UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

KARI ROCCHIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No. 20-cy-05390

Plaintiff.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY,

V.

Defendant.

Plaintiff Kari Rocchio ("Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against Defendant Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey ("Rutgers" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 academic semester at Rutgers, and who, because of Defendant's response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19") pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.
- 2. Rutgers is New Jersey's largest university, with an enrollment of over 68,000 students. Rutgers operates three New Jersey campuses in New Brunwick, Newark, and Camden. Rutgers offers more than 100 distinct bachelor, 100 master, and 80 doctoral and professional degree programs across 175 academic departments.

- 3. On March 10, 2020, Rutgers, via letter from University President Robert Barchi, announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, beginning Thursday, March 12, through the end of spring break on March 22, 2020, all classes are cancelled. When classes resume on March 23, they would be held remotely.¹
- 4. Thus, Rutgers has not held any in-person classes since March 11, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.
- 5. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Ms. Cheung and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to Rutgers students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.
- 6. Nonetheless, Rutgers has not refunded any tuition or fees for the Spring 2020 semester.
- 7. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the

2

¹ https://president.rutgers.edu/public-remarks/letters/important-update-university-operating-status-regarding-covid-19

Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Kari Rocchio is a citizen of New Jersey who resides in Matawan, New Jersey. Ms. Rocchio is the parent of an undergraduate student at Rutgers. Ms. Rocchio's son is pursuing a degree in Supply Chain Management. The Supply Chain Management program at Rutgers relies extensively on in-person instruction, meaningful student presentations, peer collaboration, and access to university facilities. None of these resources are available to Ms. Rocchio's son while in-person classes are suspended. Ms. Rocchio's son's tuition and fees approximated \$8,155. Other than a meal plan credit for \$207.00, Rutgers has not provided a refund of tuition or fees, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 11, 2020.
- 10. Defendant Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, is a public research university with its principal place of business at 57 US Highway 1, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8554.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is headquartered in this District, many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District,

and because Defendant conducts substantial business in this District and has sufficient minimum contacts with New Jersey. Defendant has solicited students residing in New Jersey to attend its institution; has accepted money, including application fees, tuition, and other fees from students residing in New Jersey, has websites accessible to students in New Jersey, has entered into contracts with New Jersey residents, and generally has minimum contacts in New Jersey sufficient to satisfy the Due Process Clauses of the New Jersey and United States Constitutions.

13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Plaintiff and Defendant are residents of this District. Specifically, the contract that is the subject of this action was formed in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For Spring Semester 2020

- 14. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 Semester at Rutgers.
- 15. Spring Semester 2020 classes at Rutgers began on or about January 21, 2020. Classes and final exams for the semester are scheduled for end on or around May 12, 2020.
- 16. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring Semester 2020. They also paid other mandatory fees associated with the Spring Semester 2020, including but not limited to a campus fee, school fee, computer fee, and PIRG fee.
 - 17. Approximate tuition costs at Rutgers for the Spring 2020 Semester are as follows:
 - Undergraduate School of Arts & Science Degree at Rutgers: \$6,115.00 (in-state), \$14,506 (out-of-state)
 - Undergraduate School of Environmental & Bio. Sciences: \$6,873.00 (instate, \$16,287.00 (out-of-state)
 - Undergraduate School of Engineering: \$7,002.00 (in-state), \$16,950.00

(out-of-state)

- Undergraduate Business School: \$6,300.00 (in-state), \$14,515.00 (out-of-state)
- Undergraduate School of Nursing: \$7,158.00 (in-state), \$12,487.00 (out-of-state)
- Rutgers Business School (Graduate): \$13,852.00 (in-state), \$24,048.00 (out-of-state)
- Rutgers School of Criminal Justice (Graduate): \$8,868.00 (in-state), \$15,072.00 (out-of-state)
- 18. Fees paid by Rutgers students vary based on program of study and whether undergraduate or graduate. While all full-time undergraduate students pay the \$1,347.00 campus fee, an additional School Fee varies based on area of study, and rage from approximately \$70.50 to \$429.25 per semester.
- 19. The tuition and fees described in the paragraph above is provided by way of example; total damage amounts which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded will be proven at trial.

In Response To COVID-19, Rutgers Closed Campuses And Cancelled All In-Person Classes

- 20. On March 10, 2020, Rutgers announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, as of March 12, 2020, classes would be cancelled until March 22, 2020, and that all "face-to-face instruction is suspended." Classes were to resume on March 23, 2020, but only in remote format.
- 21. Since March 11, 2020, Rutgers has not held any in-person classes. The closure of Rutgers's campuses has been extended through the end of Spring Semester 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as music, theatre, nursing, and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.

- 22. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 23. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's institution and enroll on an in-person basis.
- 24. Defendant markets the Rutgers on-campus experience as a benefit of enrollment on Rutgers's website:



25. The online learning options being offered to Rutgers students are subpar in practically every aspect and a shadow of what they once were, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.

6

- 26. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for Spring Semester 2020 tuition. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at Rutgers are higher than tuition and fees for other online institutions because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:
 - Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;
 - Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study room;
 - Student governance and student unions;
 - Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
 - Student art, cultures, and other activities;
 - Social development and independence;
 - Hands on learning and experimentation;
 - Networking and mentorship opportunities.
- 27. The fact that Rutgers students paid a higher price for an in-person education than they would have paid for an online education is illustrated clearly by the vast price difference in Rutgers's in-person, on-campus program versus Rutgers's own online learning programs. For example, tuition and fees per credit for an in-person undergraduate Bachelor's degree are significantly less per credit for an online undergraduate Bachelor's degree. In other words, Defendant charges a premium in tuition and fees for an in-person degree than it does for an online degree.
- 28. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time

that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid Rutgers Spring Semester 2020 tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that Rutgers failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.
- 30. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in New Jersey (the "Subclass").
- 31. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.
- 32. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this

action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.

- 33. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
 - (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted; and
 - (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide.
 - (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
 - (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.
- 34. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.
- 35. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in

complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.

- 36. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.
 - 37. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:
 - (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
 - (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a

- practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
- (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

COUNT I Breach Of Contract (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 38. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 39. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendants.
- 40. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.
- 41. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration,
 Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and
 Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for Spring
 Semester 2020 tuition. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person
 educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class
 and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the
 Spring Semester.
- 42. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff

and the Class for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.

- 43. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but no be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the prorated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Rutgers shut down on March 11, 2020.
- 45. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

COUNT II Unjust Enrichment (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 46. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 47. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
 - 48. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant

in the form of monies paid for Spring Semester 2020 tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.

- 49. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.
- 50. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Rutgers shut down on March 11, 2020.
- 51. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

COUNT III Conversion (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 52. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 53. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 54. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.
- 55. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational

services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.

- 56. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the prorated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Rutgers shut down on March 11, 2020.
- 57. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.
- 58. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.
- 59. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Rutgers shut down on March 11, 2020.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Subclass and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;

- (f) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass her reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: April 30, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

By: <u>/s/ Philip L. Fraietta</u>
Philip L. Fraietta

Philip L. Fraietta (N.J. State Bar No. 118322014) Alec M. Leslie (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming) 888 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (646) 837-7150

Facsimile: (212) 989-9163 Email: pfraietta@bursor.com aleslie@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Scott A. Bursor (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming) Sarah N. Westcot (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming) 2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220

Miami, FL 33133

Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 Email: scott@bursor.com swestcot@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

Case 3:20-cv-05390 Decument 10 V Filed 04/30/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID: 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	OF THIS FO	RM.)	, 1				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Monmouth County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Bursor & Fisher, P.A. 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10106				Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey					
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				North)	
(646) 837-7150 II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION OF WALL	. D. O. I.)	шст	TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIDA	I DADTIEC	/DI /////	0 P (- DI
		ne Box Only)		For Diversity Cases Only)		L FARTIES	and One Box fo	or Defendar	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	`		Citize	PTF DEF PTF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State □ 4					DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State				□ 5	
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FC	ADDRIGHTE DE DENIA L'EN		here for: Nature			
CONTRACT □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	DEFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe	SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) ALTAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	375 False Cl: 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrust 430 Banks an 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketec Corrupt (480 Consumin 490 Cable/Se 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other St 891 Agricult 893 Environn 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis	a (31 USC) apportionm add Banking ce ce corganization ar Influence Organization ar trifluence Organization ar Credit at TV ss/Commod ge atutory Act ural Acts contrative Processor and Trative Processor Decision tionality of	ed and ons lities/ tions ers ation cedure eal of
	moved from	Appellate Court		,	r District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	ı -	Multidist Litigatior Direct File	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Brief description of ca	(d)		о посые јинзисиони Ми	nies uniess al	rei suy).			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION	N D	EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain □No	t:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 04/30/2020		signature of at /s/ Philip L. Fr		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		•							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- **(b)** County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

 PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
-----------------	---------------

for the					
	_ District of				
Plaintiff V. Defendant) -)) () () Civil Action No.) -))				
SUMMON	IS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address)					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default wi You also must file your answer or motion with the co	ill be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Ourt. CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nar	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)							
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumr	mons unexecuted because			; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		·			
	I de alone un den manele	or of manisms that this information	in Amer					
	i deciare under penait	y of perjury that this information	is true.					
Date:			Server's signature					
			Ü					
		Printed name and title						
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: