

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION**

VASSILIOS KUKORINIS, on behalf of
himself and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALMART, INC., a Delaware corporation

Defendant.

Case No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Vassilios Kukorinis (“Plaintiff”) brings this complaint on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. All allegations in this Complaint are based upon Plaintiff’s experiences, investigation of counsel, and information and belief. Plaintiff hereby alleges the following in support of this Class Action Complaint:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action seeks to address and remedy the unfair, deceptive and unconscionable business practices Defendant Walmart, Inc. (“Walmart,” or “Defendant”), has engaged in with respect to systematic overcharging for beef, pork, poultry, fish, and other types of packaged foods marked with unit pricing and sold accordingly thereto (the “Weighted Goods”).

2. Specifically, from February 13, 2015, to the present (the “Class Period”), Walmart advertised false unit prices for Weighted Goods placed on sale close to their respective expiration dates. Walmart advertised those Weighted Goods at specific unit sale prices, but upon closer inspection, the final sale prices did not coincide with the unit sale prices based on the weight of the products as represented on the original labels (the “Pricing Practice”). As a result, Walmart consumers did not receive the promised value for the Weighted Goods they purchased.

3. For example, on November 18, 2018, Walmart sold a package of chicken tenders that weighed 1.18 pounds, at a unit price of \$5.78 per pound, that originally retailed for \$6.82. Walmart provided this information on the original label of the chicken tenders. As the product's expiration date approached, Walmart reduced the unit sale price to \$3.77 per pound, which should have resulted in a reduced sale price of \$4.45. Instead, the sale price was \$5.93, which Walmart charged upon checkout. As a result, Walmart obtained \$1.48 (i.e., the difference between \$5.93 and \$4.45) more than what was justified by the unit sale price. Based upon the unit sale price, a reasonable consumer would have expected to receive 1.57 pounds of chicken tenders, but instead only received 1.18 pounds. *See Exhibit 1.*

4. In another example, on November 18, 2018, Walmart sold a package of chicken wings that weighed 1.36 pounds, at a unit price of \$3.87 per pound, that originally retailed for \$5.26. Walmart provided this information on the original label of the chicken wings. As the product's expiration date approached, Walmart reduced the unit price to \$1.67 per pound, which should have resulted in a reduced sale price of \$2.27. Instead, the sale price was \$3.52 which Walmart charged upon checkout. As a result, Walmart obtained \$1.25 (i.e., the difference between \$3.52 and \$2.27) more than what was justified by the unit sale price. Based upon the unit sale price, a reasonable consumer, would have expected to receive 2.1 pounds of chicken wings, but instead only received 1.36 pounds. *See Exhibit 2.*

5. Consumers are provided with an item's unit price in addition to its overall price as a tool to help them make informed purchasing decisions.¹ Walmart's sale labels and pricing

¹ See, e.g., *Unit Prices can Help You Save on Groceries*, Consumer Reports (Aug. 14, 2013) available at: <https://www.consumerreports.org/cro/news/2013/08/save-on-groceries-with-unit-prices/index.htm> (explaining that when shopping for groceries, stores will charge different prices for different sizes, and encouraging consumers to instead shop based on unit pricing).

practices were deceptive, unfair, and unconscionable, depriving consumers of the promised value of the Weighted Goods they purchased.

6. Plaintiff brings this action against Walmart alleging damages sustained as a direct and proximate result of Walmart's deceptive, unfair, and unconscionable Pricing Practice in violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Florida Statutes Sections 501.201, *et seq.*, and for unjust enrichment under Florida common law.

7. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a class of persons who purchased Weighted Goods from Walmart stores located in Florida, and seeks, *inter alia*, actual damages, refunds, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and the costs of this litigation.

PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

8. Mr. Kukorinis is currently a resident of Hillsborough County, Florida; however, during the Class Period, Mr. Kukorinis purchased the Weighted Goods in this District, including in Miami, Florida, which Walmart purportedly offered on sale with reduced unit sale prices that did not accurately correspond to the final sales prices, and thereby deceived Mr. Kukorinis into thinking he was getting more value for the Weighted Goods than he actually received.

9. Mr. Kukorinis shops in part based on unit pricing, and considers this a material element in making shopping decisions.

10. Prior to purchasing reduced unit priced items, Mr. Kukorinis read and relied upon false and misleading statements that were prepared and approved by Walmart and its agents and disseminated through bright yellow labels placed directly on the sale items. For each purchase, he understood that he was paying a specific unit price for the item and that such pricing was being offered by Walmart for a limited time due to the imminent expiration date of the item.

11. By incrementally marking up the “sales” price, Plaintiff and Class members did not receive the promised value for which they bargained, and were damaged as a result.

12. Upon information and belief, Walmart continues to employ these deceptive, unfair, and unconscionable Pricing Practices from which it has reaped millions of dollars in unlawful gains.

B. Defendant

13. Walmart is a multi-national corporation that operates chains of retail and grocery stores. Walmart is headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas, and distributes, markets, advertises, and sells food “on sale” in Florida and throughout the United States.

JURISDICTION

14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act (“CAFA”), 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interests and costs, there are more than 100 class members, and at least one class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendants and is a citizen of a foreign state. The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

15. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because Defendant is a corporation that does business in and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Venue is also proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this action occurred in or emanated from this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Walmart's Grocery Business Model

16. Walmart's business model focuses on "delight[ing] customers in ways they might not have even thought of yet...provid[ing] an easy, fast, friendly and fun shopping experience for them...."² Walmart boasts that it is "making shopping at Walmart faster and easier,"³ and has "nearly 2,100 grocery pickup locations" since November 15, 2018.⁴

17. Walmart represents that it does business in three strategic merchandise units: 1) grocery; 2) health and wellness; and 3) general merchandise, which includes entertainment, hardlines, apparel, and home/seasonal items.⁵ According to Walmart's 2018 annual report, grocery sales comprised 56% of its strategic merchandise units for the last three (3) years (i.e., 2018, 2017, and 2016).⁶

18. Walmart highlighted its grocery growth prowess as one of three categories of business with strong growth:

"On a two-year stack basis, the grocery comp was the best in nearly nine years. Strength was broad-based, but particularly strong in fresh food. Overall, our pricing strategy, omni offer and improved private brands are resonating with customers."⁷

19. For its fiscal years, Walmart reported: \$500.343 billion in revenues from February 1, 2017 to January 31, 2018; \$485.873 billion in revenues from February 1, 2016 to January 31,

² Walmart 2018 Annual Report, Walmart, Inc. (March 30, 2018), available at: https://s2.q4cdn.com/056532643/files/doc_financials/2018/annual/WMT-2018_Annual-Report.pdf (the "2018 Annual Report").

³ Walmart U.S. Q3 Earnings Press Release, Walmart, Inc. (Nov. 15, 2018), available at https://s2.q4cdn.com/056532643/files/doc_financials/2019/Q3/Q3FY19-Earnings-Release-Final.pdf (the "Third Quarter Press Release").

⁴ Walmart Management Commentary, Walmart, Inc. (Nov. 15, 2018), available at https://s2.q4cdn.com/056532643/files/doc_financials/2019/Q3/Q3FY19-Combined-Script-Final.pdf (the "Third Quarter Management Commentary").

⁵ 2018 Annual Report, at 1.

⁶ 2018 Annual Report, at 9.

⁷ Walmart Q3 Earnings Presentation, Walmart, Inc. (Nov. 15, 2018), available at: https://s2.q4cdn.com/056532643/files/doc_financials/2019/Q3/Q3FY19-Earnings-Presentation-Final.pdf (the "Third Quarter Earnings Presentation").

2017; \$482.130 billion in revenues from February 1, 2015 to January 31, 2016; and \$485.651 billion in revenues from February 1, 2014 to January 31, 2015.⁸

20. Based on the foregoing, the majority of Walmart's revenue originates from Walmart's grocery sales, totaling well in excess of one trillion dollars over the past four years.

B. Walmart's Grocery Sales Pricing Scheme

21. The majority of Walmart's revenue is derived from grocery sales.

22. Walmart employs a sophisticated inventory and pricing system, which, among other things, catalogues items, tracks the date they were made available for sale, how long they have been on store shelves, and when the items will become unsaleable (the "Pricing System").

23. The Weighted Goods Walmart sells are tracked with the Pricing System. As the Weighted Goods approach their expiration dates,⁹ Walmart's Pricing System reduces the price of those Weighted Goods and produces a new, bright yellow sales label which is affixed to the product. Although the original label represents the net weight, unit price, and total price, the sales label represents only the unit price, total price, and amount saved.

24. For example, on November 18, 2018, Walmart sold a package of chicken drumsticks that weighed 1.74 pounds, at a unit price of \$2.68 per pound, that originally retailed for \$4.66. Walmart provided this information on the original label of the chicken drumsticks. As the expiration date approached, Walmart reduced the unit price of drumstick to \$1.27 per pound, which should have resulted in a sale price of \$2.21. Instead, the sale price was \$3.54. This resulted in Walmart obtaining \$1.33 (i.e., the difference between \$3.54 and \$2.21) more than justified given the unit sale price. Based upon the unit sale price, a reasonable consumer would

⁸ 2018 Annual Report, at 33.

⁹ Typically, the sales label is printed one or two days before the "sell by" or "freeze by" date on the Weighted Goods.

have expected to receive 2.79 pounds of chicken drumsticks, but instead only received 1.74 pounds. *See Exhibit 3.*

25. Walmart's deceptive Pricing Practices affected a broad range of Weighted Goods. For example, the original label on a package of wild caught grouper stated that the fish weighed 0.70 pounds, at a unit price of \$17.94 per pound, that retailed for \$12.56. As the product's expiration date approached, Walmart reduced the unit price of the grouper to \$15.70 per pound which should have resulted in a sale price of \$10.99. Instead, the sale price was \$11.18. As a result, Walmart obtained 19¢ (i.e., the difference between \$11.18 and \$10.99) more than justified by the unit sale price. Based upon the unit sale price, a reasonable consumer would have expected to receive 0.71 pounds of grouper, but instead only received 0.70 pounds. *See Exhibit 4* (November 18, 2018).

26. In another example, the original label on a package of wild caught grouper stated that the fish weighed 0.72 pounds, at a unit price of \$17.94 per pound, that retailed for \$12.92. As its expiration date approached, Walmart reduced the unit price of the grouper to \$15.70 per pound, which should have resulted in a sale price of \$11.34. Instead, the sale price was \$11.50. As a result, Walmart obtained 16¢ (i.e., the difference between \$11.50 and \$11.34) more than justified by the unit sale price. Based upon the unit sale price, a reasonable consumer would have expected to receive 0.73 pounds of grouper, but instead only received 0.72 pounds. *See Exhibit 5* (November 18, 2018).

27. In yet another example, wild caught grouper that weighed 0.74 pounds, at the same unit price of \$17.94 per pound, originally retailed for \$13.28. Walmart subsequently reduced the price of the grouper to the same unit price of \$15.70 per pound, which should have resulted in a sale price of \$11.62. Instead, the sale price was \$11.82. As a result, Walmart

obtained 20¢ (i.e., the difference between \$11.82 and \$11.62) more than the justified by the unit sale price. Based upon the unit sale price, a reasonable consumer would have expected to receive 0.75 pounds of grouper, but instead only received 0.74 pounds. *See Exhibit 6* (November 18, 2018).

C. The Federal Trade Commission Forbids Walmart's Deceptive Pricing Practices

28. The FTC requires that any retailer who offers price comparisons “should make certain that the bargain offer is genuine and truthful. Doing so will serve their own interest as well as that of the general public.” 16 C.F.R. § 233.5.

29. When a retailer offers an item for sale, then, the offer must be entirely genuine and truthful, and not contain any deceptive or unfair aspect.

30. Walmart, however, fails to comply with this FTC guideline. Instead, Walmart employs deceptive and unfair practices to deceive customers into paying artificially inflated prices for goods that Walmart places on sale. These goods include all Weighted Goods.

31. These practices are false, deceptive, unfair, and unconscionable because the marketed, advertised, warranted, and represented sales price did not reflect the appropriate weight of the item being purchased. Walmart advertised a reduced unit price, but the final sale prices reflected a lesser weight than that marketed, advertised, warranted, and represented on the label. As a result, consumers did not receive the promised value for the Weighted Goods they purchased during the Class Period.

D. Walmart Deceived Plaintiff and Class Members with their Pricing System Resulting in Damage to the Plaintiff and Class Members

32. Walmart's labels are designed to, and do, induce consumers, including Plaintiff and Class members, into believing that they were receiving more of the product than they actually received. For example, in **Exhibit 3**, if the advertised unit price of \$1.27 per pound was

the actual unit price for the chicken, and the customer paid the actual advertised price of \$3.54, the customer should have received 2.79 pounds of chicken, but in fact only received 1.74 pounds of chicken—a difference of over a pound of chicken the customer was not provided.

33. Believing they were purchasing and obtaining more of the product than they actually did, Walmart induced Plaintiff and Class members to purchase less of the product at a higher price. Plaintiff and Class members relied on Walmart's false, deceptive, unfair, and unconscionable marketing, advertising, warranties, and representations to their detriment. Plaintiff and Class members would not have purchased such products or, at the very least, would have demanded the appropriate price upon purchase had they known the prices were false, deceptive, unfair, and unconscionable.

34. Walmart deceitfully capitalizes on consumers' reliance on what consumers believe to be fair and accurate representations of those prices, when in fact Walmart adjusts those prices in a nearly imperceptible fashion resulting in the Weighted Goods being sold at higher and inaccurate prices, and consumers not receiving the promised value for the Weighted Goods they purchased during the Class Period.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

35. Pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2), (b)(3), and (c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this lawsuit on behalf of himself and as a class action on behalf of the following class:

All persons who purchased Weighted Goods from Walmart in Florida from February 13, 2015 to present, whose Weighted Goods' unit sale price was not accurately reflected in the final sale price.

36. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and any entities in which any Defendant or its subsidiaries or affiliates have a controlling interest, and Defendant's officers, agents, and

employees. Also excluded from the Class are the judge assigned to this action, members of the judge's staff, and any member of the judge's immediate family.

37. **Numerosity:** The members of each Class are so numerous that joinder of all members of any Class would be impracticable. Plaintiff reasonably believes that Class members number hundreds of thousands of people or more in the aggregate and well over 1,000 in the smallest of the classes. The names and addresses of Class members are identifiable through documents maintained by Defendant.

38. **Commonality and Predominance:** This action involves common questions of law or fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual Class members, including:

- a. Whether Walmart violated Fla. Stat. § 501.201, *et seq.*;
- b. Whether Walmart has unjustly enriched itself by deceptively overcharging customers for the Weighted Goods;
- c. Whether Plaintiff and Class members sustained actual damages; and
- d. Whether Walmart should be enjoined from continuing to overcharge customers for the Weighted Goods.

39. Similar or identical statutory and common law violations, business practices, and injuries are involved. Individual questions, if any, pale by comparison, in both quantity and quality, to the numerous common questions that dominate this action.

40. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of their respective classes because, among other things, Plaintiff and the other Class members were injured through the substantially uniform misconduct by Defendant. Plaintiff is advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of himself and all other Class members, and there are

no defenses that are unique to Plaintiff. The claims of Plaintiff and those of other Class members arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.

41. **Adequacy of Representation:** Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the other Class members he seeks to represent; he has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and Plaintiff will prosecute this action vigorously. The Class members' interests will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and his counsel.

42. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this matter as a class action. The damages, harm, or other financial detriment suffered individually by Plaintiff and the Class members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be required to litigate their claims on an individual basis against Defendant, making it impracticable for Class members to individually seek redress for Defendant's wrongful conduct. Even if Class members could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

43. Further, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class and, accordingly, final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

44. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 23(c)(4) are appropriate for certification because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

Violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act Florida Statute Section 501.201, *et seq.*

45. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 44.

46. The purpose of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, *et seq.*, ("FDUTPA") is to "protect the consuming public...from those who engage in unfair methods of competition, or unconscionable, deceptive or unfair acts or practice in the conduct of any trade or commerce." Fla. Stat. § 501.202(2).

47. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by Fla. Stat. § 501.203(7).

48. The subject transaction for Weighted Goods is "trade or commerce" as defined by Fla. Stat. § 501.203(8).

49. FDUTPA was enacted to protect the consuming public and legitimate business enterprises from those who engage in unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce, and in unfair methods of competition.

50. For the reasons discussed herein, Defendant violated FDUTPA by engaging in the Pricing Practices described herein and proscribed by Florida Statute § 501.201, *et seq.* Defendant's unconscionable, deceptive, and unfair acts and practices described herein were likely to, and did in fact, deceive members of the public, including consumers (like Plaintiff and Class members) acting reasonably under the circumstances and to their detriment.

51. In committing the acts alleged above, Defendant engaged in unconscionable, deceptive, and unfair acts and practices acts by charging more for the Weighted Goods than the sales unit price conveyed, without providing the proper amount of Weighted Goods.

52. Defendant's actions constitute unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices because, as alleged herein, Defendant advertised, marketed, and sold the Weighted Goods at one value but charged consumers a higher value at checkout, thereby offending an established public policy, and engaging in immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous activities that are and were substantially injurious to consumers.

53. Defendant's conduct is unconscionable, deceptive and unfair, as it is likely to, and did, mislead consumers acting reasonably under the circumstances.

54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class members have been harmed, in that they were wrongfully deprived of the proper value of Weighted Goods based on the sales unit price advertised on the labels.

55. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other violations of FDUPTA as discovery unfolds and as Defendant's conduct is ongoing.

56. As a direct and proximate result of the unconscionable, unfair, and deceptive acts or practices alleged herein, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to recover actual damages, to the extent permitted by law, including § 501.211, Florida Statutes, in an amount to be proven at trial. In addition, pursuant to § 501.211, Florida Statutes, Plaintiff seeks equitable relief and to enjoin Defendant on terms the Court considers reasonable. Plaintiff also seeks reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, as prescribed by §§ 501.211(2) Florida Statutes.

COUNT II
Unjust Enrichment

57. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 44.

58. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a monetary benefit on Defendant by purchasing the Weighted Goods from Defendant. In exchange, Plaintiff and Class Members should have received from Defendant the proper amount of Weighted Goods at the value Defendant represented with the unit sale price. For example, in **Exhibit 3**, the customer should have received 2.79 pounds of chicken, but in fact only received 1.74 pounds of chicken—a difference of over a pound of chicken.

59. Defendant knew that Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a benefit on Defendant and accepted or retained that benefit. Through its Pricing Practice, Defendant unjustly received and retained benefits at the expense of Plaintiff and Class members, specifically the difference in price between what was charged and what should have been charged.

60. By and through the implementation of the Pricing Practice, Defendant has received, had use of, and accrued interest on these funds wrongfully obtained from Plaintiff and Class members.

61. Under the principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be permitted to retain the money belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members, because Defendant failed to provide the proper amount of Weighted Goods at the value Defendant represented with the unit sale price, and Defendant has not provided compensation to Plaintiff and Class members.

62. Plaintiff and Class members have suffered pecuniary harm as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's inequitable conduct.

63. If Plaintiff and Class members knew that Defendant was not properly representing the amount and value of Weighted Goods Plaintiff and Class members would receive, they would not have purchased the Weighted Goods from Defendant.

64. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to restitution of, disgorgement of, and/or the imposition of a construct trust upon all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by Defendant, and for such other relief that this Court deems proper, as a result of their unfair, misleading, and inequitable conduct.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all Class members proposed in this Complaint, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in his and the Class members' favor and against Defendant as follows:

- a. For an Order certifying the proposed Class, and appointing Plaintiff and his Counsel to represent the Class;
- b. For an Order that Defendant is permanently enjoined from its improper conduct and practices as alleged;
- c. A judgment awarding Plaintiff and Class members restitution, including, without limitation, restitutionary disgorgement of all profits and unjust enrichment that Defendant obtained as a result of its unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable business practices and conduct (i.e., the Pricing Practices);
- d. For an award of actual damages and compensatory damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- e. For an award of costs of suit and attorney's fees, as allowable by law; and
- f. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: February 13, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

**MORGAN & MORGAN
COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP**

/s/ John A. Yanchunis

John A. Yanchunis (Bar No. 324681)

jyanchunis@forthepeople.com

Ryan McGee (Bar No. 64957) (*attorney admission pending*)

rmcgee@forthepeople.com

201 N. Franklin St., 7th Floor

Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone: (813) 223-5505

Facsimile: (813) 222-2434

Exhibit 1

CRESCENT FOODS ALL NATURAL
CHICKEN TENDERS
HATCHED RAISED AND HARVESTED
IN THE USA

SAFE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS
THIS PRODUCT WAS PREPARED FROM INSPECTED AND PASSED MEAT AND/OR POULTRY. SOME FOOD PRODUCTS MAY CONTAIN BACTERIA THAT COULD CAUSE ILLNESS IF THE PRODUCT IS MISHANDLED OR COOKED IMPROPERLY. FOR YOUR PROTECTION, FOLLOW THESE SAFE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS!

KEEP REFRIGERATED OR FROZEN. THAW IN REFRIGERATOR OR MICROWAVE. COOK THOROUGHLY. KEEP HOT FOODS HOT. REFRIGERATE LEFTOVERS IMMEDIATELY OR DISCARD.

KEEP RAW MEAT AND POULTRY SEPARATE FROM OTHER FOODS. WASH WORKING SURFACES (INCLUDING CUTTING BOARDS), UTENSILS, AND HANDS AFTER TOUCHING RAW MEAT OR POULTRY.

NET WT./CT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
1.18lb.	\$5.78/lb	\$6.82

REDUCED on 11/19/2018

SAVE \$0.89

Halal Ckn Tender
IS \$3.77/LB

YOU PAY! **\$5.93**



EXP BY 11/21/18

FOODS
CHICAGO, IL 60632

Crescent
foods



All Natural*
Young Chicken

**Chicken
Tenders**



Hatched, Raised and Harvested in the USA

- 100% todo natural! • Procesado a Mano
- No Agregado Antibióticos

Less than 5.5% retained water
*Minimally processed, no artificial
flavors or preservatives
**No added hormones in poultry



Exhibit 2

Crescent[®] foods

All Natural*
Young Chicken

Wings



no added antibiotics

no added hormones**

no animal by-products

humanely treated

raised cage free***

fed all vegetarian diet

Hatched, Raised and Harvested in the USA

• 100% todo Natural* • Procesado a Mano
• No Agregado Antibioticos

Less than 5.5% retained water • Keep refrigerated or frozen

*Minimally processed, no artificial ingredients

**Federal regulations prohibits the use of hormones in poultry

*** Never confined to chicken coops



**CRESCENT FOODS ALL NATURAL
CHICKEN WINGS
HATCHED RAISED AND HARVESTED
IN THE USA**

SAFE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

THIS PRODUCT WAS PREPARED FROM INSPECTED AND PASSED MEAT AND/OR POULTRY. SOME FOOD PRODUCTS MAY CONTAIN BACTERIA THAT COULD CAUSE ILLNESS IF THE PRODUCT IS MISHANDLED OR COOKED IMPROPERLY. FOR YOUR PROTECTION, FOLLOW THESE SAFE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS!

KEEP REFRIGERATED OR FROZEN. THAW IN REFRIGERATOR OR MICROWAVE

KEEP RAW MEAT AND POULTRY SEPARATE FROM OTHER FOODS. WASH WORKING SURFACES INCLUDING CUTTING BOARDS, UTENSILS, AND HANDS AFTER TOUCHING RAW MEAT OR POULTRY

COOK THOROUGHLY

KEEP HOT FOODS HOT. REFRIGRATE LEFTOVERS IMMEDIATELY OR DISCARD

NET WT./CT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
1.36lb.	\$3.87/lb	\$5.26

REDUCED on 11/20/2018

SAVE \$1.74

**HALAL CHICKEN WING
IS \$1.67/LB**

YOU PAY! \$3.52



499002109697

FREEZ BY 11.21.18

**CRESCENT FOODS
CHICAGO, IL 60632**

Exhibit 3

Crescent
foods



All Natural*
Young Chicken

Drumsticks



no added
antibiotics

no added
hormones**

no animal
by-products

treated*

raised
cage free***

fed a
vegetarian
diet

Hatched, Raised and Harvested in the USA

• 100% todo Natural* • Procesado a Mano
• No Agregado Antibioticos

Less than 5% retained water • Keep refrigerated or frozen

*Minimally processed, no artificial ingredients

**Federal regulations prohibits the use of hormones in poultry

***Never confined to chicken coops



**CRESCENT FOODS ALL NATURAL
CHICKEN DRUMSTICKS
HATCHED RAISED AND HARVESTED
IN THE USA**

SAFE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS
THIS PRODUCT WAS PREPARED FROM INSPECTED AND PASSED MEAT AND/OR POULTRY. SOME FOOD PRODUCTS MAY CONTAIN BACTERIA THAT COULD CAUSE ILLNESS IF THE PRODUCT IS MISHANDLED OR COOKED IMPROPERLY. FOR YOUR PROTECTION, FOLLOW THESE SAFE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS:
KEEP REFRIGERATED OR FROZEN. THAW IN REFRIGERATOR OR MICROWAVE. KEEP RAW MEAT AND POULTRY SEPARATE FROM OTHER FOODS. WASH WORKING SURFACES INCLUDING CUTTING BOARDS, UTENSILS, AND HANDS AFTER TOUCHING RAW MEAT OR POULTRY. COOK THOROUGHLY. KEEP HOT FOODS HOT. REFRIGERATE LEFT OVERS IMMEDIATELY OR DISCARD.

NET WEIGHT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
1.74 LB.	\$ 2.68 /LB.	\$ 4.66

REDUCED on 11/19/2018

SAVE \$1.12

Halal Drums
IS \$1.27/LB

YOU PAY! \$3.54



499007108546

FREEZE BY 11.21.18

CRESCENT FOODS
CHICAGO, IL 60632

Exhibit 4



**PREVIOUSLY FROZEN
WILD CAUGHT GROUPER**

PRODUCT OF MEXICO

PROCESSED AND DISTRIBUTED BY:
 **marineharvest** Miami, FL 33126

0.70 NET WT. (LB)	CONTAINS: FISH (GROUPER)
\$17.94 PRICE PER LB.	NOT FOR RAW CONSUMPTION.
	\$ 12.56 TOTAL PRICE

REDUCED on 11/19/2018

SAVE \$1.38

**FRESH GROUPER
IS \$15.70/LB**

YOU PAY! \$11.18



493667641463

REFRIGERATED

167339

931

06 LB.

Exhibit 5

REDUCED on 11/19/2018

SAVE \$1.42

FRESH GROUPE
IS \$15.70/LB

YOU PAY! \$11.50



PREVIOUSLY FROZEN
WILD CAUGHT GROUPE
PRODUCT OF MEXICO
PROCESSED AND DISTRIBUTED BY:
marineharvest Miami, FL 33126

0.72
NET WT. (LB)

\$17.94
PRICE PER LB.

USE OR FREEZE BY
11-20-18

CONTAINS: FISH (GROUPE)
NOT FOR RAW CONSUMPTION.

\$ 12.92
TOTAL PRICE

KEEP REFRIGERATED

LOT: MX167339
PLU # 60931
TARE 0.06 LB.

0 2609
PROCESSED




Exhibit 6

PREVIOUSLY
WILD CAUGHT
FROZEN
GROUPER
PRODUCT OF MEXICO



PROCESSED AND DISTRIBUTED BY:
marineharvest

MIAMI,
FL 33126

0.74

NET WT. (LB)

\$17.94

PRICE PER LB.

USE OR FREEZE BY

11-20-18

CONTAINS: FISH (GROUPER)

NOT FOR RAW CONSUMPTION.

\$ 13.28

TOTAL PRICE

KEEP

REFRIGERATED

REDUCED on 11/19/2018

SAVE \$1.46

FRESH GROUPER
IS \$15.70/LB

\$11.82



MX167339

60931

0.06 LB.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) **NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.**

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VASSILIOS KUKORINIS, on behalf of himself **DEFENDANTS** WALMART, INC., a Delaware corporation
and those similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Attorneys (If Known)

Morgan and Morgan Complex Litigation Group
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor, Tampa, FL 33602 (813)223-5505

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: ☒ MIAMI-DADE ☐ MONROE ☐ BROWARD ☐ PALM BEACH ☐ MARTIN ☐ ST. LUCIE ☐ INDIAN RIVER ☐ OKEECHOBEE ☐ HIGHLANDS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
☒ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
☐ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions		

V. ORIGIN

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Re-filed (See VI below) ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation Transfer ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File ☐ 9 Remanded from Appellate Court

VI. RELATED/RE-FILED CASE(S)

(See instructions): a) Re-filed Case ☐ YES ☐ NO

b) Related Cases ☐ YES ☐ NO

JUDGE:

DOCKET NUMBER:

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)

LENGTH OF TRIAL via days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$5,000,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

DATE February 13, 2019 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**Authority For Civil Cover Sheet**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.

VII. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

_____ District of _____

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Defendant(s)

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. _____

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: