact and justifiably acted in ignorance of the material facts omitted or concealed when they decided to buy Defendant’s Products.

76. Within a reasonable time after she knew or should have known of Defendant’s breach, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, placed Defendant on notice of its breach by mailing Defendant a pre-suit letter on March 11, 2021 giving Defendant an opportunity to cure their breach, which it refused to do.

77. Defendant breached the express warranty because the Products are not “Natural” because they contain synthetic ingredients.

78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant’s breach of the express warranty, Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged in the amount of the price they paid for the Products, in an amount to be proven at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
UNJUST ENRICHMENT

(On Behalf of Plaintiff and All Class Members in the Alternative)

79. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

80. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and consumers nationwide, brings a claim for unjust enrichment.

81. Defendant's conduct violated, *inter alia*, state and federal law by manufacturing, advertising, marketing, and selling their Products while misrepresenting and omitting material facts.

82. Defendant's unlawful conduct as described in this Complaint allowed Defendant to knowingly realize substantial revenues from selling their Products at the expense of, and to the detriment or impoverishment of, Plaintiff and Class Members, and to Defendant's benefit and enrichment. Defendant has thereby violated fundamental principles of justice, equity, and good conscience.

83. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred significant financial benefits and paid substantial compensation to Defendant for Products, which were not as Defendant represented them to be.

84. Under New York's common law principles of unjust enrichment, it is inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefits conferred by Plaintiff and Class Members' overpayments.

85. Plaintiff and Class Members seek disgorgement of all profits resulting from such overpayments and establishment of a constructive trust from which Plaintiff and Class Members may seek restitution.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, prays for judgment as follows:

- (a) Declaring this action to be a proper class action and certifying Plaintiff as the representative of the Class under Article 9 of the CPLR;
- (b) Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against Defendant, directing Defendant to correct their practices and to comply with New York law;
- (c) Awarding monetary damages, excluding treble and/or punitive damages as being consistent with New York State Class Action jurisprudence, pursuant to GBL § 349 and GBL § 350;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff and Class Members their costs and expenses incurred in this action, including reasonable allowance of fees for Plaintiff’s attorneys and experts, and reimbursement of Plaintiff’s expenses; and
- (e) Granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: April 19, 2021

THE SULTZER LAW GROUP P.C.

Jason P. Sultzer /s/

By: _____
 Jason P. Sultzer, Esq.
 Joseph Lipari, Esq.
 Daniel Markowitz, Esq.
 85 Civic Center Plaza, Suite 200
 Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
 Tel: (845) 483-7100
 Fax: (888) 749-7747
 sultzerj@thesultzerlawgroup.com

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class