IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JENAEL SAHLI and SUSAN KINDREGAN on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs

v.

HILL'S PET NUTRITION, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Jenael Sahli and Susan Kindregan and ("Plaintiffs") bring this action, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, against Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc. ("Hill's" or "Defendant") and allege as follows:

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Defendant sells pet food for dogs and has worked to build a premium brand specifically targeted at ingredient-conscious pet owners.

2. Founded in 1939, Defendant claims to "make nutrition a cornerstone of veterinary medicine." Defendant sells its products through veterinary clinics (including those with on-line stores) and in leading national pet specialty chains, including PetSmart and Petco as well as online through vendors such as Amazon.

3. Veterinarians usually prescribe Defendant's Science Diet and Prescription Diet product lines to address nutritional deficiencies and health issues. Therefore, the alleged premium ingredients present in these pet foods are an important characteristic to consumers, including the Plaintiffs and Class Members.

4. At issue in this action are certain sizes and varieties of two of Defendant's pet

food product lines: "Science Diet" and "Prescription Diet" (collectively "Hill's Products").1

- Hill's Prescription Diet c/d Multicare Canine Chicken & Vegetable Stew 12.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet i/d Canine Chicken & Vegetable Stew 12.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet i/d Canine Chicken & Vegetable Stew 5.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet z/d Canine 5.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet g/d Canine 13 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet i/d Canine 13 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet j/d Canine 13 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet k/d Canine 13 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet w/d Canine 13 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet z/d Canine 13 oz.
- Hill's® Prescription Diet® k/d® Kidney Care with Lamb Canned Dog Food, 13oz, 12-pack
- Hill's® Science Diet® Adult Perfect Weight Chicken & Vegetable Entrée dog food 12 x 12.8oz cans
- Hill's® Prescription Diet® c/d® Multicare Urinary Care Chicken & Vegetable Stew Canned Dog Food, 5.5oz, 24-pack
- Hill's® Prescription Diet® i/d® Low Fat Canine Rice, Vegetable & Chicken Stew 24 x 5.5oz cans
- Hill's® Prescription Diet® r/d® Canine 12 x 12.3oz cans
- Hill's® Science Diet® Adult Beef & Barley Entrée Canned Dog Food, 13oz, 12-pack
- Hill's® Science Diet® Adult 7+ Healthy Cuisine Roasted Chicken, Carrots & Spinach Stew dog food 12 x 12.5oz cans
- Hill's® Science Diet® Healthy Cuisine Adult Braised Beef, Carrots & Peas Stew Canned Dog Food, 12.5oz, 12-pack
- Hill's Prescription Diet Metabolic + Mobility Canine Vegetable & Tuna Stew 12.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet w/d Canine Vegetable & Chicken Stew 12.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet i/d Low Fat Canine Rice, Vegetable & Chicken Stew 12.5 oz.
- Hill's Prescription Diet Derm Defense Canine Chicken & Vegetable Stew 12.5 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult 7+ Small & Toy Breed Chicken & Barley Entrée Dog Food 5.8 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Puppy Chicken & Barley Entrée 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult Chicken & Barley Entrée Dog Food 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult Turkey & Barley Dog Food 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult Chicken & Beef Entrée Dog Food 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult Light with Liver Dog Food 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult 7+ Chicken & Barley Entrée Dog Food 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult 7+ Beef & Barley Entrée Dog Food 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult 7+ Turkey & Barley Entrée 13 oz.
- Hill's Science Diet Adult 7+ Healthy Cuisine Braised Beef, Carrots & Peas Stew Dog Food 12.5 oz.

• Hill's Science Diet Adult 7+ Youthful Vitality Chicken & Vegetable Stew Dog Food 12.5 oz. https://www.hillspet.com/productlist?gclid=CjwKCAiA767jBRBqEiwAGdAOr98jryZUcUF6QfRg_53X

¹ The products that are part of the Hill's Pet Nutrition dog food recall include the following canned dog food products (Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this list as necessary):

Hill's Misrepresentations

5. In its advertising, marketing material and packaging, Defendant represents that Hill's Products provide "[n]utrition that can transform the lives of pets and comfort the pet parents and vets who care for them."²

6. In order to better sell its Products, and to entice veterinarians to prescribe them, Defendant markets the Products as formulated and intended for dogs with specific needs or illnesses, such as: age-specific dietary needs, breed-specific dietary needs, digestive issues, heart issues, liver issues, or kidney issues.

7. Defendant proudly declares that "We only accept ingredients from suppliers whose facilities meet stringent quality standards and who are approved by Hill's. Not only is each ingredient examined to ensure its safety, we also analyze each product's ingredient profile for essential nutrients to ensure your pet gets the stringent, precise formulation they need."³

8. Defendant goes on to state that "We conduct annual quality systems audits for all manufacturing facilities to ensure we meet the high standards your pet deserves. We demand compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) and Hill's high-quality standards, so your pet's food is produced under clean and sanitary conditions."⁴

9. Further, Defendant declares that "We conduct final safety checks daily on every Hill's pet food product to help ensure the safety of your pet's food. Additionally, all finished

Y_88eysTT6230JZpMAHvfUDhMi2G6akNRoCk6AQAvD_BwE&gclsrc=aw.ds (last accessed on April 1, 2019).

² <u>https://www.hillspet.com/dog-food</u> (last accessed on February 28, 2019).

³ <u>https://www.hillspet.com/about-us/quality-and-safety</u> (last accessed on February 28, 2019).

⁴ *Id*.

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 4 of 18

products are physically inspected and tested for key nutrients prior to release to help ensure your pet gets a consistent product bag to bag."⁵

10. Defendant clearly states that its products contain the "precise balance" of nutrients needed for a healthy dog: "Guided by science, we formulate our food with precise balance so your pet gets all the nutrients they need — and none they don't."⁶

11. The packaging for the Products include claims that the Hill's Products "[s]upport[] a healthy immune system," "improve and lengthen quality of life," "can be used long-term," "[p]rotect[] vital kidney & heart function," "[s]upport your dog's natural ability to build lean muscle daily," and "meet[] the special nutritional needs of puppies and adult dogs."

12. As demonstrated by the recall discussed below and the thousands of sickened and dead dogs who consumed Hill's Products, Defendant's representations about quality, ingredient supply, and product manufacturing and oversight are false, misleading and deceptive.

<u>The Recall</u>

On January 31, 2019, Defendant announced an initial recall of canned Prescription
 Diet and Science Diet products. Hill's issued a press release detailing the risk of excessive vitamin
 D consumption and identifying certain affected products.

14. On February 7, 2019, Defendant announced an expansion of the recall to include additional SKU and lot numbers of canned Prescription Diet and Science Diet products.

15. On March 20, 2019, Defendant announced a second expansion of the recall to include additional Science Diet and Prescription products and additional Science Diet and Prescription Diet SKU and lot numbers.

⁵ Id.

⁶ <u>https://www.hillspet.com/about-us/nutritional-philosophy</u> (last accessed on February 28, 2019).

16. Hill's claims the excessive vitamin D is "due to a supplier error."⁷

The Price Premium

17. Defendant charges a premium price for its Products. As demonstrated below, the

Hill's Products command a substantial premium over other dog food products:⁸

Brand	Quantity	Price	Unit Price
Hill's Pres. Diet i/d	12 cans	\$39.99	\$3.33 per can
Canine Chicken &			\$0.27 per ounce
Vegetable Stew 12.5			
OZ.			
Hill's Pres. Diet w/d	12 cans	\$38.99	\$3.25 per can
Canine Vegetable &			\$0.26 per ounce
Chicken Stew 12.5 oz.			
Hill's Science Diet	12 cans	\$22.20	\$1.85 per can
Adult Chicken & Barley			\$0.14 per ounce
Entrée Dog Food 13			
OZ.			
Hill's Science Diet	12 cans	\$22.20	\$1.85 per can
Adult 7+ Beef & Barley			\$0.14 per ounce
Entrée Dog Food 13			
0Z.			
Purina ONE	12 cans	\$12.67	\$1.06 per can
SmartBlend Classic			\$0.08 per ounce
Ground Beef and			
Brown Rice Adult 13			
OZ.			
lams ProActive Health	12 cans	\$16.80	\$1.40 per can
Adult Chicken and			\$0.11 per ounce
Whole Grain Rice Pate			
13 oz.			
Nature's Recipe Easy-	12 cans	\$13.99	\$1.17 per can
to-Digest Chicken, Rice			\$0.09 per ounce
& Barley Recipe Cuts			
in Gravy Stew 13.2 oz.			
Purina Dog Chow High	12 cans	\$12.60	\$1.05 per can
Protein Chicken Classic			\$0.08 per ounce
Ground Canned Dog			
Food 13 oz.			

⁷ <u>https://www.fda.gov/Safety/Recalls/ucm630232.htm</u> (last accessed on February 28, 2019).

⁸ Pricing information obtained from: https://www.chewy.com, accessed on 02/15/2019.

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 6 of 18

18. The presence of toxic levels of vitamin D in the Products leading to a high probability of endangering the health of the dogs and ultimately resulting in many sick and dead dogs indicates that the Hill's Products' value to the consumers is diminished, and consequently, the Products are worth substantially less than the premium prices paid to purchase them.

19. As a result of Defendant's misrepresentations, deceptive conduct and unfair practices, Plaintiffs and class members suffered actual damages and economic losses because they overpaid for the Hill's Products not knowing that the Hill's Products had an adverse effect on their pets' health.

20. Consumers are willing to pay a premium for Defendant's Hill's Products because these foods are represented to be specifically formulated for the particular health needs of dogs and to meet certain ingredient supply, quality, testing and oversight, and manufacturing standards. In its advertising, marketing material and packaging, Defendant represents, among other things, that Hill's Products provide "[n]utrition that can transform the lives of pets and comfort the pet parents and vets who care for them."⁹

21. Instead, Plaintiffs and Class Members paid a premium for a product that sickened or killed thousands of dogs. And, all Class Members despite having paid a premium price for supposedly healthy dog food marketed to be specifically formulated to address certain health concerns and to meet certain ingredient supply, quality, testing and oversight, and manufacturing standards, did not receive what they paid for. Pet owners purchased the Hill's Products and paid the pricing premium because of the positive benefits to their dog's health, as claimed by Defendant. Instead of receiving this positive health benefits, these consumers were subject to

⁹ <u>https://www.hillspet.com/dog-food</u> (last accessed on February 28, 2019).

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 7 of 18

expensive veterinary bills and related costs as they tried to address the illnesses caused by the excessive and toxic vitamin D levels in the Hill's Products.

22. As a result of Defendant's deceptive conduct and/or unfair practices, Plaintiffs and Class Members suffered actual damages and/or economic losses.

Additional Advertising and Marketing Misrepresentations

23. As described above and below, Defendant has engaged in an extensive, nationwide, uniform marketing and advertising campaign replete with misrepresentations and false statements concerning the nutritional advantage of the Science Diet and Prescription Diet product lines.

24. Describing the quality of Hill's Products, Defendant's website¹⁰ states a "commitment to quality" with more than 220 veterinarians, food scientists, technicians and Ph.D. nutritionists developing all of Hill's pet foods. Defendant also states that ingredients are accepted only from suppliers whose facilities meet stringent quality standards and who are approved by Defendant. Each ingredient is supposedly examined to ensure its safety.¹¹

25. Another component to Defendant's deceptive marketing and advertising campaign for its Prescription Diet product line is its alliance with veterinarians¹² which emphasizes a "unique position to find a solution" to dietary and health issues that dogs may face.

26. Additionally, Defendant claims that its Science Diet product line would feed "your dog's best life" with biology-based nutrition and that "we make our foods using only highquality ingredients."¹³

¹⁰ <u>https://www.hillspet.com/about-us/quality-and-safety</u> (Last visited on February 28, 2019).
¹¹ Id

¹² <u>https://www.hillspet.com/prescription-diet/dog-food</u> (Last visited on February 28, 2019).

¹³ https://www.hillspet.com/science-diet/dog-food (Last visited on February 28, 2019)/

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 8 of 18

Defendant's Misrepresentations and Omissions are Material to Consumers

27. Although pet foods vary in the quality of ingredients, formula, manufacturing processes and inspection quality, and nutritional value, premium or ultra-premium pet foods, like Hill's Products, typically have higher standards with respect to each of these important variables.

28. Hill's Pet Nutrition Prescription Diet and Science Diet product lines are typically sold through a veterinarian's office and provide tailored nutritional care to help with conditions including obesity, digestive problems, skin sensitivities, kidney problems, aging joints, diabetes, liver problems, heart health, and more.

29. As discussed above, Hill's Products emphasize nutritional value for the dogs consuming them. Pet owners generally buy them to address a health issue or nutritional deficiency that their dog may be experiencing – and pay a premium price to do so.

30. Accordingly, Defendant's ultra-premium pet foods are higher priced with larger mark-ups.

PARTIES

31. Plaintiff Jenael Sahli is a citizen of New Jersey and resides in Little Egg Harbor, New Jersey. At various times within the Relevant Time Period (defined below), Plaintiff Sahli purchased the following Hill's Products for her dog named Marley: Hill's Prescription Diet z/d Canine 5.50 oz. Marley consumed the Hill's Products and became ill and then died because of the toxic levels of vitamin D present in the Hill's Products consumed. Plaintiff Sahli incurred over \$1500 in veterinary bills and expenses because of the toxic Hill's Products consumed by Marley.

32. Plaintiff Susan Kindregan is a citizen of New Jersey and resides in Manahawkin,New Jersey. At various times within the Relevant Time Period (defined below), Plaintiff

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 9 of 18

Kindregan purchased the following Hill's Products for her dog named Riley: Hill's Science Diet Adult Beef & Barley Entrée Dog Food 13 oz. Riley consumed the Hill's Products and became ill and then died because of the toxic levels of vitamin D present in the Hill's Products consumed. Plaintiff Kindregan incurred over \$410 in veterinary bills and expenses because of the toxic Hill's Products consumed by Riley.

33. Defendant Hill's Pet Nutrition is a Kansas corporation with its corporate headquarters located at 400 South West 8th Street, Topeka, Kansas 66603. Defendant markets, advertises, distributes and sells various pet food products nationwide, including the Hill's Products covered by this action.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

34. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because there are more than 100 class members and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, and at least one Class Member is a citizen of a state different from Defendant.

35. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is headquartered and does business throughout this District.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

36. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

The Classes and Subclasses Defined

37. Plaintiffs seek to represent a nationwide class defined as all persons in the United States who purchased Hill's Products during the Relevant Time Period. "Relevant Time Period" means the time period beginning with the earliest date that the Hill's Products contained

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 10 of 18

abnormally high levels of vitamin D.

38. Plaintiffs also seek to represent a New Jersey Subclass defined as all persons who are New Jersey residents who purchased Hill's Products during the Relevant Time Period.

39. Excluded from the Class and New Jersey Subclass are Defendant, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and its legal representatives, officers, directors, employees, assigns and successors; persons and entities that purchased Hill's Products for resale; the Judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the Judge's staff or immediate family; and Class Counsel.

The Classes and Subclasses Satisfy the Rule 23 Requirements

40. Members of the Class and Subclasses are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of Class Members is presently unknown, and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiffs believe the members of the Class exceed thousands of persons, if not hundreds of thousands.

41. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class and Subclass. Among questions of law and fact common to the Class and Subclass are:

- a. Whether Hill's Products contain excessive levels of vitamin D;
- Whether Hill's Products contain excessive vitamin D at levels high enough to injure and kill dogs;
- c. Whether Defendant's labeling, advertising, and marketing is false;
- d. Whether Defendant's labeling, advertising, and marketing is misleading;
- e. Whether Defendant's labeling, advertising, and marketing is deceptive;
- f. Whether Defendant breached warranties by making the representations above;

- g. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched by making the representations and omissions above;
- h. Whether Defendant's actions as described above violated the Magnuson-Moss
 Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301, et seq.;
- i. Whether the Hill's Products' value to Class Members and Subclass Members is diminished, and consequently, the Products are worth substantially less than the premium prices paid for them because of the toxic level of vitamin D; and
- j. Whether Defendant's actions as described above violated various state consumer protection statutes.

42. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of Class and the Subclass because Plaintiffs and each member of the Class and Subclass purchased Hill's Products and suffered damages and a loss of money as a result of that purchase.

43. Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class and the Subclass because their interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class and Subclass members they seek to represent, they have retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and they intend to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of the Class and the Subclass members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiffs and their counsel.

44. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by the individual members of the Class and Subclass may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class and Subclass to individually redress these wrongs. There will be no difficulty in the management of this class action.

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 12 of 18

45. Certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 23(b)(1) is appropriate because prosecuting separate actions by or against individual class members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class and subclass members that, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the individual adjudications or would substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

46. Certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 23(b)(2) is appropriate because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Class and Subclass so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate as to the Class and Subclass as a whole.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT

(15 U.S.C. § 2301, et seq.)

47. Plaintiffs and Class Members repeat and reallege and incorporate by reference each allegation set forth above and further alleges as follows.

48. Plaintiffs bring this Count I individually and on behalf of the members of the Class against Defendant.

49. Hill's Products are consumer products as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).

50. Plaintiffs and Class Members are consumers as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).

51. Plaintiffs purchased Hill's Products during the Relevant Time Period costing more than \$5 and their individual claims are greater than \$25 as required by 15 U.S.C. § 2302(e) and 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d)(3)(A).

52. Defendant is a supplier and warrantor as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(4) and (5).

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 13 of 18

53. In connection with the sale of Hill's Products, Defendant issued written warranties as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6), which warranted that the products, among other things, "[s]upport[] a healthy immune system," "improve and lengthen quality of life," "can be used longterm," "[p]rotect[] vital kidney & heart function," "[s]upport your dog's natural ability to build lean muscle daily," and "meet[] the special nutritional needs of puppies and adult dogs." Additional written warranties as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6) issued by Defendant in connection with the sale of the Hill's Products include, but are not limited to, that "We only accept ingredients from suppliers whose facilities meet stringent quality standards," and that "each ingredient [is] examined to ensure its safety."

54. Defendant breached these written warranties because the Hill's Products contained excessive and toxic levels of vitamin D harmful to pet health.

55. By reason of Defendant's breach of the written warranties, Defendant violated the statutory rights due Plaintiffs and Class Members pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301 *et seq.*, thereby damaging Plaintiffs and Class Members.

56. Within a reasonable time after Plaintiffs knew or should have known of such failure to conform, Plaintiffs and/or Class Members gave Defendant notice thereof.

COUNT II

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

57. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation above, as if set forth in full herein.

58. Defendant sold, and Plaintiffs and members of the Class purchased Hill's Products during the Relevant Time Period.

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 14 of 18

59. Defendant represented in its marketing, advertising, and promotion of Hill's Products that those products "[s]upport[] a healthy immune system," "improve and lengthen quality of life," "can be used long-term," "[p]rotect[] vital kidney & heart function," "[s]upport your dog's natural ability to build lean muscle daily," and "meet[] the special nutritional needs of puppies and adult dogs." Defendant also represented, among other things, that "We only accept ingredients from suppliers whose facilities meet stringent quality standards," and that "each ingredient [is] examined to ensure its safety."

60. The Hill's Products did not conform to Defendant's representations and warranties in that they contained excessive and toxic levels of vitamin D harmful to pet health.

61. Within a reasonable time after Plaintiffs knew or should have known of such failure to conform, Plaintiffs and/or Class Members gave Defendant notice thereof. Further, Defendant knew and had knowledge of the fact that its Hill's Products failed to conform to these representations and warranties well before Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of these express warranties and failure of the Hill's Products to conform, Plaintiffs and members of the Class have been damaged in that they did not receive the product as specifically warranted and/or paid a premium for the product and incurred veterinary expenses to treat their ill pets caused by these breaches.

COUNT III

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

63. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation above, as if set forth in full herein.

64. Defendant sold and Plaintiffs and members of the Class purchased Hill's Products.

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 15 of 18

65. When sold by Defendant, the Hill's Products were not merchantable, did not pass without objection in the trade under the label description, were not of fair average quality within that description, were not fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used, and did not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label because of the excessive and toxic levels of vitamin D.

66. Within a reasonable time after Plaintiffs knew or should have known that the Hill's Products were not fit for such purpose and/or was not otherwise merchantable as set forth above, Plaintiffs and/or Class Members gave Defendant notice thereof. Further, Defendant knew and had knowledge of the fact that its Hill's Products failed to conform to these representations and warranties well before Plaintiffs and the Class Members

67. As a direct result of the Hill's Products being unfit for such purpose and/or otherwise not merchantable, Plaintiffs and the members of the Class were damaged in that they did not receive the product as warranted and/or paid a premium for the product and incurred veterinary expenses to treat their ill pets.

COUNT IV

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

68. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein.

69. Plaintiffs conferred benefits on Defendant by purchasing Hill's Products at a premium price.

70. Defendant has knowledge of such benefits.

71. Defendant has been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived from Plaintiffs and Class Members' purchases of Hill's Products. Retention of those moneys under

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 16 of 18

these circumstances is unjust and inequitable because Defendant falsely and misleadingly represented, among other things, that its Hill's Products "[s]upport[] a healthy immune system," "improve and lengthen quality of life," "can be used long-term," "[p]rotect[] vital kidney & heart function," "[s]upport your dog's natural ability to build lean muscle daily," "meet[] the special nutritional needs of puppies and adult dogs," contains "ingredients from suppliers whose facilities meet stringent quality standards," and that "each ingredient [is] examined to ensure its safety" when, in fact, the Hill's Products contained excessive levels of vitamin D harmful to pet health, which caused injuries to Plaintiffs and members of the Class because they would not have purchased (or paid a price premium) for Hill's Products had the true facts been known.

72. Because Defendant's retention of the non-gratuitous benefits conferred on it by Plaintiffs and Class Members is unjust and inequitable, Defendant must pay restitution to Plaintiffs and Class Members for its unjust enrichment, as ordered by the Court.

COUNT V

VIOLATIONS OF NEW JERSEY CONSUMER FRAUD ACT, N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 56:8-1, et seq.

73. The New Jersey Plaintiffs identified above, individually and on behalf of the New Jersey Subclass, repeats and re-alleges all previously alleged paragraphs, as if fully alleged herein.

74. Hill's is a "person," as defined by N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1(d).

75. Hill's sells "merchandise," as defined by N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1(c) and (e).

76. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 56:8-1, *et seq.*, prohibits unconscionable commercial practices, deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, as well as the knowing concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact with the intent that others rely on the concealment, omission, or fact, in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise.

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 17 of 18

77. Hill's representations and omissions were material because they were likely to deceive reasonable consumers.

78. As a direct and proximate result of Hill's deceptive acts and practices, the New Jersey Plaintiffs and New Jersey Subclass members have suffered and will continue to suffer injury, ascertainable losses of money or property, and monetary and non-monetary damages, including from not receiving the benefit of their bargain in purchasing the Hill's Products.

79. The New Jersey Plaintiffs and New Jersey Subclass members seek all monetary and non-monetary relief allowed by law, including injunctive relief, other equitable relief, actual damages, treble damages, restitution, and attorneys' fees, filing fees, and costs.

RELIEF DEMANDED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seek a judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- a. For an order certifying the nationwide Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiffs as representatives of the Class and Subclass and Plaintiffs' attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass members;
- b. For an order declaring that Defendant's conduct violates the statutes referenced herein;
- c. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiffs, the nationwide Class, and the Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- d. For compensatory (including but not limited to emotional distress), statutory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- e. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;

- f. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- g. For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- h. For an order awarding Plaintiffs and the Class and Subclass their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all claims so triable.

Dated: April 3, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>s/Michael Weinkowitz</u> Michael Weinkowitz Charles E. Schaffer LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, LLP 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: (215) 592-1500 Facsimile: (215) 592-4663 <u>mweinkowitz@lfsblaw.com</u> <u>cschaffer@lfsblaw.com</u>

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs and Class

Case 2:19-cv-02319-JAR-TJJ Document 1-1 Filed 04/03/19 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	5	
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) 		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A 3 I 3 Foreign Nation 	
			Foreign Country	-	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 700 Chicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 G90 Other <	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 896 Arbitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original 2 Removed from Proceeding State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		•	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:					-
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	IUDCE		DOCKETNING	
DATE					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 0.5.C. 1545 and 1546. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Danielle Guardiani

From:	notification@pay.gov
Sent:	Wednesday, April 3, 2019 3:51 PM
То:	Danielle Guardiani
Subject:	Pay.gov Payment Confirmation: NJD CM ECF

Your payment has been submitted to Pay.gov and the details are below. If you have any questions or you wish to cancel this payment, please contact NJD Finance Dept at 609-989-0468.

Application Name: NJD CM ECF Pay.gov Tracking ID: 26GHTDFJ Agency Tracking ID: 0312-9552379 Transaction Type: Sale Transaction Date: Apr 3, 2019 3:51:21 PM

Account Holder Name: ARNOLD LEVIN Transaction Amount: \$400.00 Card Type: AmericanExpress Card Number: *********5002

THIS IS AN AUTOMATED MESSAGE. PLEASE DO NOT REPLY.