20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Steven T. Graham (#105710)	
2	sgraham@swlaw.com William S. O'Hare (#082562)	
3	wohare@swlaw.com	
4	Elizabeth M. Weldon (#223452) eweldon@swlaw.com	
5	Jing (Jenny) Hua (#294984)	
6	jhua@swlaw.com SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	
	600 Anton Blvd., Suite 1400	
7	Costa Mesa, California 92626-7689 Telephone: 714.427.7000	
8	Facsimile: 714.427.7799	
9	Attorneys for Defendants LuLaRoe, LLC,	
10	LLR, Inc., Lennon Leasing, LLC, Mark Stidham, and DeAnne Brady	
11	•	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15	TABITHA SPERRING, PAISLIE	Case No. 5:19-cv-00433
16	MARCHANT, and SALLY POSTON, individually and on behalf of similarly	Hon. André Birotte Jr., Mag. Judge Shashi H. I
17	situated persons,	Ctrm 3/4
18	Plaintiffs,	Joint Status Report
19	V.	
• /		Next Report Due: Feb

LLR, INC., a Wyoming corporation; LULAROE, LLC, a California limited liability company; LENNON LEASING, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company; MARK A. STIDHAM, an individual; DEANNE S. BRADY a/k/a DEANNE STIDHAM, an individual; and DOES 1-30, inclusive,

Defendants.

3 AB-SHK Ctrm 7B Kewalramani,

ebruary 14, 2020

Date Action Filed: March 8, 2019

Plaintiffs Tabitha Sperring, Paislie Marchant, and Sally Poston, (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants LuLaRoe, LLC d/b/a LuLaRoe, LLR, Inc.,

Lennon Leasing, LLC, Mark Stidham, and DeAnne Brady (collectively, the
"Defendants"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby submit the following
status report.

- 1. On July 23, 2019, the Court entered its Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration [Dkt. 32]. Among other things, the Court ordered the parties to file successive status reports every 120 days, commencing on October 18, 2019.
- 2. On July 24, 2019, Defendants filed three arbitration demands—one against each individual plaintiff—before JAMS. By their demands, Defendants sought from an arbitrator a declaration that each plaintiff: (1) could only submit its claims against Defendants in arbitration on an individual basis and not as a class; (2) must first individually mediate its claims before proceeding with arbitration; and (3) arbitration must be stayed pending individual mediation. At Defendants' request, JAMS stayed arbitration proceedings pending Plaintiffs' anticipated motion in this Court for voluntary dismissal.
- 3. On August 29, 2019, Plaintiffs filed a motion for an Order Dismissing the Action with Prejudice Under Fed. Rules. Civ. § 41(a)(2) [Dkt. 33] so they could appeal this Court's Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration.
- 4. Defendants filed a motion of non-opposition, and the Court entered on October 10, 2019 an Order granting Plaintiffs' motion for a voluntary dismissal with prejudice. [Dkt. 40.] In light of this Order granting dismissal with prejudice, the parties request the Court order that parties no longer need to file periodic status reports for the above-entitled action.