	Case 8:15-cv-01838 Document 1 Filed 11/0	09/15 Page 1 of 14 Page ID #:1
1	HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP	
2	KIRK B. HULETT, SBN: 110726 550 West C Street, Suite 1500	
3	San Diego, CA 92101	
4	Telephone: (619) 338-1133	
5	Facsimile: (619) 338-1139	
6		COLLOCH LAW FIRM
7		ICHAEL T. McCOLLOCH, SBN: 66766 IS 2nd Street, Suite 3
8	0	ncinitas, CA 92024 elephone: (760) 632-1100
9	1	acsimile: (760) 650-0016
10	Email: atomasevic@nicholaslaw.org En	nail: mike@moonlightlaw.com
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, William L.	
12	Grivas, Sr.	
13	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
14	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15	WILLIAM L. GRIVAS, Sr., On Behalf of	
16	Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION
17	Plaintiff,	
18	V.	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S
19		UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW,
20	METAGENICS, INC.,	BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE SECTIONS 17200, ET SEQ.
21	Defendant.	
22		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23		J
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiff William L. Grivas, Sr., by and through his attorneys, brings this
 action on behalf of himself, and all others similarly-situated against Defendant
 Metagenics, Inc. Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, except for information
 based on personal knowledge, as follows:

5

NATURE OF THE ACTION

Metagenics, Inc. touts itself as a "nutrigenomics" and "lifestyle
medicine" company that manufactures, markets, and sells a number of high-priced
non-prescription consumable products or foods allegedly designed to treat a number of
chronic health conditions. Metagenics's tag line is "Genetic Potential Through
Nutrition." A big part of Metagenics's business is its sale of so-called "medical foods"
which it sells as non-prescription powdered beverages or ready-to-eat food bars.

12 2. Metagenics knows that about 84 million people in this country suffer from some form of cardiovascular disease.¹ Metagenics also knows that another 29 13 million Americans have diabetes.² To capitalize on that large customer base, 14 15 Metagenics markets and sells its so-called "medical food" to treat and correct "cardiovascular disease," "glucose control," "metabolic syndrome," "compromised 16 17 gut function," and a host of maladies. Metagenics falsely labels such products – some of which cost \$150 or more per container – as "medical food" knowing that 18 19 such labeling carries a meaning to its customers and allows it to target a specific 20 market, and that such a label allows for a much higher price when compared to, for 21 example, ordinary foods or dietary "supplements."

- 3. This strategy has worked for Metagenics. Upon information and
 belief, Metagenics enjoyed sales of at least \$300 million in 2015. Metagenics's
 sales and growth were so strong that it attracted a significant investment from
- See http://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/healthlibrary/conditions/cardiovascular_
 diseases/cardiovascular_disease_statistics_85,P00243/ (last accessed Sept. 18, 2015).

 <sup>28
 2</sup> See http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/data/statistics/2014statisticsreport.html (last accessed Sept. 18, 2015).

1 Alticor, Inc., the multi-billion dollar enterprise responsible for the Amway® brand, 2 which purchased a controlling stake in Metagenics in late 2009.

3

These lofty sales were largely achieved however by false labeling of 4. 4 its products as "medical food." Metagenics's "medical food" label is false and deceptive as a matter of California law. Specifically, California's Sherman Food, 5 6 Drug, and Cosmetic Law specifically defines and regulates the use of the term 7 "medical food." Actual "medical foods" are specially formulated to be consumed 8 or administered enterally under the supervision of a physician and are intended for 9 the dietary management of a specific disease or condition. Metagenics's products 10 labeled as "medical food" uniformly do not, however, meet California's definition 11 of "medical food." Rather, Metagenics mass-produces general, not specific, recipes 12 that it hopes to sell to as many people as possible, with or without physician 13 involvement. In short, Metagenics is deceiving people when it tells them that their 14 products are indeed specialized "medical food."

15 5. Plaintiff William L. Grivas, Sr., a purchaser of Metagenics's alleged "medical foods," seeks monetary recovery and to otherwise hold Metagenics 16 17 accountable for its continued and knowing deception. Mr. Grivas brings this case on behalf of himself and all similarly-situated purchasers of Metagenics's "medical 18 19 foods."

20

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

21 6. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 22 \$ 1332(d)(2). The matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds 23 the sum or value of \$5,000,000 and is a class action in which members of the class 24 of plaintiffs are citizens of states different from Metagenics. Further, based on 25 information and belief, greater than two-thirds of the class members reside in states other than the state in which Metagenics is a citizen (California). 26

27 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that 28 many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this district

1						
1	and because Defendant:					
2	(a) is authorized to conduct business in this district and has					
3	intentionally availed itself of the laws and markets within this district through the					
4	promotion, marketing, distribution and sale of its products in this district;					
5	(b) does substantial business in this district;					
6	(c) maintains its headquarters in this district; and					
7	(d) is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.					
8	PARTIES					
9	8. At all times relevant to this matter, Plaintiff resided and continues to					
10	reside in the state of California. During the class period, Plaintiff was exposed to and					
11	saw Metagenics's claims by reading the Metagenics "medical food" label. Plaintiff					
12	purchased Metagenics "medical food" in reliance on these claims, and suffered injury					
13	in fact and lost money as a result of the unfair competition described here.					
14	9. Defendant Metagenics is a global nutrigenomics and lifestyle					
15	medicine company headquartered in this District in Aliso Viejo, California.					
16	Defendant makes all major policy decisions, including advertising, labelling, and					
17	marketing decisions, from its Orange County, California headquarters, for all sales					
18	nationwide such that it can and does reasonably expect that it must comply with					
19	California law in its sales nationwide.					
20	ADDITIONAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS					
21	What "Medical Foods" are Supposed to Be					
22	10. According to California's Sherman Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act,					
23	a"medical food" is:					
24	a food which is formulated to be consumed or administered internally					
25	under the supervision of a physician and which is intended for the					
26	specific dietary management of a disease or condition for which					
27	distinctive nutritional requirements, based on recognized scientific					
28	principles, are established by medical evaluation.					
	3					

Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 110100 and 109971; 21 U.S.C. § 360ee(b)(3)
 (incorporated by Section 110100).

3

11. The following criteria clarify the definition of a true medical food. Specifically, a food is a medical food only if it fits the above definition and:

4 5

6

7

8

 (i) It is a specially formulated and processed product (as opposed to a naturally occurring foodstuff used in its natural state) for the partial or exclusive feeding of a patient by means of oral intake or enteral feeding by tube;

9 (ii) It is intended for the dietary management of a patient who,
10 because of therapeutic or chronic medical needs, has limited or
11 impaired capacity to ingest, digest, absorb, or metabolize ordinary
12 foodstuffs or certain nutrients, or who has other special medically
13 determined nutrient requirements, the dietary management of which
14 cannot be achieved by the modification of the normal diet alone;

15 (iii) It provides nutritional support specifically modified for the
16 management of the unique nutrient needs that result from the specific
17 disease or condition, as determined by medical evaluation;

(iv) It is intended to be used under medical supervision; and

(v) It is intended only for a patient receiving active and ongoing medical supervision wherein the patient requires medical care on a recurring basis for, among other things, instructions on the use of the medical food.

Cal. Health & Safety Code § 110100; 21 C.F.R § 101.9(j)(8) (incorporated by
Section 110100).

12. Medical foods were intended, primarily, for use as a life support
modality in the management of the critically ill or elderly. Their definition is meant
to be narrowly construed.

28

18

19

20

21

22

Metagenics Falsely Labels Product as "Medical Foods"

2 13. Defendant Metagenics sells a whole line of products falsely labeled
3 as "medical foods." Metagenics sells at least 17 varieties of so-called "medical
4 foods" ranging in prices of up to \$159 per container or "kit."

5 14. Every one of Metagenics's "medical foods" prominently and
6 uniformly lists the phrase "Medical Food" on the front of every product label, as
7 well as in marketing materials such as those found on the Metagenics website.

8 15. For example, the product packaging for one of Metagenics's more
9 popular "medical food" products, UltraMeal®, looks substantially like:



16. These products, however, do not meet California's statutory
definition of "medical food" and Metagenics's use of that term on its product
packaging and marketing materials is materially false and deceptive.

26 17. First, Metagenics's "medical foods" are *not* specially formulated for
27 a particular patient, or for a particular health condition, as true medical foods are
28 supposed to be. Rather, Metagenics, as a matter of policy and general practice,

mass produces and sells its "medical foods" to anyone and these foods are made up
 of ordinary or naturally-occurring ingredients such as soy, fructose, olive oil, and
 riboflavin. Metagenics's "medical foods," in short, are ordinary mass-produced
 foods or, at most, dietary supplements, and *not* medical foods.

5 18. Second, Metagenics's "medical foods" are not designed for
6 administration exclusively through a physician, as the law requires of true medical
7 foods. Rather, Metagenics's "medical foods" are available to anyone over the
8 internet including through Amazon.com as a matter of company policy. *See, e.g.*,
9 http://www.amazon.com/Metagenics/b/ref=bl_dp_s_web_3035402011?ie=UTF8&
10 node=3035402011&field-lbr_brands_browse-bin=Metagenics (Metagenics product
11 page).

12 19. Third, and again unlike actual medical foods, Metagenics does not
13 limit sales of its products to those with "limited or impaired capacity to ingest,
14 digest, absorb, or metabolize ordinary foodstuffs or certain nutrients, or who has
15 other special medically determined nutrient requirements, the dietary management
16 of which cannot be achieved by the modification of the normal diet alone."
17 Metagenics, in short, will sell to anyone as a matter of policy.

18 20. Metagenics's labeling is also false and misleading because the
19 diseases and conditions for which Metagenics claims its medical foods products
20 treat are diseases and conditions that can be *managed by a normal diet alone*, thus
21 eliminating any legitimate claims that Metagenics's products are "medical foods."

22 21. For the above and other reasons, the Food and Drug Administration
23 (FDA) has expressly censured Metagenics for mislabeling its products as "medical
24 foods."³ The FDA has called Metagenics's products "misbranded" and their
25 product labels "false and misleading in that the products are labeled and marketed
26 as medical foods but do not meet the statutory definition of a medical food. . . ."

- 27
- 28

³ The federal definition of "medical food" is the same as California's.

California adopted the same definition of "medical food" as that defined by the
 FDA. Metagenics was instructed by the FDA to take "prompt action to correct the
 violations." The FDA, furthermore, has informed Metagenics of its violations on at
 least two occasions.

5 22. While Metagenics responded by taking the "medical food" label off 6 several of its products, it continued, and continues to this day, to label at least 17 7 different products with the erroneous "medical food" label. Metagenics has never 8 disclosed to its customers that, although it purports to sell "medical foods," that the 9 FDA has found that Metagenics's products *do not* meet state and federal criteria for 10 medical foods.

During the class period, Plaintiff William L. Grivas, Sr. purchased
one or more Metagenics "medical foods." Mr. Grivas did so after being exposed to
and relying on Metagenics's false advertising and designation of their products as
"medical foods." Mr. Grivas lost money and was damaged as a result of
Metagenics's deception.

16

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17 24. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit on behalf of himself and the
18 proposed Class members under Rule 23(b)(2) and (3) of the Federal Rules of Civil
19 Procedure. The proposed Class consists of:

All persons who at any time through the date of class certification
purchased Metagenics "medical foods." Excluded from the Class are
Defendant's officers, directors and employees of Metagenics and those
who purchased Metagenics "medical foods" for the purpose of resale.

24 25. Subject to additional information obtained through further
25 investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class may be expanded
26 or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint. Specifically excluded from the
27 proposed Class are the Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents,
28 children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants,

1 partners, joint venturers, or entities controlled by the Defendant, and its heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with the 2 3 Defendant and/or its officers and/or directors, or any of them; the Judge assigned to 4 this action, and any member of the Judge's immediate family.

5

26. *Numerosity*. The members of the Class are so numerous that their 6 individual joinder is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that 7 basis alleges, that the proposed Class contains many thousands of members. The precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff. The true number of Class 8 9 members is known by the Defendant, however, and thus, may be notified of the 10 pendency of this action by first class mail, electronic mail, and by published notice.

11 27. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and 12 *Fact.* Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and 13 predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These 14 common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

15 whether Metagenics's "medical food" line of products meets (a) the statutory definitions of medical foods; 16

17 whether the claims discussed above are misleading, (b) or reasonably likely to deceive; 18

19

(c) whether Metagenics's alleged conduct violates public policy;

20 (d) whether the alleged conduct constitutes violations of the laws 21 asserted here:

22 whether Metagenics engaged in false or misleading advertising; (e)

23 (f) whether Plaintiff and Class members have sustained monetary 24 loss and the proper measure of that loss; and/or

25 whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to relief. (g) 28. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the 26 Typicality. 27 members of the Class in that the Defendant was unjustly enriched as a result of 28 Plaintiff's and the Class' respective purchases of Metagenics "medical foods."

29. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately
 protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel
 highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff
 intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiff has no adverse or antagonistic
 interests to those of the Class.

6 30. *Superiority*. A class action is superior to all other available means 7 for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other 8 financial detriment suffered by individual Class members is relatively small 9 compared to the burden and expense that would be entailed by individual litigation 10 of their claims against the Defendant. It would thus be virtually impossible for the 11 Class, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs done to 12 them. Furthermore, even if Class members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the 13 14 danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. 15 Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and 16 the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action 17 device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, 18 economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents 19 no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances here.

20

31. In the alternative, the Class may also be certified because:

(a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class
members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to
individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct
for the Defendant;

(b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class
members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a
practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to
the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their

1	interests; and/or
2	(c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally
3	applicable to the Class thereby making appropriate final declaratory relief with
4	respect to the members of the Class as a whole.
5	32. Unless stated otherwise, the claims asserted herein are applicable to
6	all persons who purchased Metagenics "medical foods."
7	33. Adequate notice can be given to Class members directly using
8	information maintained in Defendant's records or through notice by publication.
9	34. Damages or restitution may be calculated, in part, from the sales
10	information maintained in Defendant's records, so that the cost of administering a
11	recovery for the Class can be minimized. However, the precise amount of damages
12	available to Plaintiff and the other members of the Class is not a barrier to class
13	certification.
14	35. Unless a class is certified, Defendant will retain monies received as
15	a result of its conduct that was taken from Plaintiff and proposed Class members.
	a result of its conduct that was taken from Plaintiff and proposed Class members. <u>COUNT I</u>
15	
15 16	<u>COUNT I</u> Unlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, <i>et seq.</i> ,
15 16 17	<u>COUNT I</u> Unlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California
15 16 17 18	<u>COUNT I</u> Unlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, <i>et seq.</i> ,
15 16 17 18 19	<u>COUNT I</u> Unlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, <i>et seq.</i> , <u>on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class</u>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<u>COUNT I</u> Unlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, <i>et seq.</i> , <u>on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class</u> 36. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNT I Unlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, et seq., on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class 36. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, as if fully set forth here.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	COUNT IUnlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, et seq., on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class36.Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, as if fully set forth here.37.Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits any "unlawful,
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	COUNT IUnlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, et seq., on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class36.Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, as if fully set forth here.37.Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	COUNT IUnlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, et seq., on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class36.Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, as if fully set forth here. 37.37.Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising." For the reasons discussed above, Metagenics has violated
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	COUNT IUnlawful Business Acts and Practices in Violation of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, et seq., on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class36.Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, as if fully set forth here.37.Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising." For the reasons discussed above, Metagenics has violated each of these provisions of Business & Professions Code § 17200.

1573, 1709, 1710, 1711, 1770, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*, and
 California's Sherman Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Law (incl. Health & Safety Code
 §§ 110100, 110765), by misbranding food, Metagenics "medical foods," in
 violation of state law, and by violating the common law.

5

6

7

39. Plaintiff and the Class reserve the right to allege other violations of law which constitute other unlawful business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

40. Metagenics's acts, omissions, misrepresentations, practices, and
non-disclosures as alleged here also constitute "unfair" business acts and practices
within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* in that its
conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends public policy, and is
immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous as the gravity of the conduct
outweighs any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct.

41. As stated in this Complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of consumer
protection, unfair competition, and truth in advertising laws in California and other
states resulting in harm to consumers. Plaintiff asserts violations of the public
policy of engaging in false and misleading advertising, unfair competition, and
deceptive conduct towards consumers. This conduct constitutes violations of the
unfair prong of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

42. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Metagenics's
legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described here.

43. Metagenics's claims, nondisclosures and misleading statements, as
more fully set forth above, were also false, misleading, and/or likely to deceive
the consuming public within the meaning of Business & Professions Code
§ 17200.

44. Metagenics's labeling, website and other advertisements, as
described herein, also constitutes unfair, deceptive, untrue, and misleading
advertising.

1	45.	Metagenics's con	duct caused and continues to cause substantial
2	injury to Pla	aintiff and the other C	lass members. Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact
3	and has lost	money as a result of M	Metagenics's unfair conduct.
4	46.	Metagenics has the	nus engaged in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent
5	business act	ts and practices and fa	lse advertising, entitling Plaintiff to judgment and
6	equitable re	lief against Defendant	s, as set forth in the Prayer for Relief.
7		<u>P</u>	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
8	WH	EREFORE, Plaintiff p	rays for a judgment:
9	А.	Certifying the Class	as requested here;
10	В.	Awarding restitution	n and/or disgorgement of Metagenics's revenues
11	to Plaintiff	and the proposed Clas	s members, and any other equitable relief afforded
12	by the Busin	ness and Professions C	Code;
13	C.	Awarding attorneys?	fees and costs; and
14	D.	Providing such furth	er relief as may be just and proper.
15			JURY DEMAND
16	Plain	tiff demands a trial by	jury on all issues so triable.
17	DATED: N	ovember 9, 2015	HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP
18			KIRK B. HULETT
19			
20			<u>/s/ Kirk B. Hulett</u> KIRK B. HULETT
21			
22			550 West C Street, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101
23			Telephone: (619) 338-1133
24			Facsimile:(619) 338-1139Email:kbh@hulettharper.com
25			
26			
27			
28			
			12

C	ase 8:15-cv-01838	Document 1	Filed 11/09	/15 Pa	ge 14 of 14	Page ID #:14	4
1			NIC	CHOLA	S & TOMA	SEVIC, LLI	P
2						SBN: 24559	98
3					vay, 19th Fl CA 92101		
4			Tel	ephone:	(619) 325	-0492	
5				ail:	(619) 325 atomasevi	-0496 ic@nicholasl	aw org
6			Lin	u11.	atomasevi	e e menorusi	uw.015
7					CH LAW I	FIRM LLOCH, SBN	1.66766
8					reet, Suite 3		N. 00700
9			Enc	cinitas, (CA 92024		
10				-	(760) 632 (760) 650		
				ail:	· ·	oonlightlaw.	com
11			Δtt	ornevs f	or Plaintiff	William L.	Grivas Sr
12			7 111	orneysi	or r familit,	William L.	011743, 51.
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
_0			13	3			

	วับหรี,ไซย์ฟาส์สมิติไร่โลเปรีอติจะสืบตั้งกิเสีage ID #:15 il cover sheet				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself)) DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself)				
William L. Grivas, Sr., On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated	Metagenics, Inc.				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information. Kirk B. Hulett, HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 338-1133	Attorneys (<i>Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number</i>) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES-For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant)				
1. U.S. Government 3. Federal Question (U.S. Plaintiff Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State PTF DEF 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State PTF DEF 4 4 4				
	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business in Another State				
	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6				
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 6. Multi- I. Original 2. Removed from 3. Remanded from 4. Reinstated or 5. Transferred from Another 6. Multi- Proceeding State Court Appellate Court 4. Reinstated or 5. Transferred from Another 1. District					
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: X Yes	No (Check "Yes" only if demanded in complaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.Cv.P. 23: X Yes No	MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$				

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)

Bus. & Prof. Code sec 17200,

VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).

	OTHER STATUTES		CONTRACT	RE	AL PROPERTY CONT.		IMMIGRATION	I	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS
	375 False Claims Act		110 Insurance		240 Torts to Land		462 Naturalization Application		Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights
	400 State Reapportionment		120 Marine		245 Tort Product Liability		465 Other		463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent
\square	410 Antitrust		130 Miller Act		290 All Other Real		Immigration Actions		Sentence	840 Trademark
	430 Banks and Banking		140 Negotiable		Property TORTS		TORTS	Ц	530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY
	450 Commerce/ICC		Instrument		PERSONAL INJURY	PE	RSONAL PROPERTY		535 Death Penalty	861 HIA (1395ff)
	Rates/Etc.	_	150 Recovery of				370 Other Fraud		Other:	862 Black Lung (923)
	460 Deportation		Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		310 Airplane 315 Airplane		371 Truth in Lending		540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))
	470 Racketeer Influ-		5		Product Liability		380 Other Personal		550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI
	enced & Corrupt Org.		151 Medicare Act		320 Assault, Libel & Slander		Property Damage 385 Property Damage		555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))
	480 Consumer Credit		152 Recovery of Defaulted Student		330 Fed. Employers'		Product Liability		560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
	490 Cable/Sat TV		Loan (Excl. Vet.)		Liability		BANKRUPTCY		Confinement	
	850 Securities/Com-		153 Recovery of		340 Marine 345 Marine Product		422 Appeal 28	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
	modities/Exchange		Overpayment of		Liability		USC 158		625 Drug Related	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC
×	890 Other Statutory Actions		Vet. Benefits		350 Motor Vehicle		423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	7609
	891 Agricultural Acts		160 Stockholders' Suits		355 Motor Vehicle		CIVIL RIGHTS		690 Other	
	3				Product Liability				LABOR	
	893 Environmental Matters		190 Other Contract		360 Other Personal		440 Other Civil Rights		710 Fair Labor Standards	
_	895 Freedom of Info.				Injury		441 Voting		Act	
	Act		195 Contract Product Liability		362 Personal Injury- Med Malpratice		442 Employment		720 Labor/Mgmt.	
	896 Arbitration		196 Franchise		365 Personal Injury- Product Liability		443 Housing/ Accommodations		Relations	
	899 Admin. Procedures	F	REAL PROPERTY		367 Health Care/		445 American with	Ш	740 Railway Labor Act	
\square	Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision		210 Land Condemnation		Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		Disabilities- Employment		751 Family and Medical Leave Act	
			220 Foreclosure		Product Liability		446 American with		790 Other Labor	
	950 Constitutionality of		230 Rent Lease &		368 Asbestos		Disabilities-Other		Litigation	
	State Statutes		Ejectment		Personal Injury Product Liability		448 Education		791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Case 8:15-0) NOTED STATES DISTINCT COURT, CENTRAD DISTINCT COURT, CENTRAD DISTINCT COURT, COU

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

to change, in accordance with the Court's Ge	neral Orders, upon review by the Court of you	ir Complain	t or Notice of Ren	noval.			
QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court?	STATE CASE WAS PENDING I	INITIAL DIV	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:				
	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or	V	Vestern				
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the	Orange			S	outhern		
corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	Riverside or San Bernardino			E	astern		
QUESTION B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action?	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendants who the district reside in Orange Co.?	o reside in		hern" in response to Quest	d to the Southern Division. ion E, below, and continue		
🗌 Yes 🕱 No	check one of the boxes to the right		NO. Contir	nue to Question B.2.	o Question B.2.		
lf "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants who the district reside in Riverside and/or San Be Counties? (Consider the two counties toget	rnardino	YES. Your of Enter "East from there.	case will initially be assigne ern" in response to Questio	d to the Eastern Division. n E, below, and continue		
	check one of the boxes to the right \longrightarrow			ase will initially be assigned tern" in response to Questio			
QUESTION C: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?	C.1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who redistrict reside in Orange Co.? <i>check one of the boxes to the right</i>	eside in the	Enter "Sout	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Divisio Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continu from there.			
🗌 Yes 🕱 No			NO. Continue to Question C.2.				
If "no, " skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who redistrict reside in Riverside and/or San Bernar Counties? (Consider the two counties toget	rdino	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
	check one of the boxes to the right 🛛 🗭		NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continu from there.				
QUESTION D: Location of plaintif	s and defendants?			B. Riverside or San Bernardino County	C. Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County		
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave		t					
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or <i>district</i> reside. (Check up to two boxes, c apply.)	more of <i>defendants who reside in this</i> r leave blank if none of these choices		X				
D.1. Is there at least one	answer in Column A?		D.2. Is there a	t least one answer in C	olumn B?		
X Yes	No	Yes No					
If "yes," your case will initia	ally be assigned to the	If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the					
SOUTHERN		EASTERN DIVISION.					
Enter "Southern" in response to Question		Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.					
lf "no," go to questio	n D2 to the right.	If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below.					
QUESTION E: Initial Division?			INI	TIAL DIVISION IN CACD			
Enter the initial division determined by (Question A, B, C, or D above:			SOUTHERN			
QUESTION F: Northern Counties?							
Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendar	nts in this district reside in Ventura, Santa	a Barbara, o	or San Luis Obis	po counties?	Yes 🗙 No		

Case 8:15-0000720397AT2901870007 COURT; CENTRADDISTRICT 20192 CALIFORNIA age ID #:17 CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a).	IDENTICAL CASES : Has this action been previously filed in this court?	X NO	YES						
	If yes, list case number(s):								
IX(b).	IX(b). RELATED CASES: Is this case related (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously filed in this court?								
		× NO	YES						
	If yes, list case number(s):								
	Civil cases are related when they (check all that apply):								
	A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;								
	B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact;	or							
	C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.								
	Note: That cases may involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to deem c	ases related.							
	A civil forfeiture case and a criminal case are related when they (check all that apply):								
	A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;								
	B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact;	or							
	C. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common and would entail substant labor if heard by different judges.	tial duplication of							

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT): //s/Kirk B. Hulett

DATE: November 9, 2015

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The submission of this Civil Cover Sheet is required by Local Rule 3-1. This Form CV-71 and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. For more detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet (CV-071A).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

(ase 8:15-cv-01838 Document 1-2 Filed 1	.1/09/15 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:18
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ALEX TOMASEVIC, SBN: 245598	McCOLLOCH LAW FIRM MICHAEL T. McCOLLOCH, SBN: 66766 545 2nd Street, Suite 3
8 9	San Diego, CA 92101ITelephone:(619) 325-0492Facsimile:(619) 325-0496	Encinitas, CA 92024 Telephone: (760) 632-1100 Facsimile: (760) 650-0016 Email: mike@moonlightlaw.com
10 11 12	Email: atomasevic@nicholaslaw.org ^I Attorneys for Plaintiff, William L. Grivas, Sr.	
12	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
14	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	WILLIAM L. GRIVAS, Sr., On Behalf of Himself, All Others Similarly Situated,	CASE NO. 15-cv-1838 <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
17 18	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF INTERESTED PARTIES
 19 20 21 22 23 24 	v. METAGENICS, Inc., Defendant.	
25 26 27 28		

1	The undersigned, counsel of record for Plaintiff William L. Grivas, Sr.,					
2	certifies that the following listed party may have a pecuniary interest in the outcome					
3	of this case. These representations are made to enable the Court to evaluate possible					
4	disqualification or recusal.					
5	William L. Grivas, Sr., Plaintiff purchased Metagenics products.					
6	DATED: November 9, 2015 HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP					
7	KIRK B. HULETT					
8						
9						
10	<u>/s/ Kirk. B. Hulett</u> KIRK B. HULETT					
11						
12	550 West C Street, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101					
13	Telephone: (619) 338-1133					
14	Facsimile: (619) 338-1139					
	Email: kbh@hulettharper.com					
15	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP					
16	ALEX TOMASEVIC, SBN: 245598					
17	225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101					
18	Telephone: (619) 325-0492					
19	Facsimile: (619) 325-0496					
20	Email: atomasevic@nicholaslaw.org					
21	McCOLLOCH LAW FIRM					
22	MICHAEL T. McCOLLOCH, SBN: 66766					
23	545 2nd Street, Suite 3 Encinitas, CA 92024					
23	Telephone: (760) 632-1100					
	Facsimile: (760) 650-0016 Email: mike@moonlightlaw.com					
25 26						
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff, William L. Grivas, Sr.					
27						
28						
	1					

Case 8:15-cv-01838 Document 1-3 Filed 11/09/15 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:20

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

)

)

)

)

)

WILLIAM L. GRIVAS, Sr., On Behalf of HImself and All Others Similarly Situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

METAGENICS, INC.

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 15-cv-1838

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) METAGENICS, INC. 25 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 200 ALISO VIEJO CA 92656

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 8:15-cv-01838 Document 1-3 Filed 11/09/15 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:21

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 15-cv-1838

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)				
	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at (<i>place</i>)				
			on (<i>date</i>)	; or	
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)				
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or			2,
	□ I served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>)				, who is
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (<i>date</i>)	; or	
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or
	Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0)0
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.				
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: