

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SATURNO, LLC D/B/A MODERN MALE
BARBER SHOP, individually and on behalf
of a class of similarly situated persons
375 Cowpath Road
Souderton, PA 18964,

Plaintiff,

v.

ERIE INSURANCE EXCHANGE
100 Erie Insurance Place
Erie, PA 16530,

Defendant.

Civil Case No.: 21-172

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Saturno, LLC d/b/a Modern Male Barber Shop (hereinafter “Saturno” or “Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, by and through their counsel, hereby complain and allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. In response to the global COVID-19 pandemic, civil authorities in Pennsylvania and throughout the United States issued orders requiring residents to stay at home, shutting down restaurants and other businesses deemed non-essential, and prohibiting gatherings of large groups. In upholding the executive orders issued by Pennsylvania’s Governor, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court recognized the COVID-19 pandemic as “a natural disaster and a catastrophe of massive proportions” that “involve[s] ‘substantial damage to property, hardship, suffering or possible loss of life.’” *Friends of Danny DeVito v. Wolf*, No. 68 MM 2020, ___ A.3d ___, 2020 WL 1847100, at *12 (Pa. Apr. 13, 2020) (citations omitted). The Court further explained

that given the way the virus spreads, “any location . . . where two or more people can congregate is within the disaster area.” *Id.* at *13.

2. While necessary to slow the spread of the novel coronavirus, these orders have had a devastating effect on businesses, such as Plaintiff’s, which have been forced to suspend business operations.

3. To protect from losses caused by situations like this, Plaintiff and other similarly situated nationwide Class members obtained insurance policies from Defendant that included business interruption coverage. In breach of the insurance obligations Defendant undertook in exchange for premium payments, which Plaintiff and Class members paid, Defendant has wrongfully denied Plaintiff’s and Class members’ insurance claims arising from the interruption of Plaintiff’s and Class members’ businesses.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff, Saturno, LLC d/b/a Modern Male Barber Shop (hereinafter “Saturno” or “Plaintiff”), is a business entity organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with their place of business in Souderton, Pennsylvania. Saturno runs Modern Male Barber Shop, a barber shop and men’s grooming establishment, in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

5. Defendant Erie Insurance Exchange (“Erie” or “Defendant”) is a reciprocal insurance exchange organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Erie, Pennsylvania.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, because Defendant and at least one member of the Class are citizens of different states and because: (a) the Class consists of at least 100 members; (b) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs; and (c) no relevant exceptions apply to this claim.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because Defendant resides in this District and a substantial portion of the acts and conduct giving rise to the claims occurred within the District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The COVID-19 Pandemic

8. In December 2019, a novel (new) coronavirus known as SARS-CoV-2 was first detected in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, and which caused an outbreak of a disease now known as COVID-19.

9. The coronavirus causing COVID-19 is thought to spread mainly from person to person, primarily through respiratory droplets produced when an infected person coughs or sneezes.¹

10. The coronavirus spread globally, and on January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization designated the COVID-19 outbreak as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern.²

11. On January 31, 2020, United States Health and Human Services Secretary Alex M. Azar II declared a public health emergency for the entire United States to aid the nation's healthcare community in responding to COVID-19.³

¹ See generally CDC Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/faq.html> (last visited January 4, 2021).

² [https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-\(2005\)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-\(2019-ncov\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)).

³ Press Release, Secretary Azar Declares Public Health Emergency for United States for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (Jan. 31, 2020), available at <https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2020/01/31/secretary-azar-declares-public-health-emergency-us-2019-novel-coronavirus.html>.

12. On March 13, 2020, the President of the United States issued a proclamation that the COVID-19 outbreak in the United States constitutes a national emergency.⁴

13. To date, there have been more than 20 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the United States, including more than 666,000 in Pennsylvania and more than 55,000 in Allegheny County.⁵

Closure Orders Issued by Civil Authorities in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic

14. In response to this public health crisis, every state made an emergency declaration by March 16, 2020. Moreover, civil authorities in nearly every state also ordered some form of social distancing measures, including stay-at-home orders restrictions on large gatherings and orders closing or restricting service at restaurants and bars except for takeout and delivery

15. In Pennsylvania, on March 6, 2020, Governor Tom Wolf issued a Proclamation of Disaster Emergency, calling the COVID-19 pandemic a “threat of imminent disaster and emergency.”⁶

16. On March 19, 2020, Governor Wolf issued an Executive Order, closing all businesses in Pennsylvania deemed to be non-life-sustaining.⁷

17. On March 23, 2020, Governor Wolf issued an Executive Order ordering all individuals residing in Allegheny County, Bucks County, Chester County, Delaware County,

⁴ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/>.

⁵ See Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, available at <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> (last visited January 4, 2021).

⁶ <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/20200306-COVID19-Digital-Proclamation.pdf>.

⁷ <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/20200319-TWW-COVID-19-business-closure-order.pdf>.

Monroe County, Montgomery County, and Philadelphia County to stay at home except as needed to access, support, or provide life sustaining business, emergency, or government services.⁸ The Pennsylvania Department of Health issued a similar order the same day.⁹

18. On April 1, 2020, Governor Wolf issued an Executive Order and the Pennsylvania Department of Health issued an order extending their respective “stay at home” orders to all counties in Pennsylvania.¹⁰

19. On May 7, 2020, Governor Wolf issued an Executive Order and the Pennsylvania Department of Health issued an order extending their respective “stay at home” orders until June 4, 2020.¹¹

20. In *Friends of Danny DeVito v. Wolf*, No. 68 MM 2020, ____ A.3d ____, 2020 WL 1847100, at *12 (Pa. Apr. 13, 2020), businesses challenged the authority of the Governor to issue the Executive Orders discussed above in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, the businesses challenged whether the orders were properly issued pursuant to the Emergency Code, which only applied to “disasters” defined as “[a] man-made disaster, natural

⁸ <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/03.23.20-TWW-COVID-19-Stay-at-Home-Order.pdf>.

⁹ <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/03.23.20-SOH-Stay-at-Home-Order.pdf>.

¹⁰ <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/20200401-GOV-Statewide-Stay-at-Home-Order.pdf>; <https://www.scribd.com/document/454418390/04-01-20-SOH-Statewide-Stay-at-Home-Order>.

¹¹ <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/20200507-TWW-Stay-at-Home-Order-Amendment.pdf>; <https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/20200507-SOH-Stay-at-Home-Order-Amendment.pdf>.

disaster or war-caused disaster.” *DeVito*, 2020 WL 1847100, at *10 (quoting 35 Pa.C.S. § 7102). The Emergency Code further defines a “natural disaster” as

Any hurricane, tornado, storm, flood, high water, wind-driven water, tidal wave, earthquake, landslide, mudslide, snowstorm, drought, fire, explosion or other catastrophe which results in substantial damage to property, hardship, suffering or possible loss of life.

DeVito, 2020 WL 1847100, at *10 (quoting 35 Pa.C.S. § 7102).

21. In sustaining the Governor’s Executive Orders under the Emergency Code, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court found that the

. . . COVID-19 pandemic qualifies as a “natural disaster” under the Emergency Code for at least two reasons. First, the specific disasters in the definition of “natural disaster” themselves lack commonality, as while some are weather related (e.g., hurricane, tornado, storm), several others are not (tidal wave, earthquake, fire, explosion). ***To the contrary, the only commonality among the disparate types of specific disasters referenced is that they all involve “substantial damage to property, hardship, suffering or possible loss of life.” In this respect, the COVID-19 pandemic is of the “same general nature or class as those specifically enumerated,” and thus is included, rather than excluded, as a type of “natural disaster.”***

DeVito, 2020 WL 1847100, at *12 (emphasis added). The Court concluded that “[t]he COVID-19 pandemic is, by all definitions, a natural disaster and a catastrophe of massive proportions.”

Id.

22. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court also held that Governor Wolf was within his authority to declare the entire state a disaster area, explaining:

The virus spreads primarily through person-to-person contact, has an incubation period of up to fourteen days, one in four carriers of the virus are asymptomatic, and the virus can live on surfaces for up to four days. Thus, any location (including Petitioners’ businesses) where two or more people can congregate is within the disaster area.

DeVito, 2020 WL 1847100, at *13 (emphasis added).

23. Like Pennsylvania, the presence of COVID-19 has caused civil authorities throughout the country to issue orders requiring the suspension of business at a wide range of establishments.

Covered Losses Under the Policy

24. Defendant issued Plaintiff's Ultrapack Plus Policy No. Q970414573 for the policy period between April 25, 2019 and April 25, 2020 ("the Policy"). Defendant reissued an identical policy under the same number to Plaintiff for the policy period April 25, 2020 and April 25, 2021. A copy of the declaration page for the 2019-2020 policy is attached as Exhibit "A." A copy of the declaration page for the 2020-2021 policy is attached as Exhibit "B." A copy of the Policy is attached as Exhibit "C."

25. Parts of the Policy are standardized forms that are used by Erie for all insureds having applicable coverage.

26. The Policy is an all-risk policy, insofar as it provides that covered causes of loss under the policy means direct physical loss or direct physical damage unless the loss is specifically excluded or limited in the Policy.

27. Among the coverages provided by the Policy, which is currently in full effect, is business interruption insurance, which, generally, would indemnify Plaintiff for lost income, profits, and continuing operating expenses in the event that their businesses were shut down.

28. Specifically, the Policy provides for business interruption or "Income Protection" coverage for "loss of 'income' and/or 'rental income' you sustain due to partial or total 'interruption of business' resulting directly from 'loss' or damage to property on the premises described in the 'Declarations' or to your food truck or trailer when anywhere in the coverage

territory from a peril insured against.” Ex. A, Ultrapack Plus Commercial Property Coverage Part, Income Protection Coverage – Coverage 3, A (p. 21 of 57).

29. The Policy also provides extra expense coverage as follows:

“Extra expense” coverage is provided at the premises described in the “Declarations.”

“Extra expense” means necessary expenses you incur due to partial or total “interruption of business” resulting directly from “loss” or damage to property on the premises described in the “Declarations” or to your food truck or trailer when anywhere in the coverage territory from a peril insured against. “Loss” or damage also includes property in the open, or in a vehicle, on the premises described in the “Declarations” or within 1,500 feet thereof, or to your food truck or trailer when anywhere in the coverage territory.

We will pay necessary actual and necessary “extra expenses” (other than the expense to repair or replace property) sustained by you to:

1. Avoid or minimize the “interruption of business” and to continue our operations:
 - a. At the premises described in the “Declarations” or
 - b. At replacement premises or at temporary locations, including:
 - 1) Relocation expenses; and
 - 2) Cost to equip and operate the replace or temporary locations.
 - c. Anywhere in the coverage territory for your food truck or trailer.
2. Minimize the “interruption of business” if you cannot continue your business operations to the extent it reduces the amount of loss that would have been payable under loss of “income” and/or “rental income.”

Ex. A, Ultrapack Plus Commercial Property Coverage Part, Income Protection – Coverage 3, B (p. 21 of 57).

30. The Policy also provides civil authority coverage as follows:

When a peril insured against causes damage to property other than property at the premises described in the “Declarations”, we will pay for the actual loss of “income” and/or “rental income” you sustain and necessary “extra expense” caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the premises described in the “Declarations” or access to your food truck or trailer when anywhere in the coverage territory provided that both of the following apply:

- a. Access to the area immediately surrounding the damaged property is prohibited by civil authority as a result of the damage, and the premises described in the “Declarations” or your food truck or trailer when anywhere in the coverage territory are within that area but are not more than one mile for the damaged property; and
- b. The action of the civil authority is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage or continuation of the peril insured against that caused the damage, or the action is taken to enable a civil authority to have unimpeded access to the damaged property.

Ex. A, Ultrapack Plus Commercial Property Coverage Part, C.1., Additional Coverages, Civil Authority (p. 22 of 57).

31. Covered Causes of Loss is defined under the Policy as “direct physical ‘loss’, except ‘loss as excluded or limited in this policy.’” Ex. A, Ultrapack Plus Commercial Property Coverage Part, Section II – Perils Insured Against (p. 22 of 57).

32. Beginning in March 2020, Plaintiff was forced to suspend business operations as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the related actions of civil authorities discussed above. This suspension has caused Plaintiff to suffer significant losses and incur significant expenses.

33. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, “a natural disaster and a catastrophe of massive proportions” that “involve[s] ‘substantial damage to property, hardship, suffering or possible loss of life,’” *DeVito*, 2020 WL 1847100, at *12 (Pa. Apr. 13, 2020)

(citations omitted), and the orders of civil authorities enacted in response to this natural disaster. Among other things, Plaintiff and the other Class members have been unable to use their property for its intended purpose, and they have been denied access to their property, causing a suspension of business operations on the premises and the function of their property to be nearly eliminated or destroyed.

34. The interruption of Plaintiff's and other Class members' businesses was not caused by any of the exclusions set forth in the applicable Policy.

35. Plaintiff provided notice of their losses to Defendant consistent with the terms and procedures of the Policy.

36. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendant's agent verbally denied Plaintiff's claims for business interruption losses under the Policy.

Defendant's Uniform Conduct

37. The COVID-19 pandemic is physically impacting private commercial property throughout the United States threatening the survival of thousands of restaurants, retail establishments, and other businesses that have had their business operations suspended or curtailed indefinitely by order of civil authorities.

38. Upon information and belief, Erie has, on a widescale and uniform basis, refused to pay its insureds under its business income, civil authority, and extra expense coverages for business income losses suffered due to COVID-19, orders by civil authorities that have required the necessary suspension of business, and any efforts to prevent further property damage or to minimize the suspension of business and continue operations.

39. Indeed, upon information and belief, no insurer intends to cover any losses caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, on March 18, 2020, a bipartisan group of members of the U.S. House of Representatives sent a letter to the several insurance industry trade

associations, noting the devastating impact the orders of civil authorities in response to the COVID-19 pandemic were having on American businesses and requesting that insurers cover these financial losses, stating that “[b]usiness interruption insurance is intended to protect business against income losses as a result of disruptions to their operations and recognizing income losses due to COVID-19 will help sustain America’s businesses through these turbulent times, keep their doors open, and retain employees on the payroll.”¹²

40. The insurance industry leaders sent a joint response, rejecting the plea to cover COVID-19 losses, explaining their position that “Business interruption policies do not, and were not designed to, provide coverage against communicable diseases such as COVID-19.”¹³

41. A declaratory judgment determining that the business income, civil authority, and extra expense coverages provided in common all-risk commercial property insurance policies applies to the suspension, curtailment, and interruption of business operations resulting from measures put into place by civil authorities is necessary to prevent the Plaintiff and similarly situated Class members from being denied critical coverage for which they have paid.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

42. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

43. Plaintiff seeks to represent a nationwide class defined as:

All persons or entities that have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Erie to insure property throughout the United States, where such policies provide

¹² https://cunningham.house.gov/sites/cunningham.house.gov/files/wysiwyg_uploaded/Signed%20BII%20Letter_Final.pdf

¹³ Insurers Reject House Members’ Request to Cover Uninsured COVID Business Losses, Insurance Journal (Mar. 20, 2020), available at <https://www.insurancejournal.com/news/national/2020/03/20/561810.htm>.

business income, civil authority, and extra expense coverages and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities to stop the spread of COVID-19 (the “Class”).

44. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, successors, and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies; Class Counsel and their employees; and the judicial officers and their immediate family members and associated court staff assigned to this case.

45. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify, expand, or amend the definition(s) of the Class following the discovery period and before the Court determines whether class certification is appropriate.

46. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained on behalf of the Class proposed herein under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

47. ***Numerosity - Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1).*** The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Erie has sold thousands of insurance policies that include business income, civil authority and extra expense coverages, to insureds throughout the United States. While Plaintiff is informed and believe that there are thousands of members of each Class, the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff but may be ascertained from Defendant’s books and records. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by recognized, Court-approved notice dissemination methods, which may include U.S. Mail, electronic mail, internet postings, and/or published notice.

48. ***Commonality and Predominance—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3).*** There are questions of law or fact that are common to the Class and which

predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. Common questions of law or fact include without limitation:

- i. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members suffered a covered loss under the standard commercial property insurance policies issued to members of the Class;
- ii. Whether Defendant wrongfully denied all claims based on COVID-19;
- iii. Whether Defendant's business income coverage applies to a suspension of business caused by COVID-19 and/or related actions of civil authorities taken in response to the presence or threat of COVID-19;
- iv. Whether Defendant's civil authority coverage applies to a loss of business income caused by the orders of local, municipal, city, county, and/or state governmental entities requiring the suspension of business during the outbreak of COVID-19 in the United States;
- v. Whether Defendant's extra expense coverage applies to efforts to avoid or minimize a loss caused by COVID-19;
- vi. Whether Defendant has breached its standard contracts of insurance through a uniform and blanket denial of all claims for business losses related to COVID-19 and/or the related actions of civil authorities taken in response to the presence or threat of COVID-19;
- vii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members suffered damages as a result of Defendant's actions; and
- viii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, interest, and costs.

49. ***Typicality—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3).*** The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class, which arise from the same wrongful conduct by Defendant. Plaintiff and the other Class members are all similarly affected by Defendant’s refusal to pay under their uniform insurance policies. Plaintiff and the other Class members sustained damages as a direct and proximate result of the same wrongful practices in which Defendant engaged.

50. ***Adequacy of Representation—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4).*** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the other Class members and has no interests adverse or antagonistic to the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained competent counsel who are experienced in the prosecution of complex class action litigation, including successfully litigating class action cases similar to this one, where insurers breached contracts with insureds by failing to pay the amounts owed under their policies, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of the above-defined Class will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and its counsel.

51. ***Inconsistent or Varying Adjudications and the Risk of Impediments to Other Class Members’ Interests—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1).*** Plaintiff seeks class-wide adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant’s insurance coverages. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create an immediate risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant. Moreover, the adjudications sought by Plaintiff could, as a practical matter, substantially impair or impede the ability of other Class members, who are not parties to this action, to protect their interests.

52. ***Declaratory and Injunctive Relief—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2).*** Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other Class

members, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and declaratory relief, as described below, with respect to the Class members.

53. ***Superiority—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3).*** A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. Individualized litigation creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE

(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

54. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

55. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class.

56. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Erie was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

57. In Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members, Erie agreed to pay for its insureds' actual loss of business income sustained due to the necessary suspension of its operations during the period of restoration.

58. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property as a result of COVID-19 and the orders of civil authorities, which has

resulted in a suspension of their business operations causing Plaintiff and Class members to suffer losses of business income and incur expenses.

59. These suspensions, losses, and expenses triggered business income coverage under Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members.

60. Plaintiff and the other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Erie or Erie is estopped from asserting them, and yet Erie has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and has wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

61. Erie has denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class-wide basis, without individual bases or investigations, so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

62. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and the other Class members' rights and Erie's obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and Class Members for the full amount of business income losses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members in connection with the suspension of their businesses stemming from orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic.

63. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, Plaintiff and the other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

- i. Plaintiff's and the other Class members' business income losses incurred in connection with the closure order and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic are insured losses under their Policies; and

- ii. Erie is obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of the business income losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the closure order during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic.

COUNT II
BREACH OF CONTRACT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

64. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

65. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class.

66. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Erie was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policy.

67. In Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members, Erie agreed to pay for its insureds' actual loss of business income sustained due to the necessary suspension of its operations during the period of restoration.

68. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property as a result of COVID-19 and the orders of civil authorities, which has resulted in a suspension of their business operations causing Plaintiff and the other Class members to suffer losses of business income.

69. These suspensions and losses triggered business income coverage under Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members.

70. Plaintiff and the other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of their policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Erie or Erie is estopped from asserting them.

71. Erie, without justification, has refused performance under the Policy and other Class members' policies by denying coverage for these losses. Accordingly, Defendant is in breach of the Policy and other Class members' policies.

72. By denying coverage for any business income losses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members as a result of the closure orders and orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic, Erie has breached its coverage obligations under the Policy and other Class members' policies.

73. As a result of Erie's breaches of the Policy and other Class members' policies, Plaintiff and the other Class members have sustained substantial damages for which Erie is liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT III
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

74. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

75. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class.

76. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Erie was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiff's and other Class members' losses and expenses for claims covered by the Policies.

77. In Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members, Erie agreed to pay for losses of business income sustained and extra expenses incurred when, among other things, there is damage to property near the insured premises resulting from a covered cause of loss, the civil authority prohibits access to property near the insured premises, and the civil authority action is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions.

78. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered losses and incurred expenses as a result of actions of civil authorities that prohibited access to insured premises under the Policy and Class members' policies.

79. These losses satisfied all requirements to trigger civil authority coverage under Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members.

80. Plaintiff and Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Erie or Erie is estopped from asserting them, and yet Erie has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and has wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

81. Erie has denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class wide basis, without individual bases or investigations, so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

82. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and other Class members' rights and Erie's obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and the other Class members for the full amount of covered civil authority losses and expenses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members in connection with closure orders and the necessary

interruption of their businesses stemming from the orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic.

83. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, Plaintiff and the other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

- i. Plaintiff and the other Class members' covered civil authority losses and expenses in connection with the closure orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic are insured losses under their policies; and
- ii. Erie is obligated to pay Plaintiff and the other Class members for the full amount of covered civil authority losses and expenses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the closure orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic.

COUNT IV
BREACH OF CONTRACT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

84. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

85. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class.

86. In Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members, Erie agreed to pay for losses of business income sustained and extra expenses incurred when, among other things, there is damage to property near the insured premises resulting from a covered cause of loss, the civil authority prohibits access to property near the insured premises, and the civil authority action is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions.

87. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered losses and incurred expenses as a result of actions of civil authorities that prohibited access to insured premises under the Policy and Class members' policies.

88. These losses satisfied all requirements to trigger civil authority coverage under Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members.

89. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Erie or Erie is estopped from asserting them.

90. Erie, without justification, has refused performance under the Policy and other Class members' policies by denying coverage for these losses and expenses. Accordingly, Defendant is in breach of the Policy and other Class members' policies.

91. By denying coverage for any business losses and expenses incurred by Plaintiff and other members of the Class in connection with the closure order and orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic, Erie has breached its coverage obligations under the Policy and other Class members' policies.

92. As a result of Erie's breaches of the Policy and other Class members' policies, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Erie is liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT V
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

93. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

94. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class.

95. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of other Class members, are contracts under which Erie was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiff's and other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policy.

96. In Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members, Erie agreed to pay for extra expenses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members during the period of restoration that the insureds would not have incurred if there had been no loss or damage to the insured premises. These extra expenses include expenses to avoid or minimize the suspension of business, continue operations, and to repair or replace property.

97. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property as a result of COVID-19 and the orders of civil authorities, which has resulted in a suspension of their business operations. As a result, Plaintiff and the other Class members have incurred extra expenses.

98. These expenses triggered extra expense coverage under Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members.

99. Plaintiff and the other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Erie or Erie is estopped from asserting them, and yet Erie has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and has wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

100. Erie has denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class wide basis, without individual bases or investigations, so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

101. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and other Class members' rights and Erie's obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and the other Class members for the full amount of extra expense losses incurred by Plaintiff and Class members in connection with closure orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from orders intended to mitigate the COVID- 19 pandemic.

102. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, Plaintiff and the other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

- i. Plaintiff's and other Class members' extra expense losses incurred in connection with the closure orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic are insured losses under their Policies; and
- ii. Erie is obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of the extra expense losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered losses related to the closure orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic.

COUNT VI
BREACH OF CONTRACT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

103. Plaintiff realleges the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

104. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class.

105. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Erie was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policy.

106. In Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members, Erie agreed to pay for extra expenses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members during the period of restoration that the insureds would not have incurred if there had been no loss or damage to the insured premises. These extra expenses include expenses to avoid or minimize the suspension of business, continue operations, and to repair or replace property.

107. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property as a result of COVID-19 and the orders of civil authorities, which has resulted in a suspension of their business operations. As a result, Plaintiff and the other Class members have incurred extra expenses.

108. These expenses triggered extra expense coverage under Plaintiff's Policy and the policies of other Class members.

109. Plaintiff and other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Erie or Erie is estopped from asserting them.

110. Erie, without justification, has refused performance under the Policy and other Class members' policies by denying coverage for these extra expenses. Accordingly, Defendant is in breach of the Policy and other Class members' policies.

111. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiff and other members of the Class in connection with the closure order and orders intended to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic, Erie has breached its coverage obligations under the Policy and other Class members' policies.

112. As a result of Erie's breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Erie is liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant, as follows:

- A. Entering an Order certifying the proposed nationwide Class, as requested herein, designating Plaintiff as Class representative, and appointing Plaintiff's undersigned attorneys as Counsel for the Class;
- B. Entering declaratory judgments on Counts I, III, and V in favor of Plaintiff and the members of the Class;
- C. Entering judgments on Counts II, IV, and VI in favor of Plaintiff and the members of the Class and awarding damages for breach of contract in an amount to be determined at trial;
- D. Ordering Defendant to pay attorneys' fees and costs of suit;
- E. Awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- F. Awarding such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated: February 10, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

JACK GOODRICH & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/s/ John P. Goodrich

JOHN P. GOODRICH, Esquire
PA Attorney I.D. # 49648
Lauren R. Nichols, Esquire
PA Attorney I.D. #313520
429 Fourth Avenue, Suite 900
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-261-4663

**HAGGERTY, GOLDBERG, SCHLEIFER
& KUPERSMITH, P.C.**

/s/ James C. Haggerty

JAMES C. HAGGERTY, Esquire
PA Attorney I.D. # 30003
1835 Market Street, Suite 2700
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(267) 350-6600

SCHMIT KRAMER, P.C.



Scott Cooper - Trial Laptop 3 (Feb 10, 2021 08:48 EST)

SCOTT B. COOPER, Esquire
PA Attorney I.D. #70242
209 State Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101
(717) 232-6300

SHUB LAW FIRM LLC

/s/ Jonathan Shub

JONATHAN SHUB, Esquire*
PA Attorney I.D. # 53965
Kevin Laukaitis, Esquire*
PA Attorney I.D. #321670
134 Kings Highway E, 2nd Floor
Haddonfield, NJ 08033

BONI, ZACK & SNYDER LLC

/s/ Michael J. Boni

MICHAEL J. BONI, Esquire

PA Attorney I.D.# 52983

Joshua D. Snyder, Esquire

PA Attorney I.D. # 88657

John E. Sindoni, Esquire

PA Attorney I.D. # 91729

15 St. Asaphs Rd.

Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

610-822-0200

*Applications for admission pro hac vice to be filed.

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Saturno, LLC d/b/a Modern Male Barber Shop

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Scott B. Cooper; ph: 717-232-6300
Schmidt Kramer, PC
209 State St.
Harrisburg PA 17101

DEFENDANTS

Erie Insurance Exchange

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Erie
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2)

Brief description of cause:
declaratory judgment

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$
in excess of \$75,000

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

02/10/2021

Scott Cooper - Trial Laptop 3 (Feb 10, 2021 08:51 EST)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A

This case belongs on the (☐ Erie ☐ Johnstown ☒ Pittsburgh) calendar.

1. **ERIE CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean, Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
2. **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
3. Complete if on **ERIE CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in _____ County and that the _____ resides in _____ County.
4. Complete if on **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in _____ County and that the _____ resides in _____ County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. ☒ This case is related to Number MDL No. 2969 . Short Caption Erie COVID-19 Business Interruption
2. ☐ This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit

EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related.

HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

PART C

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

1. ☐ Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2. ☐ Labor-Management Relations
3. ☐ Habeas corpus
4. ☐ Civil Rights
5. ☐ Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6. ☐ Eminent Domain
7. ☐ All other federal question cases
8. ☐ All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
9. ☒ Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
10. ☐ Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

Date: 02/10/2021


Scott Cooper - Trial Laptop 3 (Feb 10, 2021 08:51 EST)

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
- Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
- Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
- Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
- Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
- PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.