UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JAE Y. HONG, DDS, PS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

JURY DEMAND

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, JAE Y. HONG, DDS, PS, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class and Washington State subclasses (collectively, the "Class Members"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Valley Forge Insurance Company ("Valley Forge" or "Defendant") and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts in Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiff and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion of the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the state of Washington.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's businesses are located in Everett and Marysville, Snohomish County, and in Burien, King County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Seattle Division because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in Snohomish and King Counties.

III. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, Jae Y. Hong, DDS, PS, owns and operates oral and maxillofacial surgical dental businesses located at 5929 Evergreen Way, #300, Everett, Washington 98203; 1809 4th Street, Marysville, Washington 98270; and 429 SW 153rd Street, Burien, Washington 98166.

- Defendant Valley Forge Insurance Company is an insurance carrier duly incorporated in the State of Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business located at 151 N.
 Franklin, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 7. Defendant Valley Forge is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in all 50 states. Defendant conducts business within Washington and these states by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Plaintiff.

IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 8. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- 9. Defendant Valley Forge issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including Commercial Property Coverage, with a Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form and related endorsements, insuring Plaintiff's property and business practice and other coverages, with effective dates of August 10, 2019 to August 10, 2020.
- 10. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of oral and maxillofacial surgical services and other related business activities.
- 11. Defendant Valley Forge promises to pay Plaintiff for "direct physical loss of or damage to" to covered property.
- 12. The Policy includes coverage for risks of both damage to and loss of covered property.
- 13. Defendant Valley Forge's insurance policy issued to Plaintiff includes Business Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage and Civil Authority Coverage.

- 14. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 15. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 16. In light of this pandemic, on February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-5, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the state of Washington as the result of COVID-19.
- 17. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.
- 18. On March 19, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-24, "Restrictions on Non-Urgent Medical Procedures." The proclamation provides, in part:

WHEREAS, the health care personal protective equipment supply chain in Washington State has been severely disrupted by the significant increased use of such equipment worldwide, such that there are now critical shortages of this equipment for health care workers. To curtail the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington State and to protect our health care workers as they provide health care services, it is necessary to immediately prohibit all hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, and dental, orthodontic, and endodontic offices in Washington State from providing health care services, procedures and surgeries that require personal protective equipment, which if delayed, are not anticipated to cause harm to the patient within the next three months[.]

- 19. By order of Governor Inslee, oral and maxillofacial surgical businesses, including Plaintiff's, were prohibited from providing services but for urgent and emergency procedures.
 - 20. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.
- 21. Plaintiff's property sustained direct physical loss and/or damage related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.

- 22. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the Valley Forge policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
 - 23. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.
- 24. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the Valley Forge policy or policies.
- 25. After Plaintiff's businesses were shut down in March 2020, Plaintiff sought coverage for the loss. By letter dated April 28, 2020, Valley Forge denied coverage for the loss.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Valley Forge has denied or will deny all similar claims for coverage.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
 - 28. The Classes that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined at this time as:
 - A. Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
 - B. Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises

related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Valley Forge.

- C. Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- D. Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- E. *Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- F. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with

 Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

 covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

 other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by

 Valley Forge.

- G. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- I. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- J. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Valley Forge.

- K. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- L. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a suspension of their business and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders

issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

- P. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 29. Excluded from the Classes are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the above-described Class definitions based on information obtained in discovery including Defendant's internal records presently unavailable to Plaintiff.
- 30. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 31. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class contains hundreds of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.
- 32. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class;
- B. Whether Valley Forge acted in a manner common to the class and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 9

 Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

- C. Whether Business Income Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- D. Whether Extended Business Income Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- E. Whether Extra Expense Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss at the covered premises relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- F. Whether Civil Authority Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- G. Whether Valley Forge has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class
 Members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class

 Members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 33. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 10 **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**

classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Defendant. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.

- 34. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.
- Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 36. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 37. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION 11 **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**

Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count One—Declaratory Judgment

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- 38. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 39. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 40. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.
- 41. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff and Class Members' losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.
- 42. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Valley Forge is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

Count Two—Breach of Contract

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business
Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach
of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington
COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 12

Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

- 43. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 44. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.
- 45. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to Valley Forge in exchange for Valley Forge's promise to pay plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
 - 46. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.
- 47. Plaintiff submitted a claim to Valley Forge for its covered loss, and Valley Forge denied coverage.
- 48. On information and belief, Valley Forge has denied coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
 - 49. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
 - 50. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Valley Forge.

VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.

1	2.	A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully
2	paying all suc	
3		
4	3.	Damages.
5	4.	Class action status under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.
6	5.	Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
7	6.	Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
	7.	Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.
8	7.	
9		VIII. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
10	Plaint	iff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.
11	DAT	ED this 9th day of June, 2020.
12		KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
13		By: s/Amy Williams Derry
14		By: <u>s/Lynn L. Sarko</u> By: <u>s/Ian S. Birk</u>
15		By: s/Gretchen Freeman Cappio
16		By: <u>s/ Irene M. Hecht</u> By: <u>s/ Maureen Falecki</u>
17		By: <u>s/ Nathan Nanfelt</u> Amy Williams Derry, WSBA #28711
		Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569 Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431
18		Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576 Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
19		Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569
20		Nathan L. Nanfelt, WSBA #45273 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
21		Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-1900
22		Fax: (206) 623-3384 Email: awilliam-derry@kellerrohrback.com
23		Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com
24		Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
25		Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com
		Email: nnanfelt@kellerrrohrback.com
26		

Case 2:20-cv-00891 Document 1 Filed 06/09/20 Page 15 of 15

By: <u>s/ Alison Chase</u> (Pro hac applic. to be filed)
Alison Chase, CA Bar #226976 801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com Telephone: (805) 456-1496 Fax: (805) 456-1497 Attorneys for Plaintiff 4839-1220-4733, v. 2

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 15

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

Case 2:20-cv-00891 Document 1 5 Filed 16/09/20 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	HIS FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JAE Y. HONG, DDS, PS situated	, individually and on b	ehalf of all others simil	arly DEFENDANTS VALLEY FORGE I			
(b) County of Residence of (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff KACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(ing County, WA		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, KELLER ROHRBACK L. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite (206) 623-1900	L.P.		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti <u>f</u>	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	✓ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FODEFITIDE/DENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
	moved from	Appellate Court		erred from Grant G		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cal					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Barbara J.	Rothstein	DOCKET NUMBER 2:	20-cv-00809-BJR	
DATE 06/09/2020		signature of attorion/s/ Amy Williams-I				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington

JAE Y. HONG, DDS, PS, individually of all others similarly situat	and on behalf) red,)			
)			
Plaintiff(s))			
v.) C	Civil Action No.		
VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE O	COMPANY			
)			
)			
Defendant(s))			
	SUMMONS IN A CIVII	LACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE COMPANY 100 MATSONFORD ROAD, SUITE 200 RADNOR, PA 19087				
A lawsuit has been filed again	st you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Amy Williams-Derry Lynn I. Sarko Jan S. Birk Gretchen Freeman Cappio				
whose name and address are: Amy Williams-Derry, Lynn L. Sarko, Ian S. Birk, Gretchen Freeman Cappio, Irene M. Hecht, Maureen Falecki, Nathan Nanfelt KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
		CLERK OF COURT		
Date:				
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if an	ny)					
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)							
	on (date)			; or				
	ence or usual place of abode with (name)							
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or					
☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)								
	designated by law to a	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because	2	; or				
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		_						
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

v.

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

25

26

24

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JAE Y. HONG, DDS, PS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

No.

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

The below-listed cases against Valley Forge Insurance Company involve similar claims and arise out of similar policy forms used by a similar defendant. In addition to similar claims against a similar defendant, there is also a similar nature of relief requested by the plaintiff in the above-captioned action and the cases listed below. Accordingly, it appears likely that there could be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense and potential for conflicting results if the cases listed below are conducted before different judges.

Pursuant to LCR 3(g), the undersigned counsel therefore notifies the Clerk of Court of the following potentially related cases:

Case Name	Judge	Case No.
Kara McCulloch DMD MSD PLLC v. Valley	Barbara J. Rothstein	W.D. WA 2:20-cv-
Forge Insurance Company		00809-BJR

1	Case Name	Judge	Case No.		
2	Noskenda Inc. v. Valley Forge Insurance Company	Marsha J. Pechman	W.D. WA 2:20-cv- 00854-MJP		
3					
4	DATED this 9th day of June, 2020.				
5		KELLER ROHRBACK L	.L.P.		
6		Dry g/Amy Williams Dawn			
7	By: <u>s/ Amy Williams-Derry</u> By: <u>s/ Lynn L. Sarko</u>				
0		By: s/ Ian S. Birk			
8		By: s/ Gretchen Freeman	Cappio		
9	By: s/Irene M. Hecht				
		By: <u>s/Maureen Falecki</u>			
10	By: <u>s/Nathan L. Nanfelt</u>				
11	Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711				
11	Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569				
12		Ian S. Birk, WSBA #3 Gretchen Freeman Ca			
10		Irene M. Hecht, WSB			
13		Maureen Falecki, WS			
14		Nathan Nanfelt, WSB			
		1201 Third Avenue, S			
15		Seattle, WA 98101			
16		Telephone: (206) 623	-1900		
10		Fax: (206) 623-3384			
17		Email: awilliams-derr Email: lsarko@kelleri	y@kellerrohrback.com		
18		Email: ibirk@kellerro			
10		Email: gcappio@kelle			
19		Email: ihecht@keller			
20		Email: mfalecki@kell			
20		Email: nnanfelt@kelle	errohrback.com		
21					
22		By: s/Alison Chase			
23		Alison Chase, <i>pro had</i> 801 Garden Street, Su	· ·		
24		Santa Barbara, CA 93	101		
25		Telephone: (805) 456 Fax: (805) 456-1497	-1 4 7U		
25		Email: achase@keller	rohrback.com		
26		Character Cheffel			

Attorneys for Plaintiff

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 2

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

Case 2:20-cv-00891 Document 1-3 Filed 06/09/20 Page 3 of 3

4848-3649-3247, v. 1

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 3

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384