IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ATAHSIA SMILEY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BEECH-NUT NUTRITION CO.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 1:21-CV-0271 (TJM/CFH)

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Atahsia Smiley ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, by her undersigned attorneys, for her complaint against Defendant Beech-Nut Nutrition Co. (hereafter "Beech-Nut" or "Defendant"), alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own action, and, as to all other matters, alleges, upon information and belief and investigation of their counsel, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a consumer class action brought individually by Plaintiff and on behalf of all persons in the below-defined proposed Classes, all of whom purchased one or more of certain baby foods manufactured by Beech-Nut.¹

¹ The affected baby foods include the following: (a) Beech-Nut Rice Single Grain Baby Cereal; (b) Beech-Nut Oatmeal Whole Grain Baby Cereal; (c) Beech-Nut Classic Sweet Carrots; (d) Beech-Nut Organics Carrots; (e) Beech-Nut Naturals Sweet Potatoes; (f) Beech-Nut Classics Sweet Potatoes; (g) Beech-Nut Classics Sweet Peas; (h) Beech-Nut Naturals Butternut Squash; (i) Beech-Nut Organics Pumpkin; (j) Beech-Nut Organics Apples; (k) Beech-Nut Naturals Bananas; (l) Beech-Nut Naturals Beets, Pear & Pomegranate; (m) Beech-Nut Classics Mixed Vegetables; (n) Beech-Nut Breakfast On-the-Go Yogurt, Banana & Mixed Berry Blend; (o) Beech-Nut Organics Sweet Potatoes; (p) Beech-Nut Organics Pears; (q) Beech-Nut Organics Apple Kiwi & Spinach; (r) Beech-Nut Naturals Carrots; (s) Beech-Nut Organics Pear Kale & Cucumber; (t)

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 2 of 21

2. Beech-Nut manufactures, markets, advertises, labels, distributes, and sells baby food products throughout the United States, including in this District.

3. Beech-Nut states, "At Beech-Nut®, we make foods for babies using simple, natural ingredients from places that nurture their fruits and vegetables and care about their quality."²

Beech-Nut claims to "have an entire department dedicated to ingredient sourcing.
 Research goes into finding the phenomenal food that goes into our jars."³

5. Yet, Beech-Nut fails to list heavy metals as ingredients on the Products' labels or otherwise warn potential purchasers of the presence of heavy metals in its Products.

6. Unbeknown to Plaintiff and Members of the Class, and contrary to the representations on the Products' labels, the Products contain heavy metals in high concentrations, including inorganic arsenic, cadmium, mercury, and lead that, if disclosed to Plaintiff and Members of the Classes prior to purchase, would have caused Plaintiff and Members of the Classes not to purchase or consume the Products.

7. Because Defendant misrepresented the true nature of the ingredients in its Products when it failed to disclose the presence or risk of elevated levels of heavy metals, Plaintiff brings this action, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendant for fraud, fraudulent omission, negligent misrepresentation, and unjust enrichment.

Beech-Nut Oatmeal Whole Grain Baby Cereal; Beech-Nut Rice Single Grain Baby Cereal; (u) Beech-Nut Banana Stage; (v) Beech-Nut Sweet Peas Stage 2; (w) Beech-Nut Carrots Stage 2; (x) Beech-Nut Green Beans Stage 2; (y) Beech-Nut Sweet Potatoes Stage 2; (z) Beech-Nut Apple Stage 2 (the "Products"). Discovery may reveal additional products at issue.

² See <u>https://www.beechnut.com/frequently-asked-questions/</u> (last visited Feb. 22, 2021).
 ³ Id.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Atahsia Smiley is a citizen of the State of Texas and is a member of the Class defined herein. Plaintiff purchased the Products from Target from around December of 2019 through the time she heard about the issues with the Products in February 2021. Prior to purchasing the Products, Plaintiff saw Defendant's health and nutritional claims on the packaging, including, that the Products are natural and safe for infant consumption, which she relied on in deciding to purchase them.

9. During that time, based on Defendant's omissions and the false and misleading claims, warranties, representations, advertisements and other marketing by Defendant, Plaintiff was unaware that the Products contained any level of heavy metals, including inorganic arsenic, and would not have purchased the food if the heavy metal concentrations were fully disclosed, or she would not have paid as much for the Products if that information were fully disclosed. Plaintiff was injured by paying a premium for the Products that have no or de minimis value—or whose value was at least less than what she paid for the Products—based on the presence of the high concentrations of heavy metals.

10. Defendant Beech-Nut Nutrition Company is incorporated in New York. Its headquarters and principal place of business is located at One Nutritious Place, Amsterdam, New York 12010.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (hereinafter referred to as "CAFA") codified as 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because there are more than 100 Class Members, the claims of the proposed Class Members exceed \$5,000,000, and because Defendant is a citizen of a different state than most Class Members.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 4 of 21

12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is headquartered in the District, regularly sells and markets products and conducts business in this District, and/or under the stream of commerce doctrine by allowing products to be sold in this District, including the Products.

13. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is headquartered here, conducts substantial business in this District, a substantial portion of the events complained of took place in this District, and this Court has general jurisdiction over the Defendant.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. The market for baby foods is exploding. The baby foods market worldwide is projected to grow by \$22.7 billion by the year 2025.⁴

15. Along with the exploding baby food market is a surge in popularity of baby food products that are organic and otherwise 'healthy' for babies.⁵

16. Defendant manufactures, markets, advertises, labels, distributes, and sells Products, both in the past and currently. Defendant has advertised and continues to advertise the Products through television commercials, print advertisements, point-of-sale displays, product packaging, Internet advertisements, and other promotional materials.

17. Defendant's packaging and labels emphasize that Beech-Nut baby food is natural, with nothing artificial added. By making these assurances that the Products are natural and safe

⁴ See https://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/338658/baby_foods_and_infant_formula_glo bal_market?utm_source=dynamic&utm_medium=BW&utm_code=b559sk&utm_campaign=138 6712+-+Global+Baby+Foods+and+Infant+Formula+Market+Assessment+2020-2025&utm_exe c=joca220bwd (last visited Feb. 15, 2017). ⁵ Id.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 5 of 21

for infant consumption, Defendant warrants, promises, represents, misleads, labels, and advertises that the Products are free of any heavy metals or unnatural ingredients.

18. Defendant's packaging and labels further warrant that the Products are safe for babies and infants by making representation about which "Stage" of infant development the Food is appropriate for. For example, Defendant warrants that "Stage 1" food is appropriate for infant consumption "From about four months" and that "Stage 2" food is appropriate for infant consumption "From about 6 months."



19. But contrary to Defendant's glaring omissions and misleading claims, the Products have been shown to contain significant levels of arsenic, cadmium, lead, and/or mercury—all known to pose health risks to humans and particularly infants. Defendant's packaging of the Products, however, does not disclose the presence of any of these harmful ingredients.

20. Rather, Beech-Nut directs reassuring representations on its Products' packaging to consumers, like Plaintiff and the Members of the Class, and Beech-Nut intends that Plaintiff and Members of the Class rely on its representations.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 6 of 21

21. Beech-Nut makes these representations because it knows that healthy baby food is a material factor in baby food purchasing decisions to consumers like Plaintiff and Members of the Class.

22. However, contrary to the representations made on the label and packaging of the Products, the Products contain heavy metals in high concentrations in a manner that is not healthy or nutritious.

Healthy Babies Bright Futures Report

23. In April 2019, Healthy Babies Bright Futures, an alliance of nonprofit organizations, commissioned a national laboratory to test 168 containers of baby food—including eighteen (18) of Beech-Nut's baby food products—for total recoverable arsenic, lead, cadmium, and mercury, as well as speciated arsenic for a subset of samples.⁶

24. Healthy Babies Bright Futures found that the samples contained heavy metals, including arsenic, lead, mercury, and cadmium.

25. Arsenic, lead, mercury, and cadmium are neurotoxins that may "diminish quality of life, reduce academic achievement, and disturb behavior, with profound consequences for the welfare and productivity of entire societies."⁷ They can also "harm a baby's developing brain and nervous system" and cause "the permanent loss of intellectual capacity and behavioral problems like attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)."⁸ Even trace amounts of these heavy metals can alter the developing brain and erode a child's IQ.⁹

⁶ Healthy Babies Bright Futures, *What's In My Baby's Food?* (Oct. 2019), <u>https://www.healthybabyfood.org/sites/healthybabyfoods.org/files/2020-04/BabyFoodReport_ENGLIS</u> <u>H R6.pdf</u> (last visited Feb. 22, 2021) (hereinafter, "Healthy Babies Bright Futures Report"). ⁷ *Id.* at 13.

⁸ *Id*. at 6.

⁹ *Id.* at 1.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 7 of 21

26. Arsenic causes potentially irreversible damage, including "cognitive deficits among school-age children exposed early in life, and neurological problems in adults who were exposed to arsenic-poisoned milk as infants."¹⁰ According to the Healthy Babies Bright Futures Report, research continues to confirm that exposure to food containing arsenic, lead, mercury, and cadmium poses "troubling risks for babies, including cancer and lifelong deficits in intelligence[.]"¹¹

U.S. House of Representatives Investigation

27. An investigation by the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Economic and Consumer Policy (hereafter the "Subcommittee") revealed that baby foods manufactured by Beech-Nut are tainted with significant levels of toxic heavy metals, including arsenic, lead, mercury, and cadmium.¹²

28. Specifically, the Subcommittee found that "Beech-Nut used ingredients after they tested as high as 913.4 ppb arsenic. Beech-Nut routinely used high-arsenic additives that tested over 300 ppb arsenic to address product characteristics such as 'crumb softness.'"¹³

29. The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), however, has set the maximum allowable level of inorganic arsenic in bottled water at 10 ppb.¹⁴

¹⁰ *Id* at 13.

¹¹ *Id*. at 1.

¹² U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Economic & Consumer Policy, Staff Report, "Baby Foods are Tainted with Dangerous Levels of Arsenic, Lead, Cadmium and Mercury (Feb. 4, 2021). A copy of the report is available at <u>https://oversight.house.gov/sites/democrats.oversigh</u> <u>t.house.gov/files/2021-02-04%20ECP%20Baby%20Food%20Staff%20Report.pdf</u> (last visited Feb. 22, 2021).

 $^{^{13}}$ *Id.* at 3.

 $^{^{14}}$ *Id*. at 4.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 8 of 21

30. The Subcommittee also found that "Beech-Nut used ingredients containing as much as 886.9 ppb lead. It used many ingredients with high lead content, including 483 that contained over 5 ppb lead, 89 that contained over 15 ppb lead, and 57 that contained over 20 ppb lead."¹⁵

31. The FDA has set the maximum allowable level of lead in bottled water at 5 ppb lead.¹⁶

32. The Subcommittee also found that Beech-Nut used 105 ingredients that tested over
20 ppb cadmium. Some tested much higher, up to 344.55 ppb cadmium.¹⁷

33. The FDA has set the maximum allowable level of cadmium in bottled water at 5 ppb.¹⁸

34. Finally, the Subcommittee found mercury in the baby food of the only responding company that tested for it, but that Beech-Nut does not even test for mercury in baby food.

35. Beech-Nut sold its Products for a profit, irrespective of potential health implications. In fact, Beech-Nut sold all Products tested, regardless of how much toxic heavy metal the baby food contained.¹⁹

36. Defendant's conduct regarding selling its Products regardless of the testing results is especially deceptive and egregious because Defendant specifically touts its health benefits to consumers.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 3.

¹⁶ *Id.* at 4.

 $^{^{17}}$ *Id.* at 3.

 $^{^{18}}$ *Id.* at 4.

¹⁹ U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Economic & Consumer Policy, Staff Report, "Baby Foods are Tainted with Dangerous Levels of Arsenic, Lead, Cadmium and Mercury" (Feb. 4, 2021).

Plaintiff, As a Reasonable Consumer, Relied Upon the Products' Label to Purchase the Products

37. Plaintiff was herself a victim of Defendant's mislabeling of the Products.

38. Prior to each purchase, Plaintiff viewed the labels on Defendant's Products, including the representations that they are natural and safe for infant consumption. Each time, Plaintiff reasonably relied upon the labels on Defendant's Products before purchasing them.

39. Defendant's labeling claims were a material factor in the decision of Plaintiff, as a reasonable consumer acting reasonably, to purchase Defendant's Products.

40. At the point of sale, Plaintiff did not know, and had no reason to know, that Defendant's Products were mislabeled as set forth herein.

41. Plaintiff later learned that the Products contain unhealthy and non-nutritious levels of heavy metals and inorganic arsenic.

42. Accordingly, Plaintiff was deceived as a result of Defendant's false and misleading marketing practices.

43. Plaintiff would not have purchased or paid a price premium for the Products had she known they contained the levels of heavy metals and inorganic arsenic in the manner that they did.

44. Plaintiff is typical of the Class of consumers who purchased Defendant's Products during the relevant time period. Plaintiff and the Class Members were in fact misled by Defendant's misrepresentations with respect to the Products. Plaintiff and Class Members would have purchased other foods for their children if they had not been deceived by the misleading and deceptive labeling of the Products by Defendant.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

45. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. The Class definition(s) may depend on the information obtained throughout discovery. Notwithstanding, at this time, Plaintiff brings this action and seeks certification of the following Class (the "Nationwide Class" or "Class"):

All persons within the United States who purchased and consumed the Products from the beginning of any applicable limitations period through the date of class certification.

46. Plaintiff also seeks certification of the following Subclass (the "Texas Subclass"):

All persons in the State of Texas who purchased and consumed the Products from the beginning of any applicable limitations period through the date of class certification.

47. Excluded from the Classes are the Defendant, and any entities in which the Defendant has a controlling interest, the Defendant's agents, employees and their legal representatives, any Judge to whom this action is assigned and any member of such Judge's staff and immediate family, and Plaintiff's counsel, their staff members, and their immediate family.

48. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of her claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

49. **Numerosity** – **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1).** The Members of the Classes are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. On information and belief, Members of the Classes number in the thousands to tens of thousands. Members of the Classes may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, email, Internet postings, and/or publication.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 11 of 21

50. Commonality and Predominance – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2)

and 23(b)(3). Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Members of the Classes and predominate over questions affecting only individual Members of the Classes. Such common questions of law or fact include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Whether Defendant wrongfully represented and continues to represent that the Products are natural and safe for human infant consumption;
- b. Whether Defendant wrongfully represented and continues to represent that the Products are healthy, superior quality, nutritious, and safe for consumption;
- c. Whether Defendant wrongfully represented and continues to represent that the Products are natural;
- d. Whether Defendant wrongfully represented and continues to represent that the
 Products are appropriate for consumption by various "Stage[s]" of babies;
- e. Whether Defendant wrongfully failed to disclose that the Products contained or may contain heavy metals;
- f. Whether Defendant's representations in advertising, warranties, packaging, and/or labeling are false, deceptive, and misleading;
- g. Whether those representations are likely to deceive a reasonable consumer;
- h. Whether a reasonable consumer would consider the presence or risk of heavy metals as a material fact in purchasing baby food;
- i. Whether Defendant had knowledge that those representations were false, deceptive, and misleading;
- j. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that the Products contained or may contain heavy metals;

- k. Whether Defendant continues to disseminate those representations despite knowledge that the representations are false, deceptive, and misleading;
- Whether a representation that a product is healthy, superior quality, nutritious, and safe for consumption and does not contain arsenic, mercury, cadmium, and/or lead is material to a reasonable consumer;
- m. Whether Defendant's representations and descriptions on the labeling of the Products are likely to mislead, deceive, confuse, or confound consumers acting reasonably;
- n. Whether Defendant made negligent and/or fraudulent misrepresentations and/or omissions;
- o. Whether Plaintiff and the Members of the Classes are entitled to actual and punitive damages; and
- p. Whether Plaintiff and Members of the Classes are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief.

51. **Typicality** – **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3).** The claims of the Named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of other Members of the Classes. All Members of the Classes were comparably injured by Defendant's conduct described above, and there are no defenses available to Defendant that are unique to Plaintiff or any particular Class Members.

52. Adequacy of Representation – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4). Plaintiff is an adequate Class Representative because her interests do not conflict with the interests of other Class Members; she has retained class counsel competent to prosecute class actions and financially able to represent the Classes.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 13 of 21

53. Declaratory and Injunctive Relief – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2).

Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other Class Members, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and declaratory relief, as described below, with respect to the Class Members as a whole. In particular, Plaintiff seeks to certify a Class to enjoin Defendant from selling or otherwise distributing the Products until such time that Defendant can demonstrate to the Court's satisfaction that the Products are accurately labeled.

54. **Superiority – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3).** A class action is superior to any other means of adjudication for this controversy. It would be impracticable for Members of the Classes to individually litigate their own claims against Defendant because the damages suffered by Plaintiff and the Members of the Classes are relatively small compared to the cost of individually litigating their claims. Individual litigation would create the potential for inconsistent judgments, delay, and expenses to the court system. A class action provides an efficient means for adjudication with fewer management difficulties and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT 1

FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION (On Behalf of the Nationwide Class and, alternatively, the Texas Subclass (collectively, the "Class"))

55. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

56. Defendant falsely represented to Plaintiff and the Class that the Products are natural, appropriate for consumption by certain "Stage[s]" of babies; and "real food for babies."

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 14 of 21

57. Defendant intentionally, knowingly, and recklessly made these misrepresentations to induce Plaintiff and the Class to purchase its Products.

58. Defendant knew that its representations about the Products were false in that the Products contained or were at risk of containing levels of heavy metals or other unnatural ingredients that do not conform to the Products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements.

59. Defendant allowed its packaging, labels, advertisements, promotional materials, and websites to intentionally mislead consumers, such as Plaintiff and the Class.

60. Plaintiff and the Class did in fact rely on these misrepresentations and purchased the Products to their detriment. Given the deceptive manner in which Defendant advertised, represented, and otherwise promoted the Products, Plaintiff's and the Class's reliance on Defendant's misrepresentations was justifiable.

61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered actual damages in that they have purchased Products that are worth less than the price they paid and that they would not have purchased at all had they known of the presence or risk of heavy metals or other unnatural ingredients that do not conform to the Products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements.

62. Plaintiff and the Class seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

COUNT 2

FRAUD BY OMISSION (On Behalf of the Nationwide Class and, alternatively, the Texas Subclass (collectively, the "Class"))

63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 15 of 21

64. Defendant concealed from and failed to disclose to Plaintiff and the Class that their Products contained or were at risk of containing heavy metals or other unnatural ingredients that do not conform to the Products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements.

65. Defendant had a duty to disclose to Plaintiff and the Class the true quality, characteristics, ingredients, suitability, and risks of the Products because: (1) Defendant was in a superior position to know the true state of facts about its Products; (2) Defendant was in a superior position to know the actual ingredients, characteristics, and suitability of the Products for consumption by babies; and (3) Defendant knew that Plaintiff and the Class could have reasonably been expected to learn or discover that the Products were misrepresented in the packaging, labels, advertising, and websites prior to purchasing the Products.

66. The facts concealed or not disclosed by Defendant to Plaintiff and the Class are material in that a reasonable consumer would have considered them important when deciding whether to purchase the Products.

67. Plaintiff and the Class justifiably relied on Defendant's omissions to their detriment.

68. The detriment is evident from the true quality, characteristics, and ingredients of the Products, which is inferior in comparison to Defendant's advertisements and representations of the Products.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered actual damages in that they have purchased Products that are worth less than the price they paid and that they would not have purchased at all had they known of the presence or risk of elevated levels of heavy metals and toxins.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 16 of 21

70. Plaintiff and the Class seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

COUNT 3

<u>NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION</u> (On Behalf of the Nationwide Class and, alternatively, the Texas Subclass (collectively, the "Class"))

71. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

72. Defendant had a duty to Plaintiff and the Class to exercise reasonable and ordinary care in the formulation, testing, manufacturing, marketing, distribution, and sale of the Products.

73. Defendant breached its duty to Plaintiff and the Class by formulating, testing, manufacturing, advertising, marketing, distributing, and selling Products to Plaintiff and the Class that do not have the ingredients, qualities, characteristics, and suitability for consumption as advertised by Defendant and by failing to promptly remove the Products from the marketplace or to take other appropriate remedial action.

74. Defendant knew or should have known that the ingredients, qualities, and characteristics of the Products were not as advertised or suitable for their intended use (consumption by babies) and were otherwise not as warranted and represented by Defendant.

75. Specifically, Defendant knew or should have known that: (1) the Products were not natural; (2) the Products were not nutritious, superior quality, pure, natural, healthy, and safe for consumption because they contained or had a risk of containing levels of heavy metals and/or other unnatural ingredients or contaminants that do not conforming to the packaging; (3) the Products were adulterated or at risk of being adulterated by heavy metals; and (4) the Products were otherwise not as warranted and represented by Defendant.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 17 of 21

76. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered actual damages in that they have purchased Products that are worth less than the price they paid and that they would not have purchased at all had they known of the presence or risk of heavy metals or other unnatural ingredients that do not conform to the Products' labels, packaging, advertising, and statements.

77. Plaintiff and the Class seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

COUNT 4

<u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u> (On Behalf of the Nationwide Class and, alternatively, the Texas Subclass (collectively, the "Class"))

78. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

79. Substantial benefits have been conferred on Defendant by Plaintiff and the Class through their purchase of the Products. Defendant knowingly and willingly accepted and enjoyed these benefits.

80. Defendant either knew or should have known that the payments rendered by Plaintiff and the Class were given and made with the expectation that the Products would have the qualities, characteristics, ingredients, and suitability for consumption represented and warranted by Defendant.

81. As such, it would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit of the payments under the circumstances.

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 18 of 21

82. Defendant's acceptance and retention of these benefits under the circumstances alleged herein make it inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefits and not return the value of payments made by Plaintiff and the Class.

83. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendant all amounts wrongfully collected and improperly retained by Defendant, plus interest thereon.

84. Plaintiff and the Class seek actual damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other just and proper relief available.

COUNT 5

<u>VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT</u> <u>Tex. Bus. & Com. Code §§ 17.41, et seq.</u> (On Behalf of the Texas Subclass)

85. The Texas Plaintiff identified above, individually and on behalf of the Texas Class, repeat and re-allege all previously alleged paragraphs, as if fully alleged herein, Violation of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code §§ 17.41, *et seq.* ("TDTPA").

86. Plaintiff includes this claim as a "placeholder" claim and will assert it if the relief requested in the sixty day pre-suit letter sent by Plaintiff is not agreed to by Defendant, at which time Plaintiff will assert the claim for violation of Texas Bus. & Com. Code §§ 17.41, *et seq.*

87. Plaintiff and Texas subclass members who purchased the subject product are "consumers" under the TDTPA.

88. Defendant manufacturers' and retailers' practices, acts, policies and course of conduct violated the TDTPA in that:

a. Defendant engaged in deceptive acts and practices in or affecting commerce, through their false and deceptive material omissions in their labeling and marketing of the Beech-Nut Brand Baby Food Products. Such pattern of

conduct was uniform in nature with respect to the marketing and sale of the Beech-Nut Brand Baby Food Products.

b. Defendant knowingly concealed, suppressed and consciously omitted material facts from Plaintiff and members of the Texas class regarding the quality and levels of heavy metals contained in the Beech-Nut Brand Baby Food Products knowing that consumers would rely on these material omissions in choosing to purchase these products for their infants and children.

89. Defendant's acts and omissions possessed the tendency or capacity to mislead or create the likelihood of deception.

90. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefits of their deception and improper conduct in the form of direct and/or indirect profits from the sales of the Products.

91. As a proximate result of the TDTPA violations described in this complaint, Plaintiff and members of the Texas class purchased the Beech-Nut Brand Baby Food Products and suffered economic losses, including, but not limited to, the monies expended in purchasing baby food which is not merchantable and unfit for its intended purpose on account of its toxic and/or dangerous levels of heavy metals, and/or the price premium paid for the baby food and beverages which they received in their purchase of the Defendant's Products.

92. As a direct and proximate result of these deceptive commercial practices, Plaintiff and the members of the Texas class have been damaged and seek to recover actual and all other forms of recovery permitted by law.

93. Plaintiff and Texas subclass members also seek appropriate equitable relief, including an order requiring Defendant to cease selling the Beech-Nut Brand Baby Food with the

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJM-CFH Document 1 Filed 03/09/21 Page 20 of 21

described material omissions. Plaintiff and the Texas class also seek attorneys' fees and any other just and proper relief available under TDTPA.

94. On March 8, 2021, Plaintiff's counsel, on behalf of Plaintiff and the Texas class, served Defendant with notice of its alleged violations of the TDTPA relating to the subject product purchased by Plaintiff and Texas class members, and demanded that Defendant cease their unfair and deceptive practices described therein. After sixty (60) days have passed, if Defendant have failed to provide the requested relief, Plaintiff will assert the within claim for all damages and relief to which Plaintiff and the Texas class are entitled to pursuant to Tex. Bus. Com. Code §§ 17.50 and 17.505.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the other Members of the Classes proposed in this Complaint, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment as follows:

A. Declaring that this action is a proper class action, certifying the Classes as requested herein, designating Plaintiff as a Class Representative, and appointing the undersigned counsel as Class Counsel for the Classes;

B. Enjoining Defendant from selling the Products until the levels of heavy metals are removed or full disclosure of the presence of such appears on all labels, packaging, and advertising;

C. Ordering Defendant to pay damages and/or restitution to Plaintiff and the other Members of the Class;

D. Ordering Defendant to pay reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation costs to Plaintiff and the other Members of the Class, as allowable by law; and

E. Ordering such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all claims in this Complaint so triable. Plaintiff also respectfully requests leave to amend this Complaint to conform to the evidence, if such amendment is needed for trial.

Dated: March 9, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Gary S. Graifman</u> Gary S. Graifman KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN, P.C. 135 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 200 Montvale, New Jersey 07645 T: 845-356-2570 F: 845-356-4335 ggraifman@kgglaw.com

Melissa R. Emert KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN, P.C. 747 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 200 Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977 T: 845-356-2570 F: 845-356-4335 memert@kgglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Classes

Case 1:21-cv-00271-TJCTET COVERNMEETiled 03/09/21 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)							
IL BASIS OF IURISD	ICTION (Blace on "Y" in	One Poy (mb)		ZENSHIP O	F PR	INCIPA	I PARTIES /	Dlass av "V" in	Ou a Roy for	Distation	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintify (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)							Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citizen of This State							
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen	Citizen of Another State X		2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State			5		
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6								
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u> .										
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FOR	FEITURE/PENAI	LTY	BAN	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTE	ES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 960 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	r 462 tr	Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC	re 2 881 [[s ion [t t	422 Ap 423 Wi 28 PROPE 820 Co 830 Pat 835 Pat 840 Tra 880 Dei 840 Tra 880 Dei 840 Tra 880 Dei 861 HL 862 Bla 863 DIV 864 SSI 865 RS FEDER 870 Tas or 871 IRS	peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS pyrights ent ent - Abbreviated w Drug Application	375 False C 376 Qui Ta 377 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consur (15 US) 485 Teleph Protect 490 Cable/5 891 Agricu 893 Enviroi 895 Freedo Act 899 Admin Act/Re	Claims Act m (31 USC m) (31 USC m) eapportionr ist and Banking erce ation eer Influenc t Organizati mer Credit SC 1681 or 1 CC 1681 or 1 SC 1681 or 1 CC 1681 or 1 CC 1681 or 1 Use / Common ison Act Sat TV ies / Commonge Statutory Act Itural Acts Inmental Ma m of Inform tion istrative Proc view or App / Decision tutionality o	ment g ced and ions 1692) ner odities/ ctions atters nation ocedure peal of	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	Conditions of Confinement									
□ 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Appellate Court 6 Transferred from Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer											
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	e filing (Do	not cite jurisdiction	nal statu	tes unless d	iversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca	use:									
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: X CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes								
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER							
date signature of attorney of record Gary S. Graifman											
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		0 0 0	\mathcal{O}								
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT \$402.00	APPLYING IFP		JUD Case No.	^{GE} <u>7</u> 1:21-		MAG. JUE	DGE CFI	H		
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =				Cube 110.	1.41	C • -02	() 1				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution of the United States are included here.

to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- **III.** Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.