## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHARD KAHN and AARK
ENTERPRISE LLC d/b/a
MAULDIN'S, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

PENNSYLVANIA NATIONAL MUTUAL CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania corporation,

Defendant.

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

- 1. Breach of Contract
- 2. Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
- 3. Unjust Enrichment

Plaintiff Richard Kahn and AARK Enterprise LLC, doing business as Mauldin's (collectively, "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, alleges for his Class Action Complaint against Defendant Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Insurance Company ("Defendant"), as follows:

#### **NATURE OF THE CASE**

- 1. This is a class action arising from Defendant's denial of insurance coverage for Plaintiff's business closure due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 2. Plaintiff, like many Americans, is a small business owner devastated by the impact of the pandemic. He had been the owner and operator of Mauldin's, a local

"mom & pop" restaurant in Mauldin, South Carolina, until the pandemic affected South Carolina and the rest of the nation beginning in early March 2020. Unable to maintain his business operations—a sit-down, family restaurant entirely reliant on inperson diners—Plaintiff suffered extensive loss of business profits and had to close the restaurant down.

- 3. Also like many small business owners, Plaintiff needed protection against unforeseen events that could affect his business operation and profits and invested in an "all risk" commercial insurance policy and regularly paid monthly premiums to Defendant for the policy (the "Policy"). After being devastated by the impact of COVID-19 on his business, Plaintiff promptly sought relief via the Policy by filing a claim with Defendant to cover his losses.
- 4. As explicitly indicated in the Policy, Plaintiff expected coverage for business income losses arising from interruption of business, including coverage of extra expenses incurred to restore his business and thus minimize his loss of business income. In addition, the Policy provided for business income losses caused by civil authority prohibiting access to his restaurant. Further, the Policy provided for extended business income losses even after operations could resume.
- 5. Instead, Defendant swiftly denied Plaintiff's claim. In denying Plaintiff's claim, Defendant wrongfully asserted that Plaintiff's losses were not "direct physical loss of or damage" to his business. Defendant also wrongfully asserted that language

in an endorsement to the Policy purporting to exclude claims arising from any "virus, bacterium or other microorganism" was applicable to the global COVID-19 pandemic. In a recent decision, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court reached the opposite conclusion, finding that the COVID-19 pandemic constituted a natural disaster causing direct property damage to all businesses impacted.

6. On behalf of all other businesses insured by Defendant whose claims Defendant similarly denied, Plaintiff alleges Defendant has breached its contracts with its insureds and has been unjustly enriched.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), because the proposed Classes have more than 100 members, the Classes contain at least one member of diverse citizenship from Defendant, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million.
- 8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has its principal place of business in this District, is authorized to and conducts substantial business in Pennsylvania and within this District.
- 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to these claims occurred in this District, in which Defendant's principal offices are located.

#### **PARTIES**

- 10. Plaintiff Richard Kahn is a resident of South Carolina and was the owner and operator of Mauldin's in Mauldin, South Carolina until the restaurant closed down due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 11. Plaintiff AARK Enterprise LLC, doing business as Mauldin's, is a South Carolina limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mauldin, South Carolina.
- 12. Defendant Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Insurance Company is a national property-casualty mutual insurance company with its principal place of business in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. To protect his restaurant and the business income generated from its operation, Plaintiff invested in the Policy from Defendant. In exchange for Defendant's coverage, Plaintiff regularly paid monthly premiums to Defendant to maintain the Policy. The Policy was effective on September 19, 2019 and was set to expire on September 19, 2020. Mauldin's is in Greenville County, South Carolina, and is the business and property insured under the Policy.
- 14. The Policy is what is known as an "all-risk" commercial insurance policy, which means that all risks of loss are covered unless specifically excluded in the Policy. In the Policy, Defendant explicitly agreed to pay for all losses caused by "Covered"

Causes of Loss," which Defendant defines as "Risks of Direct Physical Loss" unless the loss is excluded under the Policy.

- 15. The Policy provides for loss of business income through what is commonly known as business interruption coverage: "We will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain due to the necessary 'suspension' of your 'operations' during the 'period of restoration.""
- 16. Defendant defines "Business Income" as the net profit the business would have earned if operations were not suspended, plus any continuing normal operating expenses, including payroll. Defendant defines "Suspension" as, *inter alia*, a slowdown or cessation of the insured's business activities.
- 17. Defendant defines "Period of restoration" as the period of time that begins 72 hours after the physical loss or damage to the property and ending when the property is repaired or when business resumes at a new location, whichever is earlier.
- 18. The Policy also provides extra expense coverage: "Extra Expense means necessary expenses you incur during the 'period of restoration' that you would not have incurred if there had been no direct physical loss or damage to property caused by or resulting from a Covered Cause of Loss."
- 19. In addition, the Policy provides extended business income coverage, in which Defendant promises to cover business losses up to 30 days after operations would have resumed under certain conditions.

- 20. Further, the Policy specifically provides "Civil Authority" coverage: "We will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises due to direct physical loss of or damage to property, other than at the described premises, caused by or resulting from any Covered Cause of Loss."
- 21. On March 2, 2020, South Carolina's governor, Henry McMaster, announced that he had convened a response team dedicated to COVID-19.
- 22. On March 6, 2020, the first cases of COVID-19 in South Carolina were reported.
- 23. On March 13, 2020, Governor McMaster issued a state of emergency, seeking additional funding from South Carolina's Department of Health ("DHEC") to combat COVID-19 and closing schools in two counties. The next day, the DHEC issued a statement recommending anyone with signs of illness avoid public gatherings and stay at home from school and work.
- 24. On March 20, 2020, Governor McMaster ordered all public schools in the state closed and urged all public gatherings be canceled, postponed, or rescheduled, as well as promotion of social distancing.
- 25. On March 27, 2020, the first person in Greenville County to die of COVID-19 was reported. A DHEC physician told the public to stay home and away from people outside the household except for essential reasons.

- 26. On March 31, 2020, after the state infection total passed 1,000 and 22 deaths had been reported, the public was told to stay home and limit close contact with others.
- 27. On April 6, 2020, Governor McMaster issued a statewide "home or work order," which required all state residents to limit movement outside their home or place of work except for essential activities. The order went into effect the following day at 5:00 p.m.
- 28. Due to the statewide restrictions on movement and operation of non-essential business, Plaintiff suffered significant loss of business income, as patrons were initially urged to avoid and, ultimately, prohibited to dine in restaurants. By late March 2020, Plaintiff was forced to suspend business operations at the restaurant. He subsequently made a claim to Defendant for his loss of business income. Defendant denied Plaintiff's claim in a letter dated March 31, 2020.
- 29. As a result of the suspension of his business, Plaintiff has sustained significant financial losses, will not be able to maintain his lease with the restaurant building, and likely will never operate the restaurant again.
- 30. Defendant's denial of Plaintiff's claim heavily relied on an endorsement to the Policy entitled, "Exclusion of Loss Due to Virus or Bacteria" which states, "We will not pay for loss or damage caused by or resulting from any virus, bacterium or other microorganism that induces or is capable of inducing physical distress, illness or

disease." Further, Defendant's denial letter asserts that Plaintiff's loss of business income was not covered because it was not an "actual damage" to his business property.

- 31. Contrary to Defendant's assertions, Plaintiff's loss of business profits should have been covered by the Policy because the COVID-19 pandemic is such a devastating, far-ranging, and unforeseen event that it does not fall within a reasonable interpretation of the "virus" exclusion in the Policy endorsement, and Plaintiff's loss of business profits constitute actual damage to his business property. The current global catastrophe is much different from, for example, an episode of food poisoning affecting several restaurant patrons. The COVID-19 pandemic is much closer to a natural disaster than a "loss due to virus or bacteria."
- 32. Recently, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court agreed, finding that COVID-19 fit the Pennsylvania Emergency Code's definition of a "natural disaster" because, like a hurricane or a flood, it has involved "substantial damage to property, hardship, suffering or possible loss of life." *See Friends of Danny DeVito, et al. v. Tom Wolf, Governor, et al.*, No. 68 MM 2020, 21 n.11 (Pa. Apr. 13, 2020) (quoting Pa. C.S. § 7102).
- 33. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court found that any affected business location is within such a "disaster area" and has sustained property damage because COVID-19 is "spread by person-to-person contact, . . . has an incubation period of up to fourteen days, . . . can live on surfaces for up to four days and can remain in the air

within confined areas and structures." *Id.* at 29. Thus, the Court rejected an argument that COVID-19 must be detected at a specific location for that location to fall within the scope of the disaster area. *Id.* 

- 34. One of the fundamental bases for Defendant's denial was its assertion that Plaintiff had not suffered "damage to property"; yet, as the Pennsylvania Supreme Court recently held, that is precisely what Plaintiff has suffered as a result of COVID-19 in the form of loss of business income and, in reality, the complete loss of his livelihood as a small business owner.
- 35. Under the Policy, Defendant promised to cover the type of business losses and expenses Plaintiff has suffered and was obligated to pay for them. But in a breach of its contractual obligations, Defendant denied Plaintiff's claim and has failed to pay for his losses and expenses.
- 36. Upon information belief, Defendant has failed to pay for similar business losses and expenses suffered by hundreds, if not thousands, of other insureds holding policies that are, in all material respects, identical to the Policy.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

37. Plaintiff seeks relief in his and his business's individual capacities and seeks to represent a class consisting of all others who are similarly situated. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(2) and/or (b)(3), Plaintiff seeks certification of the following class:

All persons residing in the United States who made insurance claims with Defendant for loss of business income and/or expenses to minimize the suspension of business due to COVID-19 and/or actions of any civil authority in response to COVID-19, which Defendant denied or has otherwise failed to acknowledge, accept as covered losses or expenses, or pay for the covered losses or expenses.

- 38. Excluded from the Class is Defendant, as well as its officers, employees, agents or affiliates, and any judge who presides over this action, as well as all past and present employees, officers and directors of Defendant. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or modify the Class definition with greater specificity or division into subclasses after he has had an opportunity to conduct discovery.
- 39. Numerosity. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is unfeasible and not practicable. While the precise number of Class members has not been determined at this time, Plaintiff is informed and believes that many hundreds, if not thousands, of businesses are insured by Defendant with policies substantively identical to Plaintiff's Policy.

- 40. <u>Commonality</u>. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3). There are questions of law and fact common to the Class, which predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
- a. Whether Defendant breached its insurance policy agreements with Plaintiff and the Class by denying valid claims for coverage made under the terms of those agreements;
- b. Whether Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in its policy agreements;
- c. Whether Defendant has a uniform policy to interpret its agreements terms and conditions in a way so that Defendant would not have to honor its policy agreements with Plaintiff and the Class;
- d. Whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched by retaining insurance premiums and failing to honor claims resulting from COVID-19; and
- e. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief.
- 41. Typicality. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of other Class members. Plaintiff and all Class members were exposed to uniform practices and sustained injury arising out of and caused by Defendant's unlawful conduct.

- 42. Adequacy of Representation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff's Counsel are competent and experienced in litigating class actions.
- 43. <u>Superiority of Class Action</u>. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all the members of the Class is impracticable. Furthermore, the adjudication of this controversy through a class action will avoid the possibility of inconsistent and potentially conflicting adjudication of the asserted claims. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

# COUNT I Breach of Contract (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 44. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges every factual allegation contained above.
- 45. Defendant have entered into contracts with Plaintiff and the Class under which Defendant agreed to provide insurance coverage to Plaintiff and the Class pursuant to the terms of their commercial policy agreements.
- 46. Plaintiff and the Class performed all their obligations under these contracts.
- 47. Defendant breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the Class by improperly denying coverage by mischaracterizing the language of its policy agreements to exclude Plaintiff's and the Class's claims.

48. Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages as a result of Defendant's breaches of contract in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### **COUNT II**

## Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 49. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges every factual allegation contained above.
- 50. Good faith is an element of the contract that Plaintiff and the Class entered into with Defendant in obtaining insurance coverage. Whether by common law or statute, all such contracts impose upon each party a duty of good faith and fair dealing. Good faith and fair dealing, in connection with executing contracts and discharging performance and other duties according to their terms, means preserving the spirit—not merely the letter—of the bargain.
- 51. Put differently, the parties to a contract are mutually obligated to comply with the substance of their contract in addition to its form. Evading the spirit of the bargain and abusing the power to specify terms constitute examples of bad faith in the performance of contracts.
- 52. Plaintiff and the Class performed all their obligations under their implied contracts with Defendant.
- 53. Defendant breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing by purposefully mischaracterizing provisions of its insurance coverage agreements so as to not honor its contractual duties to Plaintiff and the Class under those agreements.

54. Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages as a result of Defendant's breaches of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### **COUNT III**

## Unjust Enrichment (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 55. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges every factual allegation contained above. This claim is plead in the alternative.
- 56. Plaintiff and the Class conferred a benefit on Defendant by paying Defendant money in exchange for insurance coverage.
- 57. The circumstances are such that it would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit that it unjustly received from Plaintiff and the Class now that Defendant has wrongfully denied Plaintiff's and the Class's claims for business losses and expenses due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 58. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover damages from Defendant as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the other members of the Class proposed in this Complaint, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendant, as follows: A. Declaring that this action is a proper class action, certifying the Class as

requested herein, designating Plaintiff as Class Representative and appointing the

undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;

B. Ordering Defendant to pay actual damages (and no less than the statutory

minimum damages) and equitable monetary relief to Plaintiff and the other members

of the Class;

C. Ordering Defendant to pay punitive damages, as allowable by law, to

Plaintiff and the other members of the Class;

D. Awarding injunctive relief as permitted by law or equity, including

enjoining Defendant from continuing the unlawful practices as set forth herein;

E. Ordering Defendant to pay attorneys' fees and litigation costs to Plaintiff

and the other members of the Class;

F. Ordering Defendant to pay both pre- and post-judgment interest on any

amounts awarded; and

G. Ordering such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all claims in this Complaint so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 12, 2020 Benjamin F. Johns

Benjamin F. Johns

BFJ@chimicles.com

CHIMICLES SCHWARTZ KRINER &

DONALDSON-SMITH LLP

15

One Haverford Centre 361 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, Pennsylvania 19041 Tel: 610-642-8500; Fax: 610-649-3633

Tina Wolfson\*

twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com

Bradley K. King\*

bking@ahdootwolfson.com

AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC

10728 Lindbrook Drive Los Angeles, California 90024 Tel: 310-474-9111; Fax: 310-474-8585 (\*pro hac vice applications forthcoming)

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

### Case 1:20-cv-00781 YK 1 20 current 1 1 Eiled 05/12/20 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TI	HIS FORM.)	•		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Richard Kahn and AARK Enterprise LLC d/b/a Mauldin's			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Insurance Company		
			Pennsylvania Na			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Greenville Cty., SC  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Dauphin Cty., PA	
			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(a) Au (7)				OF EMILD INVOEVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Benjamin F. Johns, Chim	•		Attorneys (If Known)			
361 W. Lancaster Avenu			,			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P  (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		P	<b>IF DEF</b> 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T	PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	₹ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)  ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 367 Health Care/	B 690 Other		☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS  ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted☐	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		New Drug Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY		□ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
<b>№</b> 190 Other Contract  ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS  ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation☐ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	or Defendant)	Act	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	☐ 442 Employment☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<ul><li>□ 896 Arbitration</li><li>□ 899 Administrative Procedure</li></ul>	
<ul><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li><li>290 All Other Real Property</li></ul>	Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	-	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
1 7	Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application☐ 465 Other Immigration		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions		State Statutes	
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -				
	Ī	Conditions of Confinement	<u>.</u>	•		
		Remanded from 4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or	erred from		
		••	(specify,	Transfer	Direct File	
VI CAUSE OF ACTIO	20 II C C Soct	itute under which you are fi ion 1332(d)(2)	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		ause: Trance claim for los	ss of business profits	and expenses due to	COVID-19	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	_	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI				- 122		
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
May 12, 2020		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR		in F. Johns		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	L COVE TO				a or	
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. **Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this III. section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.