### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

ISAIAH PAYNE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 4307 Meadow Mills Road Owings Mills, MD 21117

Plaintiffs,

v.

HOWARD UNIVERSITY, 4501 Muirkirk Road Beltsville, MD 20705 Prince George's County

**Baltimore County** 

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1314

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Isaiah Payne ("Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against Defendant Howard University ("Howard" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to himself, which are based on personal knowledge.

### NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 academic semester at Howard, and who, because of Defendant's response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19") pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.
- 2. Howard is a private, federally chartered historically black university ("HBCU") in Washington, D.C. It has an enrollment of approximately 11,000 students and offers more than

120 areas of study within 13 schools and colleges. Howard has four campuses: three in Washington, D.C. and one in Beltsville, Maryland.

- 3. On March 16, 2020, Howard announced via letter from Howard's President Wayne A.I. Frederick, M.D., MBA, that Howard was to suspend "face-to-face instruction of courses at Howard University for the remainder of the Spring 2020 Semester and courses will continue to transition to remote and online instruction following the scheduled Spring Break."
- 4. Thus, Howard has not held any in-person classes since March 13, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.
- 5. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Mr. Payne and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to Howard students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.
- 6. Nonetheless, Howard has not refunded any tuition or fees for the Spring 2020 Semester.
- 7. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access, and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
  - 8. Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the

https://newsroom.howard.edu/newsroom/article/12041/howard-university-announces-covid-19-case-online-classes-will-now-be-duration

pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained of the Spring 2020 Semester when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of those amounts on behalf of himself and the Class as defined below.

#### **PARTIES**

- 9. Plaintiff Isaiah Payne is a citizen of Maryland who resides in Owings Mills, Maryland. During the Spring 2020 Semester, Mr. Payne was an undergraduate student at majoring in Political Science. Mr. Payne is currently an alumnus of Howard University, having completed his undergraduate degree requirements and graduated at the end of the Spring 2020 Semester. Mr. Payne paid Defendant approximately \$12,483 in tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 Semester. Howard has not provided Mr. Payne any refund of tuition or other mandatory fees, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 13, 2020.
- 10. Defendant Howard University is a private university with its principal place of business at 2400 6th Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20059.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has a campus and research facility in this District, and many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.
  - 13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Plaintiff

resides in this District, and many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For The Spring 2020 Semester

- 14. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 Semester at Howard.
- 15. Spring 2020 Semester classes at Howard began on or about January 13, 2020. Classes and final exams for the semester were scheduled to end on or around May 8, 2020.
- 16. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring 2020 Semester.

  They also paid other mandatory fees associated with the Spring 2020 Semester.
- 17. Undergraduate tuition for the Spring 2020 Semester at Howard is approximately \$12,483. Mandatory undergraduate fees are \$970 per semester.
- 18. Graduate tuition for the Spring 2020 semester at Howard varies based on the program. For instance, the School of Business charges \$17,508 per semester. The School of Law charges \$16,028 per semester. The College of Medicine charges \$22,627 per semester.
- 19. Howard also charges mandatory fees for graduate programs. As with tuition, the mandatory fees vary depending on the program.
- 20. The tuition and fees described above is provided by way of example; total damage amounts which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded will be proven at trial.

### In Response To COVID-19, Howard Closed Campuses And Cancelled All In-Person Classes

- 21. On March 16, 2020, Howard announced that because of the global COVID-19 classes would be held only in online format as of March 23, 2020, the first day back from Spring Break.
- 22. Since March 13, 2020, Howard has not held any in-person classes. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as music, theatre, nursing, and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.
- 23. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 24. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's institution and enroll on an in-person basis.
- 25. The online learning options being offered to Howard students are subpar in practically every aspect and a shadow of what they once were, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.
  - 26. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person

education putative class members contracted and paid for. Indeed, Howard brags on its website about its "unmatched campus culture" and its "historic campus community." The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for Spring 2020 Semester tuition. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at Howard are higher than tuition and fees for its own online programs because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:

- Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;
- Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study rooms;
- Student governance and student unions;
- Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
- Student art, cultures, and other activities;
- Social development and independence;
- Hands on learning and experimentation; and
- Networking and mentorship opportunities.



27. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members, Defendant's

disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained of the Spring 2020 Semester when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of himself and the Class as defined below.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 28. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid Howard tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services for the Spring 2020 Semester that Howard failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.
- 29. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in Maryland (the "Subclass").
- 30. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.
- 31. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and

may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.

- 32. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
  - (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted;
  - (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide;
  - (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
  - (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.
- 33. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.
  - 34. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the

interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.

- 35. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.
  - 36. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:
    - (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
    - (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members

would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or

(c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

## COUNT I Breach Of Contract (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 37. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 38. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendants.
- 39. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.
- 40. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration, Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for the Spring 2020 Semester tuition. Tuition for the Spring 2020 Semester was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.
  - 41. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not

performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.

- 42. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but no be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the prorated portion of any tuition and fees paid for the Spring 2020 Semester for educational services not provided since Howard has not held in-person classes since March 13, 2020.
- 44. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

## COUNT II Unjust Enrichment (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 46. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

- 47. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid for the Spring 2020 Semester tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for the Spring 2020 Semester was intended to cover inperson educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.
  - 48. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.
- 49. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees for educational services not provided since Howard has not held in-person classes since March 13, 2020.
- 50. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

# COUNT III Conversion (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 51. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 52. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 53. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fee payments to Defendant.

- 54. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.
- 55. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the prorated portion of any Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees for education services not provided since Howard shut down on March 16, 2020.
- 56. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.
- 57. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.
- 58. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated portion of any tuition and fees paid for the Spring 2020 Semester for education services not provided since Howard has not held in-person classes since March 13, 2020.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Subclass and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;

- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and (f)
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass his reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: May 27, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

### SILVERMAN THOMPSON SLUTKIN & WHITE, LLC

By: /s/ William N. Sinclair

William N. Sinclair (State Bar No. 28833)

201 N. Charles St., Suite 2600

Baltimore, MD 21201

Telephone: (410) 385-2225

Facsimile: (410) 547-2432

Email: bsinclair@silvermanthompson.com

### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

L. Timothy Fisher (pro hac vice app. forthcoming) Neal J. Deckant (pro hac vice app. forthcoming) 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940

Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 300-4455 Facsimile: (925) 407-2700 Email: ltfisher@bursor.com

ndeckant@bursor.com

### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Sarah N. Westcot (pro hac vice app. forthcoming)

2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220

Miami, FL 33133

Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 Email: swestcot@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### Case 1:20-cv-01314-RDB Document 1-1 Filed 05/27/20 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<b>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS</b> ISAIAH PAYNE, individua	lly and on behalf of al	l others similarly sit	tuated	<b>DEFENDANTS</b> HOWARD UNIVER	RSITY				
(b) County of Residence o (EX  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A William N. Sinclair, Silver 201 N. Charles St., Suite (410) 385-2225	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA.  Iddress, and Telephone Number man, Thompson, Slutk	) in, & White, LLC	D	County of Residence of NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. PI ONDEMNATIO	LAINTIFF CASES OF			ounty
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated <i>or</i> Print of Business In Th		r Defenda PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity     (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State		Incorporated and Proof Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>≱</b> 5
				en or Subject of a Dreign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		<b>1</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			L			for: Nature of Sui	t Code Descript		EC
CONTRACT		RTS  DEDSONAL INITID		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		al 28 USC 158	and the second second second	G14 20	9
<ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>□ 160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>☑ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>□ 196 Franchise</li> <li>REAL PROPERTY</li> <li>□ 210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>□ 220 Foreclosure</li> <li>□ 230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>□ 245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>□ 290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage  70 Add Salien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty  Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	423 Witho   28 U   PROPEE    820 Copy   830 Paten   840 Trade   861 HIA     862 Black   863 DIW   865 RSI (   FEDER/   870 Taxes   or Do   871 IRS—   26 U	SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rights t temark  SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	480 Consum	n (31 USC) ) apportion t ind Bankin ree tion er Influen- Organizate re Credit at TV es/Commo ge atatutory A tural Acts mental Mi n of Inforn ion strative Pr iew or Ap Decision utionality of	nment ng nced and tions odities/ actions fatters mation rocedure opeal of
	moved from 3  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	Red	nstated or 5 Transfe ppened Anothe (specify)	er District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. 1332(d	)(2)(A) nuse:		•					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N I	DEMAND \$ 5,000,001.00		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complai  No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		0.000	DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 05/27/2020		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OL KECORD /					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Maryland

	Distric	t of Maryland
ISAIAH PAYNE, individu of all others simila 4307 Meadow M Owings Mills, M	rly situated, ⁄lills Road	) ) )
Plaintiff(s	r)	
v.		Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1314
HOWARD UNI\ 4501 Muirkirl Beltsville, MD Prince George'	k Road ) 20705	) ) ) )
Defendant	(s)	, )
	SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	HOWARD UNIVERSITY 4501 Muirkirk Road Beltsville, MD 20705 Prince George's County	•
A lawsuit has been file		
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ed States agency, or an off serve on the plaintiff an a redure. The answer or mo	2600 225 32
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answer		be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1314

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for <i>(name)</i>	ne of individual and title, if any) .								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)							
			on (date)	; or						
	☐ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides th								
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or						
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or						
	☐ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00						
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.							
Date:										
			Server's signature							
			Printed name and title							
			Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: