1	ROBERTSON & ASSOCIATES, LLP Alexander Robertson, IV (SBN 127042)	ORIGINAL FILED
2	arobertson@arobertsonlaw.com	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
-	Mark J. Uveno (SBN 189063)	OCT 192020
3	mineno@arobertsonlaw.com	
. 1	32121 Lindero Canyon Road, Suite 200	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
4	Westlake Village, California 91361 Telephone (818) 851-3850 • Facsimile (818) 851	-3851 By: Lepu Ubra, Deputy
5	Telephone (010) 051 500 0	Dejane Wortham
-	WHITFIELD BRYSON LLP	
6	Daniel K. Bryson (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
_	dan@wbmllp.com Patrick M. Wallace (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	RECEIVED
7	pat@wbmllp.com	
8	900 W. Morgan Street	OCT 0 9 2020
	Poloigh North Carolina 27609	5025
9	Telephone (919) 600-5000 • Facsimile (919) 600	Room 106
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class	
10		TO COMPANY OF CALLED BALLA
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, S	PRING STREET COURTHOUSE
12	COUNTY OF BOSTEVOZZZZZ,	
13	YVETTE THOR, individually, on behalf of	Case No. BC685349
	herself and all others similarly situated,	[Related to Case No. BC644600]
14	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
15	1 ianiuris,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL
13	vs.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
16	and an ar complete pict	SETTLEMENT, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND
	L.A. HARDWOOD FLOORING, INC., a	APPROVAL OF CLASS
17	California Corporation, d/b/a ETERNITY FLOORS; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE AWARDS
18	FLOORS, and DOES I through to,	
	Defendants.	Assigned for all purpose to: Honorable Ann I. Jones, Department "11"
19		Honorable Aini I. Jones, Department 11
20		Action filed: December 21, 2016
20	·	
21	AND RELATED ACTION.	
12.		1
22		
23	Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of	the Settlement in this matter came on for hearing
	II	

Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement in this matter came on for hearing on October 8, 2020. The Court, having considered whether to order final approval of the settlement of the above-entitled action pursuant to the Class Action Settlement Agreement (hereinafter referred to as "Settlement Agreement"), attached hereto as Exhibit "A", having read and considered all of the papers and argument of the parties and their counsel, having granted preliminary approval of the settlement on December 5, 2019, having directed that notice be given

ROBERTSON & ASSOCIATES, LLP

25

26

27

28

cause appearing:

2.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

5 6

All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the 1. Settlement Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the settlement and the final approval hearing and

the right to be excluded from the settlement, and having received no valid objections and for good

"All persons in the United States who purchased the Subject Products from Defendant, or any of its retail distributors, between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2016, who submitted a Claim within the Claim Deadline." (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 1(h)).

The Court finds that certification of the following class is appropriate:

10

9

Excluded from the Class are (1) Defendant, (2) all present and former affiliates 3. and/or officers or directors of Defendant, (3) the Judge of this Court, the Judge's family and staff, (4) all individuals who have already entered a Release and Settlement Agreement with Defendant related to their purchase of the Subject Products during the Class Period, and (5) all persons who timely request to be excluded from the Settlement Class or Settlement Classes in accordance with the provisions of the Notice.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4. The Court hereby finds that the Notice of Settlement published through the Claims Administrator's digital internet banner ad campaign and posted on the following website devoted to this Settlement: www.chineselaminatesettlement.com ("Settlement Website") starting on December 17, 2019, fairly and adequately described the proposed settlement, the manner in which Class Members could object to or participate in the settlement, and the manner in which Class Members could opt out of the settlement class; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class members; and complied fully with Code of Civil Procedure section 382, Civil Code section 1781, due process, California Rules of Court, Rules 3.766 and 3.769, the California Constitution and the United States Constitution, and any other applicable laws.

25 26

> The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class 5. Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed settlement

27

ROBERTSON & ASSOCIATES, LLP

 should be given final approval. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not file a timely and proper request to be excluded from the settlement are bound by this Order of Final Approval.

- 6. The Court further finds that the settlement, including the settlement amount, is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class, Plaintiffs and Defendants, and is the product of good faith, arms-length negotiations between the parties, and further, that the settlement is consistent with public policy, and fully complies with all applicable provisions of law. The Court makes this finding based on a weighing of the strength of Plaintiffs' claims and Defendants' defenses with the risk, expense, complexity, and duration of further litigation.
- 7. The Court also finds that the settlement is the result of non-collusive arms-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Class and Defendants, after thorough factual and legal investigation. In granting final approval of the settlement, the Court considered the nature of the claims, the amounts paid in settlement, the allocation of settlement proceeds among the Class Members, and the fact that the settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability after appeal. Additionally, the Court finds that the terms of the settlement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual Class Member.
- 8. The Court orders the parties to implement, and comply with, the terms of the settlement. Additionally, the Court finds in its discretion to allow for the four (4) late-filed but otherwise valid claims identified by the claims administrator.
- 9. The claims administrator is ordered to distribute valid cash and store credit voucher claims in accordance with the settlement. The claims administrator shall distribute checks to cash claimants within sixty (60) days following the Effective Date, with a deadline for cash such checks within sixty (60) days of issuance. The parties are ordered to work with the claims administrator on the mechanics of the distribution of the store credit vouchers to class members electing the same.
- 10. Any amount remaining from uncashed checks after the expiration of the sixty (60) day period from issuance shall be provided to a *cy pres* recipient in accordance with *Code of Civil* 30821.1

ROBERTSON & ASSOCIATES, LLP

30821.1

Procedure 384. Within 120 days from the Effective Date, class counsel shall report to the Court			
the total amount that was actually paid to the class members. Thirty (30) days after this final			
report, the parties shall prepare and file a stipulation and proposed order and proposed amended			
judgment. The stipulation and proposed order shall include, inter alia, the amount of the			
distribution of unpaid cash residue, and unclaimed or abandoned funds to the non-party, accrued			
interest on that sum and any other information required to be set forth pursuant to Section 68520			
of the Government Code, as incorporated into CCP Section 384.5. The stipulation shall be signed			
by class counsel, Defendants' counsel, and counsel for (or authorized representative of) the non-			
party ("cy pres") recipient. The stipulation shall include a statement to the effect that all interested			
persons are in accord with the amended judgment and have no objection to the entry of an			
amended judgment. If there are objections by any party, class counsel shall immediately notify			
the Court and the matter will be set for further hearing.			

- 11. Class counsel requests \$500,000 in attorneys' fees. The Court finds this amount is within an average range for class action litigation. The Court approves Class counsel's request for attorney's fees in the amount of \$500,000, which represents a reasonable percentage of the settlement fund and is well-supported by the submitted lodestar.
- 12. Class counsel submitted litigation costs, which all appear to be reasonable in amount and all appear to have been necessary to the litigation. The Court approves Class counsel's request for litigation costs in the amount of \$67,315.90.
- 13. Class counsel requests incentive awards for the four (4) named class representatives and supported this request with evidence that quantifies the time and effort expended by them and a reasoned explanation of the risks undertaken by them. Accordingly, the Court approves Class counsel's request for enhancement/service award payments of \$5,000 each to the following four (4) class representatives: Christopher Santiago, Julia Santiago, Tim Tagle, and Yvette Thor.
- 14. The claims administrator's costs for settlement administration were estimated at the time of preliminary approval at \$100,000. This amount was disclosed to the settlement class members and deemed unobjectionable. The Court approves the request for the claims administrator's, Angeion Group, Inc., for \$100,000 in costs and fees.

3

8

14

13

1516

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

27

ROBERTSON

& ASSOCIATES, LLP

15. The Court orders the parties to provide notice of the Final Judgment to the class by posting a copy of it on the website created for this settlement www.chineselaminatesettlement.com.

- 16. The Court determines that the methodology used to calculate and pay each settlement Class Member's individual settlement payment is fair and reasonable, and therefore is approved. The Claims Administrator is authorized to distribute the individual settlement payments to settlement Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 17. Since no member of the Class has objected to the Settlement and there being no justreason for delay, the Court determines that the Effective Date of the Settlement Agreement is the date of the signing of this order.
- Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs, for and on behalf of themselves, and every 18. member of the Settlement Classes, including each of their respective heirs and assigns ("Releasing Parties"), hereby release, hold harmless, forever discharge Defendants and the Released Parties, and shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting against Defendants and the Released Parties any and all claims asserted, or which could have been asserted, in the Complaints, which include but are not limited to the causes of action stated therein for (1) breach of implied warranties; (2) violation of the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act; (3) Fraudulent Concealment; (4) Negligent Misrepresentation; (5) violation of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act; (6) violation of California's Unfair Competition Law, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.; (7) violation of California's False Advertising Law, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, et seq.; (8) violation of California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code § 1750, et seq.; and (9) violation of Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NRS § 41.600 and § 598.0915, et seq. and any other matter whatsoever related directly or indirectly to: 1) the Plaintiffs' and Class Members' purchase and use of the Subject Products distributed by Defendant during the Class Period; 2) the manufacture, sale, distribution, labeling, marketing or advertising of the Subject Products sold by Defendant during the Class Period; 3) Defendant's compliance with state or federal labeling laws and regulations related to the Subject Products sold by Defendant during the Class Period; and/or 4) any claim by Plaintiffs of any nature related to the Subject Products sold or distributed by 30821.1

Defendant during the Class Period, and additionally, only the named Plaintiffs' claims for personal injuries as set forth in paragraph 56 of the First Amended Complaint (hereinafter the "Released Claims"). The "Released Parties" shall mean Defendant as defined in Section 1. (n) of the Settlement Agreement.

- and understand that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which they now believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the claims released herein, but expressly agree that they have taken these possibilities into account in electing to participate in this release, and that the release given herein shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts, as to which the Releasing Parties expressly waive their rights to and assume the risk.
- 20. The Released Claims, however, shall not include any claims to enforce the Settlement Agreement or the request of Class Counsel for fees and costs/expenses and expenses related to this Settlement Agreement (all said amounts to come from the Settlement Fund). All Parties shall bear their own expense to enforce the Settlement Agreement.
- 21. As of the Effective Date, by operation of the entry of the Order of Final Approval and Judgment, each Class Member who does not file a valid Request for Exclusion, automatically, upon entry of the Order of Final Approval and Judgment, shall be held to have fully released, waived, relinquished, and discharged the Released Parties from the Released Claims, to the fullest extent permitted by law, and shall be enjoined from continuing, instituting, or prosecuting any legal proceeding against the Released Parties relating in any way whatsoever to the Released Claims.
- 22. The Releasing Parties, on behalf of themselves and their respective assigns, agree not to sue or otherwise make a claim against any of the Released Parties that is in any way related to the Released Claims.
- 23. As of the Effective Date, by operation of the entry of the Order of Final Approval and Judgment, each Class Member who does not file a valid Request for Exclusion, automatically, upon entry of the Order of Final Approval and Judgment, shall be held to have fully released,

waived, relinquished, and discharged the Released Parties from the Released Claims, to the fullest extent permitted by law, and shall be enjoined from continuing, instituting, or prosecuting any legal proceeding against the Released Parties relating in any way whatsoever to the Released Claims. The Releasing Parties, on behalf of themselves and their respective assigns, agree not to sue or otherwise make a claim against any of the Released Parties that is in any way related to the Released Claims.

24. With respect to the Released Claims, the Plaintiffs shall expressly waive any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States which is similar, comparable or equivalent to California *Civil Code Section* 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

- 25. The Plaintiffs acknowledge and represent that they have had an opportunity to consult with the Class Counsel before entering this Settlement Agreement and that the Plaintiffs understand its meaning, including the effect of the waiver of Section 1542 of the California *Civil Code*, and expressly consents that this Settlement Agreement shall be given full force and effect according to each and all of its express terms and provisions, including, without limitation, those relating to the release of unknown and unsuspected claims, demands and causes of action.
- 26. Except as set forth herein, it is the intention of the Releasing Parties and Defendant in executing this Settlement Agreement that this instrument is a general release as between Releasing Parties and Released Parties which shall be effective as a bar to each and every claim, demand or cause of action released herein, except as provided herein. The Releasing Parties recognize that they may have some claim, demand, or cause of action against the Released Parties, whether arising out of, resulting from, or in any way related to the Released Claims, of which Releasing Parties are totally unaware and unsuspecting and which Releasing Parties are giving up by execution of this Settlement Agreement. It is the intention of the Releasing Parties in executing

1	this instrument that it will deprive them of each such claim, demand, or cause of action and	
2	prevent them from asserting it against the Released Parties. This includes, but is not limited to the	
3	claims, demands, or causes of action arising out of, related to, or in any way connected to or with	
4	the Released Claims.	
5	27. Neither the settlement of the case nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement	
6	Agreement constitute an admission by Defendants of liability to the named Plaintiffs or any Class	
7	Member, nor does this Order of Final Approval constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of	
8	any of the claims alleged in the lawsuit.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: 10-19, 2020	
11	ANN LIONES	
12	ANN I. JONES THE HONORABLE ANN I. JONES	
13	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	30821.1	

ROBERTSON & ASSOCIATES, LLP

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS