| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSEPH DIGIACINTO | |
|--|---|---|
| 8 | UNITED STATES | S DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | OAKLAND DIVISION | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | JOSEPH DIGIACINTO, | Case No. 20-cv-03382-KAW |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | IOINT CTIDLIL ATION FOR DISMISS AT |
| 14 | v. | JOINT STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FRCP 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) |
| 15 | ALBERTSONS COMPANIES, INC., et al., | |
| 16 | Defendants. | |
| 17 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | | |

1 2 3

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER The parties to this action, acting through counsel, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), hereby stipulate pursuant to an agreement between the parties to the Dismissal of this action.

Plaintiff Joseph DiGiacinto ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Albertsons Companies, Inc., Safeway, Inc., and Lucerne Foods, Inc. ("Defendants")¹ hereby provide information to the Court to ensure that Plaintiff's voluntary dismissal of his claims will not adversely affect the interests of the absent putative class members. As set forth herein, it is unlikely that any absent putative class members will be prejudiced by the dismissal of this lawsuit.

In the Court's Order Continuing Case Management Conference Re: Settlement [ECF No. 48], the Court requested that the Parties be prepared to address the factors set forth in *Diaz v*. *Trust Territory of Pac. Islands*, 876 F.2d 1401, 1408 (9th Cir. 1989) and specifically the publicity concerning this case and its filing. In *Diaz*, the Court reversed the denial of a motion to intervene in a putative class action where certain claims had been previously voluntarily dismissed. In its order, the *Diaz* court determined that inquiry into pre-certification dismissals of putative class claims should be made with regard to possible prejudice from: "(1) class members' possible reliance on the filing of the action if they are likely to know of it either because of publicity or other circumstances, (2) lack of adequate time for class members to file other actions, because of a rapidly approaching statute of limitations, (3) any settlement or concession of class interests made by the class representative or counsel in order to further their own interests." *Id.* Applying these factors to Plaintiff's dismissal demonstrate that there is no prejudice to absent class members.

First, there has been negligible publicity surrounding this case. Neither Plaintiff nor Defendants have received any press inquiries regarding this case and neither party issued any press release concerning this action. The only mentions of the case that counsel have been able to locate were in online legal publications from mid-2020 and June 2021 discussing recent class action filings. These include topclassactions.com on May 21, 2020

¹ Plaintiff and Defendants are collectively referred to as the "Parties."

(https://topclassactions.com/lawsuit-settlements/consumer-products/household/safeway-and-albertsons-class-action-says-stores-sold-toxic-plates/), Washington Legal Foundation (https://www.wlf.org/2020/08/06/publishing/consumer-fraud-suits-against-retailers-a-harbinger-of-new-pfas-class-action-wave/), and a Bloomberg Law article on June 3, 2021 (https://news.bloomberglaw.com/environment-and-energy/wendys-states-ditch-food-wrappers-with-forever-chemicals). In addition, Plaintiff's counsel had a posting regarding this case on its website, lexlawgroup.com. Notwithstanding this limited publicity, the Parties were never contacted by any putative class member. Accordingly, it is extremely unlikely that any putative class member was relying on this action for some sort of recovery.

In addition, no putative class members will face a short fuse on pursuing the claims that were dismissed by Plaintiff. The applicable statute of limitations for Plaintiff's UCL and express warranty claims is four years and the applicable statute of limitations for Plaintiff's CLRA and FAL claims is three years. *See* Business and Professions Code § 17208. The filing of this case tolled the applicable statute of limitations for any putative class members and the statute of limitations will not continue running until Plaintiff's claims are dismissed. *Tombline v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. 13-cv-04567-JD, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 145556, at *7. Further, the alleged violations were ongoing up until January 1, 2022, when California AB 1201, now codified in Cal. Public Resources Code §§42356 et seq., took effect.² Accordingly, there is ample time for absent putative class members to bring a claim before the applicable statute of limitations expires.

Lastly, the dismissal of Plaintiff's claims in no way impacts or concedes the rights of absent putative class members. The dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) was filed prior to class certification and without prejudice. Thus, the dismissal has no res judicata effect on any absent class members or any of the claims set forth in Plaintiff's case.

² This new law mandates that foodware products may not be sold as compostable if they contain PFAS chemicals, which is the entirety of the injunctive relief sought by Plaintiff in his case.

Case 4:20-cv-03382-KAW Document 52 Filed 04/12/22 Page 4 of 5

| 1 | Because there is no adverse impact on absent putative class members resulting from the | |
|--|---|---|
| 2 | dismissal of Plaintiff's claims, the Parties believe that a stipulated dismissal will be proper and | |
| 3 | that no notice to absent putative class members of the dismissal is required. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Dated: April 12, 2022 | LEXINGTON LAW GROUP |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | /s/ Mark N. Todzo |
| 8 | | Mark N. Todzo (State Bar No. 168389) Meredyth L. Merrow (State Bar No. 328337) |
| 9 | | LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street |
| 10 | | San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 |
| 11 | | Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 |
| 12 | | mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com |
| 13 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSEPH DIGIACINTO |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Dated: April 12, 2022 | KAHN SOARES & CONWAY, LLP |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | /s/ Richard Conway |
| 19 | | Richard Conway (SBN 81679) Rissa Stuart (SBN 166459) |
| 20 | | Carla Khal (SBN 166491) Attorneys for Defendants |
| 21 | | ALBERTSONS COMPANIES, INC. SAFEWAY, INC. |
| 22 | | LUCERNE FOODS, INC. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | | 3 |

| 1 | <u>ATTESTATION</u> |
|--|---|
| 2 | I, Mark Todzo, am the CM/ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this |
| 3 | Joint Stipulation for Dismissal. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that |
| 4 | Richard Conway, on whose behalf this filing is jointly submitted, has concurred in this filing. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | /_/M l. T - l |
| 7 | <u>/s/Mark Todzo</u> Mark Todzo |
| 8 | |
| 9 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
| 10 | |
| 11 | I, Mark Todzo, an attorney, hereby certify that on April 12, 2022, I caused a complete and |
| 12 | accurate copy of the foregoing document to be served via this Court's ECM/ECF notification |
| 13 | system, which will serve electronically to all participants in this case. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | /s/Mark Todzo |
| 16 | Mark Todzo |
| 17 | |
| | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | |