

1 Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)  
2 josh@westcoastlitigation.com  
3 Yana A. Hart (SBN: 306499)  
4 yana@westcoastlitigation.com  
5 **Hyde & Swigart, APC**  
6 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101  
7 San Diego, CA 92108  
8 Telephone: (619) 233-7770  
9 Fax: (619) 297-1022

10 [Additional Attorneys on Signature Page]

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
12 Stephanie Bauman

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 **STEPHANIE BAUMAN,**  
16 **INDIVIDUALLY AND ON**  
17 **BEHALF OF ALL**  
18 **OTHERS SIMILARLY**  
19 **SITUATED,**

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 **AUSTRALIAN GOLD,**  
23 **LLC, and DOES 1-10,**

24 Defendant.

25 **Case No.: '18CV1682L BGS**

26 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**  
27 **FOR VIOLATION OF:**

- 28 (1) **CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT (CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1750, ET SEQ.);**
- (2) **CALIFORNIA BUS. & PROF. §§ 17533.7 (CALIFORNIA FALSE "MADE IN U.S.A." CLAIM);**
- (3) **CALIFORNIA BUS & PROF. §§ 17200 Et Seq.;**
- (4) **NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION;**
- (5) **INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**CIVIL UNLIMITED**



**Introduction**

1  
2 1. Plaintiff Stephanie Bauman (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint for  
3 damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies,  
4 resulting from the illegal actions of Australian Gold, LLC (“Defendant”) in  
5 unlawfully labeling Defendant’s consumable consumer packaged goods, such as  
6 Australian Gold Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer, with the false designation and  
7 representation that the products are or were a “Product of U.S.A.” The  
8 unlawfully labeled products are sold via Defendant’s website and in various  
9 stores throughout the United States.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal  
10 knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other  
11 matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her  
12 attorneys.

13 2. As stated by the California Supreme Court in *Kwikset v. Superior Court* (January  
14 27, 2011) 51 Cal. 4th 310, 328-29:

**Simply stated: labels matter.** The marketing industry is based on the premise that labels matter, that consumers will choose one product over another similar product based on its label and various tangible and intangible qualities that may come to associate with a particular source...In particular, **to some consumers**, the “Made in U.S.A.” label matters. A range of motivations may fuel this preference, from the desire to support domestic jobs to beliefs about quality, to concerns about overseas environmental or labor conditions, to simple patriotism. The Legislature has recognized the materiality of this representation by specifically outlawing deceptive and fraudulent “Made in America” representations. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17533.7; see also Cal. Civ. Code § 1770, subd. (a)(4) (prohibiting deceptive representations

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff seeks class wide relief on behalf of all purchasers of any of Defendant’s  
26 products that are substantially similar to the consumer packaged product purchased  
27 by Plaintiff and labeled as “Made in the USA,” or some derivative thereof, that are  
28 foreign made or include foreign made components in violation of California law(s),  
not only the specific Australian Gold Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer (the  
“Product”) purchased by Plaintiff.



1 of geographic origin)). The object of section 17533.7 “is  
2 to protect consumers from being misled when they  
3 purchase products in the belief that they are advancing  
the interest of the United States and its industries and  
workers...”

- 4 3. The “Made in U.S.A.” claim (or some derivative thereof) is prominently printed  
5 on Defendant’s products<sup>2</sup>, including the product purchased by Plaintiff. Contrary  
6 to Defendant’s representation and in violation of California law, Defendant’s  
7 Class Products, including the Product purchased by Plaintiff, include foreign  
8 ingredients constituting more than ten percent (10%) of the final wholesale  
9 value of the manufactured product.
- 10 4. This nationwide sale and advertising of deceptively labeled products constitutes  
11 violations of: (1) California’s Consumer Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”), Cal.  
12 Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.; (2) California’s False Advertising Law (“FAL”), Bus.  
13 & Prof. Code § 17533.7; (3) California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”),  
14 Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.; (4) negligent misrepresentation; and (5)  
15 intentional misrepresentation. This conduct caused Plaintiff and other similarly  
16 situated damages, and requires restitution and injunctive relief to remedy and  
17 prevent further harm.
- 18 5. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of any Defendant’s name in this Complaint  
19 includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors,  
20 assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers of  
21 the named Defendant.

### 22 **Jurisdiction and Venue**

- 23 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter because the Plaintiff and Defendant  
24 have separate domiciles and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000:  
25 plaintiff lives in Vista, California, Defendant is an Indiana Company, and  
26 Plaintiff anticipates \$5,000,000 in class recovery.

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>2</sup> Defendant’s Class Products include, but are not limited to, all sizes, configurations  
and/or other variations of the products listed in “Exhibit A.”

- 1 7. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to this Court’s general jurisdiction.
- 2 8. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s claims for damages, restitution,  
3 injunctive relief, and declaratory relief arising from Defendant’s unlawful  
4 business practices under California’s CLRA, FAL, and UCL; as well as  
5 negligent misrepresentation and intentional misrepresentation.
- 6 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant  
7 conducts substantial business in this State and County, has sufficient minimum  
8 contacts with this State and County, and otherwise purposefully avails itself of  
9 the markets in this State and County through the promotion, sale, and marketing  
10 of its products in this State, to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court  
11 permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 12 10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure  
13 section 395(a) because Plaintiff sells products in this county, and this is the  
14 county where Plaintiff’s injuries arose.

15 **Parties**

- 16 11. Plaintiff is an individual who at all times relevant herein is a resident of in the  
17 City of Vista, County of San Diego, State of California.
- 18 12. Defendant is a limited liability company based in Indiana. Defendant conducts  
19 business through Internet sales and mail orders, and at general merchandise  
20 stores and outlets within the United States. One of the products sold by  
21 Defendant is the Australian Gold Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer (“the Product”)  
22 purchased by Plaintiff.
- 23 13. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate (including officers  
24 and directors thereof), associate or otherwise of Defendants sued herein as  
25 DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues  
26 these Defendants by such fictitious names, pursuant to the California Civil  
27 Procedure Code § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges  
28 that each Defendant designated as a DOE is involved in or is in some manner



1 responsible as a principal, beneficiary, agent, co-conspirator, joint venturer, alter  
2 ego, third-party beneficiary, or otherwise, for the agreements, transactions,  
3 events and/or acts hereinafter described, and thereby proximately caused injuries  
4 and damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff requests that when the true names and  
5 capacities of these DOE Defendants are ascertained, they may be inserted in all  
6 subsequent proceedings, and that this action may proceed against them under  
7 their true names.

8 14.Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all times herein  
9 mentioned, Defendants DOES 1 through 20, were agents or employees of each  
10 of their co-defendants and, in doing the things hereafter mentioned, each was  
11 acting in the scope of his authority as such agent or employee and with the  
12 permission and consent of their co-defendants, and each of them.

13 **Nature of The Case**

14 15.At all times relevant, Defendant made, and continues to make, affirmative  
15 misrepresentations regarding its Class Products, including the Product purchased  
16 by Plaintiff, it manufactures, markets and sells. Specifically, Defendant  
17 packaged, advertised, marketed, promoted, and sold its Class Products as a  
18 “Made in U.S.A.,” or some derivative thereof.

19 16.However, although Defendant represents that its Class Products are “Made in  
20 U.S.A.” (or some derivate thereof), Defendant’s Class Products are composed of  
21 articles, units, or parts obtained outside the United States which constitute more  
22 than ten percent (10%) of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product.

23 17.Each consumer, including Plaintiff, was exposed to virtually the same material  
24 misrepresentations, as the similar labels were prominently placed on all of the  
25 Defendant’s Class Products that were sold, and are currently being sold,  
26 throughout the United States and within the State of California.



1 18.As a consequence of Defendant’s unfair and deceptive practices, Plaintiff and  
2 other similarly situated consumers have purchased Defendant’s Class Products  
3 under the false impression that the products were actually made in the U.S.A.

4 19.As a result of Defendant’s misrepresentations, Plaintiff and other consumers  
5 similarly situated overpaid for the Defendant’s Class Products, and/or purchased  
6 the Class Products under the false belief that the product they purchased was  
7 made in the U.S.A. Had Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated been  
8 made aware that Defendant’s Class Products were not actually made in the  
9 U.S.A, they would not have purchased the products.

10 20.As a result of Defendant’s false and misleading statements and failure to  
11 disclose, as well as Defendant’s other conduct described herein, Plaintiff and  
12 other similarly situated consumers purchased thousands, if not millions, of  
13 Defendant’s Class Products and have suffered, and continue to suffer, injury in  
14 fact, including the loss of money and/or property.

15 21.Defendant’s conduct as alleged herein violates several California laws, as more  
16 fully set forth herein.

17 22.This action seeks, among other things, equitable and injunctive relief; restitution  
18 of all amounts illegally retained by Defendant; and disgorgement of all ill-gotten  
19 profits from Defendant’s wrongdoing alleged herein.

20 **Factual Allegations**

21 23.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of  
22 this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

23 24.Defendant manufactures, markets and/or sells various products that have been  
24 and are currently still represented as “Made in U.S.A.” Defendant’s makes these  
25 representations on the Class Products themselves.

26 25.Contrary to the representation, Defendant’s Class Products are composed of  
27 articles, units, or parts obtained outside the United States which constitute more  
28 than ten percent (10%) of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product.





1 26. Based upon information and belief, the offending Product purchased by Plaintiff  
2 contains foreign ingredients not made in the U.S.A.

3 27. Based upon information and belief, the offending Product purchased by  
4 Plaintiff, and presumably all of Defendant's Class Products that are substantially  
5 similar and contain articles, units, or parts that are obtained from outside the  
6 United States and said articles, units, or parts constitute more than ten percent  
7 (10%) of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product, contrary to  
8 Defendant's "Made in U.S.A" (or similar words) representations.

9 28. Defendant markets, and continues to market, and represent to the general public  
10 via its packing and its Class Products' labels that the Class Products are "Made  
11 in U.S.A." As such, Defendant fraudulently concealed the material facts at issue  
12 in this matter by misrepresenting to the general public the true country of origin  
13 of the offending products. Defendant possesses superior knowledge of the true  
14 facts that were not disclosed, thereby tolling the running of any applicable  
15 statute of limitations.

16 29. Consumers are particularly vulnerable to these deceptive and fraudulent  
17 practices. Most consumers possess limited knowledge of the likelihood that  
18 products, including the component products therein, claimed to be made in the  
19 United States are in fact manufactured in foreign countries. This is a material  
20 factor in many individuals' purchasing decisions, as they believe they are  
21 purchasing superior goods while supporting American companies and American  
22 jobs.

23 30. Consumers generally believe that "Made in U.S.A." products are of higher  
24 quality than their foreign-manufactured counterparts. Due to Defendants'  
25 scheme to defraud the market, members of the general public were fraudulently  
26 induced to purchase Defendant's products at inflated prices.

27 31. On information and belief, Defendant charged excess monies for its Class  
28 Products in comparison to Defendant's competitors during the entirety of the



1 relevant four-year statutory time period, based on the false “Made in U.S.A.”  
2 designation (or some derivative thereof). California laws are designed to protect  
3 consumers from such false representations and predatory conduct. Defendant’s  
4 scheme to defraud consumers for its own self-interest and monetary gain is  
5 ongoing and will victimize consumers daily for the foreseeable future unless  
6 altered by judicial intervention.

7 32. On November 16, 2015 Plaintiff purchased Defendant’s product, Australian  
8 Gold Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer from Target. At the time of Plaintiff’s  
9 purchase, the packaging or label of the offending product described the  
10 supplement as “Made in U.S.A.,” when the product actually was made and/or  
11 contained components, constituting more than ten percent (10%) of the final  
12 wholesale value of the product, made outside of the United States. These foreign  
13 ingredients include: Octocrylene, Aloe Barbadensis Leaf Juice,  
14 Butylphthalimide, Helianthus Annuus (Sunflower) Seed Oil, Hydroxypropyl  
15 Methylcellulose, Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) Oil, Olea Europe Fruit Oil  
16 (Olive), Propylene Glycol, Sorbitan Oleate, Terminalia Ferdinandiana Fruit  
17 Extract (Kakadu Plum), and others. Accordingly, Defendant is not entitled to  
18 lawfully make representations that the product was “Made in U.S.A.”

19 33. In making the decision to purchase Defendant’s Product, Plaintiff relied upon  
20 the advertising and/or other promotional materials prepared and approved by  
21 Defendant and its agents and disseminated through its Class Products’ packaging  
22 containing the misrepresentations alleged herein. Had Plaintiff been made aware  
23 that the Product was not actually “Made in U.S.A.,” he would not have  
24 purchased the Product. In other words, Plaintiff would not have purchased  
25 Defendant’s Product, but for the “Made in U.S.A.” representation on  
26 Defendant’s Product’s label.





1 34.Plaintiff suffered an “injury in fact” because Plaintiff’s money was taken by  
2 Defendant as a result of Defendant’s false “Made in U.S.A.” designation set  
3 forth on Defendant’s Product and elsewhere.

4 35.In each case when Plaintiff and putative Class members purchased a Class  
5 Product, they relied upon Defendant’s “Made in U.S.A.” representation in their  
6 purchasing decision, which is typical of most U.S. consumers. Consequently,  
7 they were deceived as a result of Defendant’s actions. Plaintiff believed at the  
8 time he purchased the Product that he was purchasing a superior quality product,  
9 supporting U.S. jobs and the U.S. economy, and also supporting ethical working  
10 conditions.

11 36.Component parts made in the U.S.A. are subject to strict regulatory  
12 requirements, including but not limited to environmental, labor, and safety  
13 standards. Foreign made component parts are not subject to the same U.S.  
14 standards and as a result can be potentially much more dangerous to consumers,  
15 especially when ingested like Defendant’s products. Further, foreign made  
16 component parts are also generally of lower quality than their U.S. made  
17 counterparts, and routinely less reliable and less durable than their U.S. made  
18 counterparts.

19 37.Consequently, Defendant’s Class Products containing the foreign ingredients,  
20 including the Product purchased by Plaintiff, are of inferior quality, potentially  
21 more dangerous and less reliable, as Defendant falsely represented that these  
22 products are “Made in U.S.A.” This results in lower overall customer  
23 satisfaction than if the products were truly “Made in U.S.A.” and/or consisting  
24 of component parts made in the United States.

25 38.On information and belief, Defendant’s products containing the foreign  
26 ingredients, including the Product purchased by Plaintiff, are not worth the  
27 purchase price paid by Plaintiff and Class members. The precise amount of  
28 damages will be proven at trial, in large part, by expert testimony.



1 39. Plaintiff and Class members were undoubtedly injured as a result of Defendant's  
2 false "Made in U.S.A." representations that are at issue in this matter.

3 **Class Action Allegations**

4 40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of  
5 this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

6 41. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
7 situated against Defendant, pursuant to 2Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule  
8 23.

9 42. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the class, ("the Class") consisting of:

10  
11 All persons similarly situated within the state of California who  
12 purchased one or more of Defendant's Class Products, which were  
13 labeled with a "Made in U.S.A." country of origin designation (or  
14 similar words), but were foreign-made and/or composed of foreign-  
15 made component parts that constituted more than ten percent (10%)  
of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product, within the  
four years prior to the filing of the Complaint.

16 43. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and any of its officers, directors, and  
17 employees. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the Class definition  
18 before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

19 44. The "Class Period" means four years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this  
20 action.

21 45. **Ascertainability.** Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class,  
22 but Plaintiff currently believes that there are hundreds of thoU.S.Ands, if not  
23 more, members of the Class within the State of California. Because of the nature  
24 of Defendant's products, Defendant and Defendant's distributors must keep  
25 detailed and accurate records of distribution in order to accurately and  
26 effectively execute a recall if so ordered by the Food and Drug Administration or  
27 any other organization. Therefore, the members of the Class are ascertainable  
28 through Defendant's records and/or Defendant's agents' records regarding retail



1 and online sales, as well as through public notice. This matter should therefore  
2 be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.

3 **46.Numerosity.** The numerosity requirement of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure  
4 Rule 23(a)(1) is satisfied for the aforementioned Class because the members of  
5 the Class are so numerous and geographically disbursed that joinder of all Class  
6 members is impractical, and the disposition of their claims in the Class action  
7 will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court.

8 **47.Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact.** There  
9 is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved  
10 affecting the parties to be represented. Common questions of fact and law exist  
11 in this matter that predominate over questions that may affect individual Class  
12 members, satisfying the requirement of Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 382, including,  
13 but not limited to, the following:

- 14 • Whether Defendant committed the wrongful conduct alleged herein;
- 15 • Whether Defendant’s acts, transactions, or course of conduct constitute the  
16 violations of law alleged herein;
- 17 • Whether Defendant, through its conduct, received money that, in equity and  
18 good conscience, belongs to Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 19 • Whether the members of the Class sustained and/or continue to sustain  
20 damages attributable to Defendant’s conduct, and, if so, the proper measure  
21 and appropriate formula to be applied in determining such damages; and
- 22 • Whether the members of the Class are entitled to injunctive and/or any other  
23 equitable relief.

24 **48.Typicality.** As a person who purchased one or more of Defendant’s products,  
25 that were advertised with a “Made in U.S.A.” country of origin designation (or  
26 some derivative thereof), but contain foreign-made ingredients and/or composed  
27 of foreign-made component parts, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of  
28 the Class. Plaintiff’s claims involve the same violations of law by Defendant as



1 other Class members' claims. Plaintiff and members of the Class also sustained  
2 damages arising out of Defendant's common course of conduct complained  
3 herein. Accordingly, Plaintiff satisfies the "typicality" requirement of Cal. Civ.  
4 Proc. Code § 382, with respect to the Class.

5 **49. Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and  
6 protect the interests of other members of the Class in that Plaintiff has no  
7 interests antagonistic to any member of the Class. Further, Plaintiff has retained  
8 counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving  
9 violations of the consumer laws, and specifically violations of the California  
10 Business and Professions Code. Thus, Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 382 is satisfied.

11 **50. Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair  
12 and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individualized litigation would  
13 create the danger of inconsistent and/or contradictory judgments arising from the  
14 same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and  
15 expense to all parties and court system and the issues raised by this action. The  
16 damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class members may  
17 be relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be entailed  
18 by individual litigation of the claims against the Defendant. The injury suffered  
19 by each individual member of the proposed class is relatively small in  
20 comparison to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex  
21 and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendant's conduct. It would be  
22 virtually impossible for members of the proposed Class to individually redress  
23 effectively the wrongs to them. Even if the members of the proposed Class could  
24 afford such litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation  
25 increases the delay and expense to all parties, and to the court system, presented  
26 by the complex legal and factual issues of the case. By contrast, the class action  
27 device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of  
28 single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a

1 single court. Therefore, a class action is maintainable pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc.  
2 Code § 382.

3 51. Unless the Class is certified, Defendant will retain monies received as a result of  
4 Defendant's unlawful and deceptive conduct alleged herein. Unless a class-wide  
5 injunction is issued, Defendant will continue to, or allow its resellers to,  
6 advertise, market, promote, and package Defendant's Class Products in an  
7 unlawful and misleading manner, and Class Member will continue to be misled,  
8 harmed, and denied their rights under California law.

9 52. Further, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that are generally  
10 applicable to the class so that declaratory and injunctive relief is appropriate to  
11 the Class as a whole, making class certification appropriate pursuant to Cal. Civ.  
12 Proc. Code § 382.

### 13 **First Cause of Action**

#### 14 **Violation of California Consumers Legal Remedies Act**

#### 15 **Cal. Civ. Code Section 1750, *et seq.***

16 53. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of  
17 this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

18 54. California Civil Code Section 1750 *et seq.*, entitled the Consumers Legal  
19 Remedies Act (hereinafter "CLRA"), provides a list of "unfair or deceptive"  
20 practices in a "transaction" relating to the sale of "goods" or "services" to a  
21 "consumer." The Legislature's intent in promulgating the CLRA is expressed in  
22 Civil Code Section 1760, which provides, *inter alia*, that its terms are to be:

23 Construed liberally and applied to promote its underlying  
24 purposes, which are to protect consumers against unfair  
25 and deceptive business practices and to provide efficient  
and economical procedures to secure such protection.

26 55. Defendant's products constitute "goods" as defined pursuant to Civil Code  
27 Section 1761(a).  
28



1 56.Plaintiff, and the Class members, are each a “consumer” as defined pursuant to  
2 Civil Code Section 1761(d).

3 57.Each of Plaintiff’s and the Class members’ purchases of Defendant’s products  
4 constituted a “Transaction” as defined pursuant to Civil Code Section 1761(e).

5 58.Civil Code Section 1770(a)(2), (4), (5), (7) and (9) provides that:

6 The following unfair methods of competition and unfair  
7 or deceptive acts or practices undertaken by any person  
8 in a transaction intended to result or which results in the  
9 sale or lease of goods or services to any consumer are  
10 unlawful:

11 (2) Misrepresenting the source, sponsorship, approval, or  
12 certification of goods or services;

13 (4) [u]sing deceptive representations or designations of  
14 geographic origin in connection with goods or services;

15 (5) Representing that goods or services have sponsorship,  
16 approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or  
17 quantities which they do not have or that a person has a  
18 sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection  
19 which he or she does not have;

20 (7) Representing that goods or services are of a particular  
21 standard, quality, or grade...; [and]

22 (9) Advertising goods or services with intent not to sell  
23 them as advertised.”

24 59.Defendant violated Civil Code Section 1770(a)(2), (4), (5), (7) and (9) by  
25 marketing and representing that its Class Products are “Made in U.S.A.” when  
26 the final wholesale value of the manufactured product’s articles, units, or parts  
27 contains more than ten percent (10%) foreign-made or manufactured  
28 ingredients.

60.Pursuant to section 1782(d), by letters dated October 10, 2017, Plaintiff notified  
Defendant in writing by certified mail of the particular violations of section  
1770 and demanded that Defendant rectify the problems associated with the  
actions detailed above and give notice to all affected consumers of Defendant’s  
intent to so act.

61.It is alleged on information and belief that Defendant and/or Defendant’s  
representatives received said letter in or around October 2017 because



1 Defendant acknowledged its receipt in a separate letter dated November 22,  
2 2017.

3 62. Defendant failed to rectify or agree to rectify the problems associated with the  
4 actions detailed above and give notice to all affected consumers within thirty  
5 days of the date of written notice pursuant to section 1782. Therefore, Plaintiff  
6 and the Class further seek actual, punitive, and statutory damages, as deemed  
7 appropriate.

8 63. On information and belief, Defendant's violations of the CLRA set forth herein  
9 were done with awareness of the fact that the conduct alleged was wrongful and  
10 was motivated solely for Defendant's self-interest, monetary gain and increased  
11 profit. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant committed these acts knowing the  
12 harm that would result to Plaintiff and Defendant, nonetheless, engaged in such  
13 unfair and deceptive conduct.

14 64. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant committed these acts knowing the harm  
15 that would result to Plaintiff and Defendant engaged in such unfair and  
16 deceptive conduct notwithstanding such knowledge.

17 65. Plaintiff suffered an "injury in fact" because Plaintiff's money was taken by  
18 Defendant as a result of Defendant's false "Made in U.S.A." representations set  
19 forth on Defendant's actual products.

20 66. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the CLRA, Plaintiff  
21 and members of the Class are entitled to a declaration that Defendant violated  
22 the Consumer Legal Remedies Act.

23 67. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class demand judgment against  
24 Defendant for damages, restitution, punitive damages, statutory damages, pre-  
25 and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, injunctive and declaratory relief  
26 prohibiting such conduct in the future, costs incurred in bringing this action, and  
27 any other relief as this Court deems just and proper.  
28



1 68.Pursuant to section 1780(e) of the California Civil Code, Plaintiff and the Class  
2 make claims for damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

3 69.In prosecuting this action for the enforcement of important rights affecting the  
4 public interest, Plaintiff seeks the recovery of attorneys' fees, which is available  
5 to a prevailing plaintiff in class action cases such as this matter.

6  
7 **Second Cause Of Action**  
8 **Violation of Business & Professions Code**  
9 **Bus. & Prof. Code, Section 17533.7**

10 70.Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of  
11 this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

12 71.Business & Professions Code § 17533.7 provides:

13 It is unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or  
14 association to sell or offer for sale in this State any  
15 merchandise on which merchandise or on its container  
16 there appears the words "Made in U.S.A.," "Made in  
17 America," "U.S.A.," or similar words when the  
merchandise or any article, unit, or part thereof, has been  
entirely or substantially made, manufactured, or  
produced outside of the United States.

18 72.Defendant violated Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7 by selling and offering to sell  
19 products in the State of California with the "Made in U.S.A." country of origin  
20 designation as fully set forth herein. The Class Products at issue contain articles,  
21 units, or parts obtained from outside the United States, all of which constitute  
22 more than ten percent of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product  
23 in violation of California law.

24 73.On information and belief, Defendant's violations of Bus. & Prof. Code §  
25 17533.7 as set forth herein were done with awareness of the fact that the conduct  
26 alleged was wrongful and was motivated solely for Defendant's self-interest,  
27 monetary gain and increased profit. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant  
28 committed these acts knowing the harm that would result to Plaintiff and



1 Defendant engaged in such unfair and deceptive conduct notwithstanding such  
2 knowledge.

3 74. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of Bus. & Prof. Code  
4 § 17533.7, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to restitution of excess monies  
5 paid to Defendant by Plaintiff and the Class relating to the false "Made in  
6 U.S.A." representations set forth on Defendant's actual products' labels and/or  
7 packaging used by Defendant to sell Defendant's products.

8 75. In prosecuting this action for the enforcement of important rights affecting the  
9 public interest, Plaintiff seeks the recovery of attorneys' fees, which is available  
10 to a prevailing plaintiff in class action cases such as this matter.

11 **Third Cause Of Action**

12 **Violation of Business & Professions Code**

13 **Bus. & Prof. Code, Section 17200, et seq.**

14 76. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of  
15 this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

16 77. Plaintiff and Defendants are each "person[s]" as defined by California Business  
17 & Professions Code § 17201. California Business & Professions Code § 17204  
18 authorizes a private right of action on both an individual and representative  
19 basis.

20 78. "Unfair competition" is defined by Business and Professions Code Section §  
21 17200 as encompassing several types of business "wrongs," four of which are at  
22 issue here: (1) an "unlawful" business act or practice, (2) an "unfair" business  
23 act or practice, (3) a "fraudulent" business act or practice, and (4) "unfair,  
24 deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising." The definitions in § 17200 are  
25 drafted in the disjunctive, meaning that each of these "wrongs" operates  
26 independently from the others.



1 79. By and through Defendant's conduct alleged in further detail above and herein,  
2 Defendant engaged in conduct which constitutes unlawful, unfair, and/or  
3 fraudulent business practices prohibited by Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.*

4 **A. "Unlawful" Prong**

5 80. Beginning at a date currently unknown through the time of this Complaint,  
6 Defendant has committed acts of unfair competition, including those described  
7 above, by engaging in a pattern of "unlawful" business practices, within the  
8 meaning of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.* by manufacturing, distributing,  
9 and/or marketing Defendant's Class Products with a false country of origin  
10 designation, in violation of California's CLRA, Civil Code § 1750, *et seq.*,  
11 California's False Made In the U.S.A statute, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17533.7 by  
12 falsely representing that the products referenced herein are "Made in U.S.A."  
13 when Defendant's products are composed of articles, units, or parts obtained  
14 outside the United States which constitute more than ten percent (10%) of the  
15 final wholesale value of the manufactured product.

16 **B. "Unfair" Prong**

17 81. Beginning at a date currently unknown and continuing up through the time of  
18 this Complaint, Defendant has committed acts of unfair competition that are  
19 prohibited by Bus. & Prof. Code section 17200 *et seq.* Defendant engaged in a  
20 pattern of "unfair" business practices that violate the wording and intent of the  
21 statutes by engaging conduct and practices that threaten an incipient violation of  
22 law/s or violate the policy or spirit of law/s by manufacturing, distributing, and/  
23 or marketing Defendant's products with a false country of origin designation, of  
24 in violation of California's CLRA, Civil Code § 1750, *et seq.* and California's  
25 False Made In the U.S.A statute, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17533.7 by falsely  
26 representing that the products referenced herein are "Made in U.S.A." when  
27 Defendant's products are composed of articles, units, or parts obtained outside  
28



1 the United States which constitute more than ten percent (10%) of the final  
2 wholesale value of the manufactured product.

3 82. Alternatively, Defendant engaged in a pattern of “unfair” business practices that  
4 violate the wording and intent of the abovementioned statute/s by engaging in  
5 practices that are immoral, unethical, oppressive or unscrupulous, the utility of  
6 such conduct, if any, being far outweighed by the harm done to consumers and  
7 against public policy by manufacturing, distributing, and/or marketing  
8 Defendant’s Class Products with a false country of origin designation, in  
9 violation of California’s CLRA, Civil Code § 1750, et seq. and California’s  
10 False Made In the U.S.A statute, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17533.7 by falsely  
11 representing that the products referenced herein are “Made in U.S.A.” when  
12 Defendant’s products are composed of articles, units, or parts obtained outside  
13 the United States which constitute more than ten percent (10%) of the final  
14 wholesale value of the manufactured product.

15 83. Alternatively, Defendant engaged in a pattern of “unfair” business practices that  
16 violate the wording and intent of the above mentioned statute/s by engaging in  
17 practices, including manufacturing, distributing, marketing, and/or advertising  
18 Defendant’s products with a false country of origin designation, wherein: (1) the  
19 injury to the consumer was substantial; (2) the injury was not outweighed by any  
20 countervailing benefits to consumers or competition; and (3) the injury was not  
21 of the kind that consumers themselves could not have reasonably avoided.

22 **C. “Fraudulent” Prong**

23 84. Beginning at a date currently unknown and continuing up through the time of  
24 this Complaint, Defendant engaged in acts of unfair competition, including those  
25 described above and herein, prohibited and in violation of Bus. & Prof. Code §  
26 17200 *et seq.*, by engaging in a pattern of “fraudulent” business practices within  
27 the meaning of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.*, by manufacturing,  
28 distributing, and/or marketing Defendant’s Class Products in violation of



1 California’s CLRA, Civil Code § 1750, *et seq.* and California’s False Made In  
2 the U.S.A statute, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17533.7 by falsely representing that the  
3 products referenced herein are “Made in U.S.A.” when Defendant’s products are  
4 composed of articles, units, or parts obtained outside the United States which  
5 constitute more than ten percent (10%) of the final wholesale value of the  
6 manufactured product.

7 85.Plaintiff reserves the right to allege further conduct that constitutes other  
8 fraudulent business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to  
9 this date.

10 **D. “Unfair, Deceptive, Untrue or Misleading Advertising” Prong**

11 86.Defendant’s advertising is unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading in that  
12 consumers are led to believe that Defendant’s Class Products are made in the  
13 U.S.A, when Defendant’s products are composed of articles, units, or parts  
14 obtained outside the United States which constitute more than ten percent (10%)  
15 of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product.

16 87.Plaintiff, a reasonable consumer, and the public would likely be, and, in fact  
17 were, deceived and mislead by Defendant’s advertising as they would, and did,  
18 interpret the representation in accord with its ordinary U.S.Age, that the  
19 products are actually made in the U.S.A.

20 88.Defendant’s unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices and unfair,  
21 deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising presents a continuing threat to the  
22 public in that Defendant continues to engage in unlawful conduct resulting in  
23 harm to consumers.

24 89.Defendant engaged in these unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices  
25 motivated solely by Defendant’s self-interest with the primary purpose of  
26 collecting unlawful and unauthorized monies from Plaintiff and all others  
27 similarly situated; thereby unjustly enriching Defendant.  
28





1 90. Such acts and omissions by Defendant are unlawful and/or unfair and/or  
2 fraudulent and constitute a violation of Business & Professions Code section  
3 17200 *et seq.* Plaintiff reserves the right to identify additional violations by  
4 Defendant as may be established through discovery.

5 91. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and representations  
6 described above and herein, Defendant received and continues to receive  
7 unearned commercial benefits at the expense of their competitors and the public.

8 92. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful, unfair and fraudulent  
9 conduct described herein, Defendant has been and will continue to be unjustly  
10 enriched by the receipt of ill-gotten gains from customers, including Plaintiff,  
11 who unwittingly provided money to Defendant based on Defendant's fraudulent  
12 representations.

13 93. Plaintiff suffered an "injury in fact" because Plaintiff's money was taken by  
14 Defendant as a result of Defendant's false representations set forth on the  
15 Defendant's Products.

16 94. In prosecuting this action for the enforcement of important rights affecting the  
17 public interest, Plaintiff seeks the recovery of attorneys' fees, which is available  
18 to a prevailing plaintiff in class action cases such as this matter.

19 **Fourth Cause of Action for**  
20 **Negligent Misrepresentation**

21 95. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations  
22 as if fully stated herein.

23 96. At a date presently unknown to Plaintiff, but at least four years prior to the filing  
24 of this action, and as set forth above, Defendant represented to the public,  
25 including Plaintiff, by packaging and other means, that Defendant's Class  
26 Products are made in the U.S.A, when Defendant's products are composed of  
27 articles, units, or parts obtained outside the United States which constitute more  
28 than ten percent (10%) of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product.



1 97. Defendant made the representations herein alleged with the intention of inducing  
2 the public, including Plaintiff and putative class members, to purchase  
3 Defendant's Class Products.

4 98. Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons in California saw, believed, and  
5 relied upon Defendant's advertising representations and, in reliance on them,  
6 purchased the Class Products, as described herein.

7 99. At all times relevant, Defendant made the misrepresentations herein alleged  
8 when Defendant should have known these representations to be untrue, and had  
9 no reasonable basis for believing the representations to be true.

10 100. As a proximate result of Defendant's negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiff and  
11 other consumers similarly situated were induced to purchase, purchase more of,  
12 or pay more for Defendant's Class Products, due to the unlawful acts of  
13 Defendant, in an amount to be determined at trial, during the Class Period.

14 **Fifth Cause of Action for**  
15 **Intentional Misrepresentation**

16 101. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the above  
17 allegations as if fully stated herein.

18 102. At a date presently unknown to Plaintiff, but at least three years prior to the  
19 filing of this action, and as set forth above, Defendant intentionally represented  
20 to the public, including Plaintiff, by promoting and other means, that  
21 Defendant's Class Products are made in the U.S.A, when Defendant's products  
22 are composed of articles, units, or parts obtained outside the United States which  
23 constitute more than ten percent (10%) of the final wholesale value of the  
24 manufactured product.

25 103. Defendant made the representations herein alleged with the intention of  
26 inducing the public, including Plaintiff, to purchase Defendant's Class Products  
27 for Defendant's own financial gain.  
28



1 104. Defendant intentionally made such misrepresentations by printing on the Class  
2 Products' labels that they were "Made in U.S.A."

3 105. The statement regarding Defendant's Class Products as being "Made in U.S.A."  
4 was misleading because Defendant's products are composed of articles, units, or  
5 parts obtained outside the United States which constitute more than ten percent  
6 (10%) of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product.

7 106. Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons in California saw, believed, and  
8 relied upon Defendant's advertising representations and, in reliance on such  
9 representations, purchased the Class Products, as described above.

10 107. At all times relevant, Defendant intentionally made the misrepresentations  
11 herein alleged, allowed the misrepresentations to continue to be made by its  
12 resellers and Defendant knew the representations to be false.

13 108. As a proximate result of Defendant's intentional misrepresentations, Plaintiff  
14 and other consumers similarly situated were induced to spend an amount of  
15 money to be determined at trial on Defendant's misrepresented Products.

16 109. Defendant knew that its Class Products were composed of articles, units, or  
17 parts obtained outside the United States which constituted more than ten percent  
18 (10%) of the final wholesale value of the manufactured product, but nevertheless  
19 made the representations described herein with the intention that consumers rely  
20 on Defendant's representations.

21 110. Defendant also knew that retailers were advertising its Class Products as "Made  
22 in U.S.A.," as Defendant designed, manufactured, and affixed the product  
23 labeling to its Class Products before supplying the products to retailers.

24 111. Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated, in purchasing and using the  
25 Class Products as herein alleged, did rely on Defendant's representations,  
26 including the representations on Defendant's Class Products' labels, all to their  
27 damage and/or detriment as herein alleged.  
28



1 112.Plaintiff alleges the “who, what, when, where, and how” of the alleged  
2 deception by Defendant as follows:

- 3 • The “who” is Defendant;
- 4 • The “what” is representation that Defendant’s Class Products are “Made in  
5 U.S.A.”;
- 6 • The “when” is the date Plaintiff purchased the product and the Class Period  
7 of four years prior to the filing of the Complaint;
- 8 • The “where” is in Defendant’s product labeling; and
- 9 • The “how” is the allegation that Defendant did not disclose that its Class  
10 Products are in fact foreign-made and/or composed of component parts  
11 manufactured and/or grown outside of the United States.
- 12 • By engaging in the acts described above, Defendant is guilty of malice,  
13 oppression, and fraud, and each Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover  
14 exemplary or punitive damages.

15 **Prayer For Relief**

16 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and  
17 the Class members the following relief against Defendant:

- 18 • That the Court determine that this action may be maintained as a Class  
19 Action by certifying this case as a Class Action;
- 20 • That the Court certify Plaintiff to serve as the Class representative in this  
21 matter;
- 22 • That Defendant’s wrongful conduct alleged herein be adjudged and decreed  
23 to violate the consumer protection statutory claims asserted herein;
- 24 • That Plaintiff and each of the other members of the Class recover the  
25 amounts by which Defendant has been unjustly enriched;
- 26 • That Defendant be enjoined from continuing the wrongful conduct alleged  
27 herein and required to comply with all applicable laws;
- 28



- That Plaintiff and each of the other members of the class recover their costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses as provided by law; and
- That Plaintiff and the members of the Class be granted any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

**TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Date: 7/24/18

**Hyde & Swigart, APC**

By: /s/ Yana A. Hart  
Yana A. Hart, Esq.  
Attorney For Plaintiff

**KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC**  
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (249203)  
ak@kazlg.com  
245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1  
Costa Mesa, CA 92626  
Telephone: (800) 400-6808  
Facsimile: (800) 520-5523



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Stephanie Bauman, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Yana A. Hart, Esq. Hyde & Swigart 619-233-7770 2221Camino Del Rio S., Ste. 101, San Diego, CA 92108

DEFENDANTS

Australian Gold, LLC, and DOES 1-10

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

'18CV1682 L BGS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.; Cal. Bus. & Prof. §§ 17533.7; Cal. Bus. & Prof. §§ 17200; Neg. Misrep.; Int. Misre. Brief description of cause: Defendant violated the Consumer Legal Remedies Act and California Business & Professions Code

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 75,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 07/24/2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD s/ Yana A. Hart

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE



## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.  
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

**"Exhibit A"**

- 1) Face Sunscreen SPF 45 with Instant Bronzer Australian Gold Sheer Coverage, SPF 45
- 2) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen, Spray Oil, SPF 4
- 3) Australian Gold Sunscreen Lotion, Broad Spectrum SPF 50
- 4) Australian Gold Sunscreen Lotion, with Bronzers SPF 50
- 5) Australian Gold Sunscreen Baby Lotion, SPF 50
- 6) Australian Gold Sunscreen Kids Lotion, SPF 50
- 7) Australian Gold Sunscreen Kids Continuous Spray, SPF 50
- 8) Australian Gold Sheer Coverage Lotion SPF 30
- 9) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen Lotion, Sheer Coverage, SPF 8
- 10) Australian Gold Lotion Instant Bronzer SPF 15
- 11) Australian Gold Lotion Bronzers SPF 15
- 12) Australian Gold Hello Kitty SPF 30 Mineral Lotion
- 13) Australian Gold Hello Kitty SPF 30 Body Mist
- 14) Australian Gold Hello Kitty SPF 50 Mineral Lotion
- 15) Australian Gold Hello Kitty SPF 45 Mineral Faces
- 16) Australian Gold Hello Kitty SPF 45 Mineral Faces
- 17) Australian Gold Hello Kitty SPF 50 Body Mist
- 18) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen, Sheer Coverage, SPF 45
- 19) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen with Instant Bronzer, Spray, SPF 4
- 20) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen, Sheer Coverage, Spray SPF 15
- 21) Australian Gold Sunscreen Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer - SPF 4
- 22) Australian Gold Sunscreen Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer - SPF 8
- 23) Australian Gold Sunscreen Continuous Spray with Instant Bronzer - SPF 8
- 24) Australian Gold Sunscreen Spray Gel - SPF 8
- 25) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen, Spray Gel, Clear, SPF 15
- 26) Australian Gold Sunscreen Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer - SPF 15
- 27) Australian Gold Sunscreen Spray Gel with Instant Bronzer - SPF 30
- 28) Australian Gold Sunscreen Spray Gel with Bronzers - SPF 30
- 29) Australian Gold Lotion SPF 30 X-Treme Sport
- 30) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Dark Tanning Oil, Intensifier
- 31) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen, Clear Spray, SPF 30 Plus
- 32) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Tanning Accelerator, Dark
- 33) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Bronzing Dry Oil Spray, Intensifier
- 34) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Moisture Lock Tan Extender
- 35) Australian Gold Sinfully Black Indoor Bronzer Tanning Lotion
- 36) Australian Gold Exotic Blend Sunscreen Stick, Face Guard, SPF 50
- 37) Australian Gold Sunscreen Sheer Coverage Continuous Spray - SPF 15
- 38) Australian Gold Sunscreen Sheer Coverage Continuous Spray - SPF 30
- 39) Australian Gold Sunscreen Sheer Coverage Continuous Spray - SPF 50



- 1 40) Australian Gold Sunscreen Sheer Coverage Continuous Spray With Bronzers -  
SPF 30
- 2 41) Australian Gold Sunscreen X-Treme Sport Continuous Spray SPF 30
- 3 42) Australian Gold Sunscreen X-Treme Sport Continuous Spray SPF 50
- 4 43) Australian Gold Sunscreen X-Treme Sport Spray Gel SPF 50
- 5 44) Australian Gold Sunscreen X-Treme Sport Spray Gel SPF 30
- 6 45) Australian Gold Sunscreen Continuous Spray - SPF 15
- 7 46) Australian Gold Sunscreen Continuous Spray with Instant Bronzer - SPF 30
- 8 47) Australian Gold Botanical Mineral Sunscreen Lotion - SPF50
- 9 48) Australian Gold Botanical Mineral Sunscreen Tinted Face Sunscreen Lotion -  
SPF50
- 10 49) Australian Gold Botanical Mineral Sunscreen Lotion - SPF30
- 11 50) Australian Gold Botanical Natural Sunscreen Spray - SPF50
- 12 51) Australian Gold Botanical Natural Sunscreen Spray - SPF30
- 13 52) Australian Gold Botanical Tinted Face Lotion - SPF50
- 14 53) Australian Gold Continuous Spray - SPF30
- 15 54) Australian Gold SPF 15 Lotion Sunscreen, Moisture Max
- 16 55) Australian Gold SPF 8 Lotion Sunscreen, Moisture Max
- 17 56) Australian Gold Moisture Lock Tan Extender Lotion
- 18 57) Australian Gold Faces Sheer Coverage Kona Infused, SPF 45
- 19 58) Australian Gold Faces Sheer Coverage with Self Tanner, SPF 45
- 20 59) Australian Gold Aloe Freeze Gel with Lidocaine
- 21 60) Australian Gold Aloe Freeze Spray Gel 8
- 22 61) Australian Gold Soothing Aloe Gel 8
- 23 62) Australian Gold Deviously Black
- 24 63) Australian Gold SPF 30 Lip Balm
- 25 64) Australian Gold Instant Sunless Spray
- 26 65) Australian Gold Instant Sunless Lotion
- 27 66) Australian Gold Gradual Sunless Lotion
- 28 67) Australian Gold Self Tanning Lotion
- 68) Australian Gold Bronzing Dry Oil Spray Intensifier
- 69) Australian Gold Dark Tanning Oil Intensifier
- 70) Australian Gold Exotic Oil Spray
- 71) Australian Gold Rapid Tanning Intensifier Lotion
- 72) Australian Gold Velocity

