UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LENNY PARIS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No.

TRIAL

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY

v.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Lenny Paris ("Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against Defendant University of Connecticut ("UConn" or "Defendant").

Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to himself, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 academic semester at UConn, and who, because of Defendant's response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19") pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.
- 2. UConn is Connecticut's largest university, with an enrollment of over 32,000 students. UConn operates multiple Connecticut campuses, with its primary campus in Storrs. UConn more than 110 formal major fields for undergraduate students, as well as a number of graduate programs including law, business, and medicine.
 - 3. On March 12, 2020, UConn, via letter from University President Tom Katsouleas,

announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, beginning Monday, March 23, 2020 (the first day after Spring Break), all in-person classes would be suspended and would be conducted remotely instead.

- 4. Thus, UConn has not held any in-person classes since March 13, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.
- 5. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Mr. Paris and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to UConn students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.
- 6. Nonetheless, UConn has announced on its website that it will not refund any tuition or mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 semester.
- 7. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees (or at minimum a portion thereof), proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of himself and the Class as defined below.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Lenny Paris is a citizen of New York who resides in Plainview, New York. Mr. Paris is the parent of an undergraduate student at UConn. Mr. Paris's daughter is pursuing a double-major in Psychology and Women's Studies. Both the Psychology and Women's Studies program at UConn rely extensively on in-person instruction, meaningful student presentations, peer collaboration, and access to university facilities. None of these resources are available to Mr. Paris's daughter while in-person classes are suspended. Mr. Paris paid Defendant approximately \$18,233 in tuition and \$1,799 in fees for Spring Semester 2020. Despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 13, 2020, UConn has not provided any refund for tuition or fees.
- 10. Defendant the University of Connecticut, is a public research university with its principal place of business at 233 Glenbrook Road, Storrs, CT 06269.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Defendant conducts substantial business in this District and has sufficient minimum contacts with New York.

 Defendant has solicited students residing in New York to attend its institution; has accepted money, including application fees, tuition, and other fees from students residing in New York,

has websites accessible to students in New York, has entered into contracts with New York residents, and generally has minimum contacts in New York sufficient to satisfy the Due Process Clauses of the New York and United States Constitutions.

13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Plaintiff is a resident of this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For Spring Semester 2020

- 14. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 Semester at UConn.
- 15. Spring Semester 2020 classes at UConn began on or about January 21, 2020. Classes and final exams for the semester are scheduled for end on or around May 9, 2020.
- 16. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring Semester 2020. They also paid other mandatory fees associated with the Spring Semester 2020, which total \$1,714 per semester.
 - 17. Approximate tuition costs at UConn for the Spring 2020 Semester are as follows:
 - Undergraduate Degree at UConn: \$7,203 (in-state) or \$18,537 (out-of-state)
 - General Master's Degree at UConn: \$8,454 (in-state, 9+ credits) or \$19,410 (out-of-state, 9+ credits)
 - MBA Degree at UConn: \$8,454 (in-state) or \$19,410 (out-of-state)
 - J.D. Degree at UConn: \$15,177 (in-state) or \$30,698 (out-of-state)
 - M.D. Degree at UConn: \$19,292.50 (in-state) or \$36,332.50 (out-of-state)
- 18. Fees paid by UConn students vary based on program of study and whether undergraduate or graduate. All full-time undergraduate students pay University & Student Fees

of \$1,714 per semester.

19. The tuition and fees described in the paragraph above is provided by way of example; total damage amounts – which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

In Response To COVID-19, UConn Closed Campuses And Cancelled All In-Person Classes

- 20. On March 12, 2020, UConn announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, effective March 23, 2020 (the first day after Spring Break) all in-person classes would be suspended and would be conducted remotely instead.
- 21. Since March 13, 2020, UConn has not held any in-person classes. The closure of UConn's campuses has been extended through the end of Spring Semester 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as music, theatre, nursing, and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.
- 22. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 23. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's institution and enroll on an in-person basis.

24. Defendant markets the UConn on-campus experience as a benefit of enrollment on UConn's website:

Life at UConn

EAT, SLEEP, LEARN, PLAY.

College is about more than hitting the books. It's about discovering your passions and exploring new things, learning about the world and learning about yourself.

Look no further for a true college experience. UConn is full of hot spots to learn and grow, cool places to feed your (literal) hunger, more activities and organizations than you can count, a variety of living arrangements, big-time entertainment, and downright beautiful surroundings.

UConn offers hundreds of student-run clubs and organizations. We're home to museums, galleries, and theaters where you can take in fine art or a comedy performance. And we'd be remiss if we didn't mention athletics – from intramural and club sports to our Student Recreation Facility to our renowned NCAA Division I teams, chances to sweat or cheer abound.

- 25. The online learning options being offered to UConn students are subpar in practically every aspect and a shadow of what they once were, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.
- 26. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for Spring Semester 2020 tuition. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at UConn are higher than tuition and fees for other online institutions because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:

- Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;
- Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study room;
- Student governance and student unions;
- Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
- Student art, cultures, and other activities;
- Social development and independence;
- Hands on learning and experimentation;
- Networking and mentorship opportunities.
- 27. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of himself and the Class as defined below.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 28. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid UConn Spring Semester 2020 tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that UConn failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.
 - 29. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who

reside in New York (the "Subclass").

- 30. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.
- 31. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.
- 32. Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
 - (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted; and
 - (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide.

- (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
- (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.
- 33. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.
- 34. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.
- 35. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the

benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

- 36. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:
 - (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
 - (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
 - (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

COUNT I Breach Of Contract (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 37. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 38. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendants.
 - 39. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and

each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.

- 40. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration,
 Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and
 Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for Spring
 Semester 2020 tuition. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person
 educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class
 and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the
 Spring Semester.
- 41. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.
- 42. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but no be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the prorated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since UConn shut down on March 13, 2020.
 - 44. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19.

Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

COUNT II Unjust Enrichment (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 46. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 47. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid for Spring Semester 2020 tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.
 - 48. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.
- 49. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since UConn shut down on March 13, 2020.
- 50. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

COUNT III

Conversion (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 51. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 52. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 53. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.
- 54. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.
- 55. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the prorated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since UConn shut down on March 13, 2020.
- 56. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.
- 57. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.
 - 58. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated

portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since UConn shut down on March 13, 2020.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Subclass and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (f) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass his reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: May 4, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

By: <u>/s/ Philip L. Fraietta</u>
Philip L. Fraietta

Philip L. Fraietta Alec M. Leslie 888 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (646) 837-7150 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163 Email: pfraietta@bursor.com aleslie@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Sarah N. Westcot *(pro hac vice* app. forthcoming) 2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220

Miami, FL 33133

Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 Email: swestcot@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

Case 2:20-cv-02018 Document 2 VEIR SP/04/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the ervir a	oener sneeti (szz mistric c	110110 011112111 11102 0		14.11)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box.	for Plaintif
□ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def		and One Box fo	or Defende PTF	ant) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	en of This State		Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	₹ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A	•	□ 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	I DA	NKRUPTCY	ОТЦЕР	STATUT	PEC
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		peal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C		
□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Wit	hdrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tai	m (31 US	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 69	00 Other	28	USC 157	3729(a ☐ 400 State R		nment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				ERTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitru	st	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	İ		☐ 820 Cop ☐ 830 Pate		☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme		ng
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	1		□ 840 Trac	demark	☐ 460 Deporta		4 4
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR	SOCIA	L SECURITY	☐ 470 Rackete Corrupt	eer influer t Organiza	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPEI ☐ 370 Other Fraud	RTY 🗖 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA	x (1395ff) ck Lung (923)	☐ 480 Consum ☐ 490 Cable/S		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	□ 72	20 Labor/Management		VC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securit		odities/
	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	- 74	Relations		D Title XVI	Exchar		Vations
☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage		O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI	. (403(g))	☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricul		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	- 70	Leave Act 00 Other Labor Litigation			☐ 893 Enviror ☐ 895 Freedon		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement	FEDER	RAL TAX SUITS	Act	ii oi iiiioi	mation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act		es (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitra		
220 Foreclosure230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	e			Defendant) —Third Party	□ 899 Admini Act/Rev	view or A	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence 520 G				USC 7609	Agency	Decision	
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	ı		☐ 950 Constit State St		OI
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application					
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights	ier 1 40	55 Other Immigration Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	ļ						
		Conditions of							
V. ODIGIN		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i. □ 1 Original □ 2 Re	n One Box Only) moved from 3	Remanded from	□ 4 Daim	stated or	umad fuama	☐ 6 Multidistr	d at		
	ite Court	Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo _l	pened Anothe	r District	Litigation			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you a	re filing (1	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat		liversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		•							
VII DEOLIECTED IN		70 1 07 1 00 1 0mro	. D	ENZANID ¢		CHECK VEC	:c 4 4- 4 :	1-1	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		ע א	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		compiai No 🗖		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)						-•		
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		

Case 2:20-cv-02018 Comment 1-1 Filed 05/04/20 Fage 2 01 2 Page D #: 17 Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. Case is Eligible for Arbitration , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for counsel for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain Yes No I certify the accuracy of all information provided above. /s/ Philip L. Fraietta Signature:

United States District Court

	for the					
Di	strict of					
Plaintiff(s) V. Defendant(s)))))) Civil Action No.))))					
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION					
To: (Defendant's name and address)						
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)								
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	ıl at (place)							
			on (date)							
	☐ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there									
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	o the individual's last known address; or	e individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	chalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or						
	☐ I returned the sumn	irned the summons unexecuted because								
	☐ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$							
	on is true.									
Date:										
			Server's signature							
			Printed name and title							
			Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: