Sheehan & Associates, P.C. Spencer Sheehan spencer@spencersheehan.com 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck, NY 11021 Telephone: (516) 268-7080

United States District Court Eastern District of New York

1:20-cv-05606

Robert Kushner, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Complaint

Monster Energy Company,

Defendant

Plaintiff by attorneys alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

- 1. Monster Energy Company ("defendant") manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells coffee-based energy drinks purporting to be flavored with vanilla and having a vanilla taste, under its Java 300 product line French Vanilla, Java 300 Triple Shot ("Product").
 - 2. The Product is sold by retailers and online stores of third-parties nationwide.
- 3. The relevant label representations include "French Vanilla," "Java Monster," "300" and "Triple Shot."



- 4. Unfortunately for consumers, the Product is not flavored mainly from vanilla beans and as a result, does not taste like vanilla.
- 5. Demand for real vanilla "has been steadily increasing…due to consumer demand for natural foods that are free of artificial ingredients."
- 6. According to one flavor supplier, today's consumers "want real vanilla, not imitation [vanilla] flavoring."
 - 7. Vanilla comes from an orchid plant grown in the tropics.

¹ Chagrin Valley Soap & Salve Company, FAQs, Why Are The Prices of Vanilla Bean Products Always Increasing?

- 8. The fruit pod of the vanilla flower is the vanilla bean, the raw material for vanilla flavorings.
- 9. The vanilla bean is heated in the sun for weeks, soaked in alcohol and its flavor constituents extracted (vanilla extract).
- 10. The popularity of vanilla in the 19th century led to the isolation of the most predominant flavor component, vanillin.
- 11. However, vanillin separated from the rest of the vanilla bean does not constitute vanilla flavor.
- 12. Sensory evaluation of vanillin is mainly sweet, with a lackluster "chemical-like" taste and odor because it lacks the other molecules in vanilla.
- 13. Vanillin must be accompanied by other compounds to produce the familiar flavor and aroma consumers are accustomed to as vanilla.
- 14. The availability of low-cost vanillin resulted in foods purporting to contain vanilla, which either contained no vanilla or a trace or *de minimis* amount, boosted by synthetic vanillin.
- 15. Vanilla's unique and complex flavor is due to the many odor-active compounds including acids, ethers, alcohols, acetals, heterocyclics, phenolics, hydrocarbons, esters and carbonyls, shown in the table below for a sample of vanilla extract.

MS	Area		Peak
Scan #	Integration	Peak Assignment	Area %
67	16132	hexanal	0.0206
71	16235	butanediol isomer	0.0207
81	57370	butanediol isomer	0.0732
103	36387	3-methylbutyric acid	0.0464
115	33053	furfural	0.0422
141	27408	butanal, diethyl acetal	0.0350
262		3-methylbutanal, diethyl acetal	0.0235
281		hexanoic acid	0.0322
289	2729	methyl furfural	0.0035
299		phenol + trace of benzaldehyde	0.0665
349		1H-pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde	0.0030
379		limonene + benzyl alcohol	0.0603
397		heptanoic acid	0.0176
409		gamma-hexalactone	0.0397
415		p-cresol	0.0247
425		hexanal, diethyl acetal	0.0057
443		guiaicol	0.3666
453		nonanal	0.0076
477		phenylethyl alcohol	0.0128
496	112067		0.1429
505		benzoic acid + octanoic acid	0.0570
522		diethyl succinate	0.0058
536			
544		ethyl benzoate	0.0031
-		1,2-benzenediol	0.0150
555		2-methoxy-4-methylphenol	0.1854
567		methyl salicylate	0.0032
587		hydroxy methyl furfural (HMF)	0.0109
594		benzeneacetic acid	0.0071
605		nonanoic acid	0.1295
624		hydroquinone	0.0087
631		4-methoxybenzaldehyde (p-anisaldehyde)	0.0049
642		ethyl nonanoate	0.0081
653		4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (p-anisyl alcohol)	0.0679
676		cinnamyl alcohol	0.0185
685		3-hydroxybenzyl alcohol	0.0205
718	12188570	3-hydroxybenzaldehyde + 4-ethoxymethylphenol	15.5440
751	122634	methyl cinnamte	0.1564
759	60715743	vanillin	77.4301
796	90669	methyl-p-methoxybenzoate (methyl paraben)	0.1156
809	2228588	vanillyl ethyl ether + trace of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzyl alcohol	2.8421
832	224829	p-hydroxybenzoic acid	0.2867
839	37335	acetovanillone	0.0476
892	950342	vanillic acid	1.2120
909	405589	3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde	0.5172
935		3,4-dihydroxy-5-methoxybenzaldehyde	0.1051
954		ethyl homovanillate	0.0079
975		syringealdehyde	0.0997
1266		ethyl palmitate	0.0180
1518		ethyl linoleate	0.0274
	78413588		100.0000

- 16. While vanillin (MS Scan # 759, 77.4301 Peak Area %) plays a significant role, it contributes less than one-third of the overall flavor/aroma impact of vanilla.
- 17. Methyl cinnamate (MS Scan # 751) and cinnamyl alcohol (MS Scan # 676, 0.0185) provide cinnamon and creamy notes to vanilla.
 - 18. P-cresol (MS Scan # 415, 0.0247) provides woody and spicy notes.
 - 19. Acetovanillone (MS Scan # 839, 0.0476) provides a sweet, honey taste.
- 20. P-hydroxybenzoic acid (MS Scan # 832, 0.2867) and vanillic acid (MS Scan # 892, 1.2120) are significant phenolic compounds which contribute to vanilla's aroma.

- 21. 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (p-anisaldehyde) (MS Scan # 631, 0.0049) provides creamy flavor notes to vanilla.
- 22. 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (p-anisyl alcohol) (MS Scan # 653, 0.0679) provides floral notes.
- 23. Consumer and industry groups have long sought to prevent the deceptive practice where consumers are sold a food flavored as "vanilla" only to discover too late it lacks the richness and layered taste only provided by flavor from vanilla beans.
- 24. The earliest effort to prevent fraud in vanilla products was the U.S. Pharmacopeia standard, which required a specific weight of vanilla beans as the source for vanilla extract.
- 25. The focus was on the weight of actual vanilla beans, because this would prevent companies from adding vanillin to a small amount of vanilla beans.
- 26. However, consumer deception continued, as companies regularly labeled foods as "vanilla" despite containing no, or trace amounts of vanilla.
- 27. Congress authorized regulations to prevent such deceptive labeling, and for over fifty (50) years, most companies adhered to these industry standards.
- 28. This meant consumers were told that a product purported to be flavored as "vanilla" but not containing vanilla and/or containing artificial vanilla would be labeled as flavored with imitation vanilla or artificially flavored.
- 29. These regulations established custom and practice so that consumers' experience primed them to infer from a product's labeling whether the flavor source was entirely from the characterizing vanilla bean ingredient or not.
- 30. For instance, when a food was labeled "vanilla" without qualification, consumers understood that the flavoring was only from vanilla beans.

- 31. In early 2018, in response to reports of a surge in fraudulent vanilla flavored foods, the flavor industry The Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association of the United States or "FEMA" urged companies to return to truthfully labeling vanilla foods so consumers would not be misled by artificial vanilla flavors where foods were labeled only with "vanilla." *See* John B. Hallagan and Joanna Drake, FEMA, "Labeling Vanilla Flavorings and Vanilla-Flavored Foods in the U.S.," Perfumer & Flavorist, Vol. 43 at p. 46, Apr. 25, 2018 ("Hallagan & Drake").
- 32. Based on the term "[French] Vanilla" and the absence of any qualifying terms, reasonable consumers, and Plaintiff, expected the Product to have a vanilla taste provided by vanilla beans.
- 33. Though the Product's ingredient list states "Natural Flavors (Contains Vanilla Extract)," this does not clarify nor disclose to consumers that even though it *may* contain a trace of real vanilla, the majority of the flavoring comes from artificial vanilla flavors and as a result, does not taste like vanilla.

INGREDIENTS: BREWED COFFEE (FILTERED WATER, COFFEE), SKIM MILK, SUGAR, CREAM, GLUCOSE, TAURINE, NATURAL FLAVORS (CONTAINS VANILLA EXTRACT), SODIUM BICARBONATE, PANAX GINSENG FLAVOR, MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE, SODIUM CITRATE, CAFFEINE, CARRAGEENAN, CALCIUM ALGINATE, NIACINAMIDE (VIT. B3), SODIUM ALGINATE, INOSITOL, L-CARNITINE L-TARTRATE, PYRIDOXINE HYDROCHLORIDE (VIT. B6), SUCRALOSE, RIBOFLAVIN (VIT. B2). CONTAINS MILK

INGREDIENTS: BREWED COFFEE (FILTERED WATER, COFFEE), SKIM MILK, SUGAR, CREAM, GLUCOSE, TAURINE, NATURAL FLAVORS (CONTAINS VANILLA EXTRACT), DIPOTASSIUM PHOSPHATE, MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE, **PANAX GINSENG** FLAVOR, BICARBONATE, **SODIUM SODIUM** CITRATE, CARRAGEENAN. CAFFEINE, CALCIUM ALGINATE, NIACINAMIDE (VIT. B3), SODIUM ALGINATE. INOSITOL. L-CARNITINE L-TARTRATE. PYRIDOXINE HYDROCHLORIDE (VIT. B6), SUCRALOSE, RIBOFLAVIN (VIT. B2).

- 34. Analysis of the Product reveals an abnormal excess of vanillin relative to the amount and presence of the key odor-active compounds in authentic vanilla, which is a strong indicator it contains vanillin from non-vanilla sources.
- 35. For instance, the Product fails to reveal detectable levels of one or more compounds including methyl cinnamate, cinnamyl alcohol, p-cresol, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (p-anisaldehyde), 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (p-anisyl alcohol) and/or vanillic acid.
- 36. This means that the Product *may* contain a trace or de minimis amount of vanilla but is boosted by synthetic vanillin from wood pulp or petroleum derivatives.
- 37. The representation as "[French] Vanilla" is false, deceptive and misleading because consumers expect the designation of vanilla without qualifying terms "flavored," "other natural flavors" to mean the flavor source is exclusively and/or predominantly from vanilla beans.
- 38. Most products sold today contain such statements, so their absence is notable to consumers.
- 39. Second, because vanillin is responsible for less than one-third of the overall flavor/aroma impact of vanilla, it is false and misleading to describe the Product's taste as "vanilla" because it lacks detectable level of the above-identified odor-active compounds that are critical to the expected vanilla taste.
- 40. Third, the representation of "vanilla" is misleading because the Product contains added vanillin, not from vanilla beans.
- 41. Natural vanillin is only from vanilla beans, which means vanillin from non-vanilla sources is artificial.
 - 42. According to the legal counsel for FEMA, John Hallagan, federal regulations,

mirrored by those of this state, require a food such as Defendant's Product, that purports to taste like vanilla, to disclose the presence of the artificial flavor, vanillin.

- 43. Hallagan provides an example of a "vanilla-tasting cookie (vanilla is the characterizing flavor)" which contains some vanilla and added vanillin from non-vanilla.
- 44. Since vanillin is characterizing for vanilla, "the labeling for the cookie on the principal display panel must indicate" it is artificially flavored because it contains vanillin not from vanilla beans.²
- 45. Likewise, Defendant's French Vanilla Java is labeled as vanilla even though it contains vanillin not from vanilla beans, which means it should be labeled as "artificially flavored."
- 46. Consumers expect to be told the Product is artificially flavored because vanilla's taste is not synonymous with vanillin.
- 47. Consumers prefer foods flavored with ingredients that are more natural and less processed, and vanillin is highly processed in a laboratory and made with chemical additives.
- 48. By omitting "artificially flavored" from the front label, consumers are not told that the (1) taste is not provided exclusively or predominantly from vanilla beans and (2) that the Product fails to taste like vanilla because it lacks the above-described odor-active compounds.
- 49. Fourth, the Product's ingredient list prevents consumers from learning the truth about the "vanilla" taste.
- 50. Because the Product contains added vanillin, the ingredient list should indicate "natural and artificial flavors."
 - 51. Consumers who read the ingredient listing of "natural flavors (contains vanilla

-

² Hallagan and Drake, p. 52.

extract)" will not be told that the flavors included with the vanilla extract are artificial vanilla flavors, not from vanilla beans.

- Consumers are entitled to know "whether the product [they are buying] is flavored 52. with a vanilla flavoring derived from vanilla beans, in whole or in part, or whether the food's vanilla flavor is provided by flavorings not derived from vanilla beans."³
- 53. Defendant knows consumers will pay more for the Product because the front label only states "vanilla" and not "artificially flavored" and "does not taste like real vanilla."
- Defendant's omission and failure to disclose these facts is deceptive and misleading 54. to consumers who want a vanilla flavored product that contains flavoring exclusively and/or predominantly from vanilla beans and tastes like vanilla.
- 55. Vanillin is found by plaintiff and consumers to taste harsh and lack the depth of real vanilla.
- Defendant's branding and packaging of the Product is designed to and does -56. deceive, mislead, and defraud plaintiff and consumers.
- 57. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.
- 58. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased and consumed was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.
- 59. Had plaintiff and class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for them.
- 60. As a result of the false and misleading labeling, the Product is an sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$ 2.49 per 15 OZ, excluding tax, compared to other similar

³ Id.

products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than the price of the Product if it were represented in a non-misleading way.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 61. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)
- 62. Under CAFA, district courts have "original federal jurisdiction over class actions involving (1) an aggregate amount in controversy of at least \$5,000,000; and (2) minimal diversity[.]" *Gold v. New York Life Ins. Co.*, 730 F.3d 137, 141 (2d Cir. 2013).
 - 63. Plaintiff Robert Kushner is a citizen of New York.
- 64. Defendant Monster Energy Company, is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Corona, Riverside County, California and is a citizen of California.
- 65. "Minimal diversity" exists because plaintiff Robert Kushner and defendant are citizens of different states.
- 66. Upon information and belief, sales of the Product in New York exceed \$5 million per year, exclusive of interest and costs, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million per year.
- 67. Venue is proper in this judicial district because Plaintiff resides in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here.
 - 68. Venue is further supported because many class members reside in this District.

Parties

- 69. Plaintiff Robert Kushner is a citizen of New York, Staten Island, Richmond County.
- 70. Defendant Monster Energy Company is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Corona, California, Riverside County and is a citizen of California.

- 71. During the relevant statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged, plaintiff purchased the Product within his district and/or State for personal and household consumption and/or use in reliance on the representations of the Product.
- 72. Plaintiff purchased the Product on more than one occasion, including in or around February 2020, at Mike Food Deli Corp. store at 100 Stuyvesant Pl, Staten Island, NY 10301.
- 73. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price because he liked the product for its intended use and relied upon the front label claims, expected a vanilla taste, and that such taste would come exclusively and/or predominantly from vanilla beans and did not expect a taste of vanillin, provided by artificial vanilla flavors.
 - 74. Plaintiff was deceived by and relied upon the Product's deceptive labeling.
- 75. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product in the absence of Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions.
- 76. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid for it and he would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.
- 77. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when he can do so with the assurance that Product's labels are consistent with the Product's components.

Class Allegations

- 78. The class will consist of all purchasers of the Product who reside in New York during the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 79. Plaintiff seek class-wide injunctive relief based on Rule 23(b) in addition to a monetary relief class.
- 80. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

- 81. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.
- 82. Plaintiff is an adequate representatives because his interests do not conflict with other members.
- 83. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 84. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.
- 85. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.
 - 86. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

New York GBL §§ 349 & 350 (Consumer Protection from Deceptive Acts)

- 87. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 88. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase and consume products which were as described and marketed by defendant and expected by reasonable consumers, given the product type.
- 89. Defendant's acts and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.
- 90. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quantitative, qualitative, compositional and/or organoleptic attributes of the Product.
- 91. The amount and proportion of the characterizing component, vanilla, has a material bearing on price and consumer acceptance of the Product and consumers do not expect the Product to be flavored by sources which were not predominantly vanilla beans and expect a Product that

tastes like vanilla, because that is what the front label said – "Vanilla."

- 92. Plaintiff relied on the statements, omissions and representations of defendant, and defendant knew or should have known the falsity of same.
- 93. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Negligent Misrepresentation

- 94. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 95. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quantitative, qualitative, compositional and/or organoleptic attributes of the Product.
- 96. The amount and proportion of the characterizing component, vanilla, has a material bearing on price and consumer acceptance of the Product and consumers do not expect artificial vanilla because it was not stated on the front label or ingredient list, where consumers are accustomed to looking and seeing this information.
- 97. Defendant had a duty to disclose the non-vanilla, artificial flavors and tell consumers the Product did not taste like vanilla because it lacked sufficient amounts of the compounds which provide the characteristic vanilla taste.
- 98. This duty is based on defendant's position as an entity which has held itself out as having special knowledge and experience in the production, service and/or sale of the product type.
- 99. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant, a well-known and respected brand or entity in this sector.
- 100. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Product.

101. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

- 102. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 103. The Product was manufactured, labeled and sold by defendant or at its express directions and instructions, and warranted to plaintiff and class members that they possessed substantive, quality, organoleptic, and/or compositional attributes it did not.
- 104. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.
- 105. This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as one of the most recognized companies in the nation in this sector.
- 106. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, and their employees.
- 107. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these misrepresentations due to numerous complaints by consumers to its main office over the past several years regarding the Product, of the type described here.
- 108. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable.
- 109. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Fraud

- 110. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 111. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quality, compositional and/or

organoleptic attributes of the Product.

112. The amount and proportion of the characterizing component, vanilla, has a material bearing on price and consumer acceptance of the Product and consumers do not expect a product labeled as "Vanilla" to be flavored mainly from artificial vanillin and therefore not taste like vanilla.

113. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its failure to accurately identify the Product on the front label and ingredient list, when it knew its statements were neither true nor accurate and misled consumers.

114. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Unjust Enrichment

- 115. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 116. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
- 3. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the

applicable laws;

- 4. Awarding monetary damages and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
- 5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 17, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

SHEEHAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/s/ Spencer Sheehan

Spencer Sheehan spencer@spencersheehan.com 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck, NY 11021

Telephone: (516) 268-7080 Facsimile: (516) 234-7800

1:20-cv-05606 United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Robert Kushner, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Monster Energy Company,

Defendant

Complaint

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 268-7080 Fax: (516) 234-7800

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: November 17, 2020

/s/ Spencer Sheehan
Spencer Sheehan

Case 1:20-cv-05606 Document 1-1 Filed 11/17/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 18

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	·					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Robert Kushner, indisimilarly situated	ividually and on	behalf of all oth	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
(b) County of Residence of (EZ) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Sheehan & Associates, P. 11021-3104 (516) 268-70	ACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C. Address, and Telephone Numb. C., 60 Cuttermill Rd	er)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plain								
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	 ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) ☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 		(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State					
☐ 2 U.S. Governmen Defendant								
W. MARVIDE OF CVIII			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 970 Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR Y □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC			
Proceeding Sta	moved from te Court Cite the U.S. Civil St 28 LISC 5 1333	Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfer Another (specify)	r District Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of c False advertising	cause:						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes ☐ No			
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 11/17/2020 /s/ Spencer Sheehan								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP		APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE			

certification to the contrary is filed. Case is Eligible for Arbitration Spencer Sheehan plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for , counsel for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1** Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)** Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Yes (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. lacksquareYes No Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain Nο I certify the accuracy of all information provided above. Signature: /s/Spencer Sheehan

Case 1:20-cv-GEROGIFICATION OF ARRITRATION ELIGIBILITY age D #: 19

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of New York

Robert Kushner, individually others similarly situated,	and on behalf of all)))			
	_)			
V.		Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-05606			
,,)			
Monster Energy Company,		<i>)</i>			
Defendant/a	1)			
Defendant(s)	,			
	SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Monster Energy Comp	pany			
c/o The Prentice- 251 Little Falls I		-Hall Corporation System, Inc. Or			
	Wilmington DE 19808	3-1674			
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must sthe Federal Rules of Civil Proce whose name and address are:	vice of this summons on yd States agency, or an officerve on the plaintiff an ardure. The answer or motion Sheehan & Associates, 23104 (516) 268-7080	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. Is not to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
Date:	<u></u>				
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			