IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JAKE GRUBER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CERAVE LLC and L'OREAL USA PRODUCTS, INC.

Defendant.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY DEMANDED

Now comes the Plaintiff, JAKE GRUBER ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, and for his Class Action Complaint against the Defendants, CERAVE LLC and L'OREAL USA PRODUCTS, INC., ("Defendants"), Plaintiff alleges and states as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. This is an action for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, for violations of Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Businesses Practices Act ("ILCFA"), 815 ILCS 505/1 et seq., common law fraud, unjust enrichment, and breach of warranty, resulting from the illegal actions of Defendants, in intentionally labeling its skincare products with false and misleading claims that they are oil-free, when Defendants' products contain numerous oils. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and him own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest or costs, and is a class action in which members of the class are citizens of a State different from the Defendants.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this District, and Defendants do business in the Northern District of Illinois.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is an individual who was at all relevant times residing in Carol Stream, Illinois.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant L'Oréal USA Products, Inc. is a Delaware corporation, whose principal place of business is located in New York City, New York.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant CeraVe LLC is a New York limited liability company, whose principal place of business is located in New York City, New York.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant CeraVe LLC is a subsidiary of Defendant L'Oreal USA Products, Inc.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants were engaged in the manufacturing, marketing, and sale of cosmetics.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 9. Defendants manufacture, advertise, market, sell, and distribute skincare products throughout Illinois and the United States under the brand name "CeraVe"
- 10. During the Class Period Defendants' following products (the "Products") were advertised as oil-free when they in fact contained the listed oils:

- a. CeraVe Daily Moisturizing Lotion: caprylic/capric triglyceride, dimethicone;
- b. CeraVe Ultra-Light Moisturizing Lotion SPF: octocrylene;
- c. CeraVe PM Facial Moisturizing Lotion: caprylic/capric triglyceride, dimethicone;
- d. CeraVe AM Facial Moisturizing Lotion SPF 30: octocrylene, dimethicone;
- 11. Plaintiff's most recent purchase of a Daily Moisturizing Lotion Product was on or about October 25, 2020.
- 12. All of the Products contain oils, but Defendants intentionally advertise and label the Products as oil-free.
- 13. Persons, like Plaintiff herein, have an interest in purchasing products that do not contain false and misleading claims with regards to the qualities of the products.
- 14. By making false and misleading claims about the qualities of the products, Defendant impaired Plaintiff's ability to choose the type and quality of products he chose to buy.
- 15. Therefore, Plaintiff has been deprived of his legally protected interest to obtain true and accurate information about his consumer products as required by law.
- 16. As a result, Plaintiff has been misled into purchasing products he would not have otherwise purchased.
- 17. Oil is a term that describes a material that is both hydrophobic and lipophilic. Oil can also be classified by the polarity of the material. Oils can be wholly non-polar such as hydrocarbons, or polar such as fatty acids. Oil comprises the following chemical functional groups:¹

ON 11 D 1

¹ Tony O'Lenick, Polar vs. Nonpolar oils, 2008.

- a. hydrocarbons (alkanes, alkenes) —such as squalane commonly sold as squalane oil;
- b. triglycerides—such as glycerol tristearate also known as stearin;
- c. esters—such as ester oil;
- d. fatty acids—such as palmitic acid;
- e. certain silicones—such as alkyl dimethicone
- f. fatty alcohols-sterols
- 18. All of the above functional groups can be generally characterized by the same physical properties commonly observed by laypersons including being less dense than water, being more viscous than water, and feeling slick or slippery to the touch.
 - 19. The following is a structural diagram of caprylic triglyceride:

-triglyceride

-alkane

20. Caprylic/capric triglycerides are defined as triglycerides. As shown in the diagram in paragraph 19 above, caprylic/capric triglycerides contain triglyceride and alkane functional groups. They would be defined as polar oils. The compounds have a density of 0.910 g/mL compared to water's 1g/mL.

21. The following is a structural diagram of dimethicone:

$$H_3C$$
 H_3C
 CH_3
 CH_3

-silicone(siloxane)

- 22. Dimethicone is defined as a polysiloxane. As shown in the diagram in paragraph 21 above, dimethicone contains the silicone (siloxane) functional group. It would be defined as a polar oil. The compound has a density of 0.965 g/mL, compared to water's 1g/mL.
 - 23. The following is a structural diagram of octocrylene:

-alkane, alkene

-ester

24. Octocrylene is defined as an ester. As shown in the diagram in paragraph 23 above, octocrylene contains the ester and alkane functional groups. It would be defined as a polar oil. The compound has a density of 1.05 g/mL, compared to water's 1g/mL.

- 25. Plaintiff purchased Defendants' products because Defendants' packaging claims that their products are oil-free.
- 26. Plaintiff would not have been able to understand that the Products contained oils without an advanced understanding of chemistry.
- 27. Furthermore, due to Defendants' intentional, deceitful practice of falsely labeling the Products as oil-fee, Plaintiff could not have known that the Products contained oils.
 - 28. Plaintiff was unaware that the Products contained oils when he purchased them.
- 29. Plaintiff and the Class were deceived into paying money for products they did not want because the Products were labeled as oil free.
- 30. Worse than the lost money, Plaintiff, the Class, and Sub-Class were deprived of their protected interest to choose the type and quality of products they use on their bodies.
- 31. Defendant, and not Plaintiff, the Class, or Sub-Class, knew or should have known that the Products' express labeling stating "Oil-Free" was false, deceptive, and misleading, and that Plaintiff, the Class, and Sub-Class members would not be able to tell the Products' contained oils unless Defendants expressly told them.
- 32. Defendants employ professional chemists to create the chemical formulas of Defendants' products. Therefore, Defendants through their employees knew or should have known that the Products contained oils and that by labeling the Products as oil-free they were deceiving consumers.
- 33. On information and belief, Defendants through their employees did know that the Products contained oils but chose to include "oil-free" labeling because they did not believe their customers were well educated enough to know the difference.

- 34. As a result of Defendants' acts and omissions outlined above, Plaintiff has suffered concrete and particularized injuries and harm, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Lost money;
 - b. Wasting Plaintiff's time; and
 - c. Stress, aggravation, frustration, loss of trust, loss of serenity, and loss of confidence in product labeling.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

35. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, as a member of the proposed class (the "Class"), defined as follows:

All persons within the United States who purchased the Products within four years prior to the filing of the Complaint through the date of class certification.

36. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, as a member of the proposed sub-class (the "Sub-Class"), defined as follows:

All persons within the State of Illinois who purchased the Products within ten years prior to the filing of the Complaint through the date of class certification.

- 37. Defendants, their employees and agents are excluded from the Class and Sub-Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class and Sub-Class, but believes the members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class Action to assist in the expeditious litigation of the matter.
- 38. The Class and Sub-Class are so numerous that the individual joinder of all of their members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of their members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Class and Sub-Class include thousands, if not

millions of members. Plaintiff alleges that the class members may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendants and their retailers.

- 39. This suit is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) because the Class and Sub-Class are so numerous that joinder of their members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class Action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and the Court.
- 40. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class and Sub-Class affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact common to the Class and Sub-Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class and Sub-Class members and include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether Defendants disseminated false and misleading information by claiming the Products was oil-free when they contained oils;
 - b. Whether the Class and Sub-Class members were informed that the products contained oils;
 - c. Whether the Products contained oils;
 - d. Whether Defendants' conduct was unfair and deceptive;
 - e. Whether Defendants unjustly enriched themselves as a result of the unlawful conduct alleged above;
 - f. Whether Defendants breached express warranties to Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members;
 - g. Whether there should be a tolling of the statute of limitations; and
 - h. Whether the Class and Sub-Class members are entitled to restitution, actual damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

- 41. As a resident of the United States and the State of Illinois who purchased the Products, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class and Sub-Class.
- 42. Plaintiff has no interests adverse or antagonistic to the interests of the other members of the Class and Sub-Class.
- 43. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and Sub-Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of class actions.
- 44. A class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class and Sub-Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class and Sub-Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties, and to the court system, resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system and protects the rights of each class member. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class and Sub-Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 45. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class and Sub-Class would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class and Sub-Class members not parties to such adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non-party Class and Sub-Class members to protect their interests.

- 46. Plaintiff's claims and injuries are identical to the claims and injuries of all Class and Sub-Class members, because all claims and injuries of all Class and Sub-Class members are based on the same false labeling and same legal theories. All allegations arise from the identical, false, affirmative written statements made by Defendants when they claimed the Products are oilfree.
- 47. Defendants have acted or refused to act in respect generally applicable to the Class and Sub-Class thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with regard to the members of the Class and Sub-Class as a whole.
- 48. The size and definition of the Class and Sub-Class can be identified through records held by retailers carrying and reselling the Products, and by Defendants' own records.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE ILLINOIS CONSUMER FRAUD AND</u> <u>DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT, 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.</u>

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if fully reiterated herein.
 - 50. Plaintiff is a "person" as defined in 815 ILCS 505/1(c), as he is a natural person.
- 51. Defendants are both a "person" as defined in 815 ILCS 505/1(c), as they are both a company and a business entity and/or association.

52. 815 ILCS 505/2 states:

Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices, including but not limited to the use or employment of any deception fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation or the concealment, suppression or omission of any material fact, with intent that others rely upon the concealment, suppression or omission of such material fact, or the use or employment of any practice described in Section 2 of the "Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act", approved August 5, 1965, in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful whether any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damaged thereby.

53. Through its representation that the Products were oil-free, Defendants made false promises, misrepresentations, concealments, suppressions, and omissions of material facts, with the intent that Plaintiff rely upon said false promises, misrepresentations, concealments, suppressions, and omissions of material facts.

54. 815 ILCS 505/10a states:

- (a) Any person who suffers actual damage as a result of a violation of this Act committed by any other person may bring an action against such person. The court, in its discretion may award actual economic damages or any other relief which the court deems proper...
- (c) [T]he Court may grant injunctive relief where appropriate and may award, in addition to the relief provided in this Section, reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the prevailing party.
- 55. In taking the actions and omissions set forth above, and making the false promises, misrepresentations, concealments, suppressions, and omissions of material facts set forth above, Defendants violated the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, including, but not limited to, 815 ILCS 505/2.
- 56. Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of the ILCFA, including, but not limited to, 815 ILCS 505/2 as to the Class and Sub-Class members with respect to the above-alleged transactions
- 57. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment against Defendants, declaring that Defendants' conduct violated 815 ILCS 505/2, enjoining Defendants from engaging in similar conduct in the future, and awarding actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, costs, and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II COMMON LAW FRAUD

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if fully reiterated herein.
- 59. Through its false statements on the Products' packaging, that the Products were oil-free, Defendant made false statements of material fact.
- 60. At the time Defendants made its statements to Plaintiff that the Products were oilfree, they knew, or reasonably should have known, that the statements described above were false.
- 61. At the time Defendants made the statements to Plaintiff, Defendants intended to induce Plaintiff to purchase the Products.
- 62. Plaintiff relied upon the truth of the statements described above and purchased the Products, only to find that the Products did in fact contain oils.
- 63. As a result of their reasonable reliance upon Defendant's false statements of material fact as set forth above, Plaintiffs and other members of the Class and Sub-Class have suffered concrete and particularized injuries, harm, and damages which include, but are not limited to, the loss of money spent on products they did not want to buy, and stress, aggravation, frustration, inconvenience, emotional distress, mental anguish, and similar categories of damages.

COUNT III UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 64. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if fully reiterated herein.
 - 65. Plaintiff conferred monetary benefits to Defendants by purchasing the Products.
- 66. Defendants have been unjustly enriched by retaining the revenues derived from Plaintiff's purchase of the Products based on the false statements that the Products are oil-free.
- 67. Defendants' retention of the revenue it received from Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, is unjust and inequitable because Defendants' false statements caused injuries

to Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, as they would not have purchased the Products if they knew the Products contained oils.

68. Defendants' unjust retention of the benefits conferred on it by Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, entitles Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, to restitution of the money they paid to Defendant for the Products.

COUNT IV BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTIES

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if fully reiterated herein.
- 70. Defendants, as the manufacturers, designers, marketers, and sellers of the Products, expressly warranted that the Products were oil-free on the front of the Products' packaging.
- 71. Defendants' express warranties that the Products were oil-free was part of the basis of the bargain between Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, and Defendant.
- 72. However, the Products contain oils and do not conform to the express warranties Defendants made to Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, that the Products are oil-free.
- 73. Furthermore, as described above, Defendants had actual knowledge of the above listed defects contained in the Products.
- 74. As a direct result of Defendants' breach of the express warranties it made to Plaintiff, and the Class and Sub-Class members, they have been injured, because they would not have purchased the Products on the same terms if they knew the Products contained oils contrary to what is listed on the packaging, and they did not gain the same benefits they bargained for when purchasing the Products.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendants as follows:

- a. An order certifying the Class and the Sub-Class and appointing Plaintiff as
 Representative of the Class and the Sub-Class;
- An order certifying the undersigned counsel as the Class and Sub-Class
 Counsel;
- c. An order requiring Defendants, at their own cost, to notify all members of the Class and the Sub-Class of the unlawful, unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable conduct herein;
- d. Judgment against Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial;
- e. An order for injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct by Defendant in the future;
- f. Judgment against Defendants for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees, court costs, and other litigation costs; and
- g. Any other relief deemed just and proper by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues in this action so triable, except for any issues relating to the amount of attorneys' fees and costs to be awarded should Plaintiff prevail on any of her claims in this action.

RESPECFULLY SUBMITTED,

JAKE GRUBER

By: /s/ Todd M. Friedman
Attorney for Plaintiff
Illinois Attorney No. 6276496
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.
21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 780
Woodland Hills, California 91367
Phone: (877) 619-8966

Fax: (866) 633-0228 tfriedman@toddflaw.com

/s/ Steven G. Perry
Attorney for Plaintiff
Illinois Attorney No. 6330283
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.
111 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1700
Chicago, IL 60604
Phone: (224) 218-0875

Fax: (866) 633-0228 steven.perry@toddflaw.com

/s/ David B. Levin
Attorney for Plaintiff
Illinois Attorney No. 6212141
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.
111 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1700
Chicago, IL 60604
Phone: (224) 218-0882

Fax: (866) 633-0228 dlevin@toddflaw.com

ILND 44 (Rev. 09/20) Case: 1:20-cv-07235 Document #: Q-Y ERS-HZ-67/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:16

The ILND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (See instructions on next page of this form.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
JAKE GRUBER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,			CERAVE LLC and L'OREAL USA PRODUCTS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff DuPage (Except in U.S. plaintiff cases)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. plaintiff cases only) Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.			
(c) Attorneys (firm name, address, and telephone number)			Attorneys (If Known)			
Todd M. Friedman, Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 780, Woodland Hills, CA 91367;323-306-4234						
H. PASIS OF HIDISDICTION (CL. 1						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Check <u>one</u> box, only.)			(Check <u>one</u> box, only for plaintiff and <u>one</u> box for defendant.) PTF DEF PTF DEF			
Plaintiff (U.S. Government not a party.)		Citizen of This State 1	Incorporated or Princ Business in This State	ipal Place of D 4 D 4		
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizen of Another State 2	2 Incorporated and Prir of Business in Another		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						
CONTRACT	TORT		PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	Sentence	Act	375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	530 General	☐ 530 General	☐ 720 Labor/Management	□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a))	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	☐ 535 Death Penalty	Relations	400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	Habeas Corpus: ☐540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overnayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Injury Product	☐550 Civil Rights	Leave Act	☐ 450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	355 Motor Vehicle Product	Liability	☐555 Prison Condition ☐560 Civil Detainee -	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation☐ 791 Employee Retirement	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan	Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL PROPERT	Conditions of Confinement	Income Security Act	and Corrupt Organizations	
(Excludes Veterans)	362 Personal Injury - Medical	■ 370 Other Fraud	or commement		480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 153 Recovery of Veteran's Benefits	Malpractice	☐ 371 Truth in Lending		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyright	485 Telephone Consumer	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		☐ 380 Other Personal		☐ 830 Patent	Protection Act (TCPA)	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability		Property Damage 385 Property Damage		C 11	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 196 Franchise		Product Liability		840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	BANKRUPTCY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Act of 2016 (DTSA) SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 891 Agricultural Arts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights		8 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/Accommodations	20 OSC 137	000 Other	(405(g))	899 Administrative	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 445 Amer. w/ Disabilities-	IMMIGRATION		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	462 Naturalization Application		865 RSI (405(g))	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other	Alien Detainee		FEDERAL TAXES 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	State Statutes	
	448 Education	(Prisoner Petition)		or Defendant		
		465 Other Immigration Actions	1	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		
V. ORIGIN (Check one box, only.)						
■ 1 Original □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated □ 5 Transferred □ 6 Multidistrict □ 8 Multidistrict □ Proceeding State Court Appellate Court or Reopened from Another Litigation -						
District Transfer Direct File (specify)						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Enter U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and						
write a brief statement of cause.) Illinois Consumer Fraud Act/unjust enrichment 423, enter the case number and judge for any associated bankruptcy matter previously adjudicated by a judge of this Court. Use a separate attachment if necessary.)						
VIII. REQUESTED IN Check if this is a class action under Rule 23, Demand \$ see complaint CHECK Yes only if demanded in complaint:						
COMPLAINT: Jury Demand: • Yes No						
IX. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): Judge Case Number						
X. Is this a previously dismissed or remanded case?						
Date: 12/7/2020 Signature of Attorney of Record /s/ Todd M. Friedman						

Case: 1:20-cy-07235 Document #: 1-1 Filed: 12/07/20 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:17 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The ILND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.