United States District Court Eastern District of New York

1:18-cv-06650

Jose Melendez individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff

- against -

Complaint

One Brands, LLC

Defendant

The above-named plaintiff individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by attorneys, alleges upon information and belief, except for those allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

- 1. One Brands, LLC ("defendant") produces, distributes, markets, labels and sells nutrition bars under the eponymous "One Bar" and "One Basix" lines (the "Products").
 - 2. The Products are available in no less than a dozen varieties, including:
 - Cinnamon Roll
 - Birthday Cake
 - Cookies and Cream
 - Maple Glazed Donut
- 3. The Products are sold to consumers in stores and through the internet, sold by defendant and third-parties.
- 4. The front labels include (i) an image of the characterizing flavor (maple glazed donut) and its name (ii) a color pattern corresponding to the variety (iii) the brand name, "ONE" expressed in a way that the tip of the middle "N" resembles a lightning bolt and (iv) the grams of protein (20) and sugar (1) separated by a line in the middle of the "O."



- 5. The representations are misleading because they give the impression that the Products are low in carbohydrates.
- 6. Reasonable consumers who purchase the Products seek out high protein snack foods which are also low in carbohydrates, since this is believed to be a way to increase satiety, build muscle and not consume excess calories.
- 7. Carbohydrates can be sugars or starches; however, when they are broken down by the body, they are processed as sugars.
 - 8. Consumers often conflate sugars with carbohydrates, though they are distinct.
 - 9. Protein is one of the three macronutrients, along with fat and carbohydrates.
- 10. The representations are misleading because they contrast one macronutrient (protein) with a component of another macronutrient (carbohydrates), as opposed to the total number of those macronutrients (carbohydrates).
- 11. The consumer will reasonably believe that since the body breaks down carbohydrates as sugars, the front-of-package representations have simplified their job of wading through the nutrition facts, since the front label highlights what they are seeking high protein and low carbohydrates.
- 12. When consumers observe the Products' front-label representations which highlight the 20 grams of protein to the 1 gram of sugar, they will reasonably believe the Products are lower

2

in carbohydrates than they are.

- 13. Consumers will think that this "convenient" food has made their life more "convenient," by already calculating and presenting what they interpret to be the most relevant nutrition information on the front of the label.
- 14. However, this is misleading because the Products have 23 grams of carbohydrates, indicated on the nutrition facts, a higher amount than reasonable consumers would expect given that the front label emphasizes the "one" gram of sugar.¹

Amount per serving Calories	220
35 - 100 - 1	6 Daily Value
Total Fat 8g	10%
Saturated Fat 6g	30%
Trans Fat Og	
Polyunsaturated Fat 1g	
Monounsaturated Fat 1g	
Cholesterol 5mg	2%
Sodium 95mg	4%
Total Carbohydrate 23g	8%
Dietary Fiber 10g	36%
Total Sugars 1g	
Includes 0g Added Suga	rs 0 %
Sugar Alcohol 5g	
Protein 20g	40%
Vitamin D Omcg	0%
Calcium 94mg	8%
Iron Omg	0%
Potassium 83mg	2%
Phosphorus 57mg	4%

¹ The nutrition facts and front labels correspond to the Maple Glazed Donut Product. The relevant values of sugar and protein are the same across the Products, while the total carbohydrates in each bar varies slightly.

- 15. Front-of-package labeling has been proven to be more effective at influencing consumers' purchase decisions, especially for on-the-go-type foods such as protein bars.
- 16. By using the front-label in such a way, the consumer is spared the need to further review the nutrition facts.
- 17. Since the labels are being so "transparent" by listing the sugar upfront, it is not conceivable to the consumer that the total carbohydrates would be as high as they are, given the only one gram of sugar.
- 18. Excluding tax, the Products cost no less than \$3.99, a premium price compared to other similar products.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 19. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
- 20. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is more than \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 21. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.
- 22. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendant does business in this District and in New York.
- 23. A substantial part of events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

Class Allegations

24. The classes consist of all consumers in the following states: <u>all, New York</u> who purchased any Products with actionable representations during the statutes of limitation.

- 25. A class action is superior to other methods for fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 26. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members, even if permitted, is impracticable, as there are likely hundreds of thousands of members.
- 27. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff(s) and class members are entitled to damages.
- 28. Plaintiff(s) claims and the basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same representations.
- 29. Plaintiff(s) is/are an adequate representative because his/her/their interests do not conflict with other members.
- 30. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 31. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest.
- 32. Plaintiff(s) counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to adequately and fairly protect class members' interests.
 - 33. Plaintiff(s) seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

Parties

- 34. Plaintiff is a citizen of Kings County, New York.
- 35. Defendant is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Charlotte, North Carolina and upon information and belief, no member thereof is a citizen of New York.
 - 36. In 2017 and/or 2018, plaintiff purchased one or more of the Products for personal

consumption, for no less than \$3.99 per Product, excluding tax, within this district and/or State.

37. Plaintiff paid this premium because prior to purchase, plaintiff saw and relied on the misleading representations.

New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 39. Defendant's acts, practices, advertising, labeling, packaging, representations and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.
- 40. Defendant's representations are false, unfair, deceptive and misleading for the reasons described herein.
- 41. Plaintiff reasonably believed based on defendant's representations that the Products contained a lower amount of carbohydrates than they did, owing to the front-of-package emphasis on the sugar grams and the comparison of the sugar (part of macronutrient) to protein (one of three macronutrients).
- 42. Defendant's representations are false, deceptive and misleading for the reasons described herein.
- 43. The representations and omissions were relied on by plaintiff and class members, who paid more than they would have otherwise, causing damages.

Negligent Misrepresentation

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 45. Defendant misrepresented the composition and nutritional values of the Products and took advantage of erroneous consumer belief and cognitive shortcuts consumers take at the point-of-sale.
 - 46. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide a non-deceptive composition and

nutrition of the Products, at all places on the Products as opposed to only on the nutrition facts and knew or should have known its representations were false or misleading.

- 47. This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as a trusted brand in the thriving sports nutrition food market.
- 48. At the time of the representations, defendant knew or should have known same were false or misleading, or made them without knowledge of their truth or veracity.
 - 49. Defendant negligently misrepresented and/or negligently omitted material facts.
- 50. Plaintiff reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Products.
- 51. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Products or paid as much if the true facts had been known, thereby suffering damages.

Breach of Express Warranty and Implied Warranty of Merchantability

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 53. Defendant manufactures and sells protein bar products.
- 54. Defendant warranted to plaintiff and class members that the Products' composition, nutrition and ratios were different than they were, which was not truthful and misleading.
- 55. The Products did not conform to their affirmations of fact and promises, wholly due to defendant's actions.
 - 56. As a result, the Products lacked those attributes which were expected.
- 57. Plaintiff and class members relied on defendant's claims, paying more than they would have otherwise.

Fraud

58. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

- 59. Defendant's intent in making the claims was to take advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts and the conflation which occurs between carbohydrates and sugar, and how the protein to carbohydrate ratios are important to consumers.
- 60. Defendant's actions were motivated by increasing their market share amongst the many rival sports nutrition companies.
- 61. Plaintiff and class members observed and relied on defendant's claims, causing them to pay more than they would have otherwise, entitling them to damages.

Unjust Enrichment

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 63. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Products were not as represented, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of such inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff(s) as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant(s) to correct the practices to comply with the law;
- 3. Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages, pursuant to the common law and GBL claims;
- 4. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 20, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan

Spencer Sheehan (SS-8533) 891 Northern Blvd., Suite 201 Great Neck, NY 11021 (516) 303-0552 spencer@spencersheehan.com

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. Joshua Levin-Epstein 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119

1:18-cv-06650	
United States District Cour	t
Eastern District of New Yo	rk

Jose Melendez individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff

- against -

One Brands, LLC

Defendant(s)

Complaint

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. 891 Northern Blvd., #201 Great Neck, NY 11021 Tel: (516) 303-0052

Fax: (516) 234-7800

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: November 20, 2018

/s/ Spencer Sheehan
Spencer Sheehan

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

Case 1:18-cv-06650 Document 2-1-Filed 11/20/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FC	ORM.)	, 1	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Jose Melendez individually and on behalf of all others similarly site			ıated	DEFENDANTS One Brands, LLC		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 891 Northern Boulevard, Suite 201, Neck, NY 11021, (516) 303-0552			Great	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO LAND INVOLVED.	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti,
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff □ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	•			en of Another State	of Business In	Another State
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			F/	ODEFITUDE/DENALTS/	DANIZDUDTCV	OTHER CTATHTEC
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 20 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 3 3 4 Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 USC § 1332	Appellate Court tute under which you ar	Reoj	stated or 5 Transfe pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	n - Litigation -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca False advertising	use:				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	D	EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D: ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 11/20/2018		signature of att		OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

Case 1:18-cv-06650 Document 1-1 Filed 11/20/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I Spend	er Sheehan	counsel for plaintiff . do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
ineligi	ble for c	, counsel for, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
	X	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
	X	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because same jud case: (A	s that "A c the cases dge and m) involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the county	ivil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)		answered "no" above: the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ? No
	b) Did t District	the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern ? Yes
Suffolk	County, olk Coun	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau ty?
		BAR ADMISSION
I am cu	rrently ac	dmitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No
Are you	ı currentl	y the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Spencer Sheehan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Eastern Distri	ct of New York
Jose Melendez individually as similarly situated	nd on behalf of all others)))))))
Pla	aintiff(s)	
	v.) Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-06650
One Brands, LLC)))
Def	endant(s))
	SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	One Brands, LLC c/o Cogency Global Inc. 850 New Burton Road Suite 2 Dover, DE 19904	201
A lawsuit has been filed	d against you.	
the United States or a United St (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve	ates agency, or an officer or employ on the plaintiff an answer to the atta answer or motion must be served on	counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are see of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 ached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal the plaintiff or plaintiff's P.C.891 Northern Blvd., #201Great Neck, NY 11021
If you fail to respond, ju You also must file your answer		against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:		Garature of Clark on Donato Clark
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk