

LAW OFFICE OF FRANCIS J. FLYNN, JR.
Francis J. Flynn, Jr.
422 South Curson Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90036-3169
T: 314-662-2836
F: 1-855-710-7706
E: casey@lawofficeflynn.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

JOHN DINAN, individually and on behalf
of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANDISK LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.: 5:18-cv-5420

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:

- (1) BREACH OF CONTRACT**
- (2) VIOLATION OF THE
CALIFORNIA UNFAIR
COMPETITION LAW
CALIFORNIA BUSINESS &
PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200,
ET SEQ.**
- (3) VIOLATION OF THE
CALIFORNIA CONSUMER
LEGAL REMEDIES ACT,
CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §
1750, ET SEQ.**
- (4) FALSE AND MISLEADING
ADVERTISING IN VIOLATION
OF BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS
CODE § 17500, ET SEQ.**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMES NOW Plaintiff John Dinan (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated, by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby bring Plaintiff's

Class Action Complaint against SANDISK LLC, (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant” or “Sandisk”, alleging, upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff’s individual actions and upon information and belief and/or counsel’s investigations as to all other matters, the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks compensatory damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits, costs of suit, actual damages, attorneys’ fees, costs, declaratory judgment, injunctive relief, and any other relief that this Court deems just and proper arising from Defendant’s breach of contract, and unfair, unlawful, unethical, fraudulent, misleading, unconscionable, and/or deceptive business policies and practices related to Defendant’s manufacturing, advertising, marketing, and/or sales of its flash memory in the form of USB¹ flash drives, also known as thumb drives, data sticks, JumpDrives, pen drives, gig sticks, flash sticks, disk keys, memory sticks, USB sticks, or USB memory.

2. Plaintiffs shall refer to the SanDisk Products at issue in this suit as “USB Drives” or “USB Flash Drives”.

II. PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

3. Plaintiff John Dinan is a citizen of the state of California, residing in the city of Los Angeles, California. Plaintiff purchased a SanDisk 64 GB iXPAND Flash Drive USB 3.0.

B. Defendant

4. SanDisk LLC is a leading provider of flash memory products like PCIe Flash, SSDs, server storage solutions and removable memory cards and USB drives.² Defendant SanDisk LLC is a limited liability corporation formed under the laws of the state of Delaware,

¹ “USB” refers to a Universal Serial Bus port in a computer, where the drive is inserted into the computer.

² <https://www.sandisk.com/about/company>

1 having its principal place of business at 5601 Great Oaks Parkway, San Jose, California 95119.
2 The sole member of Defendant SanDisk is Western Digital Technologies, Inc. Western Digital
3 Technologies, Inc. is organized under the laws of Delaware and whose principal place of business
4 is located at 5601 Great Oaks Parkway, San Jose, California 95119. Western Digital
5 Technologies Inc. is, therefore, a citizen of the States of Delaware and California. Sandisk LLC
6 is, therefore, a citizen of the States of Delaware and California. Sandisk LLC can be served
7 through its registered agent at Corporation Service Company Which Will Do Business in
8 California as CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
9 Sacramento California 95833. Western Digital Technologies, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary
10 of Western Digital Corporation.
11

12 **III. JURISDICTION & VENUE**

13 5. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action
14 Fairness Act of 2005. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2) and (6), this Court has original
15 jurisdiction because (a) the aggregated claims of the putative members of the Classes exceed \$5
16 million, exclusive of interest and costs; (b) there are at least 100 members of the putative Classes;
17 and (c) at least one of the members of each of the proposed Classes is a citizen of a different state
18 than Defendant.
19

20 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant, directly
21 or through an agent, has transacted business and engaged in tortious and fraudulent conduct, by
22 affirmative acts or omissions, in the State of California such that it reasonably anticipated being
23 subject to personal jurisdiction before the courts of this State. Defendant's agents have advertised,
24 marketed, and/or sold USB Flash Drives in California, including in this District. Defendant has
25 sufficient minimum contacts with this State, and/or sufficiently availed itself to the markets of
26 this State through its advertising, marketing, and sale within this State to render the exercise of
27
28

jurisdiction by this Court permissible. Further, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its Internet websites allow consumers to order and ship products anywhere in the United States, including this District.³ Defendant conducts business throughout the United States, including this District.

7. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant does business and maintains its headquarters in this District.

IV. GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

OVERVIEW OF USB DRIVES

8. USB Drives provide supplemental memory storage for electronic files used in a computer or other electronic device by inserting the USB Drive into the USB port. After insertion, the computer's operating system recognizes the USB Drive as a storage medium and allows the user to store files onto the USB Drive.

9. Every USB Drive manufactured, advertised, marketed, and/or sold by Defendant has a specific, particular memory capacity for storing digital information.

10. During the Class Period, Defendant advertised, marketed, and/or sold a number of USB Flash Drives in varying sizes, including what it represented as memory sizes of 256 GB, 128 GB, 64 GB, 32 GB, 16 GB⁴ and 8 GB.⁵

11. At all relevant times, Defendant intentionally misrepresented the amount of the memory storage contained on the USB Flash Drives in their marketing, advertising, and/or packaging of their USB Flash Drives.

³ See, e.g., https://shop.sandisk.com/store?Action=pd&Cruzer-Fit-USB-Flash-Drive-8GB=&Locale=en_US&SiteID=sdiskus&productID=235933700

⁴ <https://www.sandisk.com/home/usb-flash> (last visited June 22, 2018).

⁵ https://www.amazon.com/s/gp/search/ref=sr_nr_p_n_size_browser_bin_2?fst=as%3Aoff&rh=n%3A172282%2Cn%3A541966%2Cn%3A1292110011%2Cn%3A3151491%2Ck%3Asandisk+8+gb+usb+flash+drive%2Cp_n_size_browser_bin%3A1259714011%7C1259713011&keywords=sandisk+8+gb+usb+flash+drive&ie=UTF8&qid=1529688631&rnid=1259751011 (last visited June 22, 2018).

WHAT IS A GB?

12. This case stems from Defendant's intentional misrepresenting the storage capacity, which are represented in "GBs," of its USB Flash Drives. GB is the acronym for Gigabyte (1024 megabytes).⁶

13. Merriam Webster Diction defines a "gigabyte" as 1024 megabytes or 1,073,741,824 bytes."⁷

14. Dictionary.com defines a "gigabyte" as a measure of storage capacity equal to 2^{30} (1024) bytes."⁸

15. The Oxford Dictionary defines a Gigabyte as "A unit of information equal to one thousand million (10) or, strictly, 2^3 bytes."⁹

16. The average consumers' understanding and measurement of storage capacity and file size is consistent with the acronym and dictionary definitions as set forth above.

17. The dictionary definition of Gigabyte is also consistent with virtually all computers' operating systems' calculation and representation of file sizes and storage needs.

18. In particular, and as explained in more detail herein, computer users are presented with the base-two counting system whenever they look at the size of files stored on their hard disk drive or storage medium, whether they are using the Windows, Linux, Apple (except Mac OS X version 10.6 and later) or any other operating systems.

19. For example, users of the Microsoft Windows operating system (who comprise approximately 97% of all computer users) will see a list of files contained in a particular folder, showing the total size of the folder and the file size of each file as a number of "KB" or kilobytes.

⁶ According to <https://acronyms.thefreedictionary.com/GB>,
⁷ <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/gigabyte> (last visited June 22, 2018).

⁸ <http://www.dictionary.com/browse/gigabyte> (last visited June 22, 2018).

⁹ See, <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/gigabyte> (last visited June 22, 2018).

If the user clicks on the “properties” for a particular file, the user will then see the same size given in “MB” or megabytes and “bytes.”

20. Each of these numbers is computed using the base-two system. For example, if a particular file appears in the list as “2,088 KB,” the properties screen will show “2.03 MB (2,138,112 bytes).”¹⁰

**DEFENDANT’S UNFAIR, UNLAWFUL, AND/OR
DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES**

21. As a matter of fact, Defendant’s USB Flash Drives contain materially less GBs than stated. Defendant fails to meaningfully, adequately, and/or conspicuously disclose, however, that its USB Flash Drives contain materially less GBs than the number of GBs stated on the advertising and/or packaging.

22. The difference between Sandisk’s USB Flash Drives’ advertised memory and their actual memory is significant as set forth in the below chart:

Number of GBs Advertised	Actual Storage Capacity (GBs)	Difference in GB between Advertised Space and Actual Space	Difference (Percentage)
4	3.73	0.27	0.0675
8	7.45	0.55	0.06875
16	14.9	1.1	0.06875
32	29.8	2.2	0.06875
64	59.6	4.4	0.06875
128	119.21	8.79	0.068671875
256	238.42	17.58	0.068671875

¹⁰ The reason is that 2,138,112 bytes divided by 1,024 (2¹⁰) equals 2,088 KB, and 2,088 kilobytes divided by 1,024 equals 2.03 MB. If the number had been computed in base-ten instead of base-two then 2,138,122 bytes would be shown as 2,138 KB instead of 2,088 KB, and as 2.14MB instead of 2.03MB.

1 23. As set forth above, the difference in GBs in the amount advertised and the actual
2 space received increases the more storage Defendant promises.

3 24. To further mislead Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass, Defendant sells USB Flash
4 Drives in sizes that are consistent with the base-two system, i.e., it sells USB Flash Drives in 8
5 GB, 16 GB, 32 GB, 64 GB, 128 GB, and 256 GB sizes. This is done to mislead the public because
6 Defendant wants the public to assume that the size of the USB Drive that they are purchasing is
7 consistent with the dictionary definition, the average consumer's understanding, and the way that
8 computers calculates storage space.

9 25. Plaintiff's situation is demonstrative. Plaintiff was shocked to learn that there is
10 approximately 6.7% less storage capacity on the device than what Defendant conspicuously
11 advertised to him.

12 26. Moreover, this is not a matter of merely getting less than what one paid for. For
13 example, a 256 GB USB Flash Drive is inadequate to expand the PlayStation storage capacity
14 because PlayStation requires 250 GB to expand its storage capacity and Defendant's 256 GB USB
15 Flash Drive only contains 238.42 GBs of storage space. Thus, someone purchasing a 256 GB
16 USB Flash Drive for that purpose – a size that would outwardly appear to be perfect for that
17 application – would be unable to use it at all.

18 **DEFENDANT ASSIGNS AN ARBITRARY DEFINITION TO GB IN EXTREMELY**
19 **FINE PRINT ON THE BACK OF THE PACKAGING**

20 27. On the front of Defendant's packaging, Defendant conspicuously represents in
21 font that is larger and in a different color than surrounding text and is separate and apart from
22 other words, the number of GBs the USB Flash Drive purportedly contains.

23 28. Defendant does not meaningfully, adequately, or conspicuously disclose that
24

1 Defendant's product actually contains at least 6.7% less storage than it represents on the front of
2 the packaging. All Defendant does is place fine print on the back of its packaging that arbitrarily
3 defines GB to mean less than 1.024 billion bytes, i.e., one billion bytes.

4 29. Thus, Defendant knows that a GB is 1.024 billion bytes, but defines it in fine print
5 as one billion bytes, thereby shorting the consumer out of at least 6.7% storage.

6 30. Defendant does not even direct consumer's attention to the back of the packaging
7 to the inadequate "disclosure."
8

9 **DEFENDANT'S METHOD OF DETERMINING MEMORY SIZE IS INCONSISTENT**
10 **WITH THE BINARY STANDARD ON WHICH ALL DIGITAL FILES ARE BASED**

11 31. In binary computing, a computer counts in base-two. Each column goes only from
12 0 to 1. Thus, each column represents a factor of 2, such as 2^1 , 2^2 , 2^3 , 2^4 , 2^5 , and so forth
13

14 32. Except for the file manager of Mac OS X version 10.6 and later, all
15 computer/digital processors sold everywhere report available storage using base-two calculations.
16 These include personal computers, PDAs, digital cameras, cellular telephones, MP3 players,
17 gaming systems such as PlayStation and Xbox, and all other devices that use flash memory in one
18 form or another.

19 33. Memory storage is designated in sizes that equal an exponent of the number two.
20 Every group of eight (2^3) bits is called a "byte." The term "byte" was used instead of "bite" to
21 avoid confusion if the "e" was inadvertently left off the end.
22

23 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant later changed its method to be able to
24 overstate the amount of memory contained on its USB Flash Drive.

25 **PLAINTIFF WAS MISLED AND DECEIVED BY DEFENDANT**

26 35. Plaintiff purchased a Sandisk USB Flash Drive primarily for personal, family, or
27
28

1 household purposes.

2 36. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendant's unfair, unlawful, unethical,
3 deceptive, unconscionable, and/or fraudulent business practices as set forth more fully throughout
4 the complaint.

5 37. At all relevant times, Plaintiff reasonably believed that the USB Flash Drives could
6 hold data in the amount of GBs represented on the advertisements and/or packaging of the USB
7 Flash Drives that was consistent with the dictionary definition, file size representations, and
8 computer operating system's method of interpreting GBs.

9 38. Plaintiff did not know, nor should Plaintiff have reasonably known, that Defendant
10 intended that GB to mean something materially less than its common usage as defined above.

11 39. When Plaintiff made the decision to purchase Sandisk's USB Flash Drive, Plaintiff
12 believed it could save the number of GBs of data on the USB Flash Drive packaging. Instead,
13 Plaintiff was only able to save approximately 6.7% fewer GBs of data on the USB Flash Drive.

14
15 **DEFENDANT'S CONDUCT IS INTENTIONAL**
16 **AND LEAVES CONSUMERS UNPROTECTED**
17

18 40. Defendant could have either (a) used the common meaning of GB and represented
19 the number of GBs being nearly 6.7% less; or (b) Defendant could have disclosed on the front of
20 the package in a meaningful way that the amount of GBs represented will appear as 6.7% less
21 when connected to their computers.

22 41. Because Defendant sold the product as it did during the class period, consumers,
23 including Plaintiff, the Class, and the Subclass, were duped into paying more for the USB Flash
24 Drives than they would have paid had Plaintiff, the Class, and the Subclass known the truth.

25 **DEFENDANT'S MISLEADING CONDUCT LEADS TO SIGNIFICANT LOSSES BY**
26

CONSUMERS NATIONWIDE AND HARMS COMPETITORS

42. As set forth above, Defendant overstates the storage capacity of its USB Flash Drives. If Defendant meaningfully, adequately, and/or conspicuously disclosed the true storage size of its USB Flash Drives, Plaintiff, the Class, and the Subclass would not have been purchased Defendant's USB Flash Drives or would have only purchased Defendant's USB Flash Drives at a lower price.

43. The amounts overpaid can be computed by, among other things, comparing the prices that Defendant charges for different sized USB Flash Drives, which reflects their incremental price for each additional unit of memory storage.

44. For example, Defendant sells its "16 GB" hard drive for \$19.99. Since the 16 GB USB Flash Drive really only contains 14.9 GBs of memory, the cost per GBs is \$1.34 per GB.

45. Thus, for each USB Flash Drive device purchased, Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass Members were damaged as follows:

Number of GBs Advertised	Actual Storage Capacity (GBs)	Difference in GB between Advertised Space and Actual Space	Approximate Amount of Damage to Consumer
4	3.73	0.27	\$0.36
8	7.45	0.55	\$0.74
16	14.9	1.1	\$1.47
32	29.8	2.2	\$2.95
64	59.6	4.4	\$5.90
128	119.21	8.79	\$11.78
256	238.42	17.58	\$23.56

46. Defendant does not meaningfully, adequately, and/or conspicuously make understandable disclosures to Plaintiff, the Class or Subclass.

VI. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

47. Plaintiff brings this action and seek to certify and maintain it as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, individually and on behalf of the following Class:

The Class (the "Class")

All individuals and entities in the United States who purchased a Sandisk USB Drive within the applicable statutes of limitations preceding the filing of this lawsuit.

The Subclass (the "Subclass")

All individuals in the State of California who purchased a Sandisk USB Drive within the applicable statutes of limitations preceding the filing of this lawsuit.

48. Excluded from the Class are: (a) Defendant and any entities in which Defendant has a controlling interest; (b) Any entities in which Defendant's officers, directors, or employees are employed and any of the legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns of Defendant; (c) All current employees of Defendant; (d) The Judge(s) to whom this case or any transferred case is assigned and any member of the Judges' immediate family and any other judicial officer assigned to this case or any transferred case; (f) All governmental entities; (g) anyone who makes a timely election to be excluded from the Class.

49. Excluded from the Subclass are: (a) Defendant and any entities in which Defendant has a controlling interest; (b) Any entities in which Defendant's officers, directors, or employees are employed and any of the legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns of Defendant; (c) All current employees of Defendant; (d) The Judge(s) to whom this case or any transferred case is assigned and any member of the Judges' immediate family and any other judicial officer assigned to this case or any transferred case; (e) Any attorneys representing Plaintiff or the Subclass; (f) All governmental entities; (g) anyone who makes a timely election to be excluded from the Class.

50. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definitions of the proposed

1 Class or Subclass and/or to add more Subclasses if necessary before the Court determines whether
2 certification is appropriate and as the Court may otherwise allow.

3 51. This case is properly brought as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2),
4 (b)(3), and (c)(4), and all requirements therein are met for the reasons set forth herein.

5 52. The claims of all Class and Subclass members derive directly from a single course
6 of conduct by the Defendant. Defendant have and continue to engage in uniform and standardized
7 conduct toward the Class and Subclass members. Defendant do not differentiate, in degree of care
8 or candor, in their actions or inactions, or the content of their statements or omissions, among
9 individual Class and Subclass members. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action
10 on Plaintiff's own behalf and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant under Fed.
11 R. Civ. P. 23. This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy,
12 predominance, and superiority requirements of these provisions.
13

14 53. Certification of Plaintiff's claims is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the
15 elements of Plaintiff's claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to
16 prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claim.
17

18 54. **Numerosity - Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1).** The Class and Subclass are so numerous
19 that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number is not known at this time,
20 it is generally ascertainable by appropriate discovery, and it is believed the Class and Subclass
21 includes thousands, if not millions, of members. The numerosity requirement is, therefore,
22 satisfied. Undoubtedly, individual joinder in this case is impracticable. More than one thousand
23 Class and Subclass members is sufficient to satisfy numerosity under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1).
24

25 55. **Ascertainability.** The Class and Subclass are each ascertainable because its
26 members can be readily identified using business records, contracts, and other information kept
27 by Defendant in the usual course of business and within their control or Plaintiff and the Class
28

1 and Subclass themselves. Plaintiffs anticipate providing appropriate notice to the Class and
2 Subclass to be approved by the Court after class certification, or pursuant to court order.

3 **56. Commonality and Predominance - Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3).** There
4 are several questions of law and fact common to the claims of Plaintiff and the members of the
5 Class and Subclass. All of the members of the Class' and Subclass' claims are based upon the
6 same facts and circumstances. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). The questions of law and fact common to
7 the members of the Class and Subclass predominate over any questions affecting only individual
8 members of the Class and Subclass. The resolution of common questions in this case will resolve
9 the claims of both Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass. Common questions include, but are not
10 limited to, the following:

12 a. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, deceptively,
13 misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly misrepresented the amount of storage capacity
14 on the USB Flash Drives it sells in an amount that was materially more than it actually contained;

16 b. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, fraudulently,
17 deceptively, misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly induced Plaintiff and the
18 Members of the Class and Subclass into purchasing the USB Flash Drives based on omissions,
19 misrepresentations, and/or false promises regarding the memory capacity of the USB Flash Drives
20 it sells;

22 c. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, deceptively,
23 misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly omitted that the USB Flash Drive is
24 approximately contains 6.7% less storage capacity than the actual storage capacity;

26 d. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, deceptively,
27 misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly omitted that the GBs represented is not the
28 same as what a computer processing system would read the device as having and that the available

1 storage is actually approximately 6.7% less;

2 e. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, fraudulent, unethical,
3 unconscionable, and/or deceptive trade practices by failing to take the steps to adequately disclose
4 the actual storage capacity of the USB Flash Drive compared to the amount advertised on its
5 packaging and other sales literature;

6 f. Whether Defendant's marketing, sales, and/or other business practices are unfair,
7 deceptive, unlawful, fraudulent, unconscionable, and/or unethical;

8 g. Whether Defendant breached one or more terms of the contract or agreement;

9 h. Whether GB is unambiguously the common usage of the term (1024 megabytes);

10 i. Whether there is an ambiguity in the terms of the contract or agreement;

11 j. Whether Defendant adequately, meaningfully, conspicuously disclosed to Plaintiff
12 and the Class and Subclass that the storage capacity is 6.7% less than what a member of the Class
13 would understand, believe or think;

14 k. Whether Defendant had a duty to disclose that the storage capacity is
15 approximately 6.7% less than the amount of GBs identified on the front of the package;

16 l. Whether Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass are entitled to compensatory, actual,
17 and/or statutory damages as a result of Defendant's unfair, unlawful, unethical, deceptive,
18 unconscionable, and/or fraudulent conduct;

19 m. Whether Defendant violated the applicable consumer protection statutes;

20 n. Whether Defendant concealed material facts in its advertising materials and
21 agreement and/or failed to adequately disclose to Plaintiff material facts;

22 o. Whether Defendant has engaged in deceptive acts or practices in connection with
23 the sales, marketing, and/or manufacturing of the USB Flash Drives;

24 p. Whether Defendant breached one or more agreements with Plaintiff and the Class
25
26
27
28

and Subclass Members;

q. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched;

r. Whether Defendant's agreement is unconscionable and/or contain unconscionable provisions;

s. Whether Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass are entitled to actual, compensatory, nominal, statutory, and/or punitive damages;

t. Whether the relationships between Defendant on one hand and the Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on the other is governed by California law;

u. Whether Defendant violated California law; and/or

v. Whether Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass are entitled to injunctive, declaratory relief, or other equitable relief.

2. **Typicality - Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3).** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class and Subclass. The claims of the Plaintiff and the respective Class and Subclass are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful and willful conduct of Defendant, resulting in the same injury to the Plaintiff and the respective Class and Subclass. Plaintiff and all members of the Class and Subclass are similarly affected by Defendant's wrongful conduct and were damaged in the same way. Plaintiff's interests coincide with, and are not antagonistic to, those of the other Class and Subclass members. Plaintiff has been damaged by the same wrongdoing set forth in this Complaint.

57. **Adequacy - Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4).** Plaintiff is an adequate Class and Subclass representative because Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation; neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel have any interest adverse to those of the other members of the Class and Subclass; Plaintiff is knowledgeable about the subject matter of this action and will assist counsel to vigorously prosecute this litigation and has or can acquire

adequate financial resources to assure that the interests of the Class and Subclass will not be harmed. The interests of the members of Class and Subclass will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel. As such, Plaintiff meets the adequacy requirement.

58. **Superiority - Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).** The class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this dispute. The injury suffered by each member of the Class, while meaningful on an individual basis, is not of such magnitude as to make the prosecution of individual actions against Defendant economically feasible. Even if members of the Class and Subclass themselves could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. In addition to the burden and expense of managing many actions, individualized litigation presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system presented by the legal and factual issues of the case. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

59. **Policies Generally Applicable to the Class and Subclass. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2).** Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class and Subclass, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the members of the Class and Subclass, and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class and Subclass as a whole. Defendant's practices challenged herein apply to and affect the members of the Class and Subclass uniformly, and Plaintiff's challenge of those practices hinge on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class and Subclass as a whole, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiff.

60. **Injunctive and Declaratory Relief is Appropriate - Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1).** Defendant has acted, or refused to act on, grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby

1 making appropriate final and injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class and
2 Subclass as a whole.

3 61. The prosecution of separate actions by the individual members of the Class and
4 Subclass would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual
5 members of the Class.

6 62. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class and
7 Subclass would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them which would, as a practical
8 matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class and Subclass not parties to
9 the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

10 63. **Certification of Particular Issues. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).** Issue certification is
11 also appropriate because the following particular issues (among others) exist that may be brought
12 or maintained as a class action:

13 a. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, deceptively,
14 misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly misrepresented the amount of storage capacity
15 on the USB Flash Drive;

16 b. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, fraudulently,
17 deceptively, misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly induced Plaintiff and the
18 Members of the Class and Subclass into purchasing the USB Flash Drive based on omissions,
19 misrepresentations, and/or false promises;

20 c. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, deceptively,
21 misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly omitted that the USB Flash Drive is
22 approximately contains 6.7% less storage capacity than the actual storage capacity;

23 d. Whether Defendant unfairly, unethically, unlawfully, falsely, deceptively,
24 misleadingly, unconscionably, and/or confusingly omitted that the GBs represented is not the
25

1 same as what a computer processing system would read the device as having and that the available
2 storage is actually approximately 6.7% less;

3 e. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, fraudulent, unethical,
4 unconscionable, and/or deceptive trade practices by failing to take the steps to adequately disclose
5 the storage capacity of the USB Flash Drive;

6 f. Whether Defendant's marketing, sales, and/or other business practices are unfair,
7 deceptive, unlawful, fraudulent, unconscionable, and/or unethical;

8 g. Whether Defendant breached one or more terms of the contract or agreement;

9 h. Whether GB is unambiguously the common usage of the term (1024 megabytes);

10 i. Whether there is an ambiguity in the terms of the contract or agreement;

11 j. Whether Defendant adequately, meaningfully, conspicuously disclosed to Plaintiff
12 and the Class and Subclass that the storage capacity is 6.7% less than what you think;

13 k. Whether Defendant had a duty to disclose that the storage capacity is
14 approximately 6.7% less than the amount of GBs identified on the front of the package;

15 l. Whether Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass are entitled to compensatory, actual,
16 and/or statutory damages as a result of Defendant's unfair, unlawful, unethical, deceptive,
17 unconscionable, and/or fraudulent conduct;

18 m. Whether Defendant violated the applicable consumer protection statutes;

19 n. Whether Defendant concealed material facts in its advertising materials and
20 agreement and/or failed to adequately disclose to Plaintiff material facts;

21 o. Whether Defendant has engaged in deceptive acts or practices in connection with
22 the sales, marketing, and/or manufacturing of the USB Flash Drives;

23 p. Whether Defendant breached one or more agreements with Plaintiff and the Class
24 and Subclass Members;

q. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched;

r. Whether Defendant's agreement is unconscionable and/or contain unconscionable provisions;

s. Whether the relationships between Defendant on one hand and the Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on the other is governed by California law; and/or

t. Whether Defendant violated California law.

VII. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I BREACH OF CONTRACT / (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass)

64. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates by reference the allegations contained within the foregoing allegations of this Class Action Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

65. Plaintiff purchased a USB Flash Drive manufactured, marketed, and sold by SanDisk with a stated storage capacity of 64 GBs. Specifically, Plaintiff purchased a SanDisk 64 GB iXPAND Flash Drive USB 3.0.

66. In connection with this sale, Defendant purported to create a contractual relationship with Plaintiff, as evidenced by certain written contractual language Defendant includes in and on its packaging contemporaneous with Plaintiff taking ownership of the product.

67. Specifically, in connection with this purchase, Defendant promised to provide a USB Flash Drive with a storage capacity of 64 GBs in exchange for the purchase price.

68. Plaintiff paid the purchase price for the SanDisk 64 GB iXPAND Flash Drive USB 3.0.

69. Defendant breached the essential terms of its promise by tendering a USB Flash

1 Drive to Plaintiff with a storage capacity that was less than 64 GBs.

2 70. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have sustained damages as a
3 direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of the agreement.

4 71. Defendant is liable for the losses of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass that have
5 resulted from Defendant's breaches of the parties' contractual agreements.

6 **COUNT II**
7 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW**
8 **(California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*)**
9 **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass)**

10 72. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding allegations as
11 though fully set forth herein.

12 73. California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200,
13 *et seq.*, defines unfair business competition to include any "unfair," "unlawful," or "fraudulent"
14 business act or practice. The Act also provides for injunctive relief, restitution, and disgorgement
15 of profits for violations.

16 74. Defendant's unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business acts and practices, as
17 described herein, were and are in violation of the UCL. Defendant's conduct violates the UCL in
18 the following ways:

19 a. By knowingly and intentionally concealing from Plaintiff and the other members
20 of the Class and Subclass material information concerning the USB Flash Drives as set forth
21 above;

22 b. By violating the FTC;

23 c. By breaching the terms of the Contract or other agreement;

24 d. By violating other California laws, including Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, *et*
25 *seq.*, and Cal. Corp. Code § 25000, *et seq.* (described below); and/or
26

1 e. Violating other statutory law.

2 75. Defendant's omissions alleged herein caused Plaintiff and the other Class and
3 Subclass members to purchase the USB Flash Drive. Had they been aware of the information
4 omitted by Defendant, Plaintiff and the other Class and Subclass members would not have
5 purchased the USB Flash Drive or would have purchased it only at a reduced price.

6 76. Defendant's practice is also immoral, unethical, oppressive, or unscrupulous and
7 causes injury to consumers which outweigh its benefits.

8 77. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass members have suffered injury
9 in fact, including lost money as a result of Defendant's unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business
10 acts and/or practices.

11 78. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin further unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent acts or practices
12 by Defendant, under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.

13 79. Plaintiff requests that this Court enter such orders or judgments as may be
14 necessary to enjoin Defendant from continuing its unfair, unlawful, and/or deceptive practices
15 and to restore to Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass members any money Defendant acquired by
16 unfair competition, including restitution and/or restitutionary disgorgement, as provided in Cal.
17 Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203 and Cal. Civ. Code § 3345; and for such other relief set forth below.

18
19
20 **COUNT III**
21 **VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT,**
22 **CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1750, ET SEQ.**
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass)

23 80. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all paragraphs of Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint
24 against Defendant as if fully set forth herein.

25 81. This cause of action is brought pursuant to Civil Code § 1750, *et seq.*, the
26 Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), on behalf of a Class and Subclass as defined herein.
27

1 82. Defendant is a “person” within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code sections 1761(c)
2 and 1770.

3 83. Plaintiff and members of the proposed Subclass are “consumers” within the
4 meaning of Cal Civ. Code §§ 1761(d) and 1770.

5 84. Defendant’s USB Flash Drive products are “goods” or “services” as defined by
6 Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(a).

7 85. As described above, Defendant violated the CLRA in at least the following
8 respects:
9

10 (a) in violation of § 1770(a)(5), by representing that its “goods or services have
11 sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities that they do not
12 have”;

13 (b) in violation of § 1770(a)(6), by representing that Defendant’s “goods or services are
14 of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they
15 are of another”;

16 (c) in violation of § 1770(a)(9), by “advertising goods or services with intent not to sell
17 them as advertised”;

18 (d) in violation of § 1770(a)(14), by “representing that a transaction confers or involves
19 rights, remedies, or obligations that it does not have or involve”;

20 (e) in violation of § 1770(a)(16), by “representing that the subject of a transaction has been
21 supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it has not”;

22 (f) in violation of § 1770(a)(19), “by inserting an unconscionable provision in the
23 contract”; and
24

25 (g) for other such violations of the CLRA that discovery will uncover.
26

27 86. Defendant’s actions as described herein were done with conscious disregard of
28

1 Plaintiff's rights and Defendant was wanton and malicious in Defendant's concealment of the
2 same.

3 87. Plaintiff and the Subclass have suffered injury in fact and have lost money as a
4 result of Defendant's false representations and material omissions in the marketing and
5 advertisement of the USB Flash Drives.

6 88. Defendant's unfair or unlawful acts, practices, representations, omissions, and/or
7 courses of conduct, as described herein, were undertaken by Defendant in a transaction intended
8 to result in, and which did result in, the sale or lease of goods or services to consumers.

9 89. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of law, Plaintiff has
10 been injured.

11 90. On September 4, 2018, Plaintiff sent Defendant a CLRA notification and demand
12 letter via certified mail, return receipt requested. See Exhibit A, Proof of Mailing CLRA Letter
13 by way of certified mail, return receipt requested.

14 91. The notice letter will set forth the relevant facts and notifies each Defendant of its
15 CLRA violations, and request that each Defendant promptly remedy those violations.

16 92. Under the CLRA, a plaintiff may, without prior notification, file a complaint
17 alleging violations of the CLRA that seeks injunctive relief *only*. Then, if the Defendant does not
18 remedy the CLRA violations within 30 days of notification, the Plaintiff may amend her or his
19 CLRA causes of action without leave of court to add claims for damages.

20 93. At this time, Plaintiff expressly disclaims any and all damages under CLRA.
21 Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the class, will amend this complaint to add damages claims
22 if Defendants do not remedy their violations as to Plaintiff and the Class Members within the
23 statutory period.

24 94. Under the CLRA, Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction prohibiting
25
26
27
28

practices that violate the CLRA.

95. Defendant's practices, acts and courses of conduct in connection with the sale of its USB Flash Drive products, as described above, are likely to mislead a reasonable consumer acting reasonably under the circumstances to his or her detriment. As a result of Defendant's acts and practices as alleged in this Complaint, Plaintiff and the Subclass are entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing in the future the unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent practice as described herein.

96. Plaintiff and the Subclass reasonably believed and/or depended on the material false and/or misleading information provided by, or omitted by, Defendant with respect to Defendant's unfair acts and deceptive practices

97. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant's unlawful methods, acts, or practices as described herein have caused damage to Plaintiff and the Subclass Members, entitling them to injunctive relief.

98. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1782(a)(2), Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants under the CLRA for injunctive and equitable relief only to enjoin the practices described herein.

99. Plaintiff, individually and as a member of the Class, has no adequate remedy at law for the future unlawful acts, methods, or practices as set forth above.

100. Pursuant to § 1780(d) of the CLRA, attached hereto as Exhibit B is the affidavit showing that this action has been commenced in the proper forum.

101. In bringing this action, Plaintiff has engaged the services of attorneys and has incurred reasonable legal expenses in an amount to be proved at trial.

102. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover their attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA FALSE ADVERTISING LAW
(California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500, *et seq.*)
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Subclass)

103. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

104. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 provides:

It is unlawful for any . . . corporation . . . with intent directly or indirectly to dispose of real or personal property or to perform services, professional or otherwise,. . . to induce the public to enter into any obligation relating thereto, to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated . . . from this state before the public in any state, in any newspaper or other publication, or any advertising device, . . . or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement . . . which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

105. Defendant caused to be made or disseminated throughout the United States, through advertising, marketing and other publications, statements, including statements included in its general advertising and on its website that omitted material information from consumers and members of the Subclass.

106. Defendant knew or should have known through the exercise of reasonable care that the omitted information was material to consumers, including Plaintiff and the other Subclass members.

107. Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 because their omissions regarding the USB Flash Drives were material and likely to deceive a reasonable consumer.

108. Plaintiff and the other Subclass members have suffered an injury in fact, including the loss of money or property, as a result of Defendant's unfair, unlawful, and/or deceptive practices. By purchasing the USB Flash Drives, Plaintiff and the other Subclass members relied on the representations by Defendant from which Defendant omitted material information. Had

1 Plaintiff and the other Subclass members been aware of the omitted information, they would not
 2 have purchased the USB Flash Drives or would have only paid less for it. Plaintiff and other
 3 Subclass members bestowed a benefit upon Defendant but did not receive the benefit of their
 4 bargain.

5 109. All of the wrongful conduct alleged herein occurred, and continues to occur, in the
 6 conduct of Defendant's business. Defendant's wrongful conduct is part of a pattern or generalized
 7 course of conduct that is still perpetuated and repeated, in the state of California and elsewhere.
 8

9 110. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the other Subclass members, requests that
 10 this Court enter such orders or judgments as may be necessary to enjoin Defendant from
 11 continuing their unfair, unlawful, and/or deceptive practices and to restore to Plaintiff and the
 12 other Subclass members any money Defendant acquired by unfair competition, including
 13 restitution and/or restitutionary disgorgement, and for such other relief set forth below.

14 **VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass pray for judgment as follows:

16 A. For an order certifying the proposed class, appointing Plaintiff and Plaintiff's
 17 counsel to represent the proposed class, appointing counsel for Plaintiff as lead counsel for the
 18 respective class;
 19

20 B. An order awarding declaratory relief and temporarily and permanently enjoining
 21 Defendant from continuing the unlawful, deceptive, fraudulent, and/or unfair business practices
 22 alleged in this Complaint;
 23

24 C. Appropriate injunctive relief;

25 D. Expressly disclaiming any and all damages under Civil Code § 1750, *et seq.*, "the
 26 CLRA", for an order awarding restitution, disgorgement, actual damages, statutory damages,
 27 exemplary damages, treble damages, and punitive damages under applicable law, and
 28

1 compensatory damages for economic loss, diminished value, and out-of-pocket costs in an
2 amount to be determined at trial;

3 E. A declaration that Defendant is financially responsible for all Class and Subclass
4 notice and the administration of Class and Subclass relief;

5 F. An order awarding any applicable statutory and civil penalties;

6 G. An order requiring Defendant to pay both pre- and post-judgment interest on any
7 amounts awarded;

8 H. An award of costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees as permitted by law; and

9 I. Such other or further relief as the Court may deem appropriate, just, and proper
10 under the circumstances.
11

12 **IX. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

13 Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all claims so triable.

14 DATED: September 4, 2018 LAW OFFICE OF FRANCIS J. FLYNN, JR.

15 /s/ Francis J. Flynn, Jr.

16 Francis J. Flynn, Jr.

17 422 South Curson Avenue

18 Los Angeles, California 90036-3169

19 T: 314-662-2836

F: 1-855-710-7706

E: casey@lawofficeflynn.com

20 James Rosemergy (to seek admission pro hac vice)

21 Paul Maddock (to seek admission pro hac vice)

CAREY, DANIS & LOWE

8235 Forsyth Boulevard

Saint Louis, Missouri 63105-1643

22 Tele: 314-725-7700

23 Email: jrosemergy@careydanis.com

Email: pmaddock@careydanis.com

24 **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE**
25 **PROPOSED CLASS**
26
27
28



Law Office of Francis J. Flynn, Jr., Esq.

422 South Curson Avenue ~ Los Angeles, California 90036 ~ 314-662-2836

September 4, 2018

VIA CERTIFIED U.S. MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

SanDisk LLC
5601 Great Oaks Parkway
San Jose, California 95119

Re: NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1750 *ET SEQ.* (“CLRA”) AND 30 DAY RIGHT TO CURE UNDER SECTION 1782. THIS IS A DEMAND LETTER AND MUST BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE PARTY FOR IMMEDIATE RESOLUTION. FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THIS MAY RESULT IN ACTION BEING TAKEN.

Dear SanDisk LLC:

Please note that this correspondence, and the information contained herein, is subject to the protection afforded settlement conduct, statements, and / or negotiations, under California Evidence Code §§ 1152 through 1154, the Federal Rules of Evidence 408, and other similar laws. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to be an admission, limitation, and/or waiver, of any of my clients’ rights, remedies, or defenses, either at law or in equity, all of which rights, remedies, and defenses are hereby expressly reserved.

Pursuant to CAL. CIVIL CODE § 1782(a), this notice is sent by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, to SanDisk LLC’s principal place of business.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, for the reasons stated below, SanDisk LLC (“Defendant”) is in violation of the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 *et seq.* (“CLRA”), the Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*, and other state and federal common law and statutes for the reasons set forth in this letter.

This notice is served on you by JOHN DINAN (“Plaintiff”), and all other members of the class and subclass of similarly situated persons Plaintiff seeks to represent. **Please direct all communications or responses regarding this Notice to the following counsel**, who Plaintiff has retained to represent Plaintiff and others similarly situated in a class action against Defendants to obtain damages, restitution, injunctive relief, and/or other relief described more fully below from Defendants as a result of Defendants’ use of unfair, unlawful, unethical, unconscionable, and/or deceptive methods of competition and/or unfair, unlawful, unethical, unconscionable, and/or deceptive trade practices in violation of the common law and various federal and state statutes, including, but not limited to, California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750

et seq. (“CLRA”) as it relates to Defendants’ Sales and/or Marketing of Flash drives as described herein:

Francis J. “Casey” Flynn, Jr.
Law Offices of Francis J. Flynn, Jr.
422 South Curson Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90036-3169
Tele: 314-662-2836
Email: casey@lawofficeflynn.com

If you intend to cure these violations as set forth below in the Remedies Section, please notify counsel within 30 days of receipt of this notice.

STATEMENT OF VIOLATIONS

Please take note that it has come to the attention of consumers, including Plaintiff, who purchased a SanDisk USB Flash Drive, that Defendant has engaged in deceptive and misleading consumer practices in connection with the marketing and sale of SanDisk USB Flash Drives, in violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”), CAL. CIV. CODE § 1750 *et seq.*

During the Class Period, Defendant advertised, marketed, and/or sold a number of USB Flash Drives in varying sizes, including what it represented as memory sizes of 256 GB, 128 GB, 64 GB, 32 GB, 16 GB and 8 GB when Flash Drives lacked such memory storage. At all relevant times, Defendant intentionally misrepresented the amount of the memory storage contained on the USB Flash Drives in their marketing, advertising, and/or packaging of their USB Flash Drives.

Plaintiff and the Class were damaged, and Defendant has profited handsomely at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass.

Defendant made material misrepresentations to Plaintiff and the Classes and Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendants’ representations and omissions.

As set forth more fully above, Defendants’ acts and practices in connection with the sale of the Flash Drives are in violation of California’s Consumer Legal Remedies Act § 1770, *et seq.* of the CLRA in that, among other things, Defendant (a) in violation of § 1770(a)(5), represented that its “goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities that they do not have”; (b) in violation of § 1770(a)(6), represented that Defendant’s “goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another”; (c) in violation of § 1770(a)(9), advertised goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised”; (d) in violation of § 1770(a)(14), represented that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations that it does not have or involve; (e) in violation of § 1770(a)(16), represented that the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it has not; (f) in violation of § 1770(a)(19), inserted an unconscionable provision in the contract”; and (g) for other such violations of the

CLRA that discovery will uncover.

Defendant's actions as described herein were done with conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and Defendants were wanton and malicious in its concealment of the same. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury in fact and have lost moneys a result of Defendant's false representations and material omissions in the marketing and advertisement of these investment products. Defendants' unfair or unlawful acts, practices, representations, omissions, and courses of conduct, as described herein, were undertaken by Defendants in a transaction intended to result in, and which did result in, the sale or lease of goods or services to consumers. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of law, Plaintiff has been injured.

REQUESTED REMEDIES

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS THAT WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS after the date on which this Notice is served on you, you remedy your violations by doing the following:

- A. Disseminate a notice reasonably intended to reach all purchasers of Defendant's Flash Drives in a form approved by the above counsel, setting correcting any misstatements, misrepresentations, and omissions of fact described above;
- B. Subject to monitoring and confirmation by above counsel, provide to each Class Member a full refund of investment money and any money earned that were a result of Plaintiff's investment;
- C. Immediately cease marketing and selling Flash Drives unless you first notify purchasers of all material facts and otherwise immediately cease to engage in the violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act; and
- D. Pay into a Court-approved escrow account an amount of money sufficient to pay Plaintiff's attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

Please contact Counsel identified above if you would like to discuss this matter further.

If Defendants fails to respond to Plaintiff's demand within thirty (30) days after receipt of this letter, Plaintiff will amend Plaintiff's class action complaint to add damages under the CLRA.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Casey Flynn', is written over the typed name.

Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr.

=====

BALLWIN
15455 MANCHESTER RD
BALLWIN
MO
63011-9998
2804080611
09/04/2018 (800)275-8777 3:27 PM

=====

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
---------------------	----------	-------------

First-Class Mail Letter	1	\$0.50
-------------------------	---	--------

(Domestic)
(SAN JOSE, CA 95119)
(Weight:0 Lb 0.80 Oz)
(Estimated Delivery Date)
(Friday 09/07/2018)

Certified	1	\$3.45
-----------	---	--------

(@USPS Certified Mail #)
(7017268000001290489)

Return Receipt	1	\$2.75
----------------	---	--------

(@USPS Return Receipt #)
(9590940242218121300853)

Total		\$6.70
-------	--	--------

Credit Card Remitd	\$6.70
--------------------	--------

(Card Name:VISA)
(Account #:XXXXXXXXXXXX [REDACTED])
(Approval #:004605)
(Transaction #:939)
(AID:A0000000031010 Chip)
(AL:VISA CREDIT)
(PIN:Not Required)

Text your tracking number to 28777
(2USPS) to get the latest status.
Standard Message and Data rates may
apply. You may also visit www.usps.com
USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

In a hurry? Self-service kiosks offer
quick and easy check-out. Any Retail
Associate can show you how.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage
Refunds for guaranteed services only
Thank you for your business

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
HOLIDAY HIRING!
August 14, 2018 - November 9, 2018
\$16.00/hour - \$17.19/hour

MUST APPLY ONLINE
AND CREATE YOUR PROFILE
www.usps.com/careers
Search jobs by state - MISSOURI &
ILLINOIS

MUST APPLY FOR EACH POSITION
CHECK DAILY FOR
ADDITIONAL OPPORTUNITIES

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5630-0443-005-00022-52718-02

or scan this code with
your mobile device:



or call 1-800-410-7420.

YOUR OPINION COUNTS

7017 2680 0000 0129 0489

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

OFFICIAL USE

SAN JOSE, CA 95119

Certified Mail Fee \$3.45

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$2.75
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00

Postage \$0.50

Total Postage and Fees \$6.70

Postmark Here
 0611 16
 2018 4 SEP
 99/04/2018
 63011-9998

Sent To
SanDisk LLC
 Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.
5601 Great Oaks Parkway
 City, State, ZIP+4®
San Jose, California 95119

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

Francis “Casey” J. Flynn, Jr.
CA State Bar No. 304712
Law Office of Francis J. Flynn
422 South Curson
Los Angeles, CA 90036
P. 314-662-2836
francisflynn@gmail.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

JOHN DINAN, on behalf of herself and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANDISK LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.: _____

**DECLARATION PURSUANT TO
CAL. CIV. CODE SECTION §
1780(d)**

I, JOHN DINAN, declare as follows:

1. I am the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. I make this Affidavit pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780(d) and in support of PLAINTIFF’S CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT, which alleges, *inter alia*, violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code Section 1780, *et seq.* for injunctive relief only.

3. This action is brought in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, the district in which the county in the county in which SanDisk LLC has its principal place of business and is doing business.

By: John Dinan
JOHN DINAN

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

JOHN DINAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles, California
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr. ~ Law Office of Francis J. Flynn, Jr. ~ 422 South Curson Avenue Los Angeles, California 90036 ~ Tele: 314-662-2836 ~ Email: Casey@LawOfficeFlynn.com

DEFENDANTS
SANDISK LLC

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☒ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881	422 Appeal 28 USC § 158	375 False Claims Act
120 Marine	310 Airplane	690 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Liability	LABOR	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment
140 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust
150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits	330 Federal Employers' Liability	720 Labor/Management Relations	830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	740 Railway Labor Act	835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application	450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	840 Trademark	460 Deportation
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	790 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY	470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	861 HIA (1395ff)	480 Consumer Credit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	IMMIGRATION	862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV
195 Contract Product Liability	362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice	462 Naturalization Application	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange
196 Franchise	CIVIL RIGHTS	465 Other Immigration Actions	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	PRISONER PETITIONS		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
210 Land Condemnation	HABEAS CORPUS		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	895 Freedom of Information Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	896 Arbitration
240 Torts to Land	442 Employment			899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/Accommodations			950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities—Employment			
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities—Other			
	448 Education			
	OTHER			
	540 Mandamus & Other			
	550 Civil Rights			
	555 Prison Condition			
	560 Civil Detainee—Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation—Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation—Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

28 U.S.C. §§ 1332

Brief description of cause:

Breach of Contract / Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practice / False Advertising Claims regarding USB Flash Drives containing less storage than indicated

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.

DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.01

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☐ SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

☒ SAN JOSE

☐ EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE 09/04/2018

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Francis J. "Casey" Flynn, Jr.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - b) **County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - c) **Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- II. **Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) Diversity of citizenship. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. **Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. **Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
- (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) Transferred from Another District. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. **Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. **Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. **Related Cases.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. **Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.