UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

-----X

MARCIA SORIN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff, Case No.:

vs.
THE FOLGER COFFEE COMPANY, a subsidiary of the J. M. SMUCKER COMPANY,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

-----X

MARCIA SORIN ("Plaintiff" or "Sorin"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated (the "Class Members"), brings this consumer class action against Defendant The Folger Coffee Company ("Defendant" or "Folgers"), for unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices in violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (the "FDUTPA").

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Folgers coffee is a household name with sales comprising a significant portion of the \$7.8 billion in net sales reported by corporate parent, The J.M. Smucker Company, during its last fiscal year. It is estimated that Folgers has nationwide sales of approximately \$1 billion. The coffee giant engages in false and deceptive package labeling on its brewed coffee containers with respect to the promised number of coffee servings contained in the package. Folgers makes representations about the number of servings its containers provide in order to spur sales, and disadvantage competitors who do not make similar claims. The result of this is that Florida

consumers overpay, as they do not receive the amount of coffee servings Folgers represents to be present in in the container, to the detriment of the consumer.

- 2. In a practice that offends reasonable consumer expectations, Defendant affirmatively represents on the coffee can label the expected number of cups of coffee that the can will produce when the coffee is brewed according to instructions, but this information is false because the can contents cannot produce anywhere near the number of cups represented. Thus, the labeling on many Folgers coffee product canisters (the "Folgers Coffee Products") prominently states that they will produce up to a certain amount of six fluid ounce cups when, in fact, the canister contents are grossly insufficient to produce that volume of coffee (the "Folgers Label Claims").
- 3. In Plaintiff's case, a coffee canister purchased, Folgers Classic Roast, prominently states on the front label that it "MAKES UP TO 380 6 FL OZ CUPS." Instructions on the back panel of the canister direct consumers to use the following measurements: "Cold Water: 1 Serving (6 fl. oz)" with "Folgers Coffee: 1 Tablespoon" which yields "1 Serving (6 fl. oz.)". This means that each Classic Roast canister should contain 380 tablespoons of coffee.
- 4. However, if the back-panel instructions are followed, the canister only produces approximately 265-275 six fluid-ounce-servings, over 100 cups short of what Folgers represents on its front panel.
- 5. Several of Defendant's containers, which consist of various types, strengths and sizes, make similar untrue claims and promises. In each of the Folgers Coffee Products that is the subject of this action, the front panel prominently and conspicuously states the number of cups the canister will produce, as shown in the Classic French Roast canister illustrated below:



6. The back panel contains the information with respect to a "serving" as illustrated:



7. Other Folgers Coffee Products make similar untrue claims: Folgers French Roast Med-Dark; Folgers 100% Colombian Medium; Folgers Black Silk Dark; Folgers Brazilian Blend Medium; Folgers Gourmet Supreme Med-Dark; Folgers House Blend Medium; Folgers Special Roast Medium; Folgers ½ Caff Medium; Folgers Coffeehouse Blend Med-Dark; and Folgers Breakfast Blend Mild all claim on the packaging to produce 210 six ounce coffee servings but each

actually produces approximately 150-160 servings, or approximately 30% less than what Folgers represents can be made.

- 8. Folgers Classic Roast Medium; Folgers Class Decaf Medium; and Folgers Simply Smooth Mild claim on each canister that it will produce 240 six ounce coffee servings but in practice, each canister actually produces approximately 170-180 cup servings.
- 9. The stated coffee cup yield information on the Folgers Coffee Products is false and misleading. These misrepresentations are deceptive and untrue. Since the representations are false, Plaintiff and the Class Members overpaid for the Folgers Coffee Products, as they did not receive the represented amounts of servings. For example, the canister Plaintiff purchased is advertised by Folgers on Amazon on May 28, 2020 at \$24.43 for 380 servings, which is roughly 6 1/2 cents per serving. But those who buy this product, which yields only about 270 servings, are paying roughly 9 cents per serving. Thus, Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered monetary damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) in that: (1) this is a class action involving more than 100 Class Members; (2) Plaintiff is a citizen of Florida; Defendant is a citizen of the State of Ohio; and (3) the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 11. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it conducts substantial business in Florida. Defendant has and continues to actively market, promote, and sell the Folgers Coffee Products in Florida through numerous retailers and online channels, and Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with this State and/or has sufficiently availed itself of the market in

this State through its marketing, promotion and sales within this State, including sales in the Winn-Dixie and Publix grocery chains, to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible.

12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred while Plaintiff resided in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 13. Plaintiff MARCIA SORIN resides in Palm Beach County, Florida. During the past four years, Plaintiff purchased Folgers products containing the misrepresentations that are the subject of this suit online and in local markets.
- 14. The Folgers Label Claims made on the product Plaintiff purchased would deceive an objectively reasonable consumer.
- 15. Since Defendant is continuing with the Folgers Label Claims, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to an injunction to prevent the continuation of these wrongs.
- Ohio, with its headquarters and principal place of business at One Strawberry Lane, Orrville, OH 44667. Folgers is arguably the most well-known coffee maker in the United States. The coffee giant excels in offering a wide range of products to customers, including varying flavors, roasts and strength of coffee. Since the early 1990s, it has been the largest-selling ground coffee in the United States. In the 1980s, Folgers' slogan "The best part of waking up is Folgers in your cup!" and the well-associated jingle became recognizable in households across the country, along with the Folgers name. Folgers generates an estimated \$1 billion or more in sales each year, a

significant portion of which is derived from sales of the Folgers Coffee Products in Florida. In 2008, Folgers was acquired by J.M. Smucker from Procter & Gamble for a reported \$3 billion.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

17. Under the controlling law, Plaintiff is not required to plead her FDUTPA claim with particularity, or pled the elements of fraud, as held in *Guerrero v. Target Corp.*, 889 F. Supp. 2d 1348, 1354-55 (S.D. Fla. 2012):

Generally "[t]he requirements of Rule 9(b) do not apply to claims under the FDUTPA." *Galstaldi v. Sunvest Cmtys. USA, LLC*, 637 F. Supp. 2d 1045, 1056 (S.D. Fla. 2009). Because FDUTPA was enacted to provide remedies for conduct outside the reach of traditional common law torts like fraud, "the plaintiff need not prove the elements of fraud to sustain an action under the statute." <u>Id.</u> (quotations omitted). Accordingly, the heightened pleading requirements of Rule 9(b) cannot serve as a basis to dismiss FDUTPA claims. *Id.; see also State, Office of Atty. Gen., Dep't of Legal Affairs v. Wyndham Int'l, Inc.*, 869 So. 2d 592, 598 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2004) ("A deceptive or unfair trade practice constitutes a somewhat unique tortious act because, although it is similar to a claim of fraud, it is different in that, unlike fraud, a party asserting a deceptive trade practice claim need not show actual reliance on the representation or omission at issue."). Accordingly, the Court finds that Rule 9(b) is inapplicable to Plaintiff's FDUTPA claims

- 18. The Folgers Label Claims are objectively deceptive and, as alleged herein, violate FDUTPA.
- 19. Throughout the Class Period defined below, Defendant has engaged in, and continues to engage in, the Folgers Label Claims. As a result, Defendant has sold thousands, if not millions, of Folgers Coffee Products to unsuspecting consumers across Florida through its retailers and online sales channels.

- 20. Defendant's Folgers Label Claims are false as discussed above, based on the fact that the Folgers Coffee Products are represented as having characteristics that they, in fact, do not have.
- 21. Defendant's Folgers Label Claims are material since this practice was likely to deceive Plaintiff and the Class Members acting reasonably in the same circumstances.
- 22. Plaintiff and the Class Members were injured in that they: (1) paid more for a Coffee Product that was not as represented; (2) were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the Folgers Coffee Products they purchased were materially different than what Defendant had stated on the label; and (3) were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the Folgers Coffee Products they purchased had less value than what Defendant represented.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in every preceding paragraph as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated Class Members pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and seeks certification of the following Class against Defendant for violations of FDUTPA:
 - All consumers within the State of Florida who purchased any of the Folgers Coffee Products which contained the Folgers Label Claims within the statute of limitations period, including any tolling period (the "Class Period"). Excluded from the Class are Defendant's current or former officers, directors, and employees; counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant; and the judicial officer to whom this lawsuit is assigned.
- 25. Numerosity: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class contains hundreds or even thousands of individuals who have been damaged by Defendant's conduct as alleged herein.

The precise number of Class Members is unknown to Plaintiff, but may be determined with reasonable accuracy through class discovery.

- 26. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact: This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual Class Members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Whether Defendant made false and/or misleading statements to the Class and the public concerning the cup yield in the Folgers Coffee Products;
- b. Whether Defendant omitted material information to the public concerning the actual cup yield of the Folgers Coffee Products;
- c. Whether Defendant's packaging for the Folgers Coffee Products is misleading and deceptive within the meaning of the FDUTPA;
- d. Whether the container label on the Folgers Coffee Products is misleading and deceptive;
 - e. Whether Defendant's conduct violates FDUTPA; and
- f. Whether Plaintiff and the Class Members have been damaged and if so the proper calculation of damages.
- 27. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members because, *inter alia*, all Class Member have been impacted in the same way by Defendant's false and misleading label claims about the serving yield of its Folgers Coffee Products. Plaintiff is advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of herself and all Class Members.
- 28. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in complex consumer class action litigation,

and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiff has no antagonistic or adverse interest to those of the Class.

29. Superiority: The nature of this action and the nature of the laws available to Plaintiff and the Class make the use of the class action format a particularly efficient and appropriate procedure to afford relief to him and the Class for the wrongs alleged. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class Members is miniscule compared to the burden and expense that would be entailed by individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would thus be virtually impossible for Plaintiff and Class Members, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs done to them. Absent the class action, Class Members would not likely recover, or would not likely have the chance to recover, damages and/or restitution from Defendant, which would continue to retain the proceeds of its wrongful conduct.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (FDUTPA--Equitable Relief and Damages Against Defendant Folgers)

- 30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations previously asserted above.
- 31. Defendant has engaged in deceptive acts and unfair practices that have caused actual damages to Plaintiff and the Class.
- 32. Section 501.204(1), Fla. Stat., makes unlawful "unfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce."
- 33. Selling, distributing, and introducing the Folgers Coffee Products in interstate commerce are "consumer transaction[s]" within the meaning and scope of FDUTPA.
 - 34. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by § 501.203, Fla. Stat.

- 35. The Folgers Coffee Products are goods within the meaning and scope of FDUTPA and Defendant is engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning and scope of FDUTPA in connection with the sale and distribution of the Folgers Coffee Products.
- 36. An objectively reasonable consumer would have been deceived by the Folgers Label Claims, as they are inaccurate and misleading. Defendant's practices are unfair and deceptive.
- 37. Plaintiff has sustained actual damages as a result of Defendant's deceptive acts and unfair practices, which violate FDUTPA.
- 38. FDUTPA permits anyone who is "aggrieved by a violation" of the statute to proceed with a suit for declaratory or injunctive relief. FDUTPA is designed to protect not only the rights of litigants, but also the rights of the consuming public at large. As stated in *Gastaldi v. Sunvest Cmtys. USA, Ltd. Liab. Co.*, 637 F. Supp. 2d 1045, 1057-58 (S.D. Fla. 2009):

The FDUTPA "is designed to protect not only the rights of litigants, but also the rights of the consuming public at large."...see also Hialeah Automotive, LLC v. Basulto, No. 3D07-855, 22 So. 3d 586, 2009 Fla. App. LEXIS 566, 2009 WL 187584 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009) (finding declaratory relief an available remedy under Fla. Stat. § 501.211(1)); Schauer v. Morse Operations, Inc., 5 So. 3d 2, 2009 WL 18674, at *5 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009) ("[S]ection 501.211 provides that a person aggrieved by a violation of FDUTPA may obtain a declaratory judgment that an act or practice violates FDUTPA.").

The statute is clear on its face. Any person aggrieved by a violation of the FDUTPA may seek declaratory and/or injunctive relief under the statute. There is no requirement that a plaintiff show an ongoing practice or irreparable harm, and declaratory relief is available regardless of whether an adequate remedy at law also exists.

39. Pursuant to §§501.211(2) and 501.2105, Fla. Stat., Plaintiff demands her damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and also seeks injunctive relief for the benefit of the public.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, demands judgment against

Defendant and requests the entry of:

a. An order certifying the Class as requested herein, appointing Plaintiff as Class

Representative, and appointing her counsel as Class Counsel;

b. An order declaring that the conduct complained of herein violates FDUTPA, and

awarding damages;

An order enjoining Defendant's unlawful and unfair practices; c.

d. An order requiring Defendant to adopt proper label statements;

An award of attorneys' fees and the reimbursement of litigation costs pursuant to, e.

FDUTPA, and

f. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, equitable, or proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all claims presented herein so triable.

Dated: June 4, 2020

KOMLOSSY LAW P.A.

/s/ Emily C Komlossy

Emily Komlossy (FBN 7714) eck@komlossylaw.com

4700 Sheridan St., Suite J Hollywood, FL 33021

Phone: (954) 842-2021

Fax: (954) 416-6223

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

OF COUNSEL:

Laurence D. Paskowitz **THE PASKOWITZ LAW FIRM P.C.**208 East 51st Street, Suite 380

New York, NY 10022
212-685-0969

lpaskowitz@pasklaw.com

Roy L. Jacobs **ROY JACOBS & ASSOCIATES** 420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2440 New York, NY 10170 212-867-1156 rjacobs@jacobsclasslaw.com

Beth A. Keller

LAW OFFICES OF BETH A. KELLER, P.C.

118 North Bedford Road

Suite 100

Mount Kisco, NY 10549

914-752-3040

bkeller@keller-lawfirm.com

JS 44 Case 9:20 rcvs-80897-WPD Document Interpret On Fig. 2 Docket 06/04/2020 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Revisited Cases Balow.

of initiating the civil docket she	et. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON	NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM	() NOTICE: Attorneys MU	IST Indicate All Re-filed (Cases Below.					
	MARCIA SORIN, indi all others similarly situa		f of DEFENDAN	THE TOLOLIC CO	THE FOLGER COFFEE COMPANY, a subsidiary of the J.M. Smucker Company					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Palm Beach County, FL (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Resider	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Wayne County, OH (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number,)	Attorneys (If Know		NVOLVED.					
KOMLOSSY LAW, P. Hollywood, FL 33021	The same and the s	1700 Sheridan St., Ste	. J,							
(d) Check County Where Action	on Arose: MIAMI- DADE	☐ MONROE ☐ BROWARD ↓	PALM BEACH MARTIN S	T. LUCIE INDIAN RIVER C	OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)										
☐ 1 U.S. Government	The second secon	eral Question	(For Diversity Cases On	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF					
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		d or Principal Place					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	—	ersity ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		d and Principal Place ☐ 5 √☐ 5 ess In Another State					
i and the second second			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nat	ion 6 6					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	Click here for: Nature of Suit Co FORFEITURE/PENALT		OTHER STATUTES					
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/	of Property 21 USC 85	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 15	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a)) 400 State Reapportionment					
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability		PROPERTY RIGHT 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent – Abbreviat	☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce					
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		New Drug Application	□ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and					
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -	Liability	LABOR 1 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 740 Railway Labor Act 151 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURIT 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 BlWC/DIWW (40 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV					
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Other:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUI	895 Freedom of Information Act iff					
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	ation	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes					
1 Original 2 Remo from Court	State (See VI		erred from Gridistrict Litigation Transfer	7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	8 Multidistrict					
VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): a)		✓ NO b) Relate	d Cases □YES DOCKET NU						
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil St ON 28 U.S.C. section LENGTH OF TRIAL	1332(d) Violations o	filing and Write a Brief State f FL Stat. Section 501. (for both sides to try entire of	.201 et seq.	iurisdictional statutes unless diversity):					
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES	S only if demanded in complaint:					
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT IF	P JUDGE	e may c	MAG JUDGE						

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) FLSD Revised 06/01/2017

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

- VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.
- VII. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Date:

UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT							
	District of							
Plaintiff(s) V.))))) ()) () () () () () () () () (
Defendant(s)								
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION								
To: (Defendant's name and address)								
A lawsuit has been filed against you.								
are the United States or a United States agency, or a P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff	ons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. If an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,							
If you fail to respond, judgment by default You also must file your answer or motion with the continuous conti	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. court.							
	CLERK OF COURT							

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	ıl at (place)					
			on (date)					
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there							
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumn		; or					
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:								
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: