

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
Sarah N. Westcot (State Bar No. 264916)
2665 S. Bayshore Dr., Suite 220
Miami, FL 33133-5402
Telephone: (305) 330-5512
Facsimile: (305) 676-9006
E-Mail: swestcot@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

VENUS TRAN, on behalf of herself and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BOSTON UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff Venus Tran (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of herself and all others
2 similarly situated against Defendant Boston University (“BU” or “Defendant”). Plaintiff makes the
3 following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and
4 belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal
5 knowledge.

6 **NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

7 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for
8 the Spring 2020 academic term (the “Spring Term”) at Boston University, and who, because of
9 BU’s response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, lost the benefit of
10 the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees were paid, without
11 having their tuition and fees refunded to them.

12 2. BU is a large private university with an enrollment of approximately 34,657
13 students. The university is organized into 18 school and colleges on two campuses and offers more
14 than 300 programs of study. BU also operates an online program offering more than 44 online
15 degree programs.

16 3. On March 17, 2020, through a news release from its President Robert A. Brown, BU
17 announced that because of the COVID-19 pandemic, all in-person classes would be suspended
18 through the end of the Spring Term. The announcement informed students that all classes would
19 instead be held remotely through online formats for the remainder of the Spring Term.

20 4. Since March 17, 2020, BU has not held any in-person classes. Classes that have
21 continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.

22 5. As a result of the closure of Defendant’s facilities, Defendant has not delivered the
23 educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Ms. Tran and the putative class
24 contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to BU students are subpar in
25 practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have
26 been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and
27 critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that
28 Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.

1 including application fees, tuition, and other fees from students residing in California, has websites
2 accessible to students in California, has entered into contracts with California residents, and
3 generally has minimum contacts in California sufficient to satisfy the Due Process Clauses of the
4 California and United States Constitutions.

5 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts
6 and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Plaintiff is a
7 resident of the state in which the District is located. Specifically, the contract that is the subject of
8 this action was formed in this District.

9 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10 **Plaintiff and Class Members Paid Tuition and Fees for the Spring Term**

11 13. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other
12 mandatory fees for the Spring Term at BU.

13 14. The Spring Term at BU began on or about January 21, 2020 and final examinations
14 were scheduled to end on or about May 9, 2020.

15 15. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring Term. They also
16 paid other mandatory university fees associated with the Spring Term, including Student Services
17 fees and Health & Wellness fees.

18 16. Approximate tuition costs at BU for the Spring Term are \$28,427.

19 17. Fees paid by or on behalf of BU students vary based on program of study. By way
20 of example, undergraduate students pay fees of approximately \$609 per semester while law
21 students pay \$656 per semester, and graduate students in the medical sciences program pay \$378
22 per semester. Many students are required to pay additional fees associated with specific in-person
23 courses (e.g. laboratory courses, visual arts courses).

24 18. The tuition and fees described in the paragraphs above are provided by way of
25 example; total damage amounts – which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that
26 were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

In Response To COVID-19, BU Closed Campuses and Cancelled All In-Person Classes

19. On March 17, 2020, BU announced via news release that because of the COVID-19 pandemic, all in-person classes would be suspended effective immediately. The announcement informed students that all classes would instead be held remotely through online formats.

20. Since March 17, 2020, BU has not held any in-person classes. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as music, theatre, and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.

21. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for, including but not limited to critical in-class lectures and presentations. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if BU claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

22. The online learning options being offered to BU students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person presentations, dialogue, feedback, and critique.

23. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for tuition for the Spring Term.

24. BU students paid a higher price for an in-person education than they would have paid for an online education. This is illustrated clearly by the vast price difference in BU's in-person, on-campus programs versus BU's own online learning programs. For example, the tuition for Spring Term for classes in the School of Social Work is \$850 per credit. BU also offers online classes in the Graduate Medical School for as little as \$480 per credit. The cost of one credit of an in-person education at BU is **nearly double** the cost of an online education at the same university.

1 any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions
2 include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 3 (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange
4 for the promise to provide services;
- 5 (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members
6 contracted; and
- 7 (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the
8 tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide.
- 9 (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and
10 Subclass; and
- 11 (d) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust
12 enrichment.

13 31. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the
14 Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were
15 comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are
16 no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.

17 32. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the
18 interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in
19 complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action
20 on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to
21 those of the Class or Subclass.

22 33. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and
23 efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by
24 individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of
25 individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for
26 the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed
27 against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized
28 litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of

1 inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation
2 would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised
3 by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these
4 issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court,
5 and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

6 34. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:

7 (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would
8 create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class
9 members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;

10 (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would
11 create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter,
12 be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the
13 adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests;
14 and/or

15 (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as
16 a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with
17 respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

18 **COUNT I**
19 **Breach of Contract**
20 **(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

21 35. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
22 paragraphs of this complaint.

23 36. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class
24 and Subclass against Defendants.

25 37. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and
26 each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.

27 38. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration,
28 Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and
Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for the Spring

1 Term. Tuition for the Spring Term was intended to cover in-person educational services from
2 January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class and Subclass members
3 were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Term.

4 39. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not
5 performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff
6 and the Class for their Spring Term tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their
7 bargain.

8 40. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct
9 and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the
10 education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already
11 paid.

12 41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and
13 Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but no
14 be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by
15 Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the pro-rated
16 portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU shut
17 down on March 17, 2020.

18 42. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19.
19 Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not
20 provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be
21 required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

22 **COUNT II**
23 **Unjust Enrichment**
24 **(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

25 43. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
26 paragraphs of this complaint.

27 44. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class
28 and Subclass against Defendant.

45. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in

1 the form of monies paid for Spring Term tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and
2 promises. Tuition for Spring Term was intended to cover in-person educational services from
3 January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to
4 in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Term.

5 46. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.

6 47. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the
7 education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making
8 Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the
9 pro-rated portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU
10 shut down on March 17, 2020.

11 48. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant
12 should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

13 **COUNT III**
Conversion

14 **(On Behalf of the Class and Subclass)**

15 49. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding
16 paragraphs of this complaint.

17 50. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class
18 and Subclass against Defendant.

19 51. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-
20 person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring Term
21 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.

22 52. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and
23 Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational
24 services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.

25 53. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the pro-rated
26 portion of any Spring Term tuition and fees for education services not provided since BU shut
27 down on March 17, 2020.

1 Dated: May 5, 2020

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

2 By: /s/ L. Timothy Fisher

3 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
4 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
5 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
6 Telephone: (925) 300-4455
7 Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
8 E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

9 Sarah N. Westcot (State Bar No. 264916)
10 2665 S. Bayshore Dr., Suite 220
11 Miami, FL 33133-5402
12 Telephone: (305) 330-5512
13 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006
14 E-Mail: swestcot@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

VENUS TRAN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Santa Clara (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) L. Timothy Fisher, Bursor & Fisher, P.A. 1990 N. California Blvd., Suite 940 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Tel: (925)300-4455

DEFENDANTS

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship options: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, HABEAS CORPUS, OTHER, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(2)

Brief description of cause: Breach of contract.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only) SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND X SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE 05/05/2020

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ L. Timothy Fisher