SHEEHAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Spencer Sheehan 505 Northern Blvd., Suite 311 Great Neck, NY 11021 Telephone: (516) 303-0552 Facsimile: (516) 234-7800 spencer@spencersheehan.com

-and-

REESE LLP

Michael R. Reese 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, NY 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500 Facsimile: (212) 253-4272 *mreese@reesellp.com*

United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Amy Warren, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Complaint

1:19-cv-06448

- against -

Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.,

Defendant

Plaintiff by attorneys alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. ("defendant") manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells instant oatmeal containing oats and flax, under their 365 Everyday Value brand ("Products").

2. The Products are available to consumers from defendant's hundreds of stores across all 50 states, directly from defendant's website and from Amazon.com.

3. The Products are sold in boxes which contain packets of 40 g.

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 2 of 12 PageID #: 2

4. The relevant front labels representations include "Instant Oatmeal," "Oats & Flax," "Low Fat," "Vegan," "Good Source of Fiber," "Whole Grain Stamp," "Non-GMO Project Verified," "USDA Organic" and pictures of fresh raspberries on top of and around a heaping bowl of the product.



5. The Product's ingredient list on the back of the package states:

INGREDIENTS: ORGANIC ROLLED OATS, ORGANIC DEHYDRATED CANE JUICE SOLIDS, ORGANIC FLAXSEED, SEA SALT.

INGREDIENTS: ORGANIC ROLLED OATS, ORGANIC DEHYDRATED CANE JUICE SOLIDS, ORGANIC FLAXSEED, SEA SALT.

- I. Product is Misleading because Sugar is Disguised as "Organic Dehydrated Cane Juice Solids"
 - 6. Consumers expect ingredients on a product to be declared by their common or usual

name.

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 3

7. Where an ingredient contains the term "juice," consumers expect that ingredient to be derived from a consumable fruit or vegetable.

8. In fact, "juice" is defined as "the aqueous liquid expressed or extracted from one or more fruits or vegetables, purees of the edible portions of one or more fruits or vegetables, or any concentrates of such liquid or puree."¹

9. "Juice solids" is a term associated with the processing of fruit juice such as oranges and apples.

10. Sometimes referred to as "soluble juice solids" or "soluble solids," this term is used to measure the quality of a juice and refers to a "valuable constituent" of a juice, i.e., "orange juice solids."²

11. However, in the context of the Product's "Organic Dehydrated Cane Juice Solids," the "juice solids" do not refer to an ingredient that reasonable consumers find "valuable."

12. This is because "dehydrated cane juice" – whether followed by the term "solids" or not – is another name for the ingredient commonly known as "sugar."

13. The FDA previously concluded that where an ingredient was described as "[evaporated] cane juice," consumers may be misled because "cane juice" refers to a sweetener.

14. "Evaporated cane juice," according to the FDA, "suggest[s] that the ingredients are made from or contain fruit or vegetable "juice" as defined in 21 CFR 120.1."³

15. For the purposes of declaring a food's ingredients by their common or usual names, there is no material difference between "evaporated cane juice" and "dehydrated cane juice solids."

¹ 21 C.F.R. § 120.1(a).

² FDA Warning Letter to Penguin Juice Company, Inc., 2010-DT-18, Sept. 8, 2010 ("Your [juice] products are adulterated within the meaning of section 402(b)(1) of the Act [21 U.S.C. 342(b)(1)] because a valuable constituent, namely juice solids, has been in part omitted or abstracted from these products.").

³ FDA Guidance, Ingredients Declared as Evaporated Cane Juice (May 2016)

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 4 of 12 PageID #: 4

16. "Dehydrated" is a commonly understood synonym for "evaporated" such that reasonable consumers will be equally misled by its use.⁴

17. By declaring "sugar" by a term which fails to describe the basic function and qualities of the ingredient, reasonable consumers are deceived into purchasing a product with added sugar as its second most predominant ingredient.

18. Given that the Product marketed as a simple, no-frills basic oatmeal and flax, pictured beneath fresh raspberries, consumers will expect that "dehydrated cane juice solids" is related to actual fruit, including those prominently displayed and is certainly not the equivalent of sugar.

19. This results in the impression that the Products are a better nutritional choice than other comparable products which truthfully and non-deceptively identify "sugar" as their second most predominant ingredient.

20. The Product's deceptive labeling is especially egregious because defendant is a grocery store with a reputation for selling health food products of high nutritional quality.

21. A growing number of consumers, including plaintiff, are paying more attention to the ingredients contained in the foods they eat and are shunning excess, added sugars due to their association and contribution to ailments and conditions like coronary heart disease, obesity and diabetes.

22. The misleading terms used on the Products have a material bearing on price or consumer acceptance of the Products because they will pay more for products with the positive qualities associated with actual fruit juice, including naturally occurring vitamins and minerals.

II. Conclusion

⁴ Collins Dictionary, <u>Evaporate</u>, synonyms.

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 5 of 12 PageID #: 5

23. Had plaintiff and class members known the truth about the Products, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.

24. The Products contain other representations which are misleading and deceptive.

25. As a result of the false and misleading labeling, the Products are sold at premium prices, approximately no less than \$4.29 per eight packets, excluding tax – compared to other similar products represented in a non-misleading way.

Jurisdiction and Venue

26. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) (Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 or "CAFA").

27. Under CAFA, district courts have "original federal jurisdiction over class actions involving (1) an aggregate amount in controversy of at least \$5,000,000; and (2) minimal diversity[.]" *Gold v. New York Life Ins. Co.*, 730 F.3d 137, 141 (2d Cir. 2013).

28. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is more than\$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.

29. Plaintiff is a citizen of New York.

30. Defendant Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Austin, Travis County, Texas.

31. This court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.

32. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendant does business in this District and State.

A substantial part of events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this
 District.

Parties

34. Plaintiff Amy Warren is a citizen of Queens County, New York.

35. Defendant is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Austin, Travis County, Texas.

36. During the class period, plaintiff purchased one or more of the Products identified herein for personal use, consumption or application based on the above representations, for no less than the price indicated, *supra*, excluding tax, in her state or an immediately adjacent state.

37. Plaintiff would consider purchasing the Product again if there were assurances that the Products' representations were no longer misleading.

Class Allegations

38. The classes will consist of all consumers in all 50 states with sub-classes for the individual states and nationwide classes.

39. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

40. The claims and the basis for relief of plaintiff are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same representations.

41. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other members.

42. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

43. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest.

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 7 of 12 PageID #: 7

44. The counsel for plaintiff is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to adequately and fairly protect class members' interests.

45. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

<u>New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350</u> and Consumer Protection Statutes of Other States and Territories

46. Plaintiff asserts causes of action under the consumer protection statutes of New York,General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350.

47. Defendant's acts and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.

48. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase products which were as described by defendant and expected by reasonable consumers, given the product type.

49. Defendant's acts and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.

50. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase products which were as described by defendant and expected by reasonable consumers, given the product type.

51. Defendant's conduct was misleading, deceptive, unlawful, fraudulent, and unfair because it gives the impression to consumers the Products contain a fruit juice ingredient as opposed to the common sweetener, sugar.

Negligent Misrepresentation

52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

53. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quality, compositional, organoleptic and/or nutritional attributes of the Products through misrepresenting the characterizing properties of the second most predominant ingredient.

54. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive labeling of the

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 8 of 12 PageID #: 8

Products and knew or should have known same were false or misleading.

55. This duty is based on defendant's position as an entity which has held itself out as having special knowledge and experience in the production, service and/or sale of the product or service type.

56. The representations took advantage of consumers' (1) cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and (2) trust placed in defendant, a well-known and respected brand in this sector.

57. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Products.

58. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Products or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

60. Defendant manufactures and sells products which contain the identified ingredient that contributes only sweetness and calories to the Product.

61. The Products warranted to Plaintiff and class members that they possessed substantive, functional, nutritional, qualitative, compositional, organoleptic, sensory, physical and other attributes which they did not due to the declaration of "organic dehydrated cane juice solids" instead of sugar.

62. Defendant's ingredient list informed and warranted to Plaintiff the Product contained a form of juice instead of sugar.

63. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide a non-deceptive names of the ingredients and knew or should have known same were false or misleading.

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 9 of 12 PageID #: 9

64. This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as one of the most recognized companies in the nation in this sector.

65. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant and/or its agents, representatives, retailers and their employees.

66. The Products did not conform to their affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable.

67. Plaintiff and class members relied on defendant's claims, paying more than they would have.

Fraud

68. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

69. Defendant's purpose was to sell products which contained basic sugar but identify them as containing "organic dehydrated cane juice solids," a healthier sounding yet misleading name.

70. The Product contains no real fruit juice even though the front label prominently pictures fruits with the Product.

71. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its failure to accurately indicate the Products contained the ingredient understood by consumers as sugar and to secure economic advantage in the marketplace against competitors by appealing to consumers who value products with less sugar.

72. Plaintiff and class members observed and relied on defendant's claims, causing them to pay more than they would have, entitling them to damages.

Unjust Enrichment

73. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 10 of 12 PageID #: 10

74. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Products were not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
- Injunctive relief to remove and/or refrain from the challenged representations, restitution and disgorgement for members of the State Subclasses pursuant to the consumer protection laws of their States;
- 4. Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages, pursuant to the common law and consumer protection law claims, and other statutory claims;
- 5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 15, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan

Spencer Sheehan 505 Northern Blvd., Suite 311 Great Neck, NY 11021 Telephone: (516) 303-0552 Facsimile: (516) 234-7800 Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1 Filed 11/15/19 Page 11 of 12 PageID #: 11

spencer@spencersheehan.com E.D.N.Y. # SS-8533 S.D.N.Y. # SS-2056

-and-

Reese LLP Michael R. Reese 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, NY 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500 Facsimile: (212) 253-4272 *mreese@reesellp.com* 1:19-cv-06448 United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Amy Warren, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

- against -

Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.,

Defendant

Complaint

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.
505 Northern Blvd., #311
Great Neck, NY 11021
Tel: (516) 303-0552
Fax: (516) 234-7800

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: November 15, 2019

/s/ Spencer Sheehan Spencer Sheehan

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19) Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 20 Filed 11/15/19 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Amy Warren, indivi similarly situated	dually and on b	ehalf of all othe	ers DEFENDANTS Whole Foods I	Market Group, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of <i>(EX</i>)	First Listed Plaintiff (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Queens ASES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A SHEEHAN & ASSOCIA GREAT NECK NY 1102	TES, P.C., 505 NORT	THERN BLVD STE 3	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In <i>f</i>			
□ 2 U.S. Governmen Defendant	☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 2 5 of Business In Another State				
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🛛 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Or	nly)					
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans 	Act 310 Airplane 365 Per State 315 Airplane Product Product ble Instrument 320 Assault, Libel & Pha cement of Judgment Slander Per source 305 Federal Employers' Product up of Obefaulted 340 Marine Inju	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information		
 (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	 ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY ☑ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))			
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities- Other 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 556 Ocivil Detainee -	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	moved from \Box 3 te Court	Appellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	201100 \$ 1222	-	iling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 11/15/2019	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Spencer Sheehan						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	IDGE		

Local Arb	itration Rule 83.7 provides that with	certain exceptions, actions	seeking money damage	es only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, . 14
exclusive	of interest and costs, are eligible for	compulsory arbitration. The	amount of damages is	presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a
certificatio	on to the contrary is filed.			
Case is Eli	gible for Arbitration			
I,	Spencer Sheehan	, counsel for	plaintiff	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible

Case 1.19-cv-06448 Document 1-1 Filed 11/15/19 Page UT Page D # 14

I, Spencer Sheehan compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): plaintiff

, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for



monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil action County?	being	filed in the Yes	Eaște	ern District removed fro No	m a New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk	
2.)	If you answered ' a) Did the events County?			ing ris	e to the claim or claims No	s, or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk	
	b) Did the events District?	or on	nissions giv Yes	ring ris	e to the claim or claims No	s, or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern	
	c) If this is a Fair large received:	Debt C	Collection Pr	actice A	Act case, specify the Cou	nty in whi	ch the offending communication was	
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).								
BAR ADMISSION								
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.							
		\checkmark	Y	'es			No	
Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?								
			l Y	'es	(If yes, please explain	\checkmark	No	
	I certify the accur	acy o	f all informa	ation p	rovided above.			

Case 1:19-cv-06448 Document 1-2 Filed 11/15/19 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 15

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

)

))))

)

)

)

for the

Eastern District of New York

Amy Warren, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-06448

Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. c/o The Corporation Trust Company CORPORATION TRUST CENTER 1209 ORANGE ST WILMINGTON, DE 19801

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: SHEEHAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C., 505 NORTHERN BLVD STE 311 GREAT NECK NY 11021-5101, (516) 303-0552

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk