

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

MANISHA BAHRANI, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT  
AND DEMAND FOR JURY  
TRIAL**

Plaintiff Manisha Bahrani (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against Defendant Northeastern University (“Northeastern” or “Defendant”). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal knowledge.

**NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 academic semester at Northeastern, and who, because of Defendant’s response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services for which their fees paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.

2. Northeastern is one of the country’s most preeminent private universities, with an enrollment of over 27,000 students. Northeastern offers undergraduate majors in 65 departments as well as approximately 125 different graduate level programs.

3. On March 11, 2020, Northeastern announced on its website that beginning the morning of March 12, 2020, it “will move to online and remote instruction on [its] Boston

campus, the university's largest and most complex operation."<sup>1</sup>

4. On March 12, 2020, Northeastern announced on its website that "all courses have moved to online delivery for the university's Massachusetts campuses for the remainder of the Spring 2020 Semester."<sup>2</sup> On March 14, 2020, Northeastern announced on its website that "all students who reside in Northeastern residence halls complete their end of semester move-out no later than Tuesday, March 17, 2020, at 5:00 p.m."<sup>3</sup>

5. Thus, Northeastern has not held any in-person classes since March 11, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.

6. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Ms. Bahrani and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to Northeastern students are subpar in practically every aspect, including the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.

7. Nonetheless, Northeastern has not refunded any tuition or mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 semester.

8. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://news.northeastern.edu/coronavirus/university-messages/advancing-our-mission-in-a-time-of-uncertainty/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://news.northeastern.edu/coronavirus/university-messages/the-latest-covid-19-updates/>

<sup>3</sup> <https://news.northeastern.edu/coronavirus/university-messages/further-reducing-density-on-our-campus/>

provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

9. Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

### **PARTIES**

10. Plaintiff Manisha Bahrani is a citizen of Massachusetts who resides in Boston, Massachusetts. Ms. Bahrani is a graduate student at Northeastern pursuing a Master of Science in Information Systems. The Information Systems program at Northeastern relies extensively on in-person instruction, peer collaboration, and access to Northeastern's facilities. None of these resources are available to Ms. Bahrani while in-person classes are suspended. Ms. Bahrani paid approximately \$16,000 in tuition and fees to Defendant for the Spring 2020 semester. Ms. Bahrani has not been provided a refund of any tuition monies paid, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 11, 2020. Ms. Bahrani has also not been provided a refund of all fees.

11. Defendant Northeastern University is a private research university with its principal place of business at 360 Huntington Ave., Boston, MA 02115.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of

interest and costs.

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is headquartered in this District, and many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

14. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Plaintiff and Defendant are residents of this District. Specifically, the contract that is the subject of this action was formed in this District.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For Spring Semester 2020**

15. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other fees for the Spring 2020 Semester at Northeastern.

16. Spring Semester 2020 classes at Northeastern began on or about January 6, 2020. Classes for the semester are scheduled to end on or around April 24, 2020.

17. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring Semester 2020. They also paid other fees associated with the Spring Semester 2020.

18. Spring 2020 undergraduate tuition at Northeastern is approximately \$26,210. Other mandatory fees include but are not limited to a Residential Student Fee, Student Activity Fee, Student Center Fee, Student Recreation Fee, and an Undergraduate Student Fee.

19. Spring 2020 graduate tuition at Northeastern varies on area of study. Some graduate programs charge on a per-credit basis (ranging from approximately \$1,250 to \$1,640 per credit hour), whereas others charge per term (*e.g.*, Physician Assistant - \$15,533 per term, Direct Entry Nursing On-ground - \$18,699 per term). Graduate programs also charge mandatory

fees similar to the undergraduate fees listed above, and certain Master's programs also charge an additional Program Fee.

20. The tuition and fees described in the paragraph above is provided by way of example; total damage amounts – which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

**In Response To COVID-19, Northeastern Cancelled All In-Person Classes**

21. On March 11, 2020, Northeastern announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, beginning Friday, March 12 classes would be transitioned to remote instruction. On March 12, 2020, Northeastern announced that all classes would be held remotely for the remainder of the Spring 2020 semester.

22. Since March 11, 2020, Northeastern has not held any in-person classes. Students residing in residence halls were required to leave campus by 5 p.m. on March 17, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as music, theatre, design, and visual arts) have only had access to minimum online education options.

23. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

24. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of

higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's institution and enroll on an in-person basis.

25. Defendant markets its on-campus experience as a benefit of enrollment on Northeastern's website:

Through experiential learning and our signature co-op program, you'll bring your **academics to life, and your life experiences back to your classroom**. Whether you're walking the grassy quad en route to class, traversing unfamiliar roads halfway across the globe, or moments away from a breakthrough in the lab, it's your experiences here that give you a leg up. No two educational paths are the same.

26. The online learning options being offered to Northeastern students are subpar in practically every aspect and a shadow of what they once were, including the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.

27. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for Spring Semester 2020 tuition. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at Northeastern are higher than tuition and fees for its online certificate programs because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:

- Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;
- Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study room;
- Student governance and student unions;
- Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
- Student art, cultures, and other activities;

- Social development and independence;
- Hands on learning and experimentation;
- Networking and mentorship opportunities.

28. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring Semester 2020 when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

29. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid Northeastern Spring Semester 2020 tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that Northeastern failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.

30. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in Massachusetts (the "Subclass").

31. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.

32. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically

dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.

33. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
- (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted;
- (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide;
- (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
- (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.

34. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of



the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendants that are unique to Plaintiff.

35. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.

36. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass members on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

37. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:

- (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members

would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;

- (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
- (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

**COUNT I**  
**Breach Of Contract**  
**(On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)**

38. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

39. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendants.

40. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.

41. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration, Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for Spring Semester 2020 tuition. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person

educational services from January through April 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.

42. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.

43. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.

44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but not be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Northeastern has not held in-person classes since March 11, 2020.

45. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

**COUNT II**  
**Unjust Enrichment**  
**(On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)**

46. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

47. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

48. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid for Spring Semester 2020 tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for Spring Semester 2020 was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through April 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Semester.

49. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment, and Defendant had an appreciation or knowledge of such benefit being accepted and retained without having provided the corresponding services.

50. Defendant has retained this benefit even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Northeastern has not held in-person classes since March 11, 2020.

51. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

**COUNT III**  
**Conversion**  
**(On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)**

52. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

53. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.

54. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.

55. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.

56. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since Northeastern has not held in-person classes since March 11, 2020.

57. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.

58. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that have not been and will not be provided.

59. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members are entitled to the return of the pro-rated portion of any Spring Semester 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since

Northeastern has not held in-person classes since March 11, 2020.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Subclass and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (f) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass her reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: May 18, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/David Pastor  
David Pastor (BBO# 391000)  
**PASTOR LAW OFFICE, LLP**  
63 Atlantic Avenue, 3d Floor  
Boston, MA 02110  
Telephone: (617) 742-9700  
Facsimile: (617) 742-9701  
Email: [dpastor@pastorlawoffice.com](mailto:dpastor@pastorlawoffice.com)

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Frederick J. Klorczyk III (*pro hac* forthcoming)  
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
Email: [fklorczyk@bursor.com](mailto:fklorczyk@bursor.com)

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Alec M. Leslie (*pro hac* forthcoming)  
888 Seventh Avenue  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (646) 837-7150  
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163  
Email: [pfraietta@bursor.com](mailto:pfraietta@bursor.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

MANISHA BAHRANI, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Suffolk (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) David Pastor ~ Pastor Law Office LLP 63 Atlantic Avenue, 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02110, 617-742-9700

DEFENDANTS

NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship and business location. Includes categories like Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, and Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, BANKRUPTCY, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. 1332(d) Brief description of cause: Breach of contract.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 05/18/2020 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ David Pastor

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1. Title of case (name of first party on each side only) Bahrani et al. v. Northeastern University

2. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).

- I. 160, 400, 410, 441, 535, 830\*, 835\*, 850, 891, 893, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.
- II. 110, 130, 190, 196, 370, 375, 376, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 470, 751, 820\*, 840\*, 895, 896, 899.
- III. 120, 140, 150, 151, 152, 153, 195, 210, 220, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 367, 368, 371, 380, 385, 422, 423, 430, 450, 460, 462, 463, 465, 480, 490, 510, 530, 540, 550, 555, 560, 625, 690, 710, 720, 740, 790, 791, 861-865, 870, 871, 890, 950.

\*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.

3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.

n/a

4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?

YES  NO

5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403)

YES  NO

If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?

YES  NO

6. Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?

YES  NO

7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the United States and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).

YES  NO

A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?

Eastern Division  Central Division  Western Division

B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?

Eastern Division  Central Division  Western Division

8. If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)

YES  NO

(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)

ATTORNEY'S NAME David Pastor

ADDRESS 63 Atlantic Ave, 3rd Floor, Boston, MA 02110

TELEPHONE NO. (617) 742-9700