# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

CARLOS O. CABALLERO DDS, MS, PS, d/b/a Master Orthodontics, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MASSACHUSETTS BAY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

No.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

JURY DEMAND

# I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Carlos O. Caballero DDS, MS, PS d/b/a Master Orthodontics ("Plaintiff" or "Caballero"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class and the defined Washington subclasses (the "Class Members"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Massachusetts Bay Insurance Company ("Defendant" or "MBIC") and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

25

26

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts with Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiff and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the state of Washington.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's places of business are located in Bremerton, Washington, Kitsap County; Port Orchard, Washington, Kitsap County; and Gig Harbor, Washington, Kitsap County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Tacoma Division because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in Kitsap County.

III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Carlos O. Caballero DDS, MS, PS d/b/a Master Orthodontics, owns and operates an orthodontics practice in three locations, 55 NE Fairgrounds Rd., #100 and #102, Bremerton, Washington, 1890 Pottery Ave., Port Orchard, WA 98366, and 4700 Point Fosdick Dr. NW, #204, Gig Harbor, WA 98335.
- 6. Defendant Massachusetts Bay Insurance Company is an insurance carrier incorporated in New Hampshire and Massachusetts and whose headquarters are located in Worcester, Massachusetts.
- 7. Defendant MBIC is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in all fifty states and the District of Columbia. MBIC conducted business within these states by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Caballero.

### IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 8. Plaintiff Caballero provides orthodontics services.
- 9. Due to COVID-19 and proclamations and orders by Washington Governor Jay Inslee and/or other civil authorities, Plaintiff was forced to suspend or dramatically limit its orthodontics practice.
- 10. Plaintiff intended to rely on its business insurance to maintain income in case of an insured loss. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- 11. MBIC issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including a Businessowners Insurance Policy and related endorsements, insuring Plaintiff's property and business practice and other coverages at all relevant times.

- 12. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of providing orthodontics services and other related business activities.
- 13. MBIC Businessowners Coverage promises to pay Plaintiff for risks of "direct physical loss of or damage to" to covered property and includes coverage for risks for "loss of or damage to" covered property.
- 14. MBIC's Businessowners Coverage Form provides Plaintiff with Business Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage, Civil Authority Coverage, and Denial of Access to Premises Coverage.
  - 15. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 16. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 17. In light of this pandemic, on February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-5, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the state of Washington as the result of COVID-19. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.
- 18. On March 19, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-24, "Restrictions on Non Urgent Medical Procedures." The proclamation provides, in part:
  - WHEREAS, the health care person protective equipment supply chain in Washington State has been severely disrupted by the significant increased use of such equipment worldwide, such that there are now critical shortages of this equipment for health care workers. To curtail the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington State and to protect our health care workers as they provide health care services, it is necessary to immediately prohibit all hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, and dental orthodontic, and endodontic offices in Washington State from providing health care services,

procedures and surgeries that require personal protective equipment, which if delayed, are not anticipated to cause harm to the patient within the next three months[.]

- 19. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25, "Stay Home—State Healthy." The proclamation requires that "[a]ll people in Washington State [] immediately cease leaving their home or place of residence except: (1) to conduct or participate in essential activities, and/or (2) for employment in essential business activities." The proclamation prohibits "all non-essential businesses in Washington State from conducting business, within the limitations provided herein."
  - 20. Governor Inslee has extended Proclamation until May 31, 2020.
- 21. By order of Governor Inslee, orthodontists including Plaintiff were prohibited from providing services but for urgent and emergency procedures.
  - 22. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.
- 23. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss and/or damages related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.
- 24. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the MBIC policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, extended business interruption, interruption by civil authority, denial of access to premises, and other expenses.
  - 25. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.
- 26. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the MBIC policy or policies.
- 27. Upon information and belief, MBIC intends to deny Caballero's claim, and MBIC has denied, and will deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.

### V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 28. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
  - 29. The Classes and Subclasses that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as:
  - A. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by MBIC.
  - B. Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

    All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with

    Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

    premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil

    authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by MBIC.
  - C. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
  - D. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

- E. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim was denied by MBIC.
- F. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim was denied by MBIC.
- G. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of

their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

- I. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Extended Business

  Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim was denied by MBIC.
- J. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with

  Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

  covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

  other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim was denied by MBIC.
- K. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- L. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

- M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by MBIC.
- N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by MBIC.
- O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- P. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- Q. Denial of Access to Premises Breach of Contract Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Denial of Access to Premises coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee,

other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Denial of Access to Premises claim was denied by MBIC.

- R. Denial of Access to Premises Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the state of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with

  Denial of Access to Premises coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or

  extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor

  Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Denial of Access to

  Premises claim was denied by MBIC.
- S. Denial of Access to Premises Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a MBIC policy with Denial of Access to Premises coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- T. Denial of Access to Premises Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:

  All persons and entities in the state of Washington insured under a MBIC policy with

  Denial of Access to Premises coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or

  extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor

  Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- 30. Excluded from the Classes and Subclasses are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definition based on information obtained in discovery.

- 31. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 32. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class and Subclass contains hundreds of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.
- 33. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class and Subclass;
  - B. Whether MBIC acted in a manner common to the Class and Subclass and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - C. Whether Business Income coverage in MBIC's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - D. Whether Extra Expense coverage in MBIC's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
  - E. Whether Extended Business Income coverage in MBIC's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

- F. Whether Civil Authority coverage in MBIC's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- G. Whether Denial of Access to Premises coverage in MBIC's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;
- H. Whether MBIC has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
- J. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 34. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of MBIC. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.
- 35. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.

Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff could also impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests.

- 37. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.
- 38. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

### VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

# **Count One—Declaratory Judgment**

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington

Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Denial of Access to Premises Declaratory Relief Class, and Denial of Access to Premises Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- 39. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 40. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 41. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage

  Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass,

  Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory

  Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, Civil Authority

  Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense

  Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Denial of Access to Premises Declaratory Relief Class,

  and Denial of Access to Premises Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.
- 42. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff's and class members losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.
- 43. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that MBIC is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

# **Count Two—Breach of Contract**

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, and Denial of Access to Premises Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

44. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

4:	Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage
Breach o	ontract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass,
Extended	usiness Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of
Contract	ashington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, Civil Authority Breach
of Contra	Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense
Breach o	ontract Washington Subclass, Denial of Access to Premises Breach of Contract
Class, an	Denial of Access to Premises Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.

- 46. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to MBIC in exchange for MBIC's promise to pay Plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
  - 47. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.
- 48. Upon information and belief, MBIC has denied, and will continue to deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
  - 49. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
  - 50. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by MBIC.

#### VII. PRAYER

- 1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.
- 2. A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.
  - 3. Damages.
  - 4. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.

1	5. Reasonable attorney fees and	l costs.				
2	6. Such further and other relief	as the Court shall deem appropriate.				
3	VIII	IURY DEMAND				
4						
5	Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.					
6	DATED this 8th day of May, 2020.					
7		KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.				
8		By: <u>s/ Amy Williams-Derry</u> By: <u>s/ Lynn L. Sarko</u>				
9		By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio				
10		By: s/ Irene M. Hecht				
10		By: s/ Ian S. Birk				
11		By: <u>s/ Maureen Falecki</u>				
11		By: s/ Nathan Nanfelt				
12		Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711				
12		Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569 Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576				
13		Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063				
		Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431				
14		Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569				
		Nathan L. Nanfelt, WSBA #45273				
15		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200				
		Seattle, WA 98101				
16		Telephone: (206) 623-1900				
1.7		Fax: (206) 623-3384				
17		Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com				
10		Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com				
18		Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com				
19		Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com				
1)		Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com				
20		Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com Email: nnanfelt@kellerrrohrback.com				
21		By: s/ <i>Alison Chase</i> (Pro hac applic. to be filed)				
		Alison Chase, CA Bar #226976				
22		801 Garden Street, Suite 301				
22		Santa Barbara, CA 93101				
23		Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com				
24		Telephone: (805) 456-1496				
<i>2</i> 4		Fax: (805) 456-1497				
25		Attorneys for Plaintiff				
26	4820-7486-9179, v. 2					
	COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 16	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.				

# Case 3:20-cv-05437 Document 12 SHIEL 05/08/20 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CARLOS O. CABALLER individually and on behal	O DDS, MS, PS, d/b/a f of all others similarly	Master Orthodontics, situated	<b>DEFENDANTS</b> MASSACHUSETT	DEFENDANTS MASSACHUSETTS BAY INSURANCE COMPANY		
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(itsap County, WA	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, KELLER ROHRBACK L. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite (206) 623-1900	L.P.	•	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF incipal Place  1 4 1 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	✓ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizensh.)	✓ 4 Diversity     (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country		□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 7000 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  □ 370 Other Fraud  □ 371 Truth in Lending  □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act □ IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) □ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe (specify)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	)N		ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless diversity):		
	Brief description of ca			A	10.1	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  X Yes □No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	III. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY  (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 05/08/2020		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR S/ Amy Williams-D				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					<u> </u>	
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

    Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

    PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington

Western District of Washington				
CARLOS O. CABALLERO DDS, MS, PS d/b/a Master Orthodontics, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	) ) )			
Plaintiff(s)				
v.	Civil Action No.			
MASSACHUSETTS BAY INSURANCE COMPANY,	) ) )			
Defendant(s)	) )			
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) MASSACHUSETTS BAY I ONE EXECUTIVE PARK I SUITE 200 BEDFORD, NH 03012	NSURANCE COMPANY DRIVE			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  Amy Williams-Derry, Lynn L. Sarko, Gretchen Freeman Cappio, Irene M. Hecht, Ian S. Birk, Maureen Falecki, Nathan Nanfelt KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)			
			on (date)			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
		on of suitable age and discretion who res	ho resides there,			
	on (date)					
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or		
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: