### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

SEVEN, LLC D/B/A SEVEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

No.

v.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

ACE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

JURY DEMAND

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Seven, LLC d/b/a Seven ("Plaintiff" or "Seven"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class, and the defined Washington State subclasses (collectively, the "Class Members"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant ACE Property and Casualty Insurance Company ("Defendant" or "ACE") and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness

Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one member of the proposed Class is a citizen

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1

Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

of a state different from that of Defendant, the proposed Class has more than 100 members, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is registered to do business in the State of Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within the State of Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of personal jurisdiction by this Court is proper. Moreover, jurisdiction exists because Plaintiff's claims arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with the State of Washington. Plaintiff has standing to bring each claim against Defendant as a class representative whose claims are typical and common of the class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion of the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the State of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the State of Washington.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's place of business is in King County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in this District.

#### III. PARTIES

5. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Seven owned and operated a salon and hair care business in Bellevue, King County, Washington, inside Bellevue Square Mall. Seven provided

hair care services to the general public, including washes, cuts, coloring, hair styling, and related retail products.

- 6. Defendant ACE is an insurance carrier incorporated and domiciled in Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant ACE is licensed to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in all fifty states and the District of Columbia. Defendant conducted business within these states by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendant is vicariously liable for the acts and omissions of its employees and agents.

### IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 9. Plaintiff Seven is a hair salon and personal care business. Services provided by Seven include hair cutting, styling, washing, and coloring, which rely on salon property that includes chairs, sinks, scissors, blow dryers, and other hair care property and equipment.
- 10. At all relevant times, Seven was licensed by the Washington State Department of Licensing Business and Professions Division as a "Salon/Shop" to provide hair cutting and hair styling services at, and only at, its specific address inside Bellevue Square Mall.
- 11. Historic photographs of Seven below depict portions of its interior, some of its equipment, and its mall-based entrance:





COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 4

### KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384





- 12. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- 13. Defendant issued one or more "all-risk" insurance policies to Plaintiff (the "Policy"), which provide broad property and business interruption coverage.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 5

- 14. Washington law at RCW 48.11.040 defines "property insurance" as "insurance against loss of or damage to real or personal property of every kind and any interest therein, from any or all hazard or cause, and against loss consequential upon such loss or damage."
- 15. The Policy includes a "Businessowners Coverage Form" and related endorsements, with coverages that include Business Income and Extra Expense, Extended Business Income, and Civil Authority coverages, insuring Seven's property, business equipment and property, and business income, with effective dates of February 1, 2020 to February 1, 2021.
- 16. The Policy described Seven's business in its "Businessowners Policy Declarations" as "Services," with a "Classification" of "Hair Styling Salons." The governmental response to the COVID-19 pandemic did not permit any operations or access to premises consistent with "Hair Styling Salons."
- 17. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used by Plaintiff and its customers for its specified business purposes, including for hair care and hair styling. Access to some or all of this property and Plaintiff's premises was prohibited by the governmental response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 18. Defendant promised to pay Plaintiff for "direct physical loss of or physical damage to" covered property, and its Policy includes coverages for risks of both "loss of or damage to" covered property.
- 19. The Policy expressly defines "Covered Causes of Loss" as meaning "direct physical loss."
- 20. On information and belief, Defendant and affiliated entities owned by the same parent company issued materially identical policies to thousands of businesses throughout the State of Washington and in other states.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 6

21. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.

- 22. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 23. COVID-19 is a highly contagious virus that rapidly and easily spreads; it continues to spread across the United States, including in the State of Washington.
- 24. In many infected persons, COVID-19 causes severe illness and requires hospitalization, including intubation. The virus has killed over 546,000 people in the United States to date. Persons who survive the virus have experienced ongoing cognitive, neurological, and physical impacts from the virus, even after the virus is no longer actively detected in their bodies.
- 25. The COVID-19 virus is a physical substance that spreads from person to person through respiratory droplets that reach another person and that are produced when an infected person breathes, talks, coughs, or sneezes. It also spreads when virus respiratory droplets are exhaled and aerosolized, and deposited on a surface or object (e.g., hair brushes, equipment handles, machinery, doorknobs, touch screens, computer keyboards, water fountains, and writing or eating utensils) and those objects are then touched by another person who then touches their own mouth, nose, or eyes. COVID-19 is caused by a novel (new) coronavirus that has not previously been seen in humans.<sup>2</sup>
- 26. COVID-19 remains stable and transmittable in aerosols for up to three hours and up to two or three days on certain surfaces. Persons infected with COVID-19 can be

COVID Data Tracker, Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention, <a href="https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#datatracker-home">https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#datatracker-home</a> (last visited Mar. 29, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., Coronavirus (COVID-19): Frequently Asked Questions, Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention (updated Mar. 17, 2021), <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/faq.html">https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/faq.html</a>.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 7

Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

13

11

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

asymptomatic. COVID-19 is spread by breathing, talking, and touching shared or common objects or surfaces. Guidance issued by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") recommends avoiding indoor activities and maintaining social distance of at least six feet between people to slow or stop the spread of COVID-19.<sup>3</sup>

- 27. Public health data throughout the United States, and on a state-by-state basis, shows that COVID-19 has been detected in every state.
- 28. The first confirmed case of COVID-19 in King County, and indeed nationwide, was noted on January 21, 2020.<sup>4</sup>
- 29. Public health data throughout the United States shows the number of COVID-19 tests administered, the rate of positive testing, the numbers of persons diagnosed with COVID-19, the number of persons hospitalized due to COVID-19, the number of deaths from COVID-19, and other related statistics, by state, county, and sometimes by city.<sup>5</sup>
- 30. As of March 26, 2021, at least 338,658 individuals in the State of Washington have tested positive for COVID-19, 20,363 have been hospitalized, and 5,218 have died due to the COVID-19 virus.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Coronavirus (COVID-19): Personal and Social Activities, CDC (updated Feb. 19, 2021), https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/personal-social-activities.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Casey McNertney, Coronavirus in Washington State: A Timeline of the Outbreak Through March 2020, KIRO 7 News (updated Apr. 3, 2020, 2:03 AM), https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/coronavirus-washington-statetimeline-outbreak/IM65JK66N5BYTIAPZ3FUZSKMUE/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., Coronavirus in the U.S.: Latest Map and Case Count, N.Y. Times (updated Mar. 29, 10:12 AM), https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/coronavirus-us-cases.html?action=click&module=Top%20 Stories&pgtype=Homepage (reflecting COVID-19 statistics, on a state-by-state, county-by-county, and aggregated basis since March 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> COVID-19 Data Dashboard, Wash. State Dep't of Health (last updated Mar. 27, 2021, 3:45 PM), https://www.doh.wa.gov/Emergencies/COVID19/DataDashboard.

- 31. As of March 26, 2021, at least 87,097 individuals in King County have tested positive for COVID-19, 5,320 have been hospitalized, and 1,458 have died due to the COVID-19 virus.<sup>7</sup>
- 32. The presence of any COVID-19 aerosolized or suspended droplets or particles in the air or otherwise circulating in an indoor environment renders that physical space, or physical property within that space, unsafe and unusable.
- 33. The presence of any COVID-19 aerosolized or suspended droplets or particles in the air or otherwise circulating in an indoor environment causes direct physical damage to property and/or direct physical loss of property.
- 34. The presence of any COVID-19 droplets or particles on physical surfaces renders items of physical property unsafe and unusable.
- 35. The presence of any COVID-19 droplets or particles on physical surfaces causes direct physical damage to property and/or direct physical loss of property.
- 36. The presence of people infected with or carrying COVID-19 particles at premises renders the premises, including property located at that premises, unsafe and unusable, resulting in direct physical damage and/or direct physical loss to the premises and property.
- 37. Loss of functionality of property that has not otherwise been physically altered constitutes direct physical loss of property and/or direct physical damage to property.
- 38. Other premises and businesses in close proximity to Plaintiff were closed and suffered direct physical loss. This occurred at least through governmental orders affecting many such places.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 9

- 39. On February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-05, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the State of Washington as the result of the COVID-19 outbreak.<sup>8</sup>
- 40. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in the State of Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.
- 41. Some local agencies acted even more quickly than the state. On February 27, 2020, the Northshore School District closed Bothell High School to completely disinfect the entire school because of concerns about the safety of school property stemming from COVID-19.9 On March 2, 2020, Everett Public Schools Superintendent Dr. Ian B. Saltzman made a similar announcement closing schools, highlighting that Everett Schools would clean both schools and school buses.<sup>10</sup>
- 42. Issued March 12, 2020, Proclamation 20-08 closed all public and private K-12 schools in King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties. Issued March 13, 2020, Proclamation 20-09, "Statewide K-12 School Closures," extended the school closure to the entire state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Proclamation 20-05 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee (Feb. 29, 2020),

https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-05%20Coronavirus%20(final).pdf.

See Michelle Reid, Letter to Families: Bothell High School Closure, Northshore Sch. District (Feb. 26, 2020), https://www.nsd.org/blog/~board/superintendent-blog/post/letter-to-families-bothell-high-school-closure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Ian B. Saltzman, Superintendent's Message, March 2, 2020, Everett Pub. Schools (Mar. 2, 2020), https://www.everettsd.org/Page/32622.

Proclamation 20-08 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee (Mar. 12, 2020), https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-08%20Coronavirus%20%28tmp%29.pdf

Proclamation 20-09 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Statewide K-12 School Closures* (Mar. 13, 2020), <a href="https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-09%20Coronavirus%20Schools%20Amendment%20%28tmp%29.pdf">https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-09%20Coronavirus%20Schools%20Amendment%20%28tmp%29.pdf</a>.

<sup>17</sup> *Id.* at p. 3. COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 11

<sup>16</sup> *Id.* at p. 2.

- 43. On March 13, 2020, Governor Inslee also issued Proclamation 20-11, "Statewide Limits on Gatherings," which prohibited all gatherings of 250 people or more in all Washington counties, including King County.<sup>13</sup>
- 44. Issued March 16, 2020, Proclamation 20-13, "Statewide Limits: Food and Beverage Services, Areas of Congregation," closed all non-essential retail including theaters, performance venues, dance studios, bowling alleys, fitness centers, barbershops and hair salons, nail salons, tattoo parlors, and indoor dining at restaurants.<sup>14</sup>
- 45. Proclamation 20-13 states that "the COVID-19 disease has and continues to spread quickly across the [S]tate of Washington, beyond the original community outbreaks in King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties." <sup>15</sup>
- 46. Proclamation 20-13 prohibits "any number of people from gathering in any public venue in which people congregate for purposes of public entertainment, recreation, food and beverage service, theater, bowling, fitness and other similar activities." The proclamation further prohibits "the operation of public venues in which people congregate for entertainment, social or recreational purposes, including but not limited to . . . barbershops and hair/nail salons . . . and other similar venues, which prohibition shall remain in effect until midnight on March 31, 2020, unless extended beyond that date."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Proclamation 20-11 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Statewide Limits on Gatherings* at p. 2 (Mar. 13, 2020), https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-11%20Coronavirus%20Gatherings%20Amendment%20%28tmp%29.pdf.

Proclamation 20-13 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Statewide Limits: Food and Beverage Services*, *Areas of Congregation* at p. 2 (Mar. 16, 2020), <a href="https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-13%20Coronavirus%20Restaurants-Bars%20%28tmp%29.pdf">https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-13%20Coronavirus%20Restaurants-Bars%20%28tmp%29.pdf</a>.
 Id. at p. 1.

- 47. Proclamation 20-13 states that one of the reasons for its restrictions is that "the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic and its progression in Washington State continues to threaten the life and health of our people as well as the economy of Washington State, and remains a public disaster affecting life, health, property or the public peace."<sup>18</sup>
- 48. On information and belief, Proclamation 20-13 refers to property damage throughout the State of Washington, including in King County where Plaintiff's business is located.
- 49. On March 16, 2020, Governor Inslee also issued Proclamation 20-14, "Reduction of Statewide Limits on Gatherings," which prohibited gatherings of fifty people or more in all Washington counties, including King County, and further prohibited gatherings of fewer people unless organizers of such gatherings complied with social distancing and sanitation guidelines.<sup>19</sup>
- 50. Issued March 19, 2020, Proclamation 20-24, "Restrictions on Non Urgent Medical Procedures," placed restrictions on non-urgent medical and dental services, largely shuttering those offices.<sup>20</sup>
- 51. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25, "Stay Home Stay Healthy." The proclamation, which amends Proclamation 20-05, requires that "[a]ll people in Washington State [] immediately cease leaving their home or place of residence except: (1) to conduct or participate in essential activities, and/or (2) for employment in essential

<sup>19</sup> Proclamation 20-14 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Reduction of Statewide Limits on Gatherings* at p. 2 (Mar. 16, 2020), <a href="https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-14%20COVID-19%20-%20Prohibitions%20on%20Gatherings%20%28tmp%29.pdf">https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-14%20COVID-19%20-%20Prohibitions%20on%20Gatherings%20%28tmp%29.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id.* at p. 1.

Proclamation 20-24 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Restrictions on Non Urgent Medical Procedures* (Mar. 19, 2020), <a href="https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-24%20COVID-19%20non-urgent%20medical%20procedures%20%28tmp%29.pdf">https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-24%20COVID-19%20non-urgent%20medical%20procedures%20%28tmp%29.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Proclamation 20-25 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Stay Home – Stay Healthy* (Mar. 23, 2020), https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-25%20Coronovirus%20Stay%20Safe-Stay%20Healthy%20%28tmp%29%20%28002%29.pdf.

business services."<sup>22</sup> The proclamation prohibits "all non-essential businesses in Washington State from conducting business, within the limitations provided herein."<sup>23</sup>

- 52. Proclamation 20-25 continues a State of Emergency, and states that "the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic and its progression in Washington State continues to threaten the life and health of our people as well as the economy of Washington State, and remains a public disaster affecting life, health, property or the public peace."<sup>24</sup>
- 53. On November 15, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25.8, "Stay Safe Stay Healthy" "Rollback of County-by-County Phased Reopening Responding to a COVID-19 Outbreak Surge," which amended Proclamations 20-05 and 20-25, *et seq.*<sup>25</sup> The proclamation again closed restaurants and bars for indoor dine-in service.<sup>26</sup>
- 54. Proclamation 20-25.8 also prohibits or limits indoor operations at a variety of business locations throughout King County and elsewhere in the State of Washington, including but not limited to restaurants and bars, bowling centers, movie theaters, museums, personal services including hairstylists and barbers, and in-store retail.<sup>27</sup>
- 55. Governor Inslee's proclamations and orders related to the COVID-19 pandemic (collectively, "the proclamations and orders") have been extended and modified from time to time.
- 56. By order of Governor Inslee, hair salons, including Seven, were prohibited from operating their businesses except according to the terms of the proclamations and orders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id.* at p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Id.* at p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> *Id.* at p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Proclamation 20-25.8 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, "Stay Safe – Stay Healthy" Rollback of County-by-County Phased Reopening Responding to a COVID-19 Outbreak Surge (Mar. 23, 2020),

https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/proc 20-25.8.pdf. <sup>26</sup> *Id.* at p. 3.

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

- 57. Plaintiff has complied with the proclamations and orders which have required it to close, suspend, and/or curtail its business.
- 58. Among other things, Plaintiff complied with the proclamations and orders by preventing the public, including its customers and employees, from entering its place of business to participate in hair care services which were routine and allowed prior to the issuance of the proclamations and orders.
- 59. In order to comply with the proclamations and orders, Plaintiff was forced to suspend its business and incur extra expense.
- 60. Due to Governor Inslee's orders, beginning on or about March 17, 2020, Plaintiff was unable to use its physical business tenancy and other insured property therein for their intended purposes.
- 61. Plaintiff invested in its business property, insured the business property, and insured the income derived from its business property, but Plaintiff was deprived of its property's functionality due to the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 62. Loss caused by Governor Inslee's orders and proclamations and/or related to COVID-19 rendered Plaintiff's property unusable for its intended and insured purpose.
- 63. Governors and civil authorities in other states have issued similar orders and proclamations, for similar reasons.
- 64. Plaintiff's property and covered movable business property have sustained direct physical loss and/or damage related to COVID-19, property damage related to COVID-19, and/or the proclamations and orders.
- 65. Properties in the immediate vicinity of, and within one mile of Plaintiff's property, including Bellevue Square Mall and businesses within and surrounding Bellevue COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 14

  Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

Square Mall, restaurants, performance venues, gyms, and other civic and private businesses, have sustained damage to property related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.

- 66. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss or damage covered by Defendant's Policy, including but not limited to business income coverage, extra expense coverage, extended business interruption coverage, civil authority coverage, and other expenses.
- 67. Plaintiff suffered direct physical loss of use of the covered properties for its intended purposes.
  - 68. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its full and insured intended purpose.
- 69. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by Defendant's Policy.
- 70. Plaintiff's suspension of its business in response to the proclamations and orders caused Plaintiff to suffer a business loss.
  - 71. Plaintiff complied with all requirements in the Policy.
  - 72. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.
- 73. Plaintiff filed a claim with Defendant for its losses covered by the Policy on February 15, 2021.
- 74. Defendant denied coverage for Plaintiff's claim by letter authored by an out-of-state "Senior Claims Specialist" dated March 9, 2021.
- 75. Defendant's denial letter falsely states that it has "not received any information establishing any direct physical loss or damage at [Plaintiff's] impacted locations." In addition to being false, this statement misquotes the Policy, and is therefore misleading.
- 76. Defendant's denial letter also falsely states, "[t]here is no information supporting any direct physical loss or damage has occurred that caused such [governmental] orders to be COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 15

  Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

issued." This statement again misquotes the Policy and also incorrectly implies that coverage under the Policy is only available due to physical loss or damage at a property other than Plaintiff's insured premises, which is an incorrect and misleading conclusion.

- 77. Defendant made no meaningful investigation of Plaintiff's claim or its loss.
- 78. Upon information and belief, Defendant has denied all claims submitted to it for business income coverage that relate to governmental proclamations or orders and/or COVID-19.
- 79. Upon information and belief, Defendant has undertaken no meaningful investigation regarding whether there is or was any presence of COVID-19 at Plaintiff's insured premises.
- 80. Upon information and belief, Defendant has undertaken no meaningful investigation regarding the timing, scope, or impact of governmental proclamations or closure orders that affect its insured's business or business property.
- 81. Upon information and belief, Defendant has undertaken no meaningful investigation regarding the community spread of COVID-19 in the vicinity of Plaintiff's business.
- 82. Upon information and belief, Defendant has denied and will deny coverage to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated policyholders based on Defendant's uniform policy to deny business interruption claims stemming from government closure orders related to COVID-19.
- 83. The average purchaser of insurance in Washington State would understand that because there was a loss of access to Plaintiff's' "described premises" and/or its Covered Property in whole or in part, that Plaintiff has suffered a covered suspension of operations under the Policy.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 16

84. Defendant's across-the-board coverage denials are not consistent with its Policy language and with its obligations to investigate losses arising under its policies. Courts have found coverage or reasonable grounds for coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic in one or more ways, including based on (1) the actual or potential presence of virus in the air in the vicinity of the policyholder's business; (2) the necessity of modifying physical behaviors through the use of social distancing, avoiding confined indoor spaces, and/or not congregating in the same physical area as others; (3) governmental orders requiring that physical spaces such as the policyholder's business be shut down or that other property not be used for its insured business purposes; and/or (4) the need to mitigate the threat or actual physical presence of virus on doorhandles, tables, surfaces, equipment, in heating and air conditioning systems and any other places where virus may be found.

### V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 85. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
  - 86. The Classes and Subclasses that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as:
  - A. Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by ACE.
  - B. Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued an ACE policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to

COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by ACE.

- C. Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- D. Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued an ACE policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- E. *Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States issued one of Defendant's policies with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Defendant.
- F. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant' policies with Extra Expense Coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Defendant.

- G. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued one of Defendant's policies with Extra Expense Coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's policies with Extra Expense Coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- I. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by ACE.
- J. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's policies with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Defendant.
- K. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Extended Business Income

Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

- L. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- M. Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by ACE.
- N. Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's policies with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Defendant.
- O. Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

- P. Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's policies with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 87. Excluded from the Classes are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definitions based on information obtained in discovery.
- 88. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 89. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each proposed Class has hundreds of members. The precise number of Class Members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.
- 90. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - A. Whether the Class and Subclass Members suffered cognizable losses under the Defendant's policies;
  - B. Whether ACE acted in a manner common to the Class and Subclass in denying claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 21

- C. Whether Business Income Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- D. Whether Extended Business Income Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- E. Whether Extra Expense Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss at the covered premises relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- F. Whether Civil Authority Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- G. Whether Defendant carried out a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- H. Whether ACE has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities; and
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class

  Members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.

- 91. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful policies and practices of Defendant. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same policies, practices, and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.
- 92. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.
- Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff could also impair the ability of absent Class Members to protect their interests.
- 94. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed class making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 95. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 23 **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**

26

individual damages incurred by each Class Member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

#### VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

### **Count One—Declaratory Judgment**

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein. 96.
- 97. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 98. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.
- 99. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff and Class Members' losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.
- 100. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that ACE is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims. COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 24

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

3

5

6

7

8 9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

### **Count Two—Breach of Contract**

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

- 101. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 102. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.
- 103. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to ACE in exchange for ACE's promise to pay Plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
  - 104. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.
- 105. Plaintiff contacted Defendant in or about March 2020 to ask whether ACE would provide coverage for its losses.
  - 106. Defendant denied Plaintiff's claim for coverage.
- 107. On information and belief, ACE has denied, and will continue to deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
  - 108. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
  - 109. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by ACE.

### VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

- 1. Class action status under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 2. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.
- 3. A declaratory judgment that the Defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.
  - 4. Damages.
  - 5. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
  - 6. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
  - 7. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

### VIII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

DATED this 31st day of March, 2021.

### KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By: s/ Amy Williams-Derry
By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko
By: s/ Ian S. Birk
By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio
By: s/ Irene M. Hecht
By: s/ Gabriel E. Verdugo
By: s/ Nathan L. Nanfelt
Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711
Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569
Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576
Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
Gabriel E. Verdugo, WSBA #44154
Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-1900 Fax: (206) 623-3384 Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com Email: gverdugo@kellerrohrback.com Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Classes 

## Case 2:21-cv-004321 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE C							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEF	ENDANTS					
SEVEN, LLC D/	If ACE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE								
of all others sim	COMPANY								
		Cina							
• •	(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff King  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					LAINTIFF CASES (	Philadelphia	4	
(12)	ICENT IN C.S. I EMINIM C	1525)	NOTE:	IN LAND CC	NDEMNATI	ON CASES, USE T	,	OF	
				THE TRACT	OF LAND IN	VOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)	Attori	neys (If Known)					
	•								
	L.L.P., 1201 Third	•							
Seattle, WA 981	101 // Phone: (206) (	623-1900							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (D) (CVI)	O. D. O.I.)	III. CITIZENS	THID OF DI	DINCIDA	I DADTIES	/DI (IIII)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an X in	One Box Only)		sity Cases Only)	KINCIFA		(Place an "X" in and One Box for I		
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(1 or Direct	Pi	F DEF	·	and one bonyon	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This S	tate X	1 1	Incorporated or Pr		☐ 4	<b>4</b>
						of Business In	This State		
2 U.S. Government	X 4 Diversity		Citizen of Anoth	er State	12 🔲 2	Incorporated and	Principal Place	□ 5	<b>x</b> 5
Defendant		nip of Parties in Item III)			. –	of Business In A			
			Citinan on Subject		12 🗆 2	Equation Nation		□ 4	Пс
			Citizen or Subject Foreign Count		3 3	Foreign Nation		∐ 6	∐6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place on "V" in One Poy (	m/s.)	1 oroign count	-	Click here	for: Nature of S	Suit Code De	ecription	
CONTRACT		DRTS	FORFEITUR				OTHER STATUTES		
X 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY				NKRUPTCY peal 28 USC 158	375 False (		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		ty 21 USC 881		thdrawal	376 Qui Ta		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	690 Other	•	28	USC 157	3729(	//	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/			nn o nu	DENI DIGUES	400 State F		ıment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			820 Cor	RTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru 430 Banks		na
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			830 Pate		450 Comm		.1g
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal				ent - Abbreviated	460 Deport		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			Nev 840 Tra	w Drug Application	470 Racket	teer Influer t Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY LAI	ROR	<b>-</b>	fend Trade Secrets	480 Consu	_	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labo			of 2016	_	SC 1681 or	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	Act				485 Teleph		mer
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Ma			L SECURITY		tion Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway 1			A (1395ff) ck Lung (923)	490 Cable/ 850 Securit		aditios/
150 Francisc	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	751 Family ar			WC/DIWW (405(g))			ouries/
	Medical Malpractice	•	Leave Ac			D Title XVI	890 Other :		ections
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		_	☐ 865 RSI	I (405(g))	891 Agricu		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	791 Employee	e Retirement ecurity Act	EEDED	AL TAV CIUTO	893 Enviro		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		Eurity Act		AL TAX SUITS ses (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	III OI IIIIOI	mation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				Defendant)	896 Arbitra	ition	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General			871 IRS—Third Party		899 Admin		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	- 535 Death Penalty	IMMIG			USC 7609		view or Ap	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		ation Application	l.		950 Consti	y Decision tutionality	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions				State S		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition							
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)								
x 1 Original 2 Ren	moved from 3	Remanded from	4 Reinstated or	5 Transfe	rred from	6 Multidistr	rict 🖂 8	Multidis	trict
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reopened		r District	Litigation	ı - <u>'</u>	Litigatio	
				(specify	,	Transfer		Direct F	ıle
	1	atute under which you ar	e filing (Do not cite j	urisdictional stat	tutes unless di	iversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. § 1332								
	Brief description of ca Insurance Bad Faith	ause:							
VII DECHIECTED IN		I I A OT A CO A COTTON	DEMAND :			CHECK YES only	if domandad is		
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMIAND	D		-			ш.
COMPLAINT:		-2, 1 .13,07.1 ,			J	URY DEMAND:	: XYes	☐ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Barbara J	. Rothstein		DOCK	ET NUMBER 2:	:20-cv-00597-B	JR	
DATE							5 5, 50007-0		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT		J					
3/31/2021		s/ Amy Williams-Der	гу						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

**Authority For Civil Cover Sheet** 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.</u>
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the

Western District of Washington

SEVEN, LLC D/B/A SEVEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	) ) )
Plaintiff(s) v. ACE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY	) ) Civil Action No. ) )
Defendant(s)	)
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) ACE Property and Causal 436 Walnut Street P.O. Box 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19106	Ity Insurance Company
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offind P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an arther Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or mot whose name and address are. Amy Williams-Derry, Lynn	. Verdugo, Nathan L. Nanfelt P.
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (name	of individual and title, if any)								
was re	ceived by me on (date)	· ·								
	☐ I personally served the	ne summons on the individual	at <i>(place)</i>							
			on (date)	; or						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)  , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (date)	(date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summon	s on (name of individual)		, who is						
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)									
		on (date)		; or						
	☐ I returned the summo	I returned the summons unexecuted because								
	☐ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00						
	I declare under penalty									
Date:										
Date.			Server's signature							
			Printed name and title							
			Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: