	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 File	ed 05/22/20 Page 1 of 31
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Christopher D. Moon, SBN 246622 chris@moonlawapc.com Kevin O. Moon, SBN 246792 kevin@moonlawapc.com MOON LAW APC 228 Hamilton Ave., 3rd F1 Palo Alto, California 94301 Telephone: (619) 915-9432 Facsimile: (650) 618-0478 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	LAN DO, on behalf of herself and a	Case No.
14	class of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
15	Plaintiff,	
16	v.	
17	EARTHWHILE ENDEAVORS, INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
18		
19 20	Defendant.	
20 21		-
21 22		
22		
24		
25	Plaintiff Lan Do ("Plaintiff"). ir	ndividually and on behalf of all others
26		cribed herein (the "Class" and "Class
27		nst Defendant Earthwhile Endeavors, Inc.
28	("Defendant"), and alleges as follows:	
		1-
	CLASS ACTIO	1- N COMPLAINT

1	NATURE OF THE ACTION
2	1. In recent years, consumers have poured billions of dollars into the
3	"natural" products market, including pet care. In fact, the "natural" products
4	market is expected to reach over \$40 billion by 2025.
5	2. As a result, companies have scrambled to manufacture and sell
6	"natural" products in an effort to gain market share. Unfortunately, rather than
7	creating the products consumers desire, certain companies, like Defendant, have
8	chosen instead to "greenwash" their products through deceptive labeling in order to
9	convince consumers the products are "natural" when, in reality, they are not.
10	3. The purported "natural" products (collectively, "Products") at issue
11	are as follows:
12	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Deodorizing Shampoo
13	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Oatmeal & Aloe Shampoo
14	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Oatmeal & Aloe Conditioner
	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Mango Tango 2-in-1 Conditioning Shampoo Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hyper Allergenia Cat Shampoo
15	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hypo-Allergenic Cat Shampoo Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hypo-Allergenic Shampoo
16	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Coat Brightening Shampoo
17	• Earthbath Natural Pet Care 2-in-1 Conditioning Cat Shampoo
18	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Ultra-Mild Puppy Shampoo
_	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Dirty Dog Shampoo
19	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Shampoo
20	• Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Conditioner
21	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Soothing Stress Relief Shampoo Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hot Spot Poliof Shampoo
22	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hot Spot Relief Shampoo Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hot Spot Relief Wipes
23	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Wipes
	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Tushy Wipes
24	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Facial Wipes
25	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Tooth & Gum Wipes
26	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Eye Wipes
27	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Ear Wipes Earthbath Natural Pet Care Durant Wines
	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Puppy Wipes Earthbath Natural Pet Care Grooming Wipes (all varieties)
28	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Cat Wipes (all varieties)
	-2- CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT



1 4. Defendant manufactures, markets, advertises, labels, and sells the 2 Products throughout California and the United States.

3 The Products are all uniformly and prominently labeled "natural pet 5. 4 care."

5

6. Contrary to the Products labeling and advertising, the purported 6 "natural" Products contain numerous non-natural, synthetic, and/or artificial 7 ingredients.

8 7. Through falsely, misleadingly, and deceptively labeling the Products, 9 Defendant sought to take advantage of consumers' desire for natural pet care 10 products. Yet Defendant has done so at the expense of unwitting consumers, as 11 well as Defendant's lawfully acting competitors, over whom Defendant maintains 12 an unfair competitive advantage.

13 As a result, Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of 8. those similarly situated, and seeks to represent a National Class and a California 14 15 Subclass (defined infra). Plaintiff seeks damages, interest thereon, reasonable 16 attorneys' fees and costs, restitution, other equitable relief, and disgorgement of all 17 benefits Defendant has enjoyed from its conduct. In addition, Plaintiff seeks 18 injunctive relief to stop Defendant's unlawful conduct in the false, deceptive, and 19 misleading labeling and marketing of the Products. Plaintiff makes these 20 allegations based on her personal knowledge and, otherwise, on information and 21 belief based on investigation of her counsel.

22

JURISDICTION

23 9. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the 24 Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because the proposed 25 Class consists of 100 or more members; the amount in controversy exceeds 26 \$5,000,000, exclusive of costs and interest; and minimal diversity exists. This 27 Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

1

7

<u>VENUE</u>

10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a
substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims
occurred in this District. In addition, Plaintiff purchased the unlawful Products in
this District, Defendant is headquartered in this District, and Defendant has
marketed, advertised, and sold the Products within this District.¹

PARTIES

8 A. <u>Plaintiff</u>

9 11. Plaintiff Lan Do, who is currently a resident of Sausalito, California, 10 purchased, on several occasions between 2018-2019, earthbath natural pet care 11 oatmeal and aloe dog shampoo in Mill Valley, California for approximately \$20 12 per bottle. The labeling of the Products purchased by Plaintiff is typical of the 13 labeling of the Products purchased by members of the Class. In making her 14 purchases, Plaintiff relied upon the claims made on the Products' advertising and 15 labeling. The claims were prepared and approved by Defendant and its agents and 16 disseminated statewide and nationwide, as well as designed to encourage 17 consumers to purchase the Products. If Plaintiff had known that the Products 18 contained non-natural, synthetic, and/or artificial ingredients, she would not have 19 purchased the Products or would have purchased them on different terms.

20 B. <u>Defendant</u>

21 12. Defendant Earthwhile Endeavors, Inc. is a California corporation with
22 its principal place of business in San Francisco, California.

- 23 13. Defendant and its agents promoted, marketed and sold the Products at
 24 issue in this jurisdiction and in this judicial district. The unfair, unlawful,
 25 deceptive, and misleading advertising and labeling of the Products were prepared
- 26
- 27

²⁸ $\| {}^{1}$ See also Declaration of Lan Do Regarding Venue Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1780(d), appended hereto as Exhibit A.

and/or approved by Defendant and its agents, and was disseminated by Defendant
 and its agents through labeling and advertising containing the misrepresentations
 alleged herein.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

4 5

6

14. Defendant manufactures, markets, promotes, advertises, and sells a variety of pet care products under the "earthbath" brand name.

15. Defendant touts the Products as "natural." For example, Defendant
states on its website: "From the beginning, earthbath has been developed and
manufactured in California with only the safest, finest ingredients from nature.
From humble roots and a local following–based on the principles of purity and
efficacy–earthbath has grown from four shampoos to a comprehensive line of
natural shampoos, wipes, spritzes, and grooming foams that are sold and used by
conscientious animal lovers across the nation and around the world."²

14 16. Despite labeling the Products as being "natural," the Products actually
15 contain, in varying combinations, numerous non-natural, synthetic, and/or artificial
16 ingredients, including, but not limited to: Cetearyl Alcohol; Phytantriol; Glycerin;
17 Sodium Lauryl Glucose Carboxylate; Lauryl Glucoside; Optical Brighteners, Boric
18 Acid; Citric Acid; Polysorbate 20; Caprlyl Glycol; Hydrolyzed Silk Protein;
19 Synthetic Cleansers and Conditioners, and Preservative.

17. While Defendant publicly discloses certain of the Products'
ingredients, Defendant identifies other ingredients using only vague and/or
greenwashed descriptors. For example, "Renewable plant-derived and coconutbased cleansers," "Gentle conditioner & detangler," "Vegetable-derived
conditioner & detangler," and "Preservative." On information and belief,
Defendant does so because these ingredients are not natural, as more fully
described *infra*.

- 27
- 28

² https://earthbath.com/our-story/

	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 7 of 31
1	
1	Synthetic Ingredients in the Products
2	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Deodorizing Shampoo
3	GlycerinPreservative
4	 Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
5	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Oatmeal & Aloe Shampoo
6	• Glycerin
7	• Preservative
8	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
9	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Oatmeal & Aloe Conditioner
10	Cetearyl Alcohol
11	Hydrolyzed Silk Protein
	Preservative
12	Vegetable-derived conditioner & detangler
13	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Mango Tango 2-in-1 Conditioning Shampoo
14	• Glycerin
15	• Preservative
	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
16	Gentle conditioner & detangler
17	<u>Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hypo-Allergenic Cat Shampoo</u>
18	• Glycerin
19	• Preservative
20	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
21	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hypo-Allergenic Shampoo
22	• Glycerin
	• Preservative
23	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
24	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Coat Brightening Shampoo
25	• Glycerin
26	• Preservative
	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
27	Optical Brighteners
28	
	7
	-7- CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 8 of 31
1	Earthbath Natural Pet Care 2-in-1 Conditioning Cat Shampoo
2	• Glycerin
3	• Preservative
	 Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers Gentle conditioner & detangler
4	
5	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Ultra-Mild Puppy Shampoo
6	• Glycerin
7	• Preservative
8	 Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers Gentle conditioner & detangler
9	
	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Dirty Dog Shampoo
10	• Glycerin
11	• Preservative
12	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
13	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Shampoo
14	• Glycerin
	• Preservative
15	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
16	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Conditioner
17	Phytantriol
18	Hydrolyzed Silk Protein
19	• Preservative
	Vegetable-derived conditioner and detangler
20	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Soothing Stress Relief Shampoo
21	• Glycerin
22	• Preservative
23	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
24	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hot Spot Relief Shampoo
25	• Glycerin
	• Preservative
26	Renewable plant-derived and coconut-based cleansers
27	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hot Spot Relief Wipes
28	• Glycerin
	-8-
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 Preservative	
2 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Wipes	
3 • Hydrolyzed Silk Protein	
4 Glycerin	
5 Preservative	
6 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Tushy Wipes	
7 Preservative	
8 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Facial Wipes	
Sodium Lauryl Glucose Carboxylate	
• Lauryl Glucoside	
10 Boric Acid	
 Citric Acid Preservative 	
12 Preservative	
13 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Tooth & Gum Wipes	
• Polysorbate 20	
• Glycerin	
 Caprlyl Glycol Preservative 	
16 Freservative	
17 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Eye Wipes	
18 Boric Acid	
 Citric Acid Preservative 	
20	
Earthbath Natural Pet Care Ear Wipes	
 Citric Acid 	
• Preservative	
23 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Puppy Wipes	
• Glycerin	
• Preservative	
26 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Grooming Wipes (all variet	ties)
• Glycerin	
• Preservative	
-9-	
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 10 of 31
1 2	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Cat Wipes (all varieties) Glycerin
3	• Preservative
4	Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hypo-Allergenic Grooming Foam for Dogs
5	Citric AcidRenewable plant-derived & coconut-based cleansers
6 7 8 0	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Hypo-Allergenic Grooming Foam for Cats Citric Acid Preservative Renewable plant-derived & coconut-based cleansers
9 10 11	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Shed Control Grooming Foam for Dogs Hydrolyzed Silk Protein
12 13	 Glycerin Citric Acid Renewable plant-derived & coconut-based cleansers
14 15 16	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Grooming Foam for Cats Citric Acid Preservative
17 18	 Renewable plant-derived & coconut-based cleansers <u>Earthbath Natural Pet Care 3-in-1 Deodorizing Spritz (all varieties)</u> Glycerin
19 20	Citric AcidPreservative
21	• Renewable plant & coconut-derived conditioner & detangler
 22 23 24 25 	 Earthbath Natural Pet Care Stress Relief Spritz Glycerin Citric Acid Preservative Renewable plant & coconut-derived conditioner & detangler
25 26 27	 <u>Earthbath Natural Pet Care Puppy Spritz</u> Glycerin
28	Citric AcidPreservative
	-10- CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 11 of 31	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 11 of 31 Renewable plant & coconut-derived conditioner & detangler Farthbath Natural Pet Care Hot Spot Relief Spritz Glycerin Citric Acid Preservative Renewable plant & coconut-derived conditioner & detangler Renewable plant & source & detangler Renewable plant & coconut-derived conditioner & detangler Renewable plant & source & synthetic chemical ingredient that is typically used as an emulsion stabilizer, opacifying agent and foam boosting surfactant in personal care products. It is also classified as a synthetic flavoring substance and adjuvant by the FDA. See 21 C.F.R. § 172.515. Polysorbate-20 is a synthetic emulsifier and surface-active agent. See 21 C.F.R. § 178.3400. 20. Caprlyl Glycol is a synthetic skin conditioning agent and preservative. The Federal Trade Commission charged several companies with falsely claiming their products were all-natural or 100% natural when, in fact, those products contained non-natural, synthetic, ingredients, including caprlyl glycol. ³ These companies were barred from making similar representations in the future. ⁴ 21. Glycerin is an emollient that, according to federal regulations, is a synthetic substance. ⁵ 22. Phytantriol is an aliphatic alcohol created by oxidizing isophytol in formic acid, hydrolysis of the product with an inorganic base, and isolation. ⁶ 23. Sodium Lauryl Glucose Carboxylate is a synthetic cleaning agent	
27		
28	⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phytantriol	
	-11- CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

that is created by combining lauryl alcohol with glucose. Glucose is a synthetic
 substance according to federal regulations.⁷

3 24. Lauryl Glucoside is a synthetic cleaning agent that is created by
4 combining lauryl alcohol with glucose. Glucose is a synthetic substance according
5 to federal regulations.⁸ And, similarly, lauryl alcohol is synthetically created.

6 25. Optical Brighteners are synthetic chemical compounds used to
7 enhance the appearance of color.

8 26. Citric Acid is a synthetic substance (2-hydroxy-propane-1, 2,3-9 tricarboxylic acid). While the chemical's name has the word "citric" in it, citric 10 acid is no longer extracted from the citrus fruit but industrially manufactured by 11 fermenting certain genetically mutant strains of black mold fungus, *Aspergillus* 12 *niger*. This is synthetically produced by feeding simple carbohydrates to 13 *Aspergillus niger* mold and then processing the resulting fermented compound. 14 Calcium hydroxide and sulfuric acid are often used in processing citric acid.

15 27. Boric Acid is synthetically created by reacting sodium tetraborate
16 decahydrate with a mineral acid, such as hydrochloric acid.⁹

17 28. Hydrolyzed Silk Proteins are made through hydrolysis, which is a
18 chemical reaction in which a molecule of water ruptures one or more chemical
19 bonds.

20 29. Preservative is, on information and belief, Phenoxyethanol, which is
a toxic synthetic chemical. The Federal Trade Commission charged several
companies with falsely claiming their products were all-natural or 100% natural
when, in fact, those products contained non-natural, synthetic ingredients,
including Phenoxyethanol.¹⁰ These companies were barred from making similar

25

26 7 See 7 C.F.R. 205.603

27 8 See 7 C.F.R. 205.603

28 ⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boric_acid

¹⁰ https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/cases/160412shikai-cmpt.pdf;

1 || representations in the future.¹¹

30. "Renewable Plant-Derived and Coconut-Based Cleansers" are, on
information and belief, synthetic cleaning agents or surfactants, such as sodium
lauryl sulfate or cocomidopropylamine oxide, which are manufactured through
substantial chemical processing.

6 31. Accordingly, because the Products contain these non-natural,
7 synthetic, and/or artificial ingredients, they are mislabeled, misleading, and
8 misbranded under both federal and state law.

9 32. 7 U.S.C. § 6502 defines the term "synthetic" as "a substance that is
10 formulated or manufactured by a chemical process or by a process that
11 chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal,
12 or mineral sources, except that such term shall not apply to substances created by
13 naturally occurring biological processes."

14 33. Furthermore, Merriam-Webster defines "natural" as "existing in or
15 produced by nature: not artificial," and "not having any extra substances or
16 chemicals added: not containing anything artificial."

In addition, the FTC has cautioned that "[m]arketers that are using
terms such as natural must ensure that they can substantiate whatever claims they
are conveying to reasonable consumers. If reasonable consumers could interpret a
natural claim as representing that a product contains no artificial ingredients, then
the marketer must be able to substantiate that fact." Guides for the Use of
Environmental Marketing Claims, 75 FR 63552-01, 63586 (Oct. 15, 2010).

35. No reasonable definition of "natural" includes ingredients that, even if
sourced from "nature," are subject to extensive, transformative chemical
processing before their inclusion in a product.

26

https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/cases/160412edenbodyworkscmpt.pdf
 https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2016/04/four-companies-agree-stop-falsely-promoting-their-personal-care

36. The "natural" representations cause reasonable consumers, including
 Plaintiff, to believe the Products do not contain synthetic, artificial, and/or non natural ingredients.

37. Consumers have become increasingly concerned about the effects of
synthetic ingredients in pet care products. Indeed, consumers, including Plaintiff,
are willing to pay, and have paid, a premium for products advertised, marketed,
and labeled as being "natural" over products containing non-natural, synthetic
ingredients.

9 38. Defendant materially misled and failed to adequately inform
10 consumers, including Plaintiff, that the Products contain non-natural, synthetic
11 and/or artificial ingredients.

39. Based on Defendant's uniform material misrepresentations and
omissions, consumers have purchased the Products to their detriment.

14

C.

Plaintiff Purchased the Misleading and Deceptive Products

40. The Products' "natural" representations were and are material to
reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff, in making purchasing decisions.

17 41. Plaintiff relied on Defendant's misrepresentations, described herein,
18 in making the decision to purchase the Products.

42. At the time Plaintiff purchased the Products, Plaintiff did not know,
and had no reason to know, that the Products' labeling and advertising were false,
misleading, deceptive, and unlawful as set forth herein.

43. Defendant materially misled and failed to adequately inform
reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff, that the Products contained non-natural,
synthetic, and/or artificial ingredients.

44. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Products, or would have
purchased them on different terms, if she had known the truth. Accordingly, based
on Defendant's material misrepresentations and omissions, reasonable consumers,
including Plaintiff, purchased the Products to their detriment.

1	45. It is possible, however, that Plaintiff would purchase the Products in
2	the future if they were properly labeled and/or the ingredients complied with the
3	labeling and advertising statements. Specifically, Plaintiff would consider
4	purchasing the Products again if the Products did not contain non-natural, synthetic
5	and/or artificial ingredients.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

7 46. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules 8 of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) on behalf of herself and all others 9 similarly situated, and as members of the Classes defined as follows:

All residents of the United States who, within the relevant statute of limitations periods, purchased the Products ("Nationwide Class"); and

All residents of California who, within four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, purchased the Products ("California Subclass").

14 ("Nationwide Class" and "California Subclass," collectively, "Class").

15 Excluded from the Class are: (i) Defendant, its assigns, successors, 47. 16 and legal representatives; (ii) any entities in which Defendant has controlling 17 interests; (iii) federal, state, and/or local governments, including, but not limited to, 18 their departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, boards, sections, groups, counsels, 19 and/or subdivisions; (iv) all persons presently in bankruptcy proceedings or who 20 obtained a bankruptcy discharge in the last three years; and (v) any judicial officer 21 presiding over this matter and person within the third degree of consanguinity to 22 such judicial officer.

23

6

10

11

12

13

48. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or otherwise alter the class 24 definitions presented to the Court at the appropriate time in response to facts 25 learned through discovery, legal arguments advanced by Defendant, or otherwise.

26 49. This action is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to 27 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 for the reasons set forth below.

28

1	50.	Numerosity: Members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of
2	all member	rs is impracticable. Upon information and belief, the Nationwide Class
3		tens of thousands of purchasers (if not more) dispersed throughout the
4		tes, and the California Subclass likewise consists of thousands of
5		(if not more) dispersed throughout the State of California. Accordingly,
6	it would be	impracticable to join all members of the Class before the Court.
7	51.	Common Questions Predominate: There are numerous and
8	substantial	questions of law or fact common to all members of the Class that
9	predominat	e over any individual issues. Included within the common questions of
10	law or fact	are:
11	a.	Whether Defendant engaged in unlawful, unfair or deceptive business
12		practices by advertising and selling the Products;
13	b.	Whether Defendant's conduct constitutes an unfair method of
14		competition, or unfair or deceptive act or practice, in violation of Civil
15		Code section 1750, et seq.;
16	с.	Whether Defendant used deceptive representations in connection with
17		the sale of the Products in violation of Civil Code section 1750, et
18		seq.;
19	d.	Whether Defendant represented that the Products have characteristics
20		or quantities that they do not have in violation of Civil Code section
21		1750, et seq.;
22	e.	Whether Defendant advertised the Products with intent not to sell
23		them as advertised in violation of Civil Code section 1750, et seq.;
24	f.	Whether Defendant's labeling and advertising of the Products are
25		untrue or misleading in violation of Business and Professions Code
26		section 17500, <i>et seq</i> .;
27	g.	Whether Defendant knew or by the exercise of reasonable care should
28		have known its labeling and advertising was and is untrue or
		-16-
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	misleading in violation of Business and Professions Code section
2	17500, et seq.;
3	h. Whether Defendant's conduct is an unfair business practice within the
4	meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.;
5	i. Whether Defendant's conduct is a fraudulent business practice within
6	the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.;
7	j. Whether Defendant's conduct is an unlawful business practice within
8	the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.;
9	k. Whether Defendant's conduct constitutes breach of express warranty;
10	1. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to equitable and/or
11	injunctive relief;
12	m. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages as a result of
13	Defendant's unlawful conduct;
14	n. The proper measure of damages sustained by Plaintiff and Class
15	Members; and
16	o. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched by its unlawful conduct.
17	52. Typicality : Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class
18	Members she seeks to represent because Plaintiff, like the Class Members,
19	purchased Defendant's misleading and deceptive Products. Defendant's unlawful,
20	unfair and/or fraudulent actions concern the same business practices described
21	herein irrespective of where they occurred or were experienced. Plaintiff and the
22	Class sustained similar injuries arising out of Defendant's conduct. Plaintiff's and
23	Class Members' claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct and
24	are based on the same legal theories.
25	53. Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class she
26	seeks to represent because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the
27	Class Members Plaintiff seeks to represent. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately
28	protect Class Members' interests and has retained counsel experienced and

competent in the prosecution of complex class actions, including complex
 questions that arise in consumer protection litigation.

54. Superiority and Substantial Benefit: A class action is superior to
other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since
individual joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable and no other group
method of adjudication of all claims asserted herein is more efficient and
manageable for at least the following reasons:

- a. The claims presented in this case predominate over any questions of law or fact, if any exist at all, affecting any individual member of the Class;
- b. Absent a Class, the members of the Class will continue to suffer damage and Defendant's unlawful conduct will continue without remedy while Defendant profits from and enjoys its ill-gotten gains;
- c. Given the size of individual Class Members' claims, few, if any, Class Members could afford to or would seek legal redress individually for the wrongs Defendant committed against them, and absent Class Members have no substantial interest in individually controlling the prosecution of individual actions;
- d. When the liability of Defendant has been adjudicated, claims of all members of the Class can be administered efficiently and/or determined uniformly by the Court; and
 - e. This action presents no difficulty that would impede its management by the Court as a class action, which is the best available means by which Plaintiff and Class Members can seek redress for the harm caused to them by Defendant.
- 55. Because Plaintiff seeks relief for all members of the Class, the
 prosecution of separate actions by individual members would create a risk of
 inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the
 Class, which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 28

21

22

23

8

9

10

11

12

13

1	56. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action for injunctive or
2	equitable relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) are met as Defendant has acted
3	or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making
4	appropriate final injunctive or equitable relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
5	57. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel are unaware of any difficulties that are
6	likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its
7	maintenance as a class action.
8	<u>COUNT I</u>
9	Unfair and Unlawful Business Acts and Practices
10	(Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.)
11	(On Behalf of the California Subclass)
12	58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations
13	contained in the complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
14	59. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the California
15	Subclass.
16	60. Defendant's conduct constitutes an unfair business act and practice
17	pursuant to California Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq. (the "UCL").
18	The UCL provides, in pertinent part: "Unfair competition shall mean and include
19	unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business practices and unfair, deceptive, untrue or
20	misleading advertising[.]"
21	61. Plaintiff brings this claim seeking equitable and injunctive relief to
22	stop Defendant's misconduct, as complained of herein, and to seek restitution of
23	the amounts Defendant acquired through the unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent
24	business practices described herein.
25	62. Defendant's knowing conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes an
26	"unfair" and/or "fraudulent" business practice, as set forth in California Business
27	& Professions Code §§ 17200-17208.
28	63. Defendant's conduct was and continues to be unfair and fraudulent
	-19-

because, directly or through its agents and employees, Defendant made uniform
 materially false representations and omissions, as described more fully herein.
 Defendant was and is aware that the representations and omissions it has made
 about the Products were and continue to be false and misleading.

- 5 64. Defendant had an improper motive—to derive financial gain at the
 6 expense of accuracy or truthfulness—in its practices related to the labeling and
 7 advertising of the Products.
- 8 65. There were reasonable alternatives available to Defendant to further
 9 Defendant's legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

10 66. Defendant's misrepresentations of material facts, as set forth herein,
11 also constitute an "unlawful" practice because they violate California Civil Code
12 §§ 1572, 1573, 1709, 1710, 1711, and 1770, as well as the common law.

67. Defendant's conduct in making the representations described herein
constitutes a knowing failure to adopt policies in accordance with and/or adherence
to applicable laws, as set forth herein, all of which are binding upon and
burdensome to its competitors. This conduct engenders an unfair competitive
advantage for Defendant, thereby constituting an unfair business practice under
California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-17208.

19 68. In addition, Defendant's conduct was, and continues to be, unfair, in
20 that its injury to countless purchasers of the Products is substantial, and is not
21 outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or to competitors.

22 Moreover, Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass could not 69. 23 such injury. have reasonably avoided Defendant's uniform, material 24 representations and omissions regarding the Products was likely to deceive, and 25 Defendant knew or should have known that its representations and omissions were 26 untrue and misleading. Plaintiff purchased the Products in reliance on the 27 representations made by Defendant, as alleged herein.

28

70. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass have been directly

and proximately injured by Defendant's conduct in ways including, but not limited
to, the monies paid to Defendant for the Products that lacked the characteristics
advertised, interest lost on those monies, and consumers' unwitting support of a
business enterprise that promotes deception and undue greed to the detriment of
consumers, such as Plaintiff and Subclass members.

- 6 71. As a result of the business acts and practices described above, Plaintiff
 7 and members of the California Subclass, pursuant to § 17203, are entitled to an
 8 order enjoining such future wrongful conduct on the part of Defendant and such
 9 other orders and judgments that may be necessary to disgorge Defendant's ill10 gotten gains and to restore to any person in interest any money paid for the
 11 Products as a result of the wrongful conduct of Defendant.
- 12 72. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3287(a), Plaintiff and the
 13 California Subclass are further entitled to pre-judgment interest as a direct and
 14 proximate result of Defendant's unfair and fraudulent business conduct. The
 15 amount on which interest is to be calculated is a sum certain and capable of
 16 calculation, and Plaintiff and the California Subclass are entitled to interest in an
 17 amount according to proof.

COUNT II

18

19 **Deceptive Advertising Practices** 20 (California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500, et seq.) 21 (On Behalf of the California Subclass) 22 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations 73. 23 contained in the complaint, as though fully set forth herein. 24 Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the California 74. 25 Subclass. 26 California Business & Professions Code § 17500 prohibits "unfair, 75. 27 deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising[.]" 28 Defendant violated § 17500 when it represented, through their false 76. -21-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

and misleading advertising and other express representations, that Defendant's
 Products possessed characteristics and value that they did not actually have.

77. Defendant's deceptive practices were specifically designed to induce
reasonable consumers like Plaintiff to purchase the Products. Defendant's uniform,
material representations and omissions regarding the Products were likely to
deceive, and Defendant knew or should have known that its uniform
representations and omissions were untrue and misleading. Plaintiff purchased the
Products in reliance on the representations made by Defendant, as alleged herein.

9 78. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass have been directly
10 and proximately injured by Defendant's conduct in ways including, but not limited
11 to, the monies paid to Defendant for the Products that lacked the characteristics
12 advertised, interest lost on those monies, and consumers' unwitting support of a
13 business enterprise that promotes deception and undue greed to the detriment of
14 consumers, such as Plaintiff and Subclass members.

15 79. The above acts of Defendant, in disseminating materially misleading
16 and deceptive representations and statements throughout California to consumers,
17 including Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass, were and are likely to
18 deceive reasonable consumers in violation of § 17500.

19 80. In making and disseminating the statements alleged herein, Defendant
20 knew or should have known that the statements were untrue or misleading, and
21 acted in violation of § 17500.

22 81. Defendant continues to engage in unlawful, unfair and deceptive
23 practices in violation of §17500.

82. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct in
violation of § 17500, Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass, pursuant to
§ 17535, are entitled to an order of this Court enjoining such future wrongful
conduct on the part of Defendant, and requiring Defendant to disclose the true
nature of their misrepresentations.

1	83. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass also request an order						
2	requiring Defendant to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and/or award full restitution						
3	of all monies wrongfully acquired by Defendant by means of such acts of false						
4	advertising, plus interests and attorneys' fees.						
5	<u>COUNT III</u>						
6	Consumers Legal Remedies Act						
7	(Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, <i>et seq</i> .)						
8	(On Behalf of the California Subclass)						
9	84. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations						
10	contained in the complaint, as though fully set forth herein.						
11	85. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the California						
12	Subclass.						
13	86. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to California's Consumers Legal						
14	Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.						
15	87. The CLRA provides that "unfair methods of competition and unfair or						
16	deceptive acts or practices undertaken by any person in a transaction intended to						
17	result or which results in the sale or lease of goods or services to any consumer are						
18	unlawful."						
19	88. The Products are "goods," as defined by the CLRA in California Civil						
20	Code §1761(a).						
21	89. Defendant is a "person," as defined by the CLRA in California Civil						
22	Code §1761(c).						
23	90. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass are "consumers," as						
24	defined by the CLRA in California Civil Code §1761(d).						
25	91. Purchase of the Products by Plaintiff and members of the California						
26	Subclass are "transactions," as defined by the CLRA in California Civil Code						
27	§1761(e).						
28	92. Defendant violated Section $1770(a)(5)$ by representing that the						
	-23-						
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT						

Products have "characteristics, . . . uses [or] benefits . . . which [they] do not have"
 in that the Products are falsely labeled and advertised as being, among other things,
 natural. Defendant knew that consumers will often pay more for products with this
 attribute and have unfairly profited from its false and misleading claims.

5

6

7

8

93. Similarly, Defendant violated section 1770(a)(7) by representing that the Products "are of a particular standard, quality, or grade . . . if they are of another" by falsely and deceptively labeling and advertising the Products as, among other things, being natural.

9 94. In addition, Defendant violated section 1770(a)(9) by advertising the
10 Products "with intent not to sell them as advertised" in that the Products are falsely
11 labeled and advertised as, among other things, being natural.

12 95. Defendant's uniform and material representations and omissions
13 regarding the Products were likely to deceive, and Defendant knew or should have
14 known that its representations and omissions were untrue and misleading.

96. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass could not have
reasonably avoided such injury. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass
were unaware of the existence of the facts that Defendant suppressed and failed to
disclose; and, Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass would not have
purchased the Products and/or would have purchased them on different terms had
they known the truth.

97. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass have been directly
and proximately injured by Defendant's conduct. Such injury includes, but is not
limited to, the purchase price of the Products and/or the price of the Products at the
prices at which they were offered.

98. Given that Defendant's conduct violated § 1770(a), Plaintiff and
members of the California Subclass are entitled to seek and seek injunctive relief to
put an end to Defendant's violations of the CLRA.

28

99. Moreover, Defendant's conduct is malicious, fraudulent, and wanton

in that Defendant intentionally misled and withheld material information from
 consumers to increase the sale of the Products.

3 100. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 1782(a), Plaintiff on her own 4 behalf, and on behalf of members of the California Subclass, notified Defendant of 5 the alleged violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act. Despite giving 6 Defendant well over 30-days from the date of the notification letter to provide 7 appropriate relief for violations of the CLRA, Defendant has failed to provide any 8 such relief. As such, Plaintiff also seeks compensatory, monetary and punitive 9 damages, in addition to equitable and injunctive relief, and requests that this Court 10 enter such orders or judgments as may be necessary to restore to any person in 11 interest any money that may have been acquired by means of such unfair business 12 practices, and for such other relief as is provided in California Civil Code § 1780 13 and in the Prayer for Relief.

14 101. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enjoin Defendant from
15 continuing to employ the unlawful methods, acts, and practices alleged herein
16 pursuant to § 1780(a)(2).

17

18

19

COUNT IV

Breach of Express Warranty

(On Behalf of the Nationwide Class and California Subclass)

20 102. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations
21 contained in the complaint, as though fully set forth herein.

103. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the
Nationwide Class and California Subclass.

104. By advertising and selling the Products at issue, Defendant made
promises and affirmations of fact on the Products' packaging and labeling, and
through their marketing and advertising, as described herein. This labeling and
advertising constitute express warranties and became part of the basis of the
bargain between Plaintiff and members of the Class, and Defendant.

1 105. Defendant purports, through the Products' labeling and advertising, to
 2 create express warranties that the Products are, among other things, "natural."

3 106. Despite Defendant's express warranties about the nature of the
4 Products, the Products are not natural, and the Products are, therefore, not what
5 Defendant represented them to be.

6 107. Accordingly, Defendant breached express warranties about the
7 Products and their qualities because the Products do not conform to Defendant's
8 affirmations and promises.

9 108. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of express
10 warranty, Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed in the amount of the
11 purchase price they paid for the Products. Further, Plaintiff and members of the
12 Class have suffered and continue to suffer economic losses and other damages
13 including, but not limited to, the amounts paid for the Products, and any interest
14 that would have accrued on those monies, in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT V

Unjust Enrichment

(On Behalf of the Nationwide Class and California Subclass)

18 109. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the preceding19 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

20 110. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the
21 Nationwide Class and California Subclass.

111. By purchasing the Products, Plaintiff and members of the Class
conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of the purchase price of the Products.

112. Defendant had knowledge of such benefit.

25 113. Defendant appreciated the benefit because, were consumers not to
26 purchase the Products, Defendant would not generate revenue from the sales of the
27 Products.

28

24

15

16

17

114. Defendant's acceptance and retention of the benefit is inequitable and

-26-

1	unjust because the benefit was obtained by Defendant's fraudulent and misleading
2	representations and omissions.
3	115. Equity cannot in good conscience permit Defendant to be
4	economically enriched for such actions at the expense of Plaintiff and members of
5	the Class, and therefore restitution and/or disgorgement of such economic
6	enrichment is required.
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
8	116. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others
9	similarly situated, pray for judgment against Defendant as follows:
10	1. For an order certifying the Nationwide Class and the California
11	Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; naming Plaintiff as representative of the Nationwide Class and
12	California Subclass; and naming Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel
13	to represent the Nationwide Class and California Subclass;
14 15	2. For an order declaring that Defendant's conduct violates the statutes and laws referenced herein;
16 17	3. For an order awarding, as appropriate, compensatory and monetary damages, restitution or disgorgement to Plaintiff and the Class for all causes of action;
 18 19 20 21 	4. For an order requiring Defendant to immediately cease and desist from selling the unlawful Products in violation of law; enjoining Defendant from continuing to market, advertise, distribute, and sell the Products in the unlawful manner described herein; and ordering Defendant to engage in corrective action;
22	5. For an order awarding attorneys' fees and costs;
23	6. For an order awarding punitive damages;
24	7. For an order awarding pre-and post-judgment interest; and
25	
26	8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
27 28	
28	//
	-27-
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 27 of 31

	Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 28 of 31							
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
2	Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury on all causes of action.							
3								
4	Dated: May 20,	2020	MOON LAW APC					
5		By:						
6			A. An					
7								
8			CHRISTOPHER D. MOON KEVIN O. MOON					
9			Attorneys for Plaintiff					
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
			-28-					
		CLASS .	ACTION COMPLAINT					

EXHIBIT A

1	Christopher D. Moon, SBN 246622								
2	chris@moonlawapc.com Kevin O. Moon. SBN 246792								
3	Kevin O. Moon, SBN 246792 kevin@moonlawapc.com								
4	MOON LAW APC 228 Hamilton Ave., 3rd Flr.								
5	Palo Alto, California 94301								
6	Telephone: (619) 915-9432 Facsimile: (650) 618-0478								
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff								
8									
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
10	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA							
11									
12	LAN DO, on behalf of herself and a class) of all others similarly situated,	Case No.:							
13)	DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF							
14	Plaintiff,)	LAN DO REGARDING VENUE PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA							
15	v.)	CIVIL CODE § 1780(d)							
16) EARTHWHILE ENDEAVORS, INC.,)								
17)								
18	Defendant.)								
19 20)								
20									
21 22									
22									
23 24									
24									
23 26									
20									
27									
20									
	VENUE DECLARATION (OF PLAINTIFF LAN DO							

I, Lan Do, hereby declare:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. I am the named-plaintiff and a prospective class member in the aboveentitled action.
- 2. I am over 18 years old. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and could competently testify thereto if called upon to do so.
- 3. I am currently a resident of Sausalito, California.
- 4. I purchased the Products at issue in Mill Valley, California.
- 5. California Civil Code § 1780(d) provides that a plaintiff seeking to bring a 8 claim under section 1780(a) of the California Consumers Legal Remedies 9 Act may commence that action "in the county in which the person against 10 whom it is brought resides, has his or her principal place of business, or is 11 doing business, or in the county where the transaction or any substantial 12 portion thereof occurred." 13
- 6. Accordingly, the Complaint filed in the above-entitled action, is filed in the 14 proper venue pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that 17 the foregoing is true and correct. 18

Executed on May 20, 2020, in Sausalito, California.

DocuSianed by LAN DO

Case 3:20-cv-03480 Document 1-1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 07/19)

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS						
LAN DO, on behalf of herself and a class of all others similarly	situated	EARTH	IWHILE	ENDE	EAVOF	RS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			f Residence LAINTIFF C			Defendant San Francisco	Coun	ty
Marin County		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Moon Law APC (619) 915-9432 228 Hamilton Ave., 3rd Floor Palo Alto, CA 94301		Attorneys	s (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		FIZENSHI r Diversity Case		RINCI	PAL PA	ARTIES (Place an "X" in One Bo and One Box for Defend		aintiff
1 U.S. Communert Disintific W 2 Endered Outstier				PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff X^3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		\mathbf{X}^{1}	1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Principal Place of Business In This State	4	X^4
2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another S	tate	2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5
(inalcale Chizenship of Parties in tiem iii)	Citize	en or Subject of gn Country	a	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)									
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 968 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 970 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 				
196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 448 Education 	HABEAS CORPUS 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty OTHER 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee– Conditions of Confinement	465 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	 X890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Appellate Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation–Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation–Direct File									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. Section 1332 Brief description of cause: Consumer Class Action									
VII. REQUESTED IN X COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$ Exceeds \$5 million CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: Yes									
VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER									
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2) (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE									
DATE 5/21/2020) SIGNATI	URF OF ATTORNEY (DERECORD	A.M					

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE