1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9	MADELEINE F. SHATTENKIRK, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		
10 11	Plaintiff,	NO.	
12	V.	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
13	ALASKA AIRLINES, INC., a Delaware corporation,	JURY DEMAND	
14	Defendant.		
15	Plaintiff Madeleine F. Shattenkirk ("Plaintiff	"), individually and on behalf of all others	
16	similarly situated, brings this class action lawsuit against Defendant Alaska Airlines, Inc.		
17	("Alaska" or "Defendant") based upon her own personal knowledge, the investigation of her		
18	counsel, and on information and belief as to all other matters.		
19	I. INTRODUCTION		
20	1. This proposed class action concerns monetary damages that Plaintiff and		
21	consumers residing nationwide have suffered as a result of purchasing travel insurance through		
22	Defendant's website. Defendant's website encourages ticket purchasers to protect their trip with		
23	travel insurance provided by Allianz (or any other the	hird-party insurers that have partnered with	
24			
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 1	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200	

1	Alaska) to offer travel insurance exclusively through Alaska's website. Alaska does not disclose,
2	however, that it has a financial interest in the travel insurance and, in fact, receives an illegal
3	kickback from the insurer in exchange for brokering the insurance sale. Defendant's website
4	misled her into paying for the cost of that illegal kickback, and Defendant is therefore liable for
5	these damages, among other things.
6	2. In light of the above, Plaintiff brings common law claims for unjust enrichment,
7	fraud, conversion and for violations of the unfair business practices and consumer protection
8	statutes of the State of Washington and the State of Florida, as alleged herein.
9	II. PARTIES
10	3. Plaintiff Madeleine F. Shattenkirk is a resident of Boca Raton and citizen of
11	Florida. She purchased trip insurance from Alaska's website at www.alaskaair.com on June 16,
12	2019.
13	4. Defendant Alaska Airlines ("Alaska") is a Delaware corporation with its
14	principal place of business in Seattle, Washington. Alaska is not licensed under any state laws,
15	including the state of Washington, to solicit, negotiate, transact or effect contracts of insurance.
16	III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE
17	5. This Court has jurisdiction over this Action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness
18	Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because members of the proposed Classes are citizens of
19	states different from Defendant's home state, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds
20	\$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
21	6. In addition, under 28 U.S.C. § 1367, this Court may exercise supplemental
22	jurisdiction over the state law claims because all the claims are derived from a common nucleus
23	
24	

1	of operative fa	acts and are such that Plaintiff ordinarily would expect to try them in one judicial	
2	proceeding.		
3	7.	This Court has personal jurisdiction over Plaintiff because Plaintiff submits to the	
4	Court's jurisd	iction.	
5	8.	This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §	
6	1965(d) because it is found, has agents, and transacts business in this District and because it has		
7	its principal p	lace of business in the State of Washington.	
8	9.	Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (c)(2) because	
9	Defendant's o	contacts are sufficient to subject it to personal jurisdiction in this District, and	
0	therefore, De	fendant resides in this District for purposes of venue, or under 28 U.S.C. §	
1	1391(b)(2) be	ecause certain acts giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint occurred,	
2	among other places, in this District.		
3		IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
13	А.	IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website.	
14	A. 10.	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that	
15	10.	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website.	
14 15 16	10. an individual	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website. Travel or trip insurance essentially covers any added costs or lost payments that	
14 15 16 17	10. an individual forced at the l	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website. Travel or trip insurance essentially covers any added costs or lost payments that might incur while on a trip or planning a trip. For example, if a consumer is	
14 15 16 17 18	10. an individual forced at the l	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website. Travel or trip insurance essentially covers any added costs or lost payments that might incur while on a trip or planning a trip. For example, if a consumer is ast minute to switch or cancel a flight, then travel insurance will cover any flight-	
14 15 16 17 18 19	an individual forced at the l switching fees	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website. Travel or trip insurance essentially covers any added costs or lost payments that might incur while on a trip or planning a trip. For example, if a consumer is ast minute to switch or cancel a flight, then travel insurance will cover any flights or—if necessary—the otherwise-lost cost of the flight.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	10. an individual forced at the l switching fees 11. borders to be	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website. Travel or trip insurance essentially covers any added costs or lost payments that might incur while on a trip or planning a trip. For example, if a consumer is ast minute to switch or cancel a flight, then travel insurance will cover any flights or—if necessary—the otherwise-lost cost of the flight. Almost every state in the nation requires insurance agents operating within their	
14 15 16 17 18 19	an individual forced at the l switching fees 11. borders to be subject to regular	Alaska receives illegal kickbacks/commissions from the travel insurers that Alaska promotes on its website. Travel or trip insurance essentially covers any added costs or lost payments that might incur while on a trip or planning a trip. For example, if a consumer is ast minute to switch or cancel a flight, then travel insurance will cover any flights or—if necessary—the otherwise-lost cost of the flight. Almost every state in the nation requires insurance agents operating within their licensed, which allow states to ensure—among other things—that the agent is	

1	acting as insurance brokers or receiving money for insurance brokering. This includes the State
2	of Washington. See WAC 284-17-011(1).
3	12. Despite these prohibitions, transportation companies such as airlines, including
4	Defendant, have come under fire for receiving undisclosed, illegal brokerage fees or
5	commissions—i.e., kickbacks—from the travel-insurance companies that they promote on their
6	websites. In August 2018, United States Senator Edward J. Markey released a report detailing
7	this practice, which—in addition to being illegal under state law—is inequitable for several
8	reasons, including: travel insurance is typically only necessary, in the first place, because the
9	airlines have begun charging exorbitant switching and cancelation fees; the insurance that these
10	airlines promote is often significantly inferior to the insurance that's available on the open
11	market (i.e., it offers less coverage and presents significant obstacles to reimbursement); and
12	travel-insurance kickbacks now constitute an illegal but significant "profit center" for "almost
13	every major airline" in the country—one that's being unknowingly funded by consumers. ¹
14	13. Defendant Alaska engages in the type of unlicensed insurance brokering
15	described in the Markey Report. Throughout the class period, Alaska offered for sale and urged
16	its passengers, via its website, to buy travel insurance provided by a third-party insurer—
17	Allianz Global Assistance. ² If a passenger in fact opted for coverage, she paid a certain sum to
18	the insurer in exchange for the travel insurance. That sum, however, included an undisclosed
19	fee that the insurer would then pay back to Alaska, in exchange for helping broker the
20	insurance sale; i.e., Alaska was paid an illegal brokerage fee.
21	
22	1 See Office of Senator Edward J. Markey, Flyer Beware: Is Travel Insurance Worth It? (2018), available at

23

HT IT? (2018), available at

https://www.markey.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Flyer%20Beware%20Report.pdf

² More specifically, Allianz Global Assistance, Jefferson Insurance Company, and BCS Insurance Company.

1	14.	Alaska did not, however, disclose to consumers that it was receiving these	
2	kickbacks, and its website misled consumers into unknowingly funding its improper brokerage		
3	fees, as descri	ibed in more detail, below.	
4	В.	Alaska misleads consumers into funding its illegal brokerage fees.	
5	15.	In addition to operating flights nationwide, Alaska operates 1,200 flights to 115	
6	destinations in	n the United States, Canada, Mexico and Costa Rica. As part of its business,	
7	Alaska sells t	ickets to customers through its website, www.alaskaair.com.	
8	16.	When a customer visits Alaska's website, the site allows the customer to select	
9	their preferred	d destination and travel dates.	
10	17.	After the customer selects her specific flight, Alaska's website provides the	
11	customer with	n the price of that flight.	
12	18.	Before the customer completes her purchase, Alaska's website presents	
13	customers wit	th the option to buy travel-insurance policy with Allianz Global Assistance.	
14	19.	After the customer selects the desired flight or flights, enters her personal	
15	information, a	and selects her seat, the customer reaches the "Checkout" page.	
16	20.	Below the area where a person enters his or her payment information is the	
17	conspicuous s	statement in bold: "Highly Recommended: Protection for your trip to [city]."	
18	21.	Below Alaska's recommendation, Alaska states in red, conspicuous font: "Don't	
19	miss out! Offe	er expires when booking is finalized."	
20	22.	This is followed by an option to click, "Yes Add protection for a total of	
21	[price]" follow	wed by the conspicuous statement in green font: "Highly Recommended."	
22			
23			
24			
	II .		

- C. As a result of Alaska's illegal brokerage fees, Plaintiff and the proposed class were damaged.
- 29. Had Alaska disclosed that the price of the travel-insurance product on Alaska's website incorporates an illegal commission paid to Alaska (as opposed to being based solely on underwriting risk and insurer profit), Plaintiff would have not bought the travel insurance or would have paid less for travel insurance.
- 30. Indeed, travel insurance is available on the open market that is less expensive than the insurance offered on Alaska's website, and often provides superior or comparable coverage, in part because the open-market insurance doesn't include any illegal kickbacks to Alaska. For example, Alaska's insurance is nearly twice as expensive as comparable—if not superior—plans that are otherwise available to consumers. This discrepancy is attributable to the illegal commissions that Alaska receives from its insurers.
- 31. On or around June 16, 2019, Plaintiff Shattenkirk bought a travel insurance policy on Alaska's website to cover her airline ticket purchase.
- 32. In purchasing the travel insurance, Plaintiff viewed and was exposed to Defendant's website and the statements regarding the travel insurance.
- 33. After completing the purchases, Alaska sent Plaintiff correspondence via email with her individual purchase summary and stated that the trip insurance would be billed separately.
- 34. At no point did Alaska inform Plaintiff that a portion of the trip insurance billed to her included an illegal kickback to Alaska.
- 35. Had Plaintiff been aware that a portion of the costs of the travel insurance was being used to fund an illegal kickback to Alaska, she would not have paid for the travel insurance or would have paid less for travel insurance.

1	D.	Plaintiff's purchase of travel insurance was unrelated to Alaska's prices, rates, or services.	
2	36.	Alaska provides no services to its customer in connection with the sale of travel	
3	insurance on i	its website.	
4	37.	The price of the travel insurance is a price offered or set by the insurer, not	
5	Alaska.		
6	38.	No contractual relationship is formed between the customer and Alaska in	
7	connection w	ith a customer's purchase of travel insurance on Alaska's website.	
8	39.	No bargained-for exchange takes place between the customer and Alaska in	
9	connection w	ith a customer's purchase of travel insurance on Alaska's website.	
10	40.	Alaska does not compete with other airlines for insurance-brokerage services,	
11	which—in an	y event—it is prohibited from providing under state law.	
12		V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	
13	41.	Plaintiff brings this proposed class action on behalf of a separate state class – a	
14	National Clas	s and a Florida Class (together, "Classes") pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil	
15	Procedure 23(a), 23(b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4).		
16	The N	ational Class is defined as: All persons who purchased a travel insurance policy	
17	on Alaska's w	vebsite in the United States within the applicable limitations period (the "Class	
18	Period").		
19	The F	lorida Class is defined as: All persons who purchased a travel insurance policy on	
20	Alaska's web	site in the State of Florida within the applicable limitations period (the "Class	
21	Period").		
22	42.	Excluded from the proposed Classes are: the Defendant, any entity in which the	
23	Defendant has	s a controlling interest, is a parent or subsidiary, or which is controlled by the	
24			

1	Defendant, as well as the officers, directors, affiliates, legal representatives, heirs, predecessors
2	successors, and assigns of Defendant, and judicial officers to whom this case is assigned and
3	their immediate family members.
4	43. Plaintiff reserves the right to re-define the Class definitions after conducting
5	discovery.
6	44. Numerosity (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1)). Pursuant to Rule 23(a)(1), the Classes
7	are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impracticable. While Plaintiff has not yet
8	determined the exact number of Class Members, Plaintiff believes that the Classes are
9	comprised of millions of members geographically dispersed throughout the United States, and
10	the State of Florida. The Classes, however, are readily identifiable from information and
11	records in the possession of Defendant and third-parties and can be ascertained through
12	appropriate investigation and discovery. Class Members may be notified of the pendency of
13	this action by any appropriate methods approved by the Court, which may include mail, email,
14	internet postings, or published notices.
15	45. Commonality and Predominance (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2); 23(b)(3)).
16	Common questions of law and fact exist with regard to each of the claims and predominate
17	over questions affecting only individual members of the Classes. Questions common to the
18	Classes include, but are not limited to the following:
19	(a) whether Alaska engaged in the unlicensed practice of soliciting,
20	negotiating, transacting, or effectuating contracts of insurance;
21	(b) whether Alaska engaged in unlawful, unfair or fraudulent acts and
22	business practices by omitting its receipt or retention of kickbacks/commissions;
23	
24	

1	(c) whether Alaska's material omissions relating to travel insurance would	
2	have been considered material by a reasonable consumer;	
3	(d) whether Alaska's material omissions regarding travel insurance resulted	
4	in the class being damaged;	
5	(e) whether Alaska received undisclosed kickbacks, commissions, or fees	
6	from the sale of travel insurance; and	
7	(f) whether Alaska was unlawfully enriched at the expense of the class	
8	members.	
9	46. These common questions predominate over any questions solely affecting	
10	individual class members.	
11	47. Typicality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)) . Pursuant to Rule 23(a)(3), Plaintiff's	
12	claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Classes because of the similarity,	
13	uniformity, and common purpose of injuries she has suffered as a result of Alaska's allegedly	
14	unlawful conduct. Like all class members, Plaintiff was damaged by paying money that Alaska	
15	deceptively presented as a pass-through charge to an insurance company, when in fact Alaska	
16	enriched itself in this process.	
17	48. Adequacy of Representation (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)). Pursuant to Rule	
18	23(a)(4), Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Classes.	
19	Plaintiff has no interest antagonistic to, or in conflict with, the Classes that she seeks to	
20	represent. Plaintiff has retained competent and capable attorneys with significant experience in	
21	complex and class action litigation, including consumer class actions. Plaintiff and her counsel	
22	are committed to prosecuting this action vigorously on behalf of the Classes and have the	
23	financial and other resources to do so.	
24		

1	49. Sup
2	method for the fair
3	such treatment will
4	common claims in a
5	duplication of evide
6	judgments that num
7	mechanism, includi
8	on claims that migh
9	difficulties that may
10	difficulty in the mar
11	50. Risk
12	of Final Injunctive
13	alternative, this acti
14	(a)
15	would create a risk
16	of the Classes, which
17	(b)
18	would create a risk
19	would, as a practica
20	parties to the adjudi
21	interests; or
22	
23	
24	

- eriority (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). Class action treatment is a superior and efficient adjudication of this controversy, in that, among other things, permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary ence, effort, expense, or the possibility of inconsistent or contradictory nerous individual actions would engender. The benefits of the class ng providing injured persons or entities with a method for obtaining redress t not be practicable to pursue individually, substantially outweigh any y arise in the management of this class action. There will be no significant nagement of this case as a class action.
- of Inconsistent or Dispositive Adjudications and the Appropriateness or Declaratory Relief (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1) and (2)). In the on may properly be maintained as a class action, because:
- the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members ch would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant; or
- the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Classes of adjudications with respect to individual members of the Classes which al matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Classes not ications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their

1	(c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to
2	the Classes, thereby making appropriate final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief
3	with respect to the Classes as a whole.
4	51. Issue Certification. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4). In the alternative, the common
5	questions of fact and law are appropriate for issue certification on behalf of the proposed
6	Classes.
7	VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unjust Enrichment) (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide and Florida Classes)
9	52. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-51 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges
0	as follows.
1	53. Plaintiff brings this claim for unjust enrichment individually and on behalf of the
2	proposed Nationwide and Florida Classes.
3	54. Plaintiff and each class member conferred a benefit on Alaska by funding
4	Alaska's illegal kickback, which allowed Alaska to become unjustly enriched at the expense of
5	Plaintiff and the class members.
6	55. Alaska appreciated, accepted, and retained this benefit, as it garnered substantial
7	profits by virtue of its insurance kickback scheme.
8	56. Under the circumstances it would be inequitable to allow Alaska to retain this
9	benefit, as Alaska obtained these profits through failing to disclose material information to
20	consumers and otherwise engaging in illegal conduct under state insurance laws.
21	57. Plaintiff's claim to the money Alaska made in the transaction is superior to
22	Alaska's claim, in part because Alaska was never legally entitled to this money.
23	
24	

1	58.	Plaintiff and the Class members suffered damages as a result of Alaska's unjust	
2	enrichment.		
3		VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act	
4		(RCW § 19.86, et seq.) (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class)	
5	59.	Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-58 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges	
6	as follows.		
7	60.	Plaintiff brings this claim for relief individually and on behalf of the Nationwide	
8	Class pursua	nt to the Washington Consumer Protection Act (the "WCPA"), RCW § 19.86, et	
9	seq.		
10 11	61.	Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of the Washington Consumer	
12	Protection A	ct, RCW § 19.86.010(1), and conducts "trade" and "commerce" within the	
13	meaning of RCW § 19.86.010(2).		
14	62.	Plaintiff and other members of the classes are "persons" within the meaning of	
15	RCW § 19.8	6.010(1).	
16	63.	Defendant's principal place of business is within the State of Washington, where	
17	it directs the	illegal practices detailed in this complaint.	
18	64.	Defendant's failure to disclose its retention of illegal brokerage fees and	
19	Defendant's failure to indicate that a portion of Plaintiff's travel-insurance costs would be		
20	illegally retained by or funneled to Alaska constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices		
21	because they	offend public policy, including as set forth in the Washington Insurance Code,	
22	and because these acts and practices are calculated to mislead a reasonable consumer into		
23	purchasing to	ravel insurance at an inflated price.	
24			

- 65. Washington requires an insurance producer license for the sale of travel insurance. RCW 48.17.170; WAC 284-17-011(1). Defendant's acts constitute the unauthorized sale of insurance in the State of Washington. RCW 48.17.060. By virtue of its violation of the Washington Insurance Code, Title 48 RCW, and its implementing regulations, Defendant has committed a per se violation of the WCPA.
- 66. Defendant engaged in this unlawful course of conduct with the intent to induce Plaintiff and the class members into purchasing travel insurance and paying premiums above what they would otherwise pay or above what the policies are otherwise worth.
- 67. Defendant's omissions and misrepresentations caused substantial injury to Plaintiff and Class members that is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or competitors.
- 68. Plaintiff's injuries were not reasonably avoidable: Defendant and its insurers were the sole source of material information regarding Alaska's commissions, and none of these entities disclosed this information to Alaska's customers. Nor could consumers have reasonably anticipated that (for example) Alaska would violate state insurance laws.
- 69. Defendant's unfair acts or practices occurred in its trade or business, are repetitive, and have injured a substantial portion of the public. Defendant's general course of conduct as alleged herein is injurious to the public interest, and these actions are ongoing and have a substantial likelihood of being repeated.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unfair acts or practices, Plaintiff and Class members have been injured in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 71. Plaintiff and Class Members would not have purchased the insurance at issue but for Alaska's unfair conduct.

1	72. Plaintiff and Class Members purchased travel insurance products that were		
2	priced higher than they would have been but for the undisclosed kickbacks, i.e., Plaintiff and		
3	the class were overcharged for that product. Plaintiff and the class would not have paid that		
4	overcharge had they been aware that it would Alaska was charging it illegally.		
5	73. Plaintiff and the class have a monetary, out-of-pocket loss, as they paid money		
6	to Alaska as a result of its unfair conduct.		
7	74. Plaintiff and the class are entitled to actual damages, declaratory and injunctive	:	
8	relief, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other remedies available under the WCPA.		
9	VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
10	Violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.		
11	(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Florida Class)		
12	75. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-74 as if fully set forth herein and further allege	S	
12	as follows.		
13	76. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief individually and on behalf of the Florida		
14	ž ,		
15	class.		
16	77. Plaintiff and the Class Members are "consumers" as defined by Fla. Sta	ıt.	
	§ 501.203(7).		
17	78. Plaintiff and Class Members purchased "things of value" by buying products an	ıd	
18	services from Defendant. These purchases were made primarily for personal and famil	lv	
19		- 3	
20	purposes. Fla. Stat. § 501.203(9).		
21	79. Defendant engaged in the conduct alleged in this Complaint by advertising an	ıd	
	entering into transactions intended to result, and which did result, in the sale of insurance service	es	
22	to Plaintiff and Class members. The insurance policies constitute goods, services, and/or proper	tv	
23		.,	
24	to consumers, including Plaintiff and Class Members. Fla. Stat. § 501.203(8).		

1	87.	The above unfair and deceptive practices and acts by Defendant were immoral,			
2	unethical, op	pressive, and unscrupulous. These acts caused substantial injury to Plaintiff and the			
3	Class members that they could not reasonably avoid. This substantial injury outweighed any				
4	benefits to Plaintiff and the Class.				
5	88.	Defendant's actions and inactions in engaging in the unfair practices and			
6	deceptive acts described herein were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or wanton and reckless				
7	89.	Plaintiff and the Class members seek relief under Florida Deceptive and			
8	Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. §§ 501.201, et seq., including, but not limited to, damages				
9	injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs, and any other just and proper relief.				
10	IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
11		(Conversion) (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide and Florida Classes)			
12	90.	Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1–89 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges			
13	as follows.				
14	91.	Plaintiff and Class members have an ownership right to the amounts of their			
15	payments illegally diverted to Alaska as a kickback/commission.				
16	92.	Defendant has wrongly asserted dominion over the portions of the payments			
17	made by Plaintiff and Class Members for trip insurance that Alaska illegally diverted as a				
18	kickback/commission. Defendant has done so with every trip insurance policy purchased				
19	through its w	ebsite.			
20	93.	As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's conversion, Plaintiff and the			
21	Class Membe	ers suffered damages in the amount of the payments illegally diverted to Alaska as			
22	a commission	1.			
23					
24					

2		X. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Fraudulent Concealment) (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide and Florida Classes)				
3	94.	Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1–93 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges				
4	the following	:				
5	95.	Plaintiff brings a claim for fraudulent concealment individually and on behalf of				
6	the Nationwic	de Classes and the Florida Class.				
7	96.	Plaintiff purchased travel insurance via Defendant's website, and was exposed				
8	to the language on that website.					
9	97.	Defendant's website omitted material facts regarding Defendant's receipt of				
10	illegal insurance-brokerage commissions.					
11	98.	Plaintiff and reasonable consumers relied on Defendant's omissions in deciding				
12	to purchase travel insurance and in deciding to purchase travel insurance at the price they paid					
13	for it.					
14	99.	But for Defendant's omissions and misstatements regarding its kickbacks, class				
15	members would not have purchased insurance from Allianz, or would not have been paid as					
16	much as they	did for travel insurance.				
17	100.	Defendant knowingly made the omissions and misstatements identified above				
18	with the inten	t to defraud Plaintiff and the class members				
19	101.	Defendant had superior knowledge regarding the omission at issue, i.e.,				
20	Defendant, and not class members, was in a better position to know that it was receiving illegal					
21	kickbacks from insurance companies.					
22						
23						
24						
		TOUGLEY ROAIN STEDHENS DI I C				

1	102.	Consumers could not readily know that Defendant was receiving undisclosed,			
2	illegal insurance commissions, in part because both Defendant and the insurers with whom it				
3	partnered actively withheld this information from consumers.				
4	103.	Defendant, who actively withheld knowledge of its illegal kickbacks from			
5	consumers, was aware that consumers would rely on these omissions that allowed Defendant to				
6	collect illegal kickbacks.				
7	104.	As a consequence of Defendant's fraudulent concealment, Plaintiff and the class			
8	members were injured as previously specified.				
9		XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of members of the Classes, prays				
11	for relief as follows:				
12	A.	An order certifying the proposed Classes and designating Plaintiff as the named			
13	representative of the Classes and designating her attorneys as Class Counsel;				
14	B.	All declaratory relief available;			
15	C.	An award to Plaintiff and the Classes of out of pocket, compensatory,			
16	exemplary, and statutory damages and injuries, in an amount to be proven at trial;				
17	D.	An order enjoining Defendant from further engaging in the misconduct alleged			
18	herein;				
19	E.	For restitution of all money or property wrongfully obtained by Defendant;			
20	F.	For disgorgement, for the benefit of the Classes, all or part of the ill-gotten			
21	profits;				
22	G.	An award of attorneys' fees and costs as allowed by law;			
23	H.	An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law;			
24					

1	I. Leave to amend the Complaint to conform to the evidence produced at trial; and					
2	J. Such other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances.					
3	XII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
4	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all claims so triable.					
5	DATED this 16th day of October, 2019.					
6	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC					
7	s/Kim D. Stephens					
8	Kim D. Stephens, P.S. WSBA #11984					
9	<u>s/ Rebecca L. Solomon</u> Rebecca L. Solomon, WSBA #51520					
10	1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200					
11	Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone: 206.682.5600/Fax: 206.682.2992					
12	Email: kstephens@tousley.com rsolomon@tousley.com					
13	STULL, STULL & BRODY					
14	Melissa R. Emert <i>(pro hac vice pending)</i> 6 East 45 th St5th Floor					
15	New York, NY 10017 Telephone: 954.341.5561/Fax: 954.341.5531					
16	Email: memert@ssbny.com					
17	GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY Marc L. Godino (pro hac vice pending)					
18	1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067					
19	Telephone: 310.201.9150/Fax: 310.432.1495 Email: mgodino@glancylaw.com					
20	LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP					
21	Rosemary M. Rivas <i>(pro hac vice pending)</i> 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94104					
22	Telephone: 415.373.1671/Fax: 415.484.1294 Email: rrivas@zlk.com					
23						
24						

1 2 3 4	GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC Daniel C. Hedlund (pro hac vice pending) 120 South 6th St. Minneapolis, MN 55401 Telephone: 612.333.8844/Fax 612.339.6622 Email: dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com Counsel for Plaintiff Madeleine F. Shattenkirk
5	
6	6670/001/543834.1
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 21 Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200

Case 2:19-cv-01656 Decument 12 Filed 10/16/19 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS			<u> </u>	1			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Madeleine F. Shattenkirk situated	s, individually and on b	ehalf of all others simi	larly Alaska Airlines, In	rly Alaska Airlines, Inc., a Delaware corporation			
(b) County of Residence of	_		County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)	NOTE: IN LAND C	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
			THE TRACT	THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Kim D. Stephens, Tousle	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r) C	Attorneys (If Known)				
1700 7th Ave., Suite 220							
(206) 682-5600							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	[CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintift and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	P	TF DEF 1 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr	PTF DEF		
	(0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0			of Business In T	1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State				
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 375 False Claims Act		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ ☐ 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	LAROR	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	_ *************************************	Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of		
270 Mil Other Real Froperty	Employment	Other:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	1	Agency Decision		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement	 				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	•	•	•	•		
	ite Court	Appellate Court	Reopened Anoth (specify				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	L 28 U.S.C. § 1332	tute under which you are fi (d)	lling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Drief description of ca		ks on the sale of travel in	surance.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: Yes □No		
VIII. RELATED CASI							
IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER							
DATE 10/16/2019		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/Kim D. Stephen	10				
RECEIPT#AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE _	MAG. JUI	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington

Western District of Washington				
MADELEINE F. SHATTENKIRK, individually and on behalf of all others similary situated,				
Plaintiff(s)				
v.)	Civil Action No.			
ALACKA AIDLINEC INC Deleviere composition				
ALASKA AIRLINES, INC., a Delaware corporation,))				
Defendant(s)				
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) ALASKA AIRLINES, INC. c/o Kyle Levine, its Registered Agent 19300 International Blvd. SeaTac, WA 98188-5304				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Kim D. Stephens TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1700 7th Ave., Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be ent You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	tered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
	CLERK OF COURT			
Deter				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for (nan ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was ic	•	41	-4 (I)				
	i personally served	the summons on the individual	on (date)				
	☐ I left the summons		usual place of abode with (name)				
		, a perso	on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,			
	on (date)	the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh					
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: