IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES MCMENAMIN, JR., and JESSICA MCMENAMIN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,))))
Plaintiffs,)
V.)
)
ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY, and ARCH INSURANCE SOLUTIONS, INC.,)
Defendants.)
	Ś

Case No. ______20-1262

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, James McMenamin, Jr. and Jessica McMenamin (collectively, "**Plaintiffs**"), bring this Class Action Complaint individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendants, Arch Insurance Company and Arch Insurance Solutions, Inc. (collectively, the "**Insurers**" or "**Defendants**"), and allege as follows based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to them, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all persons who paid for and/or obtained an "Individual Travel Protection Policy" (the "**Policy**" or "**Policies**") from the Insurers, and who have been denied their claim for travel reimbursements due to Covid-19 related cancellations, delays or interruptions.

2. On or about January 23, 2020, Plaintiffs booked travel from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania to London, England, for what was ultimately a vacation tour from London to Rome, Italy. Plaintiffs trip was scheduled to depart on June 18, 2020, and to return on June 28, 2020 (the

Casse 2205-07-002625C RDEC Droen m22811 Hiled 08/266/220 Page 2 off 14

"Trip"). Upon booking their travel, Plaintiffs purchased, paid for, and were issued an Individual Travel Protection Policy from the Insurers, pursuant to which the Insurers agreed to, among other things, reimburse Plaintiffs for unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits associated with the cancellation, delay, or interruption of the Trip.

3. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, and governmental orders issued in connection therewith, Plaintiffs' Trip was cancelled.

4. As a result of that cancellation, Plaintiffs incurred certain costs, including the unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits for the Trip, for which they each submitted a claim under the Policy.

5. In defiance of the plain terms and conditions of the Policy, the Insurers have failed and refused to honor their contractual obligations to reimburse Plaintiffs for the unused nonrefundable prepaid payments or deposits incurred due to the cancellation of Plaintiffs' Trip.

6. Upon information and belief, the Insurers have – on a uniform basis – failed and refused to reimburse their insureds under the Policies for unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits incurred as a result of the cancellation, delay, and/or interruption of trips due to Covid-19 and/or associated governmental orders.

7. The Plaintiffs and members of the Class are entitled to reimbursements as contracted for under the Policies.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiffs, James McMenamin, Jr. and Jessica McMenamin, are individuals and citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing in Butler County, Pennsylvania.

9. Defendant Arch Insurance Company ("Arch Insurance") is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business at Harborside Three, 210 Hudson Street, Suite 300, Jersey City,

Casse 2205-07-002625C RDEC Droen m22811 Hiled 08/266/220 Page 3 off 14

New Jersey, 07311. Arch Insurance is an admitted insurer in all fifty states of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam.

10. Arch Insurance Solutions, Inc. ("Arch Solutions") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at Executive Plaza IV, 11350 McCormick Road, Suite 102, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031. Arch Solutions provides third-party administrative services to Arch Insurance, including the handling and disposition of claims submitted under the Policies. On information and belief, Arch Solutions administered, and denied, Plaintiffs' claims.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because Plaintiffs and at least one member of the Class, as defined below, are citizens of a different state than Defendants, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interests and costs.

12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because at all relevant times they have engaged in substantial business activities, including the sale of Policies, in Pennsylvania. Defendants have, at all relevant times, transacted, solicited, and conducted business in Pennsylvania through their employees, agents, and/or sales representatives, and derived substantial revenue from such business in Pennsylvania.

13. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(2), venue is proper in this District because Plaintiffs' Policies were purchased in this District, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this Judicial District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

<u>The Trip</u>

14. On or about January 23, 2020, Plaintiffs made travel accommodations with AffordableTours.com ("Affordable Tours"), including the purchase of airline tickets for travel from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania to London, England, for a touring vacation from London to Rome, Italy, which was scheduled to depart on June 18, 2020, and return on June 28, 2020. The tickets for the Trip were prepaid at a cost of \$1,283.42 per person, or \$2,566.84 total.

15. In response to safety concerns and governmental orders regarding the Covid-19 pandemic, on or about April 4, 2020, Affordable Tours cancelled Plaintiffs' Trip.

16. On or about May 12, 2020, Plaintiff James McMenamin, Jr. submitted a claim to Defendants to be reimbursed for his Trip, including unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits under the Policy. Plaintiff James McMenamin, Jr. was assigned Claim Number TVLC76038620, and Member ID Number TVL2712651220 ("James' Claim").

17. On or about May 12, 2020, Plaintiff Jessica McMenamin submitted a claim to Defendants to be reimbursed for her Trip, including unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits under the Policy. Plaintiff Jessica McMenamin was assigned Claim Number TVLC77011420, and Member ID Number TVL2712651420 ("Jessica's Claim").

18. On or about June 23, 2020, Defendants denied James' Claim, stating "[w]e have determined that we are unable to approve your claim or to provide any benefits under your claim."

19. On or about June 23, 2020, Defendants denied Jessica's Claim, stating "[w]e have determined that we are unable to approve your claim or to provide any benefits under your claim."

The Travel Insurance Policy

20. On or about January 23, 2020, Plaintiffs applied for and purchased an "Individual Travel Protection Policy" administered by Arch Insurance Solutions, Inc., and underwritten by Arch Insurance Company, to insure their Trip.

21. Section I, Part A of the Policy sets forth coverage for Trip Cancellation and provides, in relevant part, as follows:

We will reimburse You, up to the Maximum Benefit Amount shown in the Schedule of Benefits, for the amount of the unused non-refundable prepaid Payments or Deposits for the Travel Arrangements You purchased for Your Trip, when You cancel Your Trip prior to departure for a covered Unforeseen reason.

22. The Policy provides a list of Unforeseen reasons, one of which is "for Other Covered Events, as defined"

23. The Policy defines the phrase "Other Covered Events" to include a circumstance where "[the policyholder] or [the policyholder's] Traveling Companion [is] . . . quarantined" The Policy does not define the term "quarantine."

25. The Policy also contains a Cancellation For Any Reason Benefit that provides

coverage for any reason not otherwise covered under the Policy.

26. The Cancellation For Any Reason Benefit in the Policy is described as follows:

We will reimburse You, up to the Maximum Benefit Amount shown in the Schedule of Benefits, for 75% of the unused non-refundable prepaid Payments or Deposits for the Travel Arrangements You purchased for Your Trip, when You cancel Your Trip prior to departure for any reason not otherwise covered by this policy....

27. The Policy further contains a Trip Delay Benefit, which is described as follows:

If You are delayed for 6 hours or more while en route to, during or returning from Your Trip due to a covered Travel Hazard, We will reimburse You up to a Maximum Benefit Amount shown in the Schedule of Benefits, for the expenses You incur for additional hotel nights, meals and local transportation while You are delayed.

28. The Policy defines "Travel Hazard" as a "delay caused by or resulting from . . . any

delay of Your Common Carrier . . . [or] quarantine "

29. The Maximum Benefit Amount under the Policy on the Schedule of Benefits is as

follows:

SCHEDULE OF BENEFITS

Benefits	Maximum Benefit Amount
Part A – Travel Arrangement Protection	
Trip Cancellation	
Cancellation for Any Reason	Up to 75% of Non-Refundable Trip Cost(Maximum \$10,000)
Trip Interruption	
Missed Connection	\$500
	\$1,000 (\$200/day)

30. Upon information and belief, the Policies issued by Defendants are uniform in their terms and coverage.

31. Members of the Class also purchased Policies from Defendants for the same type of travel insurance coverage as Plaintiffs.

Covid-19 and Related Governmental Orders

32. The Covid-19 virus is a highly contagious airborne virus that has rapidly spread and continues to spread across the United States. The virus has been declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization.

33. The Covid-19 pandemic is a public health crisis that has profoundly affected all aspects of society, prompting various federal agencies and governmental authorities to issue orders and guidance designed to slow the pace at which the virus is transmitted.

Casse 2205-mc002625C RDbc Droenmer 1818 11 Hiled 08/226/200 Prage 7 off 114

34. In the midst of the emerging crisis, The Honorable Tom Wolf, Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, issued a series of Orders relating to the Covid-19 pandemic.

35. On March 6, 2020, Governor Wolf issued a Proclamation of Disaster Emergency (the "**Proclamation**"), stating that "pursuant to the provisions of Subsection 7301(c) of the Emergency Management Services Code, 35 Pa. C.S. § 7101, et seq., I do hereby proclaim the existence of a disaster emergency throughout the Commonwealth."¹

36. On March 23, 2020, Governor Wolf issued a Stay at Home Order for citizens of certain counties within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ("**March 23 Order**"). The March 23 Order mandated that all residents of the counties named therein must remain at home "except as needed to access, support, or provide life-sustaining business, emergency, or government services."²

37. On March 27, 2020, Governor Wolf extended the March 23 Order to include several other counties, including Butler County, Pennsylvania ("**March 27 Order**").³

38. On April 1, 2020, Governor Wolf extended the March 23 Order to include all counties within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ("**April 1 Order**", or, collectively with the March 23 Order and March 27 Order, the "**Orders**").⁴

content/uploads/2020/03/03.23.20-TWW-COVID-19-Stay-at-Home-Order.pdf.

³ Governor Wolf, "Amendment to the Order of the Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania For Individuals to Stay Home," (Mar. 27, 2020), <u>https://www.governor.pa.gov/newsroom/governor-wolf-and-health-secretary-expand-stay-at-</u>

home-order-to-nine-more-counties-to-mitigate-spread-of-covid-19-counties-now-total-19/.

¹ Governor Wolf, "Proclamation of Disaster Emergency," (Mar. 6, 2020), https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/20200306-COVID19-Digital-Proclamation.pdf.

² Governor Wolf, "Order of the Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania For Individuals to Stay Home," (Mar. 23, 2020), <u>https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-</u>

⁴ Governor Wolf, "Order of the Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania For Individuals to Stay Home," (April 1, 2020), <u>https://www.governor.pa.gov/wp-</u>content/uploads/2020/04/20200401-GOV-Statewide-Stay-at-Home-Order.pdf.

39. Following issuance of the April 1 Order, the Governor issued "Stay At Home Order

Guidance," which provides, in pertinent part:

Individuals may leave their residence ONLY to perform any of the following allowable individual activities and allowable essential travel:

ALLOWABLE INDIVIDUAL ACTIVITIES

- Tasks essential to maintain health and safety, or the health and safety of their family or household members (including, but not limited to, pets), such as obtaining medicine or medical supplies, visiting a health care professional, or obtaining supplies they need to work from home.
- Getting necessary services or supplies for themselves or their family or household members, or to deliver those services or supplies to others, such as getting food and household consumer products, pet food, and supplies necessary to maintain the safety, sanitation, and essential operation of residences. This includes volunteer efforts to distribute meals and other life-sustaining services to those in need.
- Engaging in outdoor activity, such as walking, hiking or running if they maintain social distancing.
- To perform work providing essential products and services at a lifesustaining business (see below for details about life-sustaining business activities).
- To care for a family member or pet in another household.

ALLOWABLE ESSENTIAL TRAVEL

- Any travel related to the provision of or access to the above-mentioned individual activities or life-sustaining business activities (see below for details about life-sustaining business activities).
- Travel to care for elderly, minors, dependents, persons with disabilities, or other vulnerable persons.
- Travel to or from educational institutions for purposes of receiving materials for distance learning, for receiving meals, and any other related services.
- Travel to return to a place of residence from an outside jurisdiction.
- Travel required by law enforcement or court order.

• Travel required for non-residents to return to their place of residence outside the commonwealth.

(the "Guidance").⁵

40. The Proclamation, Orders, and Guidance subject Pennsylvania citizens to a "quarantine" within the meaning of the Policy and of the everyday use of the word.

41. Other states have issued similar restrictive orders related to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Plaintiffs' Claims for Benefits Under the Policy Were Denied

42. Plaintiffs' planned for and paid for their Trip and Policy prior to the aforementioned Proclamation, Orders, and Guidance being issued.

43. Plaintiffs' Trip was cancelled while the aforementioned Proclamation, Orders, and Guidance were in effect, as a direct result of the Covid-19 pandemic.

44. As a result of such cancellation, Plaintiffs incurred losses in the form of unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits.

45. In accordance with the terms and conditions of the Policy, Plaintiffs each submitted a claim to Insurers seeking reimbursement for unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits.

46. The Insurers denied Plaintiffs' claims, in breach of the Policy.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

47. Plaintiffs bring this case individually and, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules

of Civil Procedure, on behalf of the class defined as:

All persons who paid for and/or obtained an Individual Travel Protection Policy from the Insurers, and whose claims for reimbursement were denied by or on behalf of Insurers after their trips were cancelled due to the Covid-19 pandemic, or Covid-

⁵ <u>https://www.scribd.com/document/452929448/04-04-20-Stay-at-Home-Order-Guidance</u>.

Casse 2 205-m @ 0 2 6 2 5 C REo c Droen m 2 8 1 Filed 08/226/20 Prage 10 off 14

19-related government stay at home orders, proclamations, and/or guidance (the "Class").

48. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their subsidiaries and affiliates, their officers, directors and members of their immediate families and any entity in which Defendants have a controlling interest, the legal representative, heirs, successors or assigns of any such excluded party, the judicial officer(s) to whom this action is assigned, and the members of their immediate families.

49. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Class if necessary before this Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

50. The requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) have been met. The Class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective Class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and this Court. The exact size of the Class and the identities of the individual members thereof are ascertainable through Insurers' records, including but not limited to, the sales and transaction records that Insurers have access to and/or own.

51. The requirements of Rule 23(a)(2) have been met. There is a well-defined community of interest and there are common questions of fact and law affecting members of the Class. The questions of fact and law common to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual members and include the following:

a. Whether such governmental orders, proclamations, and guidance constitute
– either individually or collectively – a "quarantine" within the meaning of Insurers' policies;

b. Whether Insurers' denials of the claims submitted by Plaintiffs and the members of the Class were premeditated and the product of a coordinated effort to (i)

Casse 2 205-m @ 02625C REc Doen 1281 Filed 08/26/20 Page 111 off 14

dissuade Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class from submitting and/or pursuing claims under their Policies and/or (ii) to limit the Insurers' losses arising from such claims; and

c. Whether Plaintiffs and members of the Class are entitled to damages, punitive damages, costs and/or attorneys' fees for Insurers' acts and conduct.

52. The requirements of Rule 23(a)(3) have been met. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. The claims of the Plaintiffs and members of the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful and willful conduct.

53. Plaintiffs and members of the Class were each customers of Insurers, each having applied for and purchased a travel insurance policy that is substantially identical in all material respects from the Insurers. Each likewise submitted a claim under their Policies, and each such claim was denied on the basis that the claims were not covered under the Policies.

54. The requirements of Rule 23(a)(4) have been met. Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the class because their interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiffs will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class and have no interests antagonistic to the members of the Class. In addition, Plaintiffs have retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation. The claims of Plaintiffs and the Class members are substantially identical as explained above. While the aggregate damages that may be awarded to the members of the Class are likely to be substantial, the damages suffered by the individual members of the Class are relatively small. As a result, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it economically infeasible and procedurally impracticable for each member of the Class to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. Certifying the case as a class action will centralize these substantially

identical claims in a single proceeding, which is the most manageable litigation method available to Plaintiffs and the Class and will conserve the resources of the parties and the court system, while protecting the rights of each member of the Class. Insurers' uniform conduct is generally applicable to the Class as a whole, making relief appropriate with respect to each Class member.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

DECLARATORY RELIEF (On Behalf of Plaintiffs and Members of the Class)

55. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs, as though the same were set forth in their entirety.

56. This is an action for declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, in which Plaintiffs and members of the Class seek a judicial determination of an actual controversy regarding coverage for their unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits caused by a cancellation, delay, or interruption of their trips due to Covid-19.

57. The term "quarantine" is used throughout the Policy, but it is not defined therein. As such, the term "quarantine" must be given its ordinary and plain meaning as informed by, *inter alia*, its dictionary definition and the intent of the parties, as well as the custom in the industry or usage in the trade.

58. Based on the relevant Policy language and the facts, Plaintiffs' and Class members' losses of unused non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits arise from a "quarantine" within the meaning of the Policies, and – as such – triggers the Trip Delay benefits and Trip Cancellation benefits under the Policies.

59. Declaratory relief from this Court will resolve the aforementioned controversy and dispute.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

BREACH OF CONTRACT (On Behalf of Plaintiffs and Members of the Class)

60. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 54 above as though the same were set forth here in their entirety.

61. The Policies are a valid and binding contract, for which Plaintiffs and members of the Class paid material consideration in the form of a premium.

62. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Policies, the non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits are specifically covered thereunder.

63. The Insurers have materially breached the Policies by failing and refusing to reimburse Plaintiffs and members of the Class for any of their non-refundable prepaid payments or deposits. The aforementioned material breaches have damaged, and will continue to damage, Plaintiffs and members of the Class.

64. Plaintiffs and members of the Class have performed all of their obligations under the Policies, and any and all conditions precedent to coverage under the Policies for the nonrefundable prepaid payments or deposits have been satisfied, waived, or revoked, and/or the Insurers are estopped from enforcing them at this time.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class against Defendants as follows:

a. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure and naming Plaintiffs as representative of the Class and Plaintiffs' attorneys as
 Class Counsel to represent the Class;

Casse 2 205-m @ 02625C REc c Droen m 2811 Hiled 08/26/20 Prage 14 off 14

b. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class on all counts asserted herein;

- c. For damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact;
- d. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;
- f. Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded; and
- g. Awarding such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

A jury trial is demanded on all claims so triable.

Dated: August 26, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Gary F. Lynch</u> Gary F. Lynch (PA ID 56887) Kelly K. Iverson (PA ID 307175) Jamisen A. Etzel (PA ID 311554) Nicholas A. Colella* **CARLSON LYNCH, LLP** 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222 T: (412) 322-9243 F: (412) 231-0246 glynch@carlsonlynch.com kiverson@carlsonlynch.com jetzel@carlsonlynch.com ncolella@carlsonlynch.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**Pro hac vice* application forthcoming

Case 2:20-cv-01262-CRE Document 1-1 Filed 08/26/20 Page 1 of 2 JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS JAMES MCMENAMIN, JR., and JESSICA MCMENAMIN, on behalf of ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY, and ARCH INSURANCE themselves and all others similarly situated, SOLUTIONS, INC., (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Butler County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Carlson Lynch, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Phone: 412-322-9243 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) □ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Ouestion PTF DEF PTF DEF (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff Citizen of This State **X** 1 **D** 1 Incorporated or Principal Place Ο 4 □ 4 of Business In This State □ 2 U.S. Government ★ 4 Diversity □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 **X** 5 Citizen of Another State □ 2 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a **3** □ 3 Foreign Nation **6** Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions, CONTRACT FORFEITURE/PENALTY OTHER STATUTES TORTS BANKRUPTCY □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 🗖 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) □ 367 Health Care/ 400 State Reapportionment 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & **1** 410 Antitrust □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment PROPERTY RIGHTS Pharmaceutical Personal Injury □ 820 Copyrights & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander 430 Banks and Banking □ 151 Medicare Act □ 330 Federal Employers Product Liability 830 Patent □ 450 Commerce 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 835 Patent - Abbreviated □ 460 Deportation Injury Product □ 340 Marine □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Student Loans New Drug Application 3 840 Trademark (Excludes Veterans) □ 345 Marine Product Liability Corrupt Organizations □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR SOCIAL SECURIT 480 Consumer Credit Liability of Veteran's Benefits □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 370 Other Fraud 710 Fair Labor Standards □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 371 Truth in Lending 862 Black Lung (923) □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ 160 Stockholders' Suits **355** Motor Vehicle Act □ 380 Other Personal X 190 Other Contract Product Liability 720 Labor/Management 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Exchange 195 Contract Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 196 Franchise Injury 385 Property Damage 740 Railway Labor Act □ 865 RSI (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability 751 Family and Medical 893 Environmental Matters Medical Malpractice Leave Act 895 Freedom of Information **REAL PROPERTY** CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS □ 790 Other Labor Litigation FEDERAL TAX SUITS Act 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 791 Employee Retirement 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 896 Arbitration □ 441 Voting 220 Foreclosure 463 Alien Detainee Income Security Act or Defendant) 899 Administrative Procedure □ 442 Employment 871 IRS—Third Party □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 510 Motions to Vacate Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 240 Torts to Land □ 443 Housing/ Sentence 26 USC 7609 Accommodations 245 Tort Product Liability □ 530 General 950 Constitutionality of 290 All Other Real Property □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty IMMIGRATION State Statutes Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration 550 Civil Rights Other Actions 448 Education □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Original □ 2 Removed from Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from □ 6 Multidistrict □ 8 Multidistrict **X**1 **3** Litigation -Litigation -Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Transfer Direct File (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 1332 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Class action related to COVID-19 travel insurance coverage VII. REQUESTED IN **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: R CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **COMPLAINT:** JURY DEMAND: X Yes □ No

VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	I	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
08/26/2020		/s/ Gary F. Lynch			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		· · · ·			
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A

This case belongs on the (\bigcirc Erie O Johnstown • Pittsburgh) calendar.

- 1. ERIE CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 2. JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the _____resides in _____ County.
- 4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the resides in County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. O This case is related to Number_____ . Short Caption____

2. O This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

PARTC

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

- 1. O Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
- 2. \mathbb{O} Labor-Management Relations
- 3. O Habeas corpus
- 4. O Civil Rights
- 5. Ŏ Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
- 6. **O** Eminent Domain
- All other federal question cases
- 7. **Ŏ** 8. **Ŏ** All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
- 9. 🛈 Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
- 10.0 Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

/s/ Gary F. Lynch

Date: August 26, 2020

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.